



HARSA AND HIS TIMES

HARSA AND HIS TIMES

[Thesis approved for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy by the University of Jabalpur.]

By

Dr. Baijnath Sharma, M. A., Ph.D.,

Head of the Department of Postgraduate Studies and Research in Ancient Indian History, Culture & Archaeology, University of Jabalpur

With a Foreword by

Dr. Raj Bali Pandey, M. A., D. Litt.
Vice-Chancellor. University of Jabalpur.

SUSHMA PRAKASHAN VARANASI All rights, including the rights of translation and abridgement are reserved by the author.

First Edition, 1970

Price: Rupees Seventyfive

Solv Selling Agents:

Messrs Chaukhambha Sanskrit Series,
K. 37/99, Gopal Mandir Lane
P. O. Chaukhambha, Post Box No. 8,

Varanasi—1.

Printed in India at Sammelan Mudranalaya, Allahabad & Published by Sushma Prakashan, Varanasi

FOREWORD

I have genuine pleasure in writing a few lines by way of foreword to "Harsa and His Times" by Dr. B. N. Sharma. It is a critical reconstruction of a very important theme of Ancient Indian History. Harsa marked a watershed between the Imperial History of India with all its glories and shortcomings on one hand and the dynastic, regional and feudal history of Ancient India which met a disaster on the eye of Muslim invasion on the other. It was almost a meteoric revival of the imperial tradition of Indian History, but it amply blazed for a considerably long period of Harşa's reign. Conquests and administration organised by Harşa gave a definite shape to the social and cultural life of the country. The author has ably dealt with both political and cultural aspects of Indian History under Harşa. It was not an easy task, because the brilliance of Harşa's achievements has attracted a large number of distinguished historians. Dr. Sharma has benefitted by their contribution but has also made distinct and valuable contribution to the development and maturity of his important theme. His treatment of political, administrative and social life and cultural aspects is more comprehensive than that of the previous authors. Dr. Sharma has taken great pains and exercised immense patience in collection of materials and has evinced a critical and judicious acumen in his performance. In my opinion this work is a valuable and solid addition to the existing fund of knowledge which has grown round the historic career of Harsa.

I heartily commend this work as a notable product of critical and comprehensive scholarship to all readers who are interested in Ancient Indian History and Culture.

Raj Bali Pandey

To the Sacred Memory
of

PADMABHUSHANA PANDIT KUNJI LAI. DUBEY
the Founder Vice-Chancellor, University of Jabalpur.

FOREWORD

I have genuine pleasure in writing a few lines by way of foreword to "Harsa and His Times" by Dr. B. N. Sharma. It is a critical reconstruction of a very important theme of Ancient Indian History. Harsa marked a watershed between the Imperial History of India with all its glories and shortcomings on one hand and the dynastic, regional and feudal history of Ancient India which met a disaster on the eye of Muslim invasion on the other. It was almost a meteoric revival of the imperial tradition of Indian History, but it amply blazed for a considerably long period of Harsa's reign. Conquests and administration organised by Harsa gave a definite shape to the social and cultural life of the country. The author has ably dealt with both political and cultural aspects of Indian History under Harsa. It was not an easy task, because the brilliance of Harsa's achievements has attracted a large number of distinguished historians. Dr. Sharma has benefitted by their contribution but has also made distinct and valuable contribution to the development and maturity of his important theme. His treatment of political, administrative and social life and cultural aspects is more comprehensive than that of the previous authors. Dr. Sharma has taken great pains and exercised immense patience in collection of materials and has evinced a critical and judicious acumen in his performance. In my opinion this work is a valuable and solid addition to the existing fund of knowledge which has grown round the historic career of Harsa.

I heartily commend this work as a notable product of critical and comprehensive scholarship to all readers who are interested in Ancient Indian History and Culture.

Raj Bali Pandey

PREFACE

Harşa ranks as one of the great rulers of India. He combines in him the military genius of Chandragupta Maurya, the benevolence of Aíoka, the statesmanship of Samudragupta and the englightened character of Chandragupta Vikramāditya. He has won for him much glory as a great military-general, a greateonqueror and empire-builder, a just and efficient administrator, a great patron of art and culture, a man of high and noble ideals and above all, a man of indomitable character and versatile personality.

But unlike Chandragupta Maurya, Aśoka, Samudragupta and Chandragupta Vikramāditva. Harsa was never unknown to Indian scholarship. He was, however, first introduced to the learned world by Ettinghausen through his book entitled "Harsavardhana: Empereur et Poet" published in 1906. In 1921 Srī Vaidva published the first volume of his "History of Medieval Hindu India" dealing with Harsa and other contemporary rulers. The next book was Sri Pannikar's "Sri Harsa of Kanauj" which appeared in 1922. This was followed by Dr. Mukerjiee's 'Harsa' published in 1926, being his Calcutta University Readership Lecturs delivered in 1925. In 1937 Dr. Tripathi published his "magnum opus" the "History of Kanaui" and "devoted a disproportionately large space" to Harsa in order to give "a critical account of Harsa's administration and achievements of peace." There are several other works and a large number of articles which have appeared in various journals and periodicals and other historical studies. While going through these works I felt that several controversial issues still deserve serious rethinking and reinterpretation and, when I presented a dissertation dealing with the social and cultural history of Northern India in the first half of the seventh century A. D. for my M. A. Examination, I strongly felt that Harsa's political gains and cultural achievements required further examination and thorough study. The present book is the result of these feelings and requirements.

The book consists of two main aspects of 'Harşa and His Times'—his success in carving out a mighty Puspabhūti empire at a very critical period of Indian History, when the forces of disintegration were at work, and his various other achievements in social and cultural fields.

The first chapter of the book aims at giving an idea of the political scene beginning with the decline and fall of the Imperial Guptas and emergence of several regional dynasties and kingdoms. This, in fact, is prefatory but was thought necessary to introduce Harşa in contemporary Indian political scene. Chapters II to VIII deal with Harşa's military, political, administrative and personal achievements and these chapters form the first part of the book. in the remaining chapters an attempt has been made to depict the social and cultural aspects of Harşa's times.

While dealing with Harsa's political and military gains much has been said by way of reinterpretation of facts supplied by Bāna's Harsacharita. This work has also been my important and major source of information on social and cultural aspects. It is unfortunate that very few scholars have adequately understood the importance of the Harsacharita, a great historical romance containing tremendous amount of information pertaining to Harsa and Lis times. Its author Bana, was not only, "the greatest master of Sanskrit Language", but was also a man of encylopaedic learning. The learned editors of the Harsacharita rightly observe that Bana "has woven the story out of actual events" and his masterpiece "in fact is as much based on real events as Scott's Quentin Durward or Waverley." It contains "a living and contemporary picture. wherein we can see something of the India of that time, just as we see in Arrian and Plutarch something of the India of Alexander's time." In addition to several historical details "the work has another interest from the vivid picture which it offers of the condition of Indian society and the manners and customs of the period." They further opine quite legitimately that "his descriptions of the court and village life abound with masterly touches which hold up the mirror to the time. Not even the Pāli Jātakas introduce us more directly into the very heart of the period or give us a more life-like picture. The court, the camp, the quiet villages, . . . and

the still more quiet monasteries and retreats, whether of Brahmanas or Buddhists are all painted with singular power; and his narrative illustrates and supplements the Chinese traveller's journal at every turn." (HCCTH... Pn. VIII-XI). Similar is the importance of Bana's other work, the Kādambari, which, like Harsacharita, was left unfinished and was completed by his son Bhūsanabhatta. It further supplements Harsacharita in several respects. Its characters display an unrivalled consistency and idealism and we can say that Tärāpīda's ideal rule and benevolence, Sukanāsa's unqualified lovalty to his master and his ability as a minister. Chandrapida's noble qualities as crown-prince and Sukanāsa's advice to Chandrapida are some of the finest descriptions of the Kādambarī which add much to our study of the period under review. These details are further supplemented by Harsa's own dramas, the Privadarsika, the Ratnavali and the Nagananda. Another great man, to whom we owe much for his elaborate details, is the Chinese pilgrim Yuan Chuang, who visited India during Harsa's times. His records are of great help to us. But as he "wanted to know only Buddha and Buddhism," his description is full of Buddhist miracles and marvels. For these reasons he could not work as "a good observer. a careful investigator, and a satisfactory recorder, and consequentely, he left very much untold which he would have done well to tell." (Watters, P. 15). We must, therefore, accept his evidence only when it is corroborated by other evidences. These literary sources are further corroborated by Harsa's inscriptions and several other grants and Prasastis of his contemporaries which contain abundant material to enable us to deal with the history of Harsa and culture of his times. All these sources "shed much light upon the political conditions of India generally, and supply, in particular, abundant and trustworthy information concerning the reign of Harsa..., and when all sources are utilized, our knowledge of the events of the reign of Harsa far surpasses in precision that we possess respecting any other early Indian king, except Chandragupta Maurya and Aśoka." (EHI., P. 348).

My indebtedness to earlier writers on the subject has been acknowledged at proper places in the book. They are too many to be enumerated here. Their pioneering works have been a source of inspiration and valuable information to me for which I, once more, take this opportunity to offer my most sincere and thankful acknowledgements.

This book mainly represents my doctoral thesis prepared under the learned guidance of my most respected guru, Dr. Raj Bail Pandey, M.A., D.Litt., Vidyaratna, Mahamana Malavaya Professor in the Department of Postgraduate Studies and Research in Ancient Indian History, Culture and Archaeology, and Vice-Chancellor, University of Jabalpur, to whom I am so much indebted that I do not find words to express my feelings of gratefulness. He has been intimately associated with the preparation of this work right from the very beginning. In fact, I could not have completed it without his learned guidance, indefatigable help and unremitting inspiration. My wife, Smt. Shanti Sharma, M.A., deserves my most sincere thanks for constant help in preparing this book. I wish to acknowledge my thanks to Messrs Sushma Prakashan, Varanasi, for publishing this book

As the publisher and printer agreed to rush the printing of this book at a very short notice, some minor printing errors have remained uncorrected. A corrigendum is, therefore, given in the end of the book. I hope the readers will not mind these errors.

June 29, 1970.

Baijnath Sharma

TRANSLITERATION TABLE

अ	
भा	a
2	ā.
\$	i
उ	i
ऊ	u
奄	ũ
· γ	ŗi
हें	e
ओ	ai
भौ	0
ŕ	au
• नः	ami
r r	aḥ
;	cha
	chha
	ña
	ţa
	ţha.
	¢la.
	dha
	ņа
	sa.
	şa
	śa
	va
	kşa
	tra
	jña
	-

ARREVIATIONS

ABORI. or ABORIP.

Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poona.

AGI.

Ancient Geography of India by Cunningham.

AHD, Ancient History of the Deccan by G. Jouveau
Dubreuil. Pondicherry, 1920.

AHS. or Ant. Hist. of Sau. Ancient History of Saurastra by Dr. Krishnakumari I. Virii. Ph.D., Bombay, 1955.

AIG. Age of the Imperial Guptas by Dr. R. D.

Banerjee, Varanasi, 1933.

AIHT. Ancient Indian Historical Tradition by F. E.

Pargiter, Oxford, 1922.

AIIC. Ancient India and Indian Civilization by
P. Masson-Oursel and others. London, 1934.

P. Masson-Oursel and others. London, 1934

All-India Oriental Conference.

Ārva-Mañin Śri-Mūlakalpa.

Ann. Annual.

AMSK.

AR. or Ann. Rep. Annual Report.

AORM. Annuals of Oriental Research. Madras

University, Madras

University, Madras

ASI. Archaeological Survey of India.

ASIAR. Archaeological Survey of India, Annual

Asian. Archaeological Survey of India, Annua Reports.

ASIRC. Archaeological Survey of India, Reports by Sir Alexander Cunningham.

Beal. (or Life.) The Life of Hiuen Tsang by Shaman Hwui

Li, with an introduction etc. by Samuel Beal, London, 1914.

Bhārata Kaumudi. Studies in Indology in honour of Dr. Radha Kumud Mookerjee, 2 Parts, Allahabad,

1945-1947.

(xv)

Bom. Gaz. Bombay Gazetteer.

Bri. Sm. Brihaspati Smriti.

BTS Buddhist Text Society

BTS. Buddhist Text Society.

CA. The Classical Age (History and Culture of Indian People, Vol. III). Ed. by Dr. R. C.

Main reopie, vol. 111). Ed. by

Majumdar, Bombay, 1954.

Cat. Catalogue.

CII. Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum,

DKM. The Decline of the Kingdom of Magadha

(c, 455-1000 A.D.) by Dr. B. P. Sinha, Ph. D.

(Lond.), Patna, 1953.

DHNI. Evnastic History of N.

DHNI. Dynastic History of Northern India by H. C. Ray, 2 Vols, Calcutta, 1931-1936.

EIII. Early History of India, by V. A. Smith. 4th

Edition, Oxford, 1924, Reprinted in 1957.

Ep. Ind. Epigraphia Indica,
Ed. Edition or Edited by.

Fn. or fn Foot-note

G.E. Gupta Era.

HCK.

Gaz. Gazetteer

HC. Harsacharita of Bāna.

HCCTH. Harsacharita, Eng. Trans. by E. B. Cowell

and F. W. Thomas, London, 1897, Reprinted in 1961.

Harsacharita of Bāna, Ed. by P. V. Kane,

Bombay, 1918.

HCIP. History and Culture of the Indian People Ed.

by Dr. R. C. Majumdar, Bhartiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay.

HCESA. Harşacharita Eka Sārnskritika Adhyayana by.
Dr. Vasudeva Saran Agrawal, Patna, 1953.

H.E. Harsa Era.

HMHI. History of Medieval Hindu India, by C. V.

Vaidya, Poona, 1921.

History of North-Eastern India by R. G. HNEI.

Basak, Calcutta, 1934.

History of Sanskrit Literature. HSL.

Hindu Samskāras : A Socio-Religious Study 2.11 of the Hindu Sacraments by Dr. Rai Bali

Pandey, M.A., D.Litt., Varanasi, 1949.

Indian Antiquary, Bombay. Ind. Ant. Indian Culture, Calcutta. IC. (or Ind. Cul.)

Indian History Congress. IHC

Indian Historical Quarterly, Calcutta. IHO.

Imperial Gazetteer. Imp. Gaz.

Journal Asiatique, Paris. 7A.

Journal of the Andhra Historical Research 7AHRS.

Society, Rajahmundry.

Journal of American Oriental Society. 7.40S.

JASB. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal,

Calcutta.

7BBRAS. Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal

Asiatic Society, Bombay.

Journal of the Banaras Hindu University, 7BHU. Varanaci-5

TBORS. Journal of the Bihar and Orissa Research Society, Patna.

7BRS. Journal of the Bihar Research Society, Patna. 7DL. Journal of the Department of Letters, Calcutta

University,

JIH. Journal of Indian History, Trivandrum. JNSI. Journal of the Numismatic Society of India.

70RM. Journal of Oriental Research, Madras. TPASB.

Journal and Proceedings of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Calcutta.

7RAS.

Iournal of Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, London.

JRASB. (Letters) Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal. Letters, Calcutta.

7UB. Journal of the University of Bombay, Bombay. JUPHS. Journal of the U.P. Historical Societ, y Lucknow.

Kād. (or Rid: Kād) Kādambarī of Bāṇa, tr. by Ridding, London, 1896.

KP. Kādambarī of Bāṇa, Ed. by Parab, Bombay,

Life. The Life of Hiuen Tsang, by Shaman Hwui
Li. with an introduction, etc. by Samuel

Beal, London, 1914.

Mem. Memoirs.

M. Bh. Mahābhārata.

MS. Manu Smriti.

Num. Number.

New Ind. Ant. New Indian Antiquary, Bombay.

Numis. Numismatic.

PHAI. Political History of Ancient India, by H. C.

Raychaudhari, 6th Ed., Calcutta.

Proc. (Proceed.)

Proceedings.

P. O.

Poona Orientalist.

Priya. Priyadarśikā of Harşa.

PTS. Pali Text Society, London.

Pur, Purāṇa.

Ratnā. Ratnāvali of Harsa.

Rep. Report.
Translation.

SBE. Sacred Books of the East, Oxford.

RKMGE. Gupta Empire by Dr. Radha Kumud

Mookerjee, Sec. Ed., Bombay, 1952.

RV. Rigveda.

THK. History of Kanuaj by R. S. Tripathi, Bombay, 1937.

VA. Vedic Age (Vol. I of the History and Culture of Indian People). Bhasting Videa Bhasan

of Indian People), Bhartiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay.

VS. Vikrama Samyat.

CONTENTS

	7.70
Foreword	vii
Preface	ix
Transliteration Table	xiii
Abbreviations	xiv
CHAPTER I	
POLITICAL BACKGROUNI	3
Dismemberment of The Gupta Empire	
Death of Kumäragupta I : End of an	n Era 1
Skandagupta and His Brilliant Achieve	ements 2
Death of Skandagupta	3
Purugupta	3
Successors of Purugupta	4
Budhagupta	5
The Disruptive Forces	5
Narsimhagupta Bālāditya	8
Invasion of the Hünas	8
Toramāņa	9
Mihirakula	10
Uncompromising Feudatories	12
Yasodharmana and His Achievements	. 13
Internecine Family Feuds	17
Vainyagupta	17
Bhānugupta	17
Narsinhagupda	19
Kumāragupta III	19
Visnugunta	

(xix)

Rise	of	new)	powers
------	----	------	--------

1.	The Later Guptas of Magadha	22
	Krispagupta	29
	Harşagupta	25
	Jivitagupta	26
	Kumāragupta	26
	Their Struggle with the Maukharis	27
	Dāmodaragupta	28
	Mahäsenagupta	29
2.	The Maukharis	32
	Yajñavarman, Śārdūlavarman and	
	Anantavarman	35
	Harivaçman	95
	Ādityavarman	36
	Iśānavarman	37
	Avantivarman	40
	Grahavarman	41
3.	The Puspabhutis of Thanesvara	44
4.	The Maitrakas of Valabhi	45
	Bhaţārka	4
	Dharasena I	40
	Droņa Simha	44
	Dhruvasena I	47
	Dharapaţţa	41
	Guhasena	49
	Dharasena II	5
	Sīlāditya I	5
5.	The Gurjaras	5
6.	Kingdoms of Vanga and Gauda	60
7.	Kalinga	6
	Prithvi-Vigraha	6
	Mānavamsa	6
	Sagguyayyana	6
	Origin of the Manas	6

(xx)

The Sailodbhavas	6
Their Origin	6
Sainyabhițăi, Yasobhița, and Sainyabhița II	6
8. Kāmarūpa	ϵ
Origin of the Varmans	6
Bhūtivarman	7
Chandramukhavarman	7
Sthitavarman	7
Susthitavarman	7
Supratisthitavarman and Bhaskaravarman	7
CHAPTER II	
FAMILY AND HERITAGE OF HARŞA	
The Land of Śrikañtha Janpada and Thāneśvara	
Position and Importance	7.
Thāneśvara	76
The King Puspabhüti	8
His Political Achievements	8
Testimony of Yuan Chuang	8
His Date	89
The Origin of the Puspabhūtis	613
Testimony of Aryamañju\u00edrim\u00fclakalpa	92
The Founder of he Family	93
Early Kings of the Family	96
Naravardhana	96
Rājyavardhana I	97
Ādityavardhana	97
Prabhākaravardhana	98
His wars and conquests	99
His War with the Hūṇas	99
His Campaign against Mālvā	106
The War against the Gurjaras Conquest of Gāṇdhāra	107
	107
Expediton against the Sindhu King Expedition against the Latas	107
September against the Lains	108

(xxi)

CHANCE III

BIRTH AND EARLY LIFE OF HARSA	
Birth and Early Life of Harsa	111
Birth of Harsa	112
Harşa's Early Life and Education	117
Harşa's Early Military Career	121
Favourite Son	123
CHAPTER IV	
HARŞA'S ACCESSION TO POWER	
Rājyavardhana returns to the Capital	129
He requests Harşa to accept the Crown	129
Rājyavardhana plans to go to a Hermitage	130
Harsa's decision to accompany Rajyavardhana	190
Unexpected assassination of Grahavrman	131
Alliance with Bhäskaravarman	145
Harşa and Sasānka	149
The Evidence of Ārya Mañju Śrī Mālakalpa	156
Harşa's acceptance of the Kanauj Throne	159
CHAPTER V	
WARS AND CONQUESTS	
Wars and Conquests	
Testimony of Bāṇa	169
Yuan Chuang on Harşa's Conquests	168
Harşa's Conquest over Valabhi	169
Harpa's War with Pulakesin	174
Harşa's Penetration into further South	184
Other Conquests of Harsa	186
Nepāl.	186
Orissa	188
Kashmir	189
Kāmarūpa	193
Chronology of Harsas Wars and C-upaigns	194

(axii)

CHAPTER VI

	EXTENT OF HARSA'S EMPIR	Ę

Lampa (LAN-P'O)		20
Nagara (NA-KIE (KA)	LO-HO)	20
Gândhâra (KAN-T'O-L		20
Udyāna (WU-CHANG-I		20
Bolor (PO-LU-LO)	,	2
Takshasilä (TA-CHA-S	HITO)	21
Sinhapura (SENG-HA-	,	2
Urasa (WU-LA-SHIH)	. 0.20,	21
Kashmir (KIA-SHI-MI-	TO)	21
Punach or Punats (PA	,	21
Rājapura (HO-LO-SHI-	,	21
Tekka? (CHEH-KA)	10-10)	21
Chinabhukti or Chinapat	: (CHI NA DITH TIL	21
Jälandhara (SHE-LAN-T	,	21
Kuluta or Kulu (KU-L	,	21
Satadru (SHE-TO-T'U-L	,	21
Pāryātra (PO-LI-YE-TA	-/	21
Mathura (MO (or MEI)	,	
Sthāņviśvara (SA-T'A-N		21
Srughna (SU-LU-K'IN-N		21
Matipura (MA-TI-PU-L)	7	21
		215
Brhmapura (P'O-LO-HI)	4-MO-PU-LO)	215
Suvarņagotra country		216
Govisana (KU-P'I-SANG		217
Ahichhatrā (NGO (or O)-HI-CH'I-TA-LO)	217
Vilasana or Bhilasana (P	'I-LO-SHAN-NA)	217
Kapitha or Sāmkāsya (K		218
Kānyakubja (KA-NO-KU		218
Navadevakula (NA-FO-T'	I-P'O-KU-LO)	219
Ayodhyā (A-YU-T'E)		219
Hayamukha or Ayamukha	(A-YE-MU-K'A)	220
		-44

(lither)

Prayaga (PO-LO-YA-KA)	220
Kofambi (KIAO-SHANG-MI)	220
Visoka (PI (or PING, or PI or FI)-SHO-KA	221
Śrāvasti (SHIH-LO-FA-SI-T°I)	221
Kapilavastu (KIE-PI-LO-FA-SU-TU)	222
Rāma or Rāmagrāma (LAN-MO)	222
Kusinagara (KOU-SHIH-NA-KA-LO)	222
Vårånasi (PO-LO-NA-SE)	223
Ghāzīpur? (CHAN-CHU)	223
Vaitāli (FEI-SHE-L3)	223
Vriji (FU-LI-CHIH)	224
Nepāl (NI-P'O-LO)	224
Magadha (MO-KIE-TO)	224
Monghyr (I-LAN-NA-PO-FA-TO)	224
Champā (CHAN-P'O)	225
Kajangala (KA-CHU-WEN (?)-KI-LO)	225
Puṇḍravardhana (PUN-NA-FA-TAN-NA)	226
Samataţa (SAN-MO-TA-T'A)	226
Tämralipti (TAN-MO-LIH-TI)	226
Karņasuvarņa (KIE (KA)-LO-NA-SU-LA-NA)	227
Kāmarūpa (KA-MO-LO-P'O)	227
Odra (WU-T'U)	227
Kongodha (KUNG-YU (GU or YA)-T'O)	227
The Southern Kośala	228
Bharoch (PO-LU-KA-CHE)	228
Western Mälvä (MO-LA-P'O)	229
Ațli (A-T'A-LI)	229
Kachchha or Kheda? (K'I-T'A (or CH'A)	229
Valabhi (FA-LA-P'I)	230
Anandapura (A-NAN-T'O-PU-LO)	230
Sürat (SU-LA-CH'A)	230
Gurjara Kingdom (KU-CHE-LO)	231
Ujjain (WU-SHE-YEN-NA)	231
Inibati (CHIH CHI TO)	001

(xxiv)

Maheivarapura (MO-HI-SSU-FA-LO-PU-LO)	231
Sindh (SIN-TU)	232
Mülasthänipura? (MOU-LO-SAN-PU-LU)	232
Parvata? (PO-FA-TO)	232
Audumbatira (A-TIEN-P'O-CHIH-LO)	233
CHAPTER VII	
ADMINISTRATION	
The King	238
Harşa's Administrative Ideals	238
Three Regal Powers of the State	243
Daily Routine	244
Emperor's Visits	244
His Titles	250
Education Imparted to Princes	252
Coronation Ceremony	253
King and His Palace Life	255
Palace Retinues	255
Insignias of Royalty	255
Palace Guard	256
King's Daily Routine	256
The Council of Ministers	257
The Administrative Divisions	263
Departental Heads	266
Judicial Administration	267
Jails	267
Revenue and Finance	268
Military Administration	269
The Senăpati	271
Mahabalādhikṛita	273
Baladhikrita	273
Brihadaśvavāra	274
Gajasādhanādhikrita	274
Bhața-Chăsta	274

(XXV)

CHAPTER VIII

HARSA AS AN AUTHOR AND PATRON OF AUTHORS

SECTION A

Authenticity of Harşa s Authorship	275
Testimony of Bana	277
Other Historical Evidences	278
Literary Evidence	279
Epigraphic Evidence	281
Other Verses Attributed to Harsa	281
Some Internal References to His Authorship	282
Some doubts with regards to Harsa's authorship	286
Kavivimarsa of Rajašekhara	289
Later Criticism	290
SECTION B	
Literary E timate of Harsa	291
The Priyadarsika	292
The Ratnavalı	295
I he Naganandam	296
Harşa i merits as a Dramatist	298
Harsa s Success in writing the Natikas	298
Validity of Harsa's Claim to Originality	304
Literary Traditions associated with Udayana	308
Sotry of Udayana in Kashmiri Traditions	309
Some Incidents of Udayana & Life as found	
in the Brihatkathaslokasamgraha	311
Story as adopted by Bhasa	312
The Stroy as adopted by Harsa	312
Influence of Kalidasa and Bhasa on Harsa's works	314
Chronology of Harşa s Plays	316
Harşa's Language	317
SECTION C	
The Laterary Circle at the Court of Harşa	318
Вала	319

(xxvi)

The Date of Bāṇa	320
Bāna's Works	321
Literary Estimate of Bana Works	323
Mayūra	325
Divākara	327
GHAPTER IX	
THE SOCIETY	200
Background	328
Composition of the Society during the Age of Harşa	329
Varnāśrama: The Basis of Social Order	329
Epigraphic Evidence	329
Testimony of Yuan Chuang	330
Literary Evidence	330
The Brāhmaņas	331
Their Houses	334
The Kşatriyas	335
The Vaisyas	337
The Śūdras	337
The Out-castes	338
Other Mixed and Occupational Classes	338
The Institution of the Aśrama	342
The Āśramavyavasthā in the Times of Harşa	349
Brahmacharyäśrama	343
The Gribasthāśrama	345
The Vānaprasthāśrama	346
The Sannyasa	346
The Institution of Marriage	348
Institution of Marriage during the Age of Harsa	349
Negotiations for Marriage	351
Betrothal Geremony	352
The Marriage Ceremony	352
Preparations for the Geremony	352
Marriage Rituals	357
•	55,

(xxvii)

	The Reception of the Marriage Party	357
	Marriageable Age	359
	Inter-caste Marriages	359
	Remarriage of Widows	360
	Married Life	361
	The System of Dowry	361
Status of Women		363
	Woman as daughter	363
	Woman as wife	364
	Woman as mother	365
	The Purgiah System	365
	Female Education	367
	The Custom of Sati	367
	CHAPTER X	
	SOCIETY (CONTINUED)	
Food and	Drink	370
Wine Drin	king	371
Betel-chewi	ng (Tāmbūlasevana)	372
Dress and	Ornaments	374
	Importance of White Colour	375
	Classification of Clothes	377
	Dress and Ornaments of Kings	378
	Some Varied Description of Costumes given	
	by the Chinese Pilgrim	379
	Ornaments	379
Bath, Toi	let and Individual Cleanliness	382
Life of the	e Tribal People	382
Means of Amusement and Festivities		383
	Recreations for Children	384
	Magic	384
	Gambling	386
	Chess	387
	Hunting	387

(xxviii)

Some Annua	l and Seasonal Festival	389
	Madanotsava	390
Kaumudimahotsava		391
	Udayanotsava	
	Indrotsava	392
Institution of	f Prostitution	392
Slavery		393
General Cha	tracter of the people	394
Acts of Salutation and Reverence		396
	CHAPTER XI	
	RELIGION AND PHILOSOPHY	
	SECTION A	
Religion		398
Hindui	••••	398
	Hindu Scriptures	400
	The Supreme Deities	400
	Worship of Siva	400
	Worship of Visnu	402
	Worship of The Sun	402
	SECTION B	
	or Sects and Philosophical Systems	403
Buddh		405
	Kapiśa	405
	Lampa	406
	Nagara	406
	Gāndhāra	406
	The City of Puhşkarāvatī	407
	The City of Palusa	407
	Udyāna	407
	Bolor	408
	Takşaśilā	408
	Sinhapura	408
	Urasa	408

(mada:)

Kashmir	409
Punach or Punats	409
Rājapura	409
Takka	410
Chinābhukti or Chināpatı	410
Tamasavana Monastery	410
Jālandhara	410
Kullu or Kuluta	411
Ŝatadru	411
Päryätra	411
Mathurā	411
Sthāneśvara	412
Śrughna	413
Matipura	413
Mayūra	413
Brahmapura	414
Govisana	414
Ahichhatrā	415
Vilasāņa or Bhilasana	415
Kapıtha or Sānkasya	415
Kānyakubja or Modern Kanauj	416
Navadevakula	416
Ayodhyā	417
Hayamukha or Āyamukha	417
Prayag	418
Kośāmbī	418
Viśoka	418
Srāvastī	419
Kapilavastu	419
Rāma or Rāmagrāma	419
Kusinagara	420
Vārāņasi	420
Ghāzīpur	420
Vaišālī	421

(388)

(/	
Vriji ·	421
Nepāl	421
Magadha	422
Monghyr	422
Champā	423
Kajangala	423
Pundravardhana	423
Kāmarūpa	424
Samataţa	424
Tāmralipti	424
Karņasuvarņa	424
Odra	425
Kongodha	425
Kalinga	425
Southern Kośala	425
Ändhra	425
Dhanakataka	425
Chulya	426
Dravid	426
Malaküţa	426
Konkanapur	426
Mahāraşţra	427
Bharoch	427
Mālvā	427
Ațli	428
Kachchha	428
Valabhi	428
Ānandapura	428
Sūrat	428
Gurjara Kingdom	429
Ujjain	429
Jajhoti	429
Mahesvarapura	429
Sindha	

(#RRi)

Convocation of Baddhist Assembly at Kanauf	430
Quinquenzial Distributions at Prapaga	431
Kurmakanda	432
Samskaras beginning from Birth to initiation	432
The Funeral Ceremony	433
Life at the Hermitage	435
Some Popular Superstitions and Beliefs	436
The Throbbing of an Eye	437
Commencement of Journey at an Auspicious Moment	437
Belief in Auspicious Marks and Signs	438
Belief in strength of Omens	439
Days of good Conen	439
Some General Beliefs	439
Superstitious Measures to remove Physical Pains	440
Beliefs in Evil Spirits and Ghosts	442
Some Evil Omens which Prognosticated disasters	442
Belief in Various Rites which were especially	
performed to be blessed with a Son	442
Some Toternic Beliefs among women	443
Belief in Dreams	444
Belief in the Might of Fortune and Fate	446
Belief in oath and some ways of Swearing	446
CHAPTER MI	
LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE	
Ascendancy of Sanskrit Throughout the Ages	448
Sanskrit as written and spoken Language	441
Popular Literary Works	450
Laterature on Philosophy and Religion	455
Literature on various branches of Learning	45
CHAPTER XIII	
EDUCATION AND LEARNING	
Education and Learning	450
Relation between the teacher and the taught	450

(xxxii)

Teachers as the highly honoured class in the socie	ty 45
The Duties of the students	45
Daily routine of the students	45
Curricula and various branches of Learning	45
Popularity of Education	46
Gosthi and Mandala	46
Some Renowned Centres of Learning	46
The University of Nālāndā	46
Early History of Nalanda	465
Establishment of the Monasteries	466
Nālandā under Harşa	466
CHAPTER XIV	
ART	
Art and Architecture	469
House and Habitations	469
Buddhist Monasteries	470
Painting	471
Music	472
Dance	474
Drama and Stage	475
CHAPTER XV	
ECONOMIC LIFE	
Agriculture	477
Ownership of Land and Property	481
Revenue and Taxation	481
Development of Various Industries	482
Commerce and Trade	483
Commercial Relations with Foreign Countries	484
Media of Exchange	486
Coinage under Harsa	486
Growth of City-life	486
The Organization of Commercial Guilds	490
Standard of Living	490
en no R. mirth	493

CHAPTER I

POLITICAL BACKGROUND DISMEMBERMENT OF THE GUPTA EMPIRE

DEATH OF KUMĀRAGUPTA I : END OF AN ERA

Kumāragupta I (c.A.D. 415-455) enjoyed a long era of peace and prosperity and the mighty empire of the Imperial Guptas is said to have "reached its zenith" duning his reign for nearly four decades. But the closing years of his career were not happy and peaceful. During the evening of his life the old emperor was challenged by the enemies.\(^1\)

The problem of identification of these enemies has been highly controversial. According to Dr. Fleet, they were Pusyamitras. In support of his view Dr. Fleet refers to Prof. Weber's Sanskrit Literature, P. 223, note 237; and also to Ind. Ant., Vol. II, pp. 362f. He further says that "the correct form of the first part of the name is 'Pushva', not 'Pushba', CII., Vol. III, No. 13, P. 55, Footnote 2 .: Shri Jagannath agrees with Fleet and says that they lived in Central India. III O., Vol. XII, pp. 122f. But Shri H. R. Divekar reads them as "Yudhyamitra" and concludes that they were Hūnas. ABORI., Vol. 1, Part 2, P. 99. Dr. R. D. Baneriee opines that "a close examination of the original shows that the suggested reading is impossibile on account of impossibility of the second syllable being 'Tu'." AIG., P. 45. Similar view is held by Dr. B. P. Sinha. DKM., pp. 1f. Dr. Sircar does not come to any definite conclusion and says that "it is not possible to be definite." Select Inscriptions., P. 314. Footnote 2. An attempt has been made to suggest that the Pusyamitras were the successors of Pushyavarman of Kamarupa. IHQ., Vol. XXI, pp. 24f. Smith says, "(they) were a rich and powerful nation" and "otherwise almost unknown to history." EHI., P. 326. Fleet says that they belonged to the Narmada valley and lived in Amarakantaka region, CII., Vol. III, No. 13, P. 55; Ind. Ant., Vol. XVIII; P. 228. According to Hoernle they lived somewhere in north and 'probably' were identical to Maitrakas of Valabhi.

this critical juncture his young son Skandagupta came forward to meet the challenge and defeated the enemies. It was, however, unfortunate that the old father could not live to welcome his victorious son.²

SKANDAGUPTA AND HIS BRILLIANT ACHIEVEMENTS

Skandagupta came to the Gupta throne about A.D. 455. His whole life, in fact, was an epic of struggle. He fought and subdued the Hūpas and the Mlechchhas and all other hostile kings. These achievements were his crowning successes. To be brief one can say that it was Skandagupta who saved the empire. But for him the empire would have met its complete annihilation. Skandagupta not only saved the empire for his dynasty, but he also saved the country from barbarians. For these crowning achievements Skandagupta deserves highest tributes due to any national hero.

JRAS., 1909, P. 126. References to Pusyamitras are also found in the Purāņas along with the other dynastics. Pargier, Dynastics of the Kali Age, P. 73, Cat. of Coinin in the Ind. Mus. Vol. I, P. 97. For Pusyamitras reference may be mode to Viṣnupurāha, IV., 24. 17.; Saxred Books of the East, Vol. XXII, pp. 292, JRAS., 1911, P. 138. Dr. Raychaudhary says that "the position of the Pusyamitras was between the Mahiyus (the people of Māhiymati?) and the Nrbudda-Son valleys." PHAI., 6th Ed., P. 569, Footnote; Also refer to JRAS., 1889, P. 228; Ep. Ind., XXVII, PP. 138f.

^{2.} The view that "Kumāragupta I was killed in the battle during his stremuous conflict with Puyamitras" (Basaka, History of North-Eastern India, Cal., 1934, P. 61) appears as unwarranted in the absence of any positive supphort to it and the interpretation of the words "Pilari sura-skhiliwan prāptavaty dimafaktyā" (CII., Vol. III, No. 14, P. 59. Line 4) is baseless. Fleet has observed that the phrase means, "his father by his own power had attained the position of being a friend of gods" (i.e. had died). CII, Vol. III, No. 14, P. 62, Footnote 3. It gives no hint at all that he died in the battle. But that he faced bad and critical days in his old age, is a fact. On the grounds of numismatic evidences Dr. Altekar has also reached this conclusion. JNSI., Vol. V, pp. 135-36; Ibid., Vol. VIII, Part 2, pp. 179 f.

DEATH OF SKANDAGUPTA

The death of Skandagupta about A.D. 467-8 was the clear signal for the forces of disruption and disintegration. The imperial ship lost its skilled captain who had sailed courageously in the troubled waters. The old glory began to fade soon after his death,

PURUGUPTA

The problem of succession after the death of Kumāragupta I has raised many controversies. Some scholars' accept Skandagupta as an immediate successor of his fath-r whereas others' consider it better to accept Purugupta as an immediate successor to Kumāragupta I. Some scholars also suggests that Shandagupta and Purugupta were one and the same person' whereas others try to suggest that after Kumāragupta I the empire was partitioned between Purugupta and Skandagupta. A

Smith, EHI., 4th Ed., P. 326; Raychaudhary, PHAI., 6th Ed.,
 572-78; R. C. Majumdar, CA., pp. 25-26; R. S. Tripathi, History of Ancient India, 1942, P. 261; IHQ., XXII, P. 319; Arya-Mañjuśri-Mūlakalpa, Ed.
 T. Ganapati Sasiri, Vol. I. P. 628.

^{4.} Dr. B. P. Sinha has made a very detailed and deep study of this problem in his 'magnum opus' and has some to the conclusion that Purugupta was the immediate successor to Kumāragupta I. DKM. pp. 4-49. This painstaking task is really praiseworthy but it cannot be accepted conclusively. The learned scholar, too, opines that Purugupta must have ruled for less than a year and his rule was inglorious. Ibid., P. 44.

Probably Dr. Hoernle was the first scholar to initiate this theory.
 JRAS., 1909, Part I, P. 129.; Ref. may also be made to Ep. Ind., XXVI, Part V,
 pp. 235f.; Ind. Ant., XLVII, 1918, pp. 161f.

^{6.} If this conclusion is accepted, for a time being, we have to keep it in mind that this was not a partition on equal terms. Skandagupta might have succeeded Kumāragupta I as an emperor of Magadhan Empire and alloted a portion of it to Purugupta. Thus, according to Basak, too, "Purugupta was the first king of new line of rulers (a branch of the Imperial Gupta dynasty), who were allowed by Skandagupta and his successors to onioy a small kingdom, somewhere in the eastern portion

careful analysis of the original sources leads us to believe that Skandagupta succeeded his father Kumäragupta I. Skandagupta's successor was Mahārājādhirāja Purugupta, son of Kumāragupta I by his chief queen Anantadevi. He must have been an old man when he ascended the throne. His rule did not last long and he died sometime before 473 A.D.? Numismatic and epigraphic evidences throw much light on the Gupta history after the death of Purugupta but nothing definite and 'historic' can be said about the order of succession.

SUCESSORS OF PURUGUPTA

Purugupta had three sons by his wife queen Chandradevi, anamed Kumāragupta II, Budhagupta and Narsinhagupta. The problem of their chronology and order of succession is a knotty one. On the basis of the Sārnāth Budha Stone Image Inscription, dated G.E. 154 (c.A.D. 473) it can be concluded that Kumāragupta II (c.A.D. 473-476) was the immediate successor to Purugupta. The Guptas under him enjoyed an imperial status and their paramount sovereignty was recognized as for as Mālavā.

- 7. PHAI., 6th Ed., pp. 586f.; B. C. Law Vol., Part I, P. 618.
- 8. ASIAR., 1934-35, P. 63.
- ASIAR., 1914-15, Part II, pp. 124-25; ABORI., 1918-19, pp. 67f., JBORS., Vol. IV., pp. 344 and 412.

of the Gupta Empire, perhaps in Southern Bihar." (Basak, HNEL, P. 63.) Dr. R. D. Baneijes soys that Parugupta established his independent rule over Magadha dwing Skandagupta's absence at the time of the Hūga imassion. ABORI., Vol. I, Part I, 1919, cited in EHI. 4th Ed., P. 329. footnote 2.

^{10.} IHQ., Vol. XIX, pp. 119-125; RKMGE., P. 107. Late Dr. R. D. Banerjee has put much reliance on the N\u00e4land\u00e4 Seals of Visnugupta and Budhagupta. According to him Purugupta's immediate successor was Narsinhagupta. Kumdragupta II. was son of Narsinhagupta and grandson of Purugupta. AlG., pp. 53-54. Dr. Raychaudhary, too, says that "Purugupta seems to have been succeeded by his son Narsinhagupta Bal\u00e4diiya." PHAI., 6th Ed., P. 588; B.C. Law Vol., Part I., pp. 619-620.

^{11.} PHAI., 6th Ed., P. 581; RKMGE., P. 107.

BUDHACTIPTA

Kumăragupta II was succeeded by his brother Budhagupta (c.A.D. 476-495). Several inscriptions of his reign prove his indisputable authority over an area from Mālvā to North Bengal and the Gupta Empire under Budhagupta "recovered its position and prestige after the dark days following the death of Skandagupta." ¹⁸

THE DISRUPTIVE FORCES

But the forces of disruption and disintegration were also at work simultaneously. In Kāthiāwār, Governor Droqasimha, unlike his two predecessors, Blaṭārka and Dharasena I, who were simply known as Senāpatis, assumed the title of Mahārāja¹¹ after ceremonial installation, obviously with a wish to be recognised as an independent ruler. Thus the Maitrakas of Valabhī had embarked upon a way to set up an independent kingdom. Another branch of the Maitrakas of Mo-la-po (Mālavā) also made successful incursions as far as Sahya and Vindhya mountains.¹4 Similarly in Bundelakhaṇḍa, like Maitrakas of Valabhī who were on their way to independence, king Jayanātha of Uchchhakalpa dynasty threw off his allegiance to Imperial Guptas and was almost completely independent.¹5

^{12.} RKMGE., pp. 117-118; PHAI., 6th Ed., P. 593.

^{13.} JBBRAS., Vol. XX, pp. 1f.; Ep. Ind., Vol., XVI, pp. 17f.; PHAI., 5th Ed., P. 629; Dr. K. Virji, Ancient History of Saurāṣṭu : Maitraka of Valabhi, Bom., 1952, P. 28; CA., P. 30. But all the Maitraka plates refer to him (Dronasimha) as "one whose coronation ceremony was performed in the presence of the paramount soversign himself" (arter-ya-n-webs taffirm tati-artifation). On this basis Dr. Virji has opined that, though, "the Maitrakas had thrown off the Gupta yoke, they had to acknowledge the paramountsy of some higher power"... and that "it could not have been any other than the Vakdaha. op. cit., P. 28. But this is just a hypothesis and has hardly anything to support it conclusiosly.

^{14.} EHI., 4th Ed., pp. 343f; PHAI., 6th Ed., P. 629, footnote 4.

^{15.} This appears tenable on the basis of his two land grants, Kārītalāi Copper Plate Inscription of Mahārāja Jayanātha and Khoh Copper Plate of Mahā-

King Udayana of Pāṇḍuvamisa¹s and Nāgabala and his son Bharatabala¹¹ of another Pāṇḍu dynasty also made similar attempts. All these rulers "achieved complete or partial independence in the later half of the fifth century A.D.''¹s Another Mahārāja Lakṣamaṇa of Jayapura,¹² who ruled about 477—8 A.D. over the region between Rewā and Allāhabād³a and Mahārāja Subandhu,²¹ who is supposed to have ruled over the

rāja Jayanātha of G. E. 174 (c.A.D. 493) and G.E. 177 (c.A.D. 496) respectively. CII., Vol. III, No. 26, PP. 177-120 and No. 27, pp. 121-125.

^{16.} This king Udayana is known from Kålañjar Rock Ins. Ep. Ind., Vol., IV, pp. 257f.

^{17.} They are the last two of the four rulers known from a copper plate grant discovered at Reub. Ep. Ind., Vol. XXVII, pp. 132f.; Bhärata Kaumadi, pp. 215f; Prof. Mirashi's article on "Three Ancient Dynasties of Mahâko'ala' in the Bulletin of the Decean College Research Institute, (K.N. Dikshi: Memorial Volume), pp. 47f. Prof. Mirashi has dealt at length on the historicity of Pängdava dynasty of Mekala. The Indian Historical Research Institute Silver Jubilee Commemoration Vol., pp. 288f. This article is also incorporated in his 'Studies in Indology'. Vol. I, pp. 213-219.

^{18.} CA., P. 31.

^{19.} The place has not yet been properly identified.

He is known from the copper plate grant discovered at Singrauli in erstwhile Rawā State bordering Mirzapur district. Ep. Ind., Vol. II, pp. 364f; ASIAR., 1936-37, pp. 88f.

^{21.} He is known from his land grant of G.E. (?) 167 (c.A.D. 486 (?)) discovered at Barawañi (M.P.). Annual. Report. of the Rajputana Museam, 1924-25, Ep. Ind., Vol. XIX, pp. 261f; CII. Vol. IV., pp. 17f. This is dated in the year 167. No era has been specified on it. Mr. Haldar thinks that the date refers to Gupta Era, and says that he was a feudatory of Budhagupta. Ep. Ind., Vol. XIX., pp. 261f. Mirashi, on the other hand, opines that it is dated in the Kalachuri-Chedi Era, founded by the Abhrira king Isoarasena in A.D. 249 and thus corresponds to 416-17 A.D. IHQ, Vol. XXI, pp. 79f; Studies in Indology by Prof. V. V. Mirashi, Vol. II, pp. 263-271. Another copper plate grant of Subandhu is also discovered in that region. Ann. Rep. of the Arch. Department

territories about Māhiṣmatī about 486. A.D., do not make any reference at all to their sovereign power and are supposed to have enjoyed independent status with 'dejure' allegiance to the Imperial Guptas.

Another notable change in the political status quo was in the case of Provincial Governors who appear to have enhanced their power. This is clear from their new titles as Mahārājas. The Governor of North Bengal, who enjoyed the title of Uparika, assumed the title of Mahārāja during the reign of Budhagupta. The Governor who ruled over regions between Yamunā and Narmadā and another who ruled over areas about Eraņ also assumed the titles of Mahārā, las. 18

The Vākāṭakas, who were an important source of strength in establishing the hegemony of the Guptas, were no more their great allies. The Vākāṭaka king Narendra Sena extended his overlordship over Kośala, Mekala and Mālvā.¹¹

Thus the existence of these new independent and semi-independent rulers all over the empire and tremendous enhancement of powers and status of Provincial Governors indicate that the imperial house was finding it difficult to manage its affairs. And with the death of Budhagupta about 495 A.D., ⁵³ the Guptas lost their last saviour who made sincere attempts to cement the cracks in the imperial edifice. Soon after his death we come

of Gwalior State, 1928-29; CII., Vol. IV, pp. 19ff. The views of Dr. Mirashi that the grants are dated in Kalachuri-Chedi Era appear untenable on the simple ground that by this time Guptas certainly held their sway over Central India. One can agree with Prof. Mirashi that "Gupta suzerainty seems to have suffered in Central India in the second half of the 5th century A.D.; but Subandhu, according to Prof. Mirashi himself flourished about 416-17 A.D.. At this time Gupta Empire was at its zenith and we can easily rule out the possibility of any such independent unit in Central India. Thus the year 167 certainly refers to Cupta Era and Subandhu must have flourished about c.A.D. 486.

^{22.} CA., P. 31.

^{23.} Ibid., P. 31.

^{24.} PHAI., 6th Ed., P. 634; CA., P. 32.

^{25.} PHAI., 6th Ed., p. 593.

to a troublous period of internal quarrels for succession and renewed incursions of the Hūnas.

NARSIMHAGUPTA BĀLĀDITYA

According to the Bhitari and Nālandā seal inscriptions of Kumāragupta III, Budhagupta's successor was his brother Narsinhagupta Bālāditya.** Literary** and numismatic** evidences indicate his happy beginning but this state of affairs could not last long and the empire very soon
plunged into difficulties. These difficulties were manifold but the renewed
and reinvigorated Hūpa invasion, scramble for independent power and
status on the part of provincial governors and feudatories and family
quarrels were fatal to his government. These disruptive tendencies
accentuated the crisis and accelerated the process of disintegration of the
empire that was already on the decline after the death of Skandagupta.
A brief reference to these disruptive forces is necessary to understand the
task before Narsinhagupta Bālāditya.

INVASION OF THE HÜNAS

As told earlier the Hūṇas were the Central Asian nomads, who,

^{26.} REMGE., P. 119; CA., P. 33. Chinese pilgrim Yuan Chwang and an inscription of the 8th century A. D. discovered at Nālandā record Bālāditya's great achievements. On the basis of his own coins Narsinhagupta and Bālāditya were one and the same person whereas others have raised certain objections to the identification. Dr. Rēychaudhary identifies him with Bhāmugupta. He seys that Bālāditya was a 'viruda' of Bhāmugupta. PHAI., 6th Ed., P. 596. Speaking about it Dr. R. C. Majumdar (CA., P. 43) has taken a better course. He says, "The most plausible view appears to be that he (Bālāditya) is identical with Narsinhagupta, though, it cannot be regarded as absolutely certain." For the present I have followed the line adopted by Drs. R. C. Majumdar and R. K. Mukherjee. The latter admits this identification as "most plausible." RKMGE., P. 119.

Aryamañjuśrimülakalpa informs us that the empire under him was free from rivals and enemies (akanţakam).

^{28.} Innumerable and heavy coins prove his prosperity and stability.

in their struggie for supremacy and power, left their original homeland in two branches. One of these branches went to European countries and the other confined its activities to Asia. The latter became very much powerful in the fifth century A.D.. First of all, they invaded Persia and achieved tremendous success. For They soon crossed over the Hindukush and started their bloody massacre in Punjäb. At that time it was Skandagupta who rose to the demand of the hour and successfully drove out the Hūṇas. But, unlike Indians, Persians lost their independence and the Hūṇas became the masters of a great empire with capital at Balkh. 38

TORAMĀNA

The man who got initial success in India was Toramāṇa, 33 the first Hūṇa chief, who invaded India right from Punjāb to Bundelakhanql⁸⁴ and his kingdom covered the areas of Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Rājputānā, Punjāb and Kashmīr. 35 But it was of a temporary nature and Toramāṇa had to stage a retreat.

The subject has recently been discussed at length by Prof. Radha Krishna Choudhary. JBRS:, Vol. XLV., Parts I-IV, 1959 (Altekar Memorial Vol.), 112-142.

Bhandarkar Commemoration Volume, P. 65; JBRS., Vol. XLV.,
 Parts I-IV, 1959 (Altekar Memorial Vol.), pp. 114-116.

^{31.} Ind. Ant., Vol. XV, pp. 245f; C.A., P. 35; JBRS., Vol. XLV, Parts I-IV, 1959 (Altekar Memorial Vol.), pp. 116-120.

^{32.} CA., P. 35; JBRS., Vol. XLV, Parts, I-IV, 1959 (Altokar Memorial Vol.), pp. 116f.

^{33.} Some doubts have been expressed with regard to the nationality of Tormana. New Ind. Ant., Vol. IV, pp. 36f; IHQ., Vol. XII, pp. 532f.; Dr. Majumdar opines that he (Tormana) was a Hina. Ch., P. 35; JBRS., Vol. XIV, Part I-IV, 1959 (Altekar Memorial Vol.), pp. 120f.

^{34.} This is clear from the conquest of Erag. C.H., Vol. III., No. 36, 158-161; CA., P. 35; JBRS., XLV., Parts, I-IV, 1959, pp. 122f.

^{35.} Ibid., pp. 122f.; CA., P. 35.

MIHIRAKULA

Toramāṇa's son and successor, Mihirakula, was equally powerful. He is said to have ruled over India with capital at Sākala. Ft His claims of conquering southern India including Ceylon's are completely unwarranted and entirely baseless; but the lengthy tales of his cruelty, brutality and inhuman conduct, as described in the Rājataraṅgiṇi, seem to fit in with his career. Me These tales also find their echo in the narrative of Yuan Chwang. On the basis of the available sources it appears difficult to construct the proper and chronologically accepted sequence of events of the period under review. We can briefly conclude that Tormāṇa's success in his expeditions was not of permanent nature and that he had to satisfy his territorial ambitions with limited Indian territory. His son, however, was more successful in implemening his father's policy and designs. He founded a great kingdom which extended as far as Gwalior. 41

His sphere of influence was still wider and Yuan Chwang accredits him as a subduer of whole of India.⁴³ But like his predecessor, he, too, failed to make a lasting gain. Yasodharman and Narsinhagupta shattered his pride and struck a severe blow to his dreams. Yasodharman claims that Mihirakula accepted defeat at his hands and paid him respectful tributes

^{36.} This view is held on the basis of Arya-Mañjuśri-Mūlakalpa. (Imperial History of India, pp. 64-65). But according to Rājatarahgini Tormāņa "Iourished long after Mihirakula, about eighten kings intervening between these two rulers." Mihirakula's father, according to Rājatarahgini, was son of Vasukula and grandson of Hiranyakula. Rājatarahgini, I, 288-89f.; Vol. I, pp. 43f.; JBRS., Vol. XLV, Parts I-IV, 1959 (Altekar Memorial Vol.), pp. 125f.

^{37.} Ibid., pp. 125-133; Buddhist Records of the Western World by Samuel Beal, London, 1906, I, pp. 166-167.

^{38.} Rājatarangini, I, 289; III, 192; JBRS., Vol. XLV, Parts I-IV, 1959 (Altekar Memorial Vol.), pp. 125f.

^{39.} Rājataranginī, I, 289f.

^{40.} Beal, op cit., Vol. I, pp. 168f.

^{41.} CII., Vol. III, No. 37, pp. 161-164; CA., P. 37; JBRS., Vol. XLV, Parts I-IV, 1959 (Altekar Memorial Vol.), P. 126.

^{42.} Beal, op. cit., Vol. I, P. 168.

at his feet. It appears that Mihirakula came into conflict with Yasodharman during his march to Central India. I Probably the Hūṇa king defeated and killed Vajra, the son of Bālāditya and brought to an end the viceregal family of the Dattas of Pundravardhana. I But he met his Waterloo at Mandsaur at the hands of Yasodharman. Unfortunately, Yasodharman, too, had a short career and after his death Mihirakula again raised his ugly head. At this time Narsimhagupta Bālāditya, though terrorised in intial stages, was successful in defeating Mihirkula and saved the Gupta Empire from the onslaughts of barbarous Hūṇas. It is probable that

- ४३. स्वाणोरत्यत्र वेत प्रणति-कृषणतां प्रापितं नोत्तमाञ्च यस्पाधिकस्टो भूजाम्यां बहति हिमागिरदुर्गं सम्बामिमान (म्)। नीजेरतेनापि यस्य प्रणनं भूजलाज्यज्ञं क्लिप्ट मृढनी (जू) डा युष्पोषहारेनिसहिरकुक-नृपेणांच्यित (-) पाय-युग्मम्॥६॥ Mandasaur Praiasti, CII., Vol. III, No. 33, pp. 142-148.
- 44. Smith's view (EHI., pp. 337-8) that "the native princes under the leadership of Yasodharman, a Rājā of Central India, appear to have formed a confederacy against the foreign tyrant", is just a hypothesis. Epigraphic evidences (CII., Vol. III, No. 33, pp. 142-48) give the credit of affeating the Hūquas singularly to Yasodharman. It is, however, probable that some chiefs of the neighbouring kingdoms might have offered their support to Yasodharmana who emerged as a defender of the motherland against the barbarian and tyrant Hūqua chief and the defeat of Mihirakula was final. PHAI., 6th Ed., pp. 596f.; JBRS., Vol. XLV., 1959, pp. 129f.
 - 45. PHAI., 6th Ed., P. 597, footnote 2.
 - 46. Ibid., P. 597.
 - 47. Ibid., pp. 597-98.
- 48. C.A., P. 38. This is the view of Father Heras who opines that the defeat of Mihirakula at the hands of Bălăditya took place after the Hispa king's conflict with Yadodharman. JBORS., 1927, cited in PHAI., 6th Ed., P. 596, footnote 3. Similar views are expressed by other scholars. JBRS., Vol. XLV, Parts I-IV, 1959 (Altekar Memorial Vol.), pp. 130-131. But Dr. Raychaudhary opines that the victory of Bălăditya over Mihirakula was not decisive. The latter was "finally subjugated by Janendra Yadodharman some time before 533 A.D." PHAI., 6th Ed., pp. 596-7, footnote 3. Recently Prof. Radhatrishna Chaudhary

he was assisted by the Maukhari king Iśānavarman in his struggle against the Hiŋas and it is also likely that Iśānavarman or his son Sarvavarman again singularly defeated the Hūṇas.⁴⁹ With the defeat of Mihirkula, the Huṇas' political gains were completely undone and their power completely collapsed in this country.⁵⁰ Hūṇas could never succeed in establishing their independent kingdom enduring for a long time. But their barbarous onslaughts certainly "contributed further to the disintegration of the Gupta Empire.⁵⁵¹

UNCOMPROMISING FEUDATORIES

After the Hūṇas, another shock came from the rebellious feudal chiefs and high executives who made several, gradual and successful attempts to wrest power and who carved out their independent principalities. Several inscriptions belonging to this period give an impression of complete political instability, confusion and bewilderment. The Vākāṭakas, who were loyal and trusted allies since the marriage of Prabhāvatī Gupta, threw off their allegiance and extended their authority over Mālvā and Gujrat under Hariseṇa. Thus the politically important, strategic and fertile province of Mālvā was out of the Gupta empire. Like that of the Hūṇas and Vākāṭakas, another shock came from Yaśodharman of Mandsaur. With the weakening Gupta authority, he also feunded an independent kingdom of his own and defied the overlordship of the Guptas.

YASODHARMAN AND HIS ACHIEVEMENTS

It is, indeed, a pity that we know very little about Yasodharman, who, after Skandagupta, emerged as a great defender of the motherland. Our knowledge of this great Indian of indomitable character is confined to three inscriptions. ¹² But none of them throw any light on his ancestry.

has also concluded that, "it was not Yas'odharman, but Bālāditya who dealt a final blow to the Hūnas. JBRS., Vol. XLV, Parts I-IV, 1959, P. 131.

^{49.} CA., pp. 38-9; JBRS., Vol. XLV., Parts I-IV, 1959, P. 131.

^{50.} Ibid., pp. 136f.

CA., pp. 38-39; JBRS., Vol. XLV, Parts I-IV, 1959 (Altekar Memorial Vol.), pp. 136f.

^{52.} CII., Vol. III, Nos. 33, 34 and 35.

The Mandsaur Stone Inscription of Yasodharman Vispu-vardhan a of the year 589 V.S. (?) simply states that he made the Aulikara simply states that he made to any of his predecessors. si

But recently new light has been thrown on the ancestry of Yaiodharman.

Epigraphic evidences have come to light that Narvarman, the father of Visioavarman and grandfather of Bandhurmann, belonged to the 'dultara' lineage. Ep. Ind., Vol. XXVI, Pt. 3, p. 130. This shows that the Aulikaras were not altogether insignificant historically and politically. It has been observed that Yaiodharman "can no longer be regarded as a military adventurer of the type of Saiānka of Gauda and Taioarman of Kanany." Ibid. Further light on Yaiodharman's lineage is thrown by two inscriptions of king Gauri, one found near Neemuch (M. P.) and the other at Mandsaur. Ep. Ind., Vol. XXX, Part IV. On the basis of these and verval other inscriptions and an information given by Varāhamihira, (Brhatsamhita, Adhyāya LXXXVI, Verses 1-4), Prof. Mirashi has worked out a genealogy of the

^{53.} CII., Vol. III, No. 35, pp. 150-158; Ind. Ant., Vol. XV, pp. 222f.

^{54.} The inscription refers to the Malva era, now popularly known as Viscoma Serimata

^{55.} प्रस्थात औष्टिकर लांखन आस्मबंधो वेनोदितोदित पर्द गमितो गरीय: CII., Vol. III, pp. 153-56. Fleet says, quite unhesitatingly, that he "had not been able to obtain any explavation of the word "Autikara". He says: "It seems to denote either the 'hot-rayed-swn' or the 'cool-rayed-moon'." CII., Vol. III, P. 151, Note 4. The first assumption may indicate that the sun-rayed crest might have been the royal insignia of the Autikaras.

^{56.} Dr. Jayaswal, on the basis of Yasodharman's devotion to "Sihāņu" (CII., Vol. III, P. 148, Line 6) opines that Yasodharman-Vişuu-vardhan evidently belonged to Thānes'cara (better known as Sihānes'wara (Sihānu-Isra) and thus belonged to the dynasty of Vardhanas. Imperial Hist. of India., pp. 44f. This is untenable. No link can be maintained between Yasodharman-Vişuuvardhan and the famous Vardhanas (Pusphohhītis) of Thānes'wara. Kielhorn has rightly observed that the reference in inscription pertaining to his devotion to 'Siva' is really to Mihirakula. Ind. Ant., Vol. XVII, pp. 219-220; Bāṇa also does not refer to any such connection between Yasodharman and Puspabhātis.

But the Bihar Kotra inscription of Naravarman's time¹⁷ and two inscriptions of king Gauri¹⁶ and several other inscriptions have thrown much light on the early history of the Aulikaras.¹⁸ These epigraphic evidences and a passage in Bṛhatsainhitā of Varāhamihira¹⁰ have enabled Prof. Mirashi to construct a workable genealogy of the Aulikaras who ruled at Ujjayinī. Dr. Chakravarty¹¹ and Prof. Mirashi¹² have maintained that Yaśodharman cannot be regarded as a military adventurer or 'an upstart., Before he came to prominence, the Aulikarars had gained enough political importance¹⁰ in Central India.

His achievements are specifically enumerated in his Mandsor stone pillar inscription.⁶¹ He is said to have extended the 'boundaries

- 57. Ep. Ind., Vol. XXVI, Pt. 3, P. 130.
- 58. Ibid., Vol. XXX, Pt. 4.
- IHQ., Vol. XXXIII, pp. 314f.; Studies in Indology, Vol. I., pp. 206-212.
 - 60. Adhyaāy LXXXVI, Verses 1-4.
 - 61. Ep. Ind., Vol. XXVI, pt. 3, P. 130.
- 62. IHQ., Vol. XXXIII, P. 314; Studies in Indology, Vol. I, pp. 206f.
- 63. This is evident from the fact that his two immediate predecessors Aditya-vardhan and Dravyavardhan assumed the titles of Mahārājādhirāja.
 - 64. CII., Vol. III, No. 33, pp. 142-148, Lines 5 to 7.

Aulikaras. He says that the Aulikaras got great importance before Yalodharman. The latter's immediate predecessor was Draypavardhan who "may have been his father." Studies in Indology, Vol. I, P. 211. He further says that "Yalodharman was not 'an upstart'. His predecessors Adityavavardhan and Drayyavardhan wee known as Mahārājādhirājas." Ibid. The learned scholar has also maintained that they ruled over their kingdom with capital at Ujjayini, not Daspura. Explaining the location of victory pitlars at Daspura he says that they "commemorate the memorable victory which Yasodharman obtained over the Hūṇa king Mihirakula. The battle appears to have been fought at Daspura." Studies in Indology, I, P. 212; HHQ., Vol. XXXIII, pp. 314f.

of his kingdom' and subdued whole of northern India, right from the river Lauhitya (Nefā) to Western Ocean, and from the snowy Himālayas to Mahendragiri.⁸⁵ The countries, "which not even the Guptas and Hūṇas could subdue, were in his possession, and homage was paid to him by Mihirakula.³⁴⁸

From these accounts it is clear that they contain hyperbolic and conventional generalizations and certainly cannot be completely regarded as historical records of the achievements of Yasodharman. Dr. Majumdar has observed that "we should hardly be justified in regarding Yasodharman as the sole undisputed monarch of Northern India."67 But these accounts of the Prasastikaras cannot be dismissed as altogether baseless. They are official records publicly proclaimed and engraved, and, therefore, we cannot ignore them. He fexercised some sort of loose begemony over north during the heyday of his power."88 Had there been no basis at all "such bold claims would not probably have been made in public records. It could not be engraved unless there was some basis for it."60 He was a great general and a terrible fighter. His claims to have conquered the Hūna Chief Mihirakula have been generally accepted, 70 and it was, probably, after this conquest over the tyrannical and barbarous Hunas, Yasodharman was rightly acclaimed as "Janendra" i.e. 'Lord of the people', and won an admiration of his people.71 With his popularity and public support and efficient administration he might have achieved further conquests and enjoyed great fame from the Lauhitya division of Nefā to Western

^{65.} CII., Vol. III, No. 33, P. 146.

^{66.} CII., Vol. III, No. 34, pp. 149f. The inscription only preserves reference to Mihirakula, but other informations pertaining to Guptas', Hūnas and to Taiodharman's territorial boundaries are lost.

^{67.} CA., P. 40.

^{68.} THK., P. 23.

^{69.} CA., P. 40.

^{70.} Ibid., P. 40; THK., P. 23; RKMGE., P. 121.

^{71.} THK., P. 29.

Ocean and from the Himalayas to Mahendragiri. 72 But his actual territorial gains cannot definitely be demarcated. What we can conclude definitely is that he might have enjoyed a short-lived rule over Malva and some adjoining territories, probably, at the cost of the Gupta empire78. This success of Yasodharman was purely on temporary basis74 and we soon find the re-establishment of the Gupta overlordship75 in this region. But the far-reaching consequences of this "short-lived" rule of Yasodharman were certainly disastrous to Gupta power as we notice that soon after it and, most probably, "as an inevitable consequence thereof", many feudatories became more powerful and uncontrollable.76 Many fresh attempts were made by feudatories for carving out new principalities and the existing ones were no longer in a mood to respect the suzerainty of their declining master. His (Yasodharman's) "dazzling military success for the time being led others to imimate his example. It, thus, led to a general uprising among the feudatories of the Guptas and Yasodharman himself was probably the first victim to perish in the conflagration that his own action had brought about,"77

^{72.} According to Smith, it "should be understood to mean the southernmost peak (Mahendragiri) of the Travancerr Châts. EHI., Fourth Ed., P. 339.; Fleet, unhesitatingly, confesses that "it is doubtful whether this denotes here the famous Mahendragiri or Mahendráchala in the Ganjim Distt. among the Eastern Châti" or "another mountain of the same name" which "appears to be mentioned in Nāsik inscription of Shri Palumēgi. Arch. Survey, West. Ind., Vol. IV., No. 14., pp. 108-9. It must be located somewhere in the Western Châtis. CII., Vol. III, No. 33, P. 146, note 1.

^{73.} CA., P. 40.

^{74.} PHAI., Sixth Ed., P. 597.

^{75.} Ibid., pp. 597-8. The Dāmodarpur Copper-Plate ins. of 543-44 A.D. (Ep. Ind., Vol. XV, pp. 113f.) refers to the Vicercy of the Gupta sovereign with full imperial titles such as Paramabhallāraka, Mahārājādhirāja and Prithotbati,

^{76.} CA., P. 40.

^{77.} CA., P. 41.

INTERNECINE FAMILY FEUDS

All these disruptive forces, unfortunately, were indirectly welcomed, rather, encouraged by the divided house of the Guptas. Empires rise and fall, and, generally speaking, the divided loyalties among the princes and aspirants for the throne lead to virtual fall. This also happened with the Guptas. Official genealogy shows that Budhagupta was succeeded by Narsinhagupta and the latter was succeeded by his son Kumāragupta III. The latter was succeeded by his son Kumāragupta we have records of two other Gupta kings.

VAINVACUPTA

The Gunaigarh copper plate inscription of G.E. 188 (c.A.D. 507) informs us that Vainyagupta made a land grant from his victory camp (Skandhāvāra) at Kripura to his feudatory Mahārāja Rudradatta. An analysis of this inscription bearing a royal scal with the legend "Mahārāja Shrī Vainyagupta" makes it certain that Vainyagupta enjoyed complete vovereignty over the eastern part of Bengāl. Probably he belonged to the family of Imperial Guptas. It has been suggested that he was son of Purugupta: but nothing definite can be maintained about his relation-hip with the main line. It lis dated inscription makes him contemporary to Narsimhagupta, and he, most probably, taking an advantage of Narsimhagupta's multifarious difficulties, set up an independent rule in Bengāl. His rule, however, appears to be short-lived.

BHĀNUGUPTA

While Vainyagupta established an independent principality in

^{78.} IC., Vol. V., P. 301.; CA., P. 33.; B. C. Law Vol., I., P. 625; PHAI., Sixth Ed., P. 596, Footnote 2, atro P. 691, footnote 1; IHQ., 1930, pp. 35 and 561; Prabāsī, 1338, P. 675. His existence is also proced by certain seals discovered at Nalandā. ASIAR., 1930-34. Part 1. P. 230 and 249.

^{79.} IHQ., Vol. XXIV, pp. 67f.

^{80.} PHAI., Sixth Ed., P. 596, Footnote.

the eastern part of the Gupta domain, we find Bhānugupta making similar attempts in the western part of the Empire. The Eran posthumous stone pillar inscription of G.E. 191 (c.A.D. 5 10) erefers to king Bhānugupta and his great personality. It records that Bhānugupta was accompanied by his feudatory chief Goparāja. The latter died (svargagato) in the battle and it was there that his most beloved and faithful wife committed sati In the absence of royal titles like Mahārāja and Mahārājādhirāja, Dr. Mukherjee opines that Bhānugupta was the Governor of Mālvā under Narsimhagupta. In that capacity it was his duty to fight against the Hūnas and it is in that attempt he lost his valiant henchman Goparāja. In

This simultaneous emergence of Mahārāja Śrī Vainyagupta and Rājā Bhānugupta in the time of Bālāditya requires a more convincing explanation, and "the most plausible explanation", according to Dr. R. C. Majumdar, "seems to be that there were several rival claimants to the throne, who set themselves up in the different parts of the Empire and succeeded for the time being in holding their own." Thus Vainyagupta

राजा महान्यार्थ समो (s) तिन्त्रारः

CII., Vol. III, No. 20, P. 92. Line 5.

^{81.} Dr. Raychaudhary opines that "It is not improbable that Bālāditya" was a viruda of Bhānugupta", (Ibid., P. 596, Footnote 2) but this view of the learned scholar has not been generally accepted by other scholars.

^{82.} CII., Vol. III, No. 20, pp. 91-93.

^{83.} श्रीमानुगुप्तो जगति प्रवीरो

^{84.} Ibid., pp. 92-93.

^{85.} Scholars generally agree to a conclusion that this battle was fought against the Hunas. CA., P. 34.

^{86.} CII., Vol. III, No. 20, P. 93. This is "the earliest epigraphic record referring to the custom of Sati in this country. K.D. Bajpai, Sagar Through the Ages, Sagar, 1964, P. 14.

^{87.} Ibid., pp. 92-93; RKMGE., P. 120; PHAI., Sixth Ed., P. 596, Footnote 2.

^{88.} CA., P. 34.

and Bhanugupta were contemporaries to Narsimhagupta. Both of them also enjoyed some independent political status.

NARSIMHAGUPTA

With this brief reference to Vainyagupta and Bhānugupta we must come to the main line of Narsimhagupta. As we have seen earlier how Narsimhagupta's peaceful beginnings were soon followed by catastrophes and turmoils mainly caused by the Hūṇas. "Perforce acknowledgement of the Hūṇa overlordship, though lasting temporarily, was a great and rude shock to the old glory of the mighty empire and it added an insult to injuries caused by family dissensions. He could not rest peacefully for long and made an attempt to kick out the Hūṇas. He succeeded in his mission", **a and this was certainly a great success to his credit. It is rightly regarded as "the last great service that the Gupta Emperor was destined to render to his motherland."

KUMĀRAGUPTA III

Narsimhagupta's rule came to an end some time before 543 A.D.92.

- Ibid., P. 38; JBRS., Vol. XLV, Parts I-IV, 1959, pp. 127f.
 Bālāditya's troops are said to have imprisoned Mihirakula. The latter was set free on the petition of Bālāditya's mother. Beal. St-vā-ki., Vol. I..
- P. 171; Watters, Vol. I, P. 289.

 91. Yuan Ghwang's accounts are supported by epigraphic evidence. A
 Nälandä inscription refers to Bālāditva's valour and patronee to Buddhism. CA..

P. 43. Footnote 1.

92. This can be said on the basis of the fifth Dāmodarpur Copper Plate dated G. E. 224 (c. A. D. 543-4). Ep. Ind., Vol. XV, Pp. 114f; Ibid., Vol. XVII, P. 193. As the portion referring to the name of the ruler is badly damaged, nothing can be said definitely. It has been suggested that it may read 'Kumdra' and date may be taken as 224. Ep. Ind., Vol. XVII, P. 193; Basak, HNEI., Pp. 92-3. This Kumāra is identified with Kumdragupta, son of Narsishha Gupta. JHI., Vol. IV, P. 118.; Ep. Ind., Vol. XVII, P. 84. Some scholars, on the other hand, identify him with Kumdragupta of the 'Later Gaptas'. Scl. Inp., P. 33,

Footnote 4; Majumdar, History of Bengal, Vol. I., P. 49.

He was succeeded by his son Kumāragupta III. He assumed the titles of Paramadaivatā, Paramabhaṭṭāraka, Mahārājādhirāja and Prithvipati. These titles, though merely conventional, indeicate that Kumāragupta III gathered around him a hallow of imperial grandeur and, probably, as Dr. R. K. Mukherjee believes, there was "no diminution in the extent of Gupta Empire." But this was just a shadow of an empire. His coins indicate the speedy decline of the imperial power.

VISNUGUPTA

Kumāragupta III was succeeded by his son Viṣṇugupta.*i He, like his predecessor, does not appear to have enjoyed a significant status. The debased coins of these two rulers present "a striking testimony to the speedy decline of the Gupta Empire," who the existence of gold coins indicate that the hallowness of the empire had yet some basis for its existence. Viṣṇu Gupta ruled up to 570 A.D.* In his life time we find Gupta Empire on its way to rapid delcine. About 552 A.D., we note that his authority was not recognised even at Magadha—the very centre of Gupta strong-hold.* But nominal Gupta suzerainty was accepted in North Bengāl, *Orissa** on Valabhi.** It seems that some loyal and devoted

^{93,} RKMGE., P. 124,

^{94.} CA., P. 43.

Ep. Ind., Vol. XXVI, pp. 235f.; 1HQ., Vol. XIX, pp. 1198
 PHAI., Sixth Ed., P. 591.

^{96.} CA., P. 43.

^{97.} CA., P. 43; Dr. Sinha opines that his rule came to an end about A.D. 551-52. DKM., Pp. 129, 166.

^{98.} This is based on an information derived from a land grant dated 551-2 A.D., discovered in Gayā Distt. This was issued by Kumārāmātya Mahārāja Nandana without making any reference to Imperial Guptas. Ep. Ind., Vol. X, Pp. 49f.

This is based on the existence of an inscription dated 543 A.D. CA., P. 44.

^{100.} A similar inscription of 569 A.D. Ibid., P. 44.

^{101.} Another inscription of 550 A.D. Ibid., P. 44.

feudatories had still regards for their master but the latter had lost effective control over the empire and with the end of the rule of Vispugupta we come to the end of the Gupta Empire.

But we do not know who was actually the last ruler who ruled over the last domains. On the basis of a land grant discovered in Gayā District, issued in 551-2 A.D. by Kumārāmātya Mahārāja Nandana, Dr. Majumdar opines that "by 550 A.D. Guptas had ceased to exercise effective authority over the greater part of Magadha" and Nandana maintained "nominal allegiance to the Guptas," 108 At that time Vişnugupta was on the Gupta throne. The closing years of his reign "must have been over-clouded with deadly conflicts" between the Maukharis and the Later Guptas, ¹³⁰ and "amidst the rattling of sword" rule of the last of the Imperial Guptas appears to have come to an end. ¹⁵⁴

THE RISE OF THE NEW POWERS ON THE RUINS OF THE GUPTA EMPIRE

The forces that led to the decline and fall of the Gupta Empire are already analysed. To recapitulate, the most important of them were the internecine family feuds, the Hūṇa inroads and lust for dominions of contemporary kings, feudatories and provincial governors.

The last factor is of great importance to understand the chronology of the political events that preceded the rise of Harşa. The mighty Gupta Empire crumbled into pieces and a number of small kingdoms rose on its ruins. Of these the following were the most important.

- 1. The Later Guptas.
- The Maukharis of Kānyakubja.
- 3. The Puspabhūtis of Sthāneśvara.
- 4. The Maitrakas of Valabhi.
 - 5. The Gurjaras.
- 6. The kingdoms of Vanga and Gauda.

^{102.} Ibid., P. 44.

^{103.} DKM., P. 129.

^{104.} Ibid., P. 129.

- 7. Kalinga.
- 8. Kāmarūpa.
- 9. Kashmir.
- 10. Nepāl.

1. THE LATER GUPTAS OF MAGADHA

Like many other small dynastics of the post-Gupta period, the Later Guptas began their political career as the feudatories to the Imperial Guptas, but not long after, they declared their independence discarding the yoke of allegiance to their masters. Our knowledge about their origin and gradual rise is insufficient and poor. The Aphşad Stone Inscription of Adityasena of c. A. D. 672, discovered at Aphşad in Navâda sub-division of the Gayā District and the Deo-Baranarak Inscription of Jīvatagupta Iī¹ found at Varunikā in Shāhābād District are our principal epigraphic sources.

These inscriptions give no hint of any link between the Imperial Guptas and the Later Guptas. Had there been some relationship between these two lines, it would have received a prominent expression in the family records. An attempt to identify Kṛṣṇagupta with Govindagupta of

ARSIC., Vol. XV, P. 11; CII., Vol. III, No. 42, Pp. 200-208;
 Dr. Pandey, Hist. and Lit. Ins., Pp. 149-153.; Dr. Upadhyaya, A study of Ancient Indian Ins., Pp. 82-85.

CII., Vol. III, No. 46, Pp. 213-218; ARSIC., Vol. XVI, pp. 68-73; Dr. R. B. Pandey, Hist. and Lit. Ins., Pp. 155-55; Upadhya, Study of Anc. Ind. Ins., Pp. 85-86.

^{3.} Commenting on this Dr. Raychaudhary says: "It is surprising that the panegrists of Krishnagupla's descendants should have omitted all references to the Early Cuptas if their patron could really lay claim to such an illustrious ancestry...

The Cuptas and the Cupta Kulaputras mentioned in Böngé's Kādambari and Harsacharita may refer to the family of Krishna, if not to some hitherto unknown descendants of the imperial line. One of the princes of the early Gupta line Chalotkacha Cupta of the Tumain inscription is known to have ruled over Eastern Mālod and it is not impossible that Krishna Cupta was, in some way, connected with him".

PHAL, 6th Ed., P. 600, footnote 1. This view of the learned scholar cannot be accepted for various reasons. He, too, unhesitatingly, confesses that "we must, however, awail future discoveries to clear up the point." Ibid., P. 600, footnote 1.

4, JBRS, Vol. XXX, Pp. 199ff.

Basarh Seal, therefore, does not appear to have proper basis. Chronologically speaking, too, it lacks proper support. But the subjoining syllable 'Gupta' after the names of all the rulers except that of Adityasena appears to be significant; but that, too, does not bear much weight so long as we do not find some positive support to establish a relationship between the Imperial Guptas and the Later Guptas.

KRISNAGUPTA

The Aphsad inscription designates Kṛṣṇagupta as one 'born in a good or noble family (sadvanisāh), who brought the family to eminence. His title Nṛipah, too, does not help us much in reaching to any positive conclusion with regard to his political gains. From its find-spot, the inscription suggests that about the beginning of the third decade of the sixth century A. D., Kṛṣṇagupta, taking advantage of the declining power of the Imperial Guptas and the political confusion and instability, might have carved out a small pincipality comprising Navāda sub-division of Gayā District⁷ where they continued till the time of Mahāsenagupta. But

^{5.} ASIAR., 1903-4, pp. 102ff.

^{6.} Dr. B. P. Sinha's opinion appears quite well-founded when he says that "it was probably to strengthen their claims as the legitimate successors of the Imperial Guptas... and to catch the imagination and traditional loyalty of the masses to the house of "Guptas' they adopted "Gupta' as the suffix to their names".... and "there is nothing to disprove" their relationship with the Imperial family and "have some particular reason for not proclaiming their descent from the Imperial family". DKM, P. 133. Dr. Hoentle regards them to have belonged to a branch of the Imperial house that ruled over Eastern Mālvā. JRAS., 1903, Pp. 551f.

^{7.} Scholars have variant opinious regarding their ancestral home. None can differ to the view that their centre of activity from Adityassna to Jivadgupta II was Magadha. But where did Adityassna's predecessors live? It cannot be determined easily. On the basis of Aphyad inscription Fleet takes them for granted as "the family of Magadha." CII., Vol. III, P. 202. But this conclusion has

because of the pressure from the Maukharis, they shifted to Eastern Mālvā. Bāṇa, who was a great learned Paṇḍita and who hailed from Magadha itself, must have definitely been aware of the political conditions of his state. Therefore, his description descrives to be accepted as an important source of information. He tells that Mahāsenagupta was of Mālvā. Dr. Raj Bali Pandey has also suggested that it was Mahāsenagupta who shifted to Mālvā and settled there. It was there he concentrated on the expansion of his kingdom.

We know nothing definite about Kṛiṣṇagupta's political achievements. Aphṣad inscription informs us that "his arm played the part of a lion (Mrigendra) in bruising the foreheads of the array of the rutting ele-

been subjected to many controversies. C.V. Vaidya says that the family ruled at Mālvā. HMHI., Vol. I. P. 24.; Similar views are expressed by Dr. R. K. Mukheriee, Harsa, P. 53-54.; Dr. Hoernle, too, says that they ruled over Eastern Mālvā as a branch of the Imperial House, 7RAS., 1903, Pt. 551f. Raychoudhary has made a conciliatory approach. He says that they ruled over Eastern Mālvā and held their sway over Magadha in the time of Adityasena. PHAI., 6th Ed., Pp. 600f.; footnote 1, also Pp. 610-11, footnote 4. This is mainly based on an identification of Madhavagueta of Abhsad inscription with Madhavagueta of Harsacharita. Bāna clearly says that Mādhavagubta and Kumāragubta were sons of Mālvā king. HCCTH., Pp. 119-20. But Madhavagupta was younger brother and Kumaragupta was elder. Secondly, he was placed at the services of Harsa and we fail to understand as to how he could get an opportunity to get away from the powerful master to establish an independent principality. For further opinions, TRORS. Vol. XIV, P. 254; Ibid., Vol. XV, Pp. 651f. It is also possible that Krisna-Gupta started his rule over Magadha and the later rulers or the immediate predecessor of Mahasenagupta shifted to Malva. IC., Vol. 1, Pp. 214. It appears conclusively certain that Mahasenagupta shifted to Malva.

^{8.} Dr. R. B. Pandey, Prāchin Bhārata, P. 263.; Pires, Maukharis, Pp. 59ff. After discussing various theories in detail Dr. Sinha has rightly summed by that Magadha was the original seat of power of the Later Guptas from the time of Kriyoquyba to Mahāmagupha's early days. DKMA., P. 156.

phants of his haughty enemies (driptārāti)⁹ and in being victorious by its power over countless focs.¹⁰

He was a learned man (Vidyādhara) and was of spotless character (Kalaihkarahitaḥ). We cannot come to any definite conclusion on the basis of these vague and conventional generalizations. He, however, deserves the credit of being the founder of the family with some achievements. He ruled from c. 490 A. D. to c. 505 A. D.¹¹

HARSAGUPTA

Krispagupta's successor was his son (suta) Harsagupta (c. 505 A.D. 525 A.D.). He is said to have "fought many battles, always displaying a glorious triumph as it were the written record of his terrible contest." Like that of his father, his achievements, too, are vague and

मूर्टं (त): स्वस्वामिलक्मीवसति विमुखितैरीक्षितः सासुपातं। बोराणामा हवानं लिखितमिव जयं स्लाष्येमाविष्टंबानो।

CII., Vol. III, No. 42. Pp. 200-8, lines 2-3; Pandey, Hist. Lit. Ing., P. 149; We cannot say definitely who fought against him. Dr. Sinha says that they were Hibrar under Mihirakula. DKM., P. 159.

^{9.} Dr. Raychaudhary opines that "the driptārāti against whom he had to fight may have been Yasodharman. PHAI, Sixth Ed., P. 601. But there is nathing to substantiate this conclusion. Had it been such a brilliant success against Yasodharman, one of the mightiest generals of his times, Kristagupha must have mentioned it. In absence of such specific claim the opinion of the learned scholar does not sound well-founded. It may also be kept in mind that Yasodharman flourished much earlier.

^{10. &}quot;यस्पांच्यरितुप्रसायचिमा" CII., Vol. III, No. 42, Pp. 200-208, Line 1. According to E. A. Pires the enemies as referred to herein, were the Maukharis under Harivarman. The quarrel ended in matrimonial alliance. The Maukharis, Pp. 623. This opinion sounds well in light of their traditional rivalries. Dr. Sinha, however, opines that the enemies were the Hügas. DKM, Pp. 157-158.

DKM., P. 157. Dr. Sinha's scheme of chronology appears to have been well-worked out and it has been adopted here. Also CII., Vol. III, No. 42, Pp. 200f.

^{12.} यो योग्याकाल हेलावनतद्दृबनुत्रीर्मवाणीवपाती

conventional.²⁸ But he appears to have pursued the policy of his father and might have added some territories to his hereditary principality. Mauriage of his sister Princes Harsaguptā with Maukhari Prince Ādityavarman appears to be of political significance and it must have been of some asistance to him in his ambitious scheme.

JIVITAGUPTA

Harşagupta was succeeded by his son Jivitagupta I (c. 525-545 A.D.). His military achievements are more specifically recorded in comparison to those of his predecessors. He is said to have led "a military expedition to Himalayan mountainous regions and to the sea." It is difficult to say anything about these campaigns. Was he fighting on behalf of his Gupta suzerain or was busy satisfying his own territorial ambitions? We cannot reply to this question satisfactorily. It appears, as many scholars have suggested, that he led these expeditions on behalf of his Gupta overlord.¹⁵

His enemies bordering the sea were, most probably, the Gaudas¹⁸ and if the author of Arya-Mañju-Śri-Mūlakalpa is believed, he appears to have been successful in his mission.¹⁷ These expeditions,¹⁸ and specially that against the Gaudas must have enhanced his power and status,¹⁹ and certainly paved the way for future ascendancy.

KUMĀRAGUPTA

Kumāragupta (c. 540-560 A.D.) succeeded his father Jivatagupta I. It was he who successfully made political capital out of his father's

- 13. CA., P. 72.
- 14. CII., Vol. III, No 42, Pp. 200-208, Lines 3-4.
- 15. CA., P. 72; DKM., Pp. 159-162.
- 16. Ep. Ind., Vol. XIV, Pp. 110f.
- 17. Imp. Hist. of Ind., Pp.42-47; Prachina Bharat By Dr. Pandey, P.263.
- These expeditions might have been against the enemies who "may have included ambitious Kumārāmāiyas like Nandana of the Amauna Plate". PHAL, Sixth Ed., P. 602.
 - 19. DKM., Pp. 162f.

military expeditions. He continued his friendly terms with the Maukharis for a few years after his accession to the throne and it appears that his contemporary Maukhari ruler Išānavarman might have assisted Kumāragupta in his successful military expedition against the Gaudas.³⁰

THEIR STRUGGLE WITH THE MAUKHARIS

But this alliance could not last long because both of these families entertained ambitious territorial designs. Neither the old bonds of matrimonial relationship nor their common allegiance to the rapidly declining Imperial House of the Guptas could prevent their inevitable conflict and they soon came to blows. What was the immediate cause of their conflict? It is not known to us. Most probably, as Drs. Tripathi and Sinha have suggested, the assumption of the imperial title of Mahārājādhirāja by Isanavarman precipitated the crisis. As the Haraha inscription does not refer to this title of Isanavarman, it was, probably, after his successful participation in an expedition against the Gaudas and also after the death of Visnugupta, he might have assumed this title. The struggle, according to Aphsad inscription²² proved a brilliant success for the Later Guptas. Kumāragupta who is said to have churned (nimathita) like Mandara (Mandribhūya) that formidable milk occean (dugdhodsindhu), the cause of the attainment of fortune (Laksmi samprāptihetuh), which was the army (sainya) of glorious Isanavarman, a very moon among the kings (ksitibati-fasinah). This claim of the family record in absence of any divergent claim of the hostile Maukharis appears to be a historical fact. On the basis of his journey to Pravaga24 Dr. Tripathi25 has observed, that "after

^{20.} DKM., P. 163.

^{21.} THK., P. 43; DKM., pp. 166-7.; PHAI., Sixth Ed., Pp. 604-5.

^{22.} CII., Vol. III, No. 42, Pp. 205f.

^{23.} Ibid., Vol. III, No. 42, Pp. 205f.

^{24. &#}x27;शीर्यं सत्य वतवरो यः प्रवागनतो' Ibid., No. 42, pp. 203f.

^{25.} THK., P. 43. He comes to this conclusion on the basis of an information in the inscription that he ended his life there. To quote the tearned scholar, "there are indications that his fineral rites took place there." There are several examples of such rites being performed at this holy place of our country.

this victory Kumāragupta even pushed his territories as far west as Prayāga, where his funeral rites were observed."28

Thus the success of Kumāragupta over Maukharīs under Išānavarman was of tremendous importance, and, as Dr. R. C. Majumdar puts it, it "certainly must have paved the way for the rise in the fortunes of his familyas"

DĂMODARAGUPTA

Kumāragupta's successor was Dāmodaragupta. He., unfortunately, could not reap the harvest of the victory of his father over the Maukharis, and, we learn from the Aphsad inscription that his hostility against the Maukharis proved fatal to him. It says that he, "breaking up the proudly stepping array of mighty elephants, belonging to the Maukharis, which had thrown aloft in battle the troops of the Hūṇas, he became unconscious."

^{26.} In light of these evidences it is difficult to understand as to why Dr. R. K. Mukharjee says that it was a wictory of Lianavarman. Harza, Pp. 54-55. Similar views are expressed by N. Ray. Cal. Rev., Vol. XXVI, Feb., 1928., P. 207. Dr. Tripathi says such "conclusion seems utterly unwarranted." THE, P. 43; Fleet, CII, Vol. III, Pp. 203-6, Note-3.; Sinha, DKM., P. 168.; PHAI., Sixth Ed., P. 605.; Arazamuthan, P. 90; Fires, Pp. 784-5. The ceremony that was performed also indicates his victory. αντιθία πόθυτιθη πεν. α τρουβίσκι. CII, III, P. 207, Line 7. It does not indicate his defeat at all.

^{27.} Both Aravamuthan (Kāveri, Maukharī and Sangam Age, P. 90) and Pires (The Maukharīs, Pp. 85f) agree that the defeat of Hānavarman might have taken place in the later years of his reign. Dr. Sinha says it took place about 560 A. D. DKM, P. 170.

^{28.} CA., P. 72; DKM., Pp. 168-70.

^{29.} CII., Vol. III., No. 42, P. 203 and 206 lines 8-9. ''वेन दानोदर्शेय देखा हव हतः दियः ॥ यो मीकारे सनितिष्दत्रकृष सैन्या-बस्पद्धतः विषयः ॥ यो मीकारे सनितिष्दत्रकृष सैन्या-बस्पद्धतः विषयः प्रकृति । कार्मिकाराः 'Fiect concludes that he ''sxpired in the fight.' 'Bid., P. 206. Dr. Tripathi has supported the view held by Fleet. THK., Pp. 44-5. Majumdar does not come to any definite contains and says that it was the victory of Later Guplas. CA., Pp. 72f. Dr. K. Chattopadhyaya, on the other hand, feets that Dāmodaragupta later on recovered. Bhandarkar Commemoration Vol., Pp. 181f.

This evidence of the Aphşad inscription clearly shows that the defeat of Mānavarman at the hands of Kumāragupta was completely avenged and that it resulted in the death of Dāmodaragupta on the battlefield. The Maukharī ruler who avenged the defeat of Išānavanman was his son Sarvavarman.²⁰ This defeat²¹ was a serious set-back to the Later Guptas and the Maukharīs extended their actual control over "Magadha or at least its western portions." ²⁰²

MAHĀSENAGUPTA

Mahāsenagupta was the son and successor of Dāmodargupta who

^{30.} THK., P. 45.; DKM., P. 173.; CII, Vol. III, No. 47, Pp. 219-20; Pires, Pp. 90-1; Aravamuthan, P. 92; Mukherjee, Harsa, P. 55; Basak is not conclusively definite in his approach and says that "the victory over Dāmodaragupta map have been scorrd either by Hānavarman himself or by his son Saravavarman." HNEI, Pp. 214-5. Dr. Raychaudhary, too, says that the Maukhari opponent of Dāmodaragupta was either Sūryavarman or Sarvavarman, if not Hānavarman himself. PHAI., Sixth Ed., P. 605, footnote 5. But epigraphic evidences and other scholarly opinions, as cited above, do not allow such doubts to stand any more.

^{31.} Dr. Tripathi, referring to the result of the battle, has rightly observed,
"He is reported to have been killed on the battlefield itself." (THK., P. 45);
Basak also holds the similar views. (HNEI., P. 114.5); History of Bengal, Vol.
I., Pp. 57f.; Aravamuthan, P. 92; Sinha, DKM., P. 174.; CA., P. 72; PHAI.,
6th Ed, P. 606. On the other hand, some other scholars opine that Dāmodaragupta
was victorious in the battle, but did not live to enjoy the gains of the victory.
Majumdar, History of Bengal, Vol. I, Pp. 57f.; Aravamuthan, P. 92;
DKM., P. 174; CA., P. 72, Note 2. Chattopadhyaya's views (Bhandarkar Com.
Vol., Pp. 181f.) are refuted by Dr. Raychaudhary. PHAI., 6th Ed., P. 606,
foobnote 1.

THK., P. 45. Dr. Tripathi's reliance on the testimony of Deo-Baranark inscription seems well-founded.

shifted to Mālvā or Eastern Mālvā¹⁰ and settled there.⁵⁶ But he continued to be in possession of the eastern part of the Gupta empire and thus brought under his sway "the extensive dominions from Mālvā to East Bengal.¹¹⁸⁵

He was wise and tactful. In order to consolidate his position he married his sister Mahāsenaguptā to Ādityavardhan of the family of Puspabhūtis of Thāneśvara.

18 This matrimonial alliance must have taken place about 565 A. D. or a little earlier.

19 Thus Mahāsenagupta had a strong ally on the western front in order to check any onslaught from that side. Now he decided to secure his position in the east and, therefore, led a successful expedition against Susthitavarman of Kāmarūpa.

19 This

Mâlvă or Eastern Mâlvă refers to one and the same region corresponding to the Vidiśā district on the river Vetravati whereas region adjoining to Ujjain denoted Western Mâlvă. THK., P. 45.

^{34.} Ibid., P. 46; C.A., P. 73; Dr. Pandey, Prachin Bhārat, P. 263. But Dr. Sinha, relying on the posthamous victory (?) of Dāmodaragupta, believes that his successor Mahāsenagupta continued his hold over Magadha and it was from there he defeated Susthitavarnam of Kāmarūpa. According to him this conquest over Kāmarūpa could not have been possible had he been shifted to Mālvā. The learned scholar opines that he (Mahāsenagupta) retired to Mālvā in the end of his career. DKM., P. 174. Dr. Raychaudhary also holds the similar views. PHAI., Sixth Ed., P. 606.

CA., P. 73.

^{36.} CII., Vol. III, P. 15 and No. 52, Pp. 232f; Ep. Ind., I, Pp. 73f; DKM., P. 175. This alliance was probably due to his fear of the rising power of the Maukharis and perhaps of other aggressive states mentioned in the beginning of the fourth Uchchharêts of Harzacharita." PHAI., Sixth Ed., P. 606, footnote 2. Virji, Ancient History of Saurästra, P. 48, footnote 4.

^{37.} DKM., P. 175, Note 3.

^{38.} Dr. Mukherjee, however, seys that Susthitavarman was a Maukhari. Harja, P. 55. fn. 2.; HCCTH, Pref. P. XI.; CII. Vol. III, No. 42, Pp. 203 and 206. But these assumptions on one carry much weight with them. Susthitavarman appears to be a king of Kämaripa. Ep. Ind., XII, Pp. 74 and 77.; CA., P. 73; THK, Pp. 47-48; PHAI, Sixth Ed., P. 607, footnots 1.

was a complete success as we learn from the Aphṣaḍ inscription that "his brilliant fame (sphitam yafo) was still sung (giyata) on the banks of the river Lauhityay" (Lauhityaya talesa). This conquest was an achievement of great political significance and it extended the overlordship of the Later Guptas under Mahäsenagupta over Northern Bengal and some parts of Brahmaputrā Valley. It was thus under the gifted command of Mahäsenagupta, the Later Guptas were the masters of a mighty kingdom stretching from Mālvā to Brahmaputrā Valley.

But his brilliant military career did not last long and he soon had to face many successive reverses. The first in this series was probably at the hands of the Maukharis. 40 This was soon followed by those of the Valabhi ruler Silāditya 41 and Kalachuri king Sankargana. 40 From Bāṇa's reference to Prabhākaravardhana that he was 'an axe to the creeper of Mālvā's glory', 40 it appears that Prabhākaravardhana lent some support

Aphyaef Ins. CII., Vol. III, No. 42, Pp. 203 and 206; Pandey, Lit. and Hist. Ins, P. 151; Upadhyaya, A study of Ins., P. 83; ASIRC, Vol. XV., P. 11: THK., Pp. 47-48.

^{40.} According to Deo-Baranark inscription Sarvavarman and his successor Avantharman were in possession of some part of Magadha. C.II., Vol. III. No. 46. Pp. 213, 18. This is further supported by Nôlandô seals of Sarvavarman, Avantivarman and others. Ep. Ind., XIX., P. 73; Ibid., XXIV, Pp. 283f. This might have been the result of the persistent efforts of the Maukharis under Sarvavarman. On the authority of Mahākuļa pillar inscription of Mangleša (Ind. Ant., XIX, Pp. 7f), Dr. Sinha says that Châlukya kıng Kirtivarman's rival in Magadha might have been Mahāsengupla. According to Fleet Kirtivarman's claims were mere boast. (Bom. Gazetteer, Vol. I, Part II, P. 346). But if it is taken as a valid claim, it was against Maukharis. C.A., P. 73.

Ind. Ant., Vol. LXII, Pp. 121f.; Watters, Vol. P. 242; Beal,
 Vol. II, P. 260; CA., P. 63; EHI., P. 344; Proceed. of All-India Oriental
 Conf. (9th), Pp. 659ff.

Ep. Ind., Vol. 1X, Pp. 296f; JBORS., Vol. XIX, Pp. 399f;
 Ep. Ind., II, Pp. 21ff; Ibid., Vol. VI, Pp. 294ff; Ibid., Vol. XII, Pp. 30ff.

^{43.} HCCTH., P. 101.

to Mahäsenagupta, but it could not change the tide and Mahäsenagupta lost all the battles. These defeats combined with intrigues of Devagupta, probably a scion of his own family, made this prospects for survival utterly propeless. It is also possible, as Dr. Sinha has suggested, it that he died in the battle. Whatever the case may be, his two sons Kumäragupta and Mādhavagupta were placed under the guardianship of Prabhākarayardhana. if

The Kalachuris could not retain for long their control over the kingdom of Mälvä. They were ultimately defeated by the Maitrakas and the latter became the masters of Mälvä. Mahäsenagupta could never survive after this period and we hear almost nothing about him. He, most probably, was killed in some battle.

2. THE MAUKHARIS OF KÄNYAKUBJA

During this political confusion that followed the fall of Imperial Guptas, the Maukharis, like the Later Guptas, also attempted for supremacy in Northern India. And, like the Later Guptas, as we have seen above, they were also eager to enlist themselves as the aspirants for the hegemony over Northern India. The conflict between the Later Guptas and the Maukharis was thus natural. On one hand, it was a "struggle between the waning glories of Magadha and the rising power of Kanauj," 48 and on

^{44.} DKM., P. 192.

^{45.} HCCTH., P. 119. We learn from Banskhera Inscription that Prabhākaravardhana's mother was Mahāsenaguptā. Probably she was Mahāsenaguptā's sister and thus Prabhākaravardhana was Mahāsenaguptā's nephew. CA., 74; DKM., P. 175.; CH., Vol. III, No. 52, Pp. 231f.; Ep. Ind., Vol. I, Pp. 73ff. With this near relationship he might have felt that his sons were well protected at his nephews court. Bāṇa clearly refers to Prabhākarvardhana's regards for these two sons. HCCTH., P. 119.; PHAI, Sixth Ed., P. 606.

Dr. Virji, Ancient History of Saurāşţra: The Maitrakas of Valabhi,
 Pp. 47-8.

^{47.} DKM., P. 192; JBORS., Vol. XIX, Pp. 399ff.

^{48.} THK., P. 24.

the other, it was between the Maukharis and the Later Guptas. This fateful struggle between the Later Guptas and the Maukharis is rightly called as "the most arresting feature of the major portion of the sixth century A.D.", and it ultimately "ended in transforming the political centre of gravity from Pāṭaliputra to Kanauj."

This sudden rise of the Maukharis from "obscurity to great importance" is necessary to understand the political background that ultimately proved a major factor in establishing Harsa's paramount supremacy over Northern India.

Haraha inscription. Informs that "the Mukhera princes, (who have vanquished their foes and checked the course of evil), are the descendants of the hundred sons, whom, king Aśvapatis¹ got from Vaivasvata (Manu), and who were conspicuous on account of their excellences. "sa Dr. Tripathi has rightly observed that Indian literature knows many Aśvapatis and it is really difficult to determine as to whom reference is made in the Haraha inscription. * The latter associates the Maukharis

^{49.} Ibid., P. 24.

^{50.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XIV, Pp. 113f.

^{51.} One Asvapati was brother-in-law of Dataratha. Rāmāyapa, II. I.2.; M. Bh. refers to him as the king of Madra and father of Sāvitrī. It is also known as a gana of Pēņinī. IV. I. 84. There we find reference to Alvapatis (Ašvapatya or Afvapadi). Another Asvapati was the king of Kaikeya. Satpatha Brāhmaṇa. X. This Aśvapati was visited by five great theologians to whom he described his satisfaction at his state of affairs. Chhāndogyopani;ad. V.II.7; Tavaswal. Hindu Polity, P. 211; Monier Williams, P. 115.

Ep. Ind., Vol. XIV, P. 119, Verse 3; M. Bh., III, 296. 38ff, cited in PHAI., Sixth Ed., P. 603. Dr. Raychaulhary says that Alvapati got these hundred sons from Tama and not Manu as a boon "on the intercession of his daughter Savirt. PHAI. Sixth Ed., P. 603, also footnote 2.

^{53.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XIV, Pp. 111f.

^{54.} Dr. R. C. Majumdar inclines to identify this Asvapati, the progenitor of Maukharis, with that of the Mahābhārata who was the king of Madra country in Central Punjab. CA., P. 67.

with the Solar race. ¹⁵ Bāṇa also gives some hint of their origin from the sun. He says that the 'marriage of Rājyafri united the two brilliant lines of the Puspabhūtis and Maukharis like that of Lunar and Solar houses. ¹⁶ Both Pāṇiṇi¹⁷ and Pataṇjali¹⁸ seem to have been familiar with the Maukharis.

On the basis of a clay scales it can be safely concluded that they were important people as early as the third century B. C.. Further epigraphic evidences prove the existence of many Maukhari families in Rājasthan in the third century A.D.. Thus the Maukharis, on the basis of literary and epigraphic evidences, prove their existence from about the fifth century

^{55.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XIV, Pp. 111f.; THK., P. 29.

^{56. &}quot;सोपमूर्चभावित्र पुण्यानि मुख्यमंत्री" Cowell and Thomas translate this as "two lines of Puppabhitis and Mukhera like that of the sun and moon houses. But the reference in the Harşacharita shows that the Maukharis belonged to the solar line and the Puppabhitis to that of the Lunar one. "Saryapathia" certainly stands for "Mukhera." HCCTH., P. 128; And on this basis of wrong translation, Mr. N. Ray tried to prove that the Maukharis belonged to Somanatha or the Lunar rate. Calcutta Review, Feb., 1928, Vol. XXVI, No. 2, P. 203; Vaidya, HHMI, Vol. I, P. 335.

^{57.} Pānini, IV. I. 79.

Mahābhāṣya, V. ii. 107, Ed. Kielhorn, P. 397; JBORS., March,
 1934.

^{59.} Fleet has observed, "The great antiquity of this family is shown by a clay-stal in General Cumningham's possession obtained at Gay's which has on it, in Aokan characters, the Pali Iegends "Mokhalināni", (of the Mokhalis, Maukhalis, or Maukharis). CII., Vol. III, Intro., P. 14. On this basis, it has also been attempted by Gen. Cunningham that there was some connection between the Mawyas and the Maukharis. ASIRC., Vol. XV, P. 166. But Dr. Tripathi has ruled out any such possibility and he says that there is no "substantial ground" for such a conclusion. THK., P. 28.

Ep. Ind., Vol. XXIII, Pp. 42f.; Ibid., Vol. XXIII, Pp. 42f;
 Ibid., Vol. XXIV, Pp. 251.; THK., P. 27.

B. C. 41 to the fifth century A. D. 48 extending their sway over an area from Puniāb to Magadha. 42

YAIÑAVARMAN, ŚĀRDŪLAVARMAN AND ANANTAVARMAN

Three inscriptions⁴⁴ discovered in Gayā District throw light on three successive generations of the Maukharis who won prominence in the early history of the family.

Yajñavarman was first of them. He was succeeded by his son Sārdūlavarman. The latter was succeeded by his son Anantavarman. It is, probably, during the time of this last ruler the family got some eminence as we learn that he is said to have "adorned by his own birth the family of the Maukharis." 48

The common titles of these rulers as "nripa" clearly show that they were feudatories and as such were ruling over some part of the Gavã District.

HARIVARMAN

But we do not know anything pertaining to the successors of Anantavarman till we come to the time of Harivarman, who successfully laid down the foundations of the Maukhari kingdom with capital at Kanauj.⁸⁷

^{61.} Pāņini is assigned this date and it is now generally accepted.

^{62.} Ep. Ind., Vol. VI, P. 3.; Ind. Ant., Vol. XIII, P. 428, note 55; Ibid., Vol. XLVI, P. 127.

Mokaris also find mention in the Chandravalli stone inscription of the Kadamba king Mayūrasarman. Arch. Survey of Mysore, Annual Report, 1929, Pp. 50f.

^{64.} CII., Vol. III, Nos. 48, 49 and 50, Pp. 221-228.

^{65.} CII., Vol. III, No. 48, Pp. 222-223.

^{66.} THK., P. 32. Dr. Tripathi opines that their suzerain at that time were the Later Gubtas. THK., P. 32.

JRAS., 1903, Pp. 554f.; Dr. Tripath's opinion that Kanauj was the seat of Maukharis since the very days of Harivarman appears to be conclusively final. THK., Pp. 32-36.

The Haraha inscription and Astragarha scales of Sarvavarman give us an impression that his political attainments won for him much honour and respect among his contemporaries. But his simple title does not determine anything pertaining to his independent status. To

ÄDITYAVARMAN

His successor was his son Ādityavarman by his queen Devī Jayasvāminī. He also assumed the title of Mahārāja but it speaks of no better political status as compared to that of his father. But his marriage with princess Harşagupta, sister of king Harşagupta of the Later Gupta dynasty shows that the Maukharls were getting recognition as a rising power in the time of Later Guptas.

Adiyavarman is said to have championed the cause of Varṇāśra-mavyavasthā n and gained religious merit by performing Brahmanical sacrifices. n

IŚVARAVARMAN

Adityavarman was succeeded by his son Iśvaravarman. His wife Upaguptā was also a Gupta princess ...nd it appears that the Maukhan and the Later Guptas maintained friendly relations during these days. On the basis of the critical examination of the epigraphic evidences what was to agree to a conclusion reached by Dr. R. S. Tripathi that he "must

CII., Vol. III, No. 47, Pp. 219-221; Ep. Ind., Vol. XIV, Pp. 115-119, Verses 4-5.

^{69.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XIV, P. 115-118, Verses 4-5. "यो भीते: (तै:) प्रणत स्ततस्य भूवने ∵ जगाहिरे यस्य जगन्ति रम्याः सल्कीत्तंयः - वितव्यवास्तः।"

^{70.} THK., P. 36.

^{71.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XIV, P. 116-118, Verses 6 and 7 'वर्णाश्रमाचार विचित्रणीतेयं प्राप्य असक्तम'।

^{72.} Ibid., Verse 7.

^{73.} Ibid., Vol. III, No. 47 and 51, Pp. 219-21 and 228-30; Ep. nd., Vol. XIV, Pp. 112f.

have considerably enhanced his power and prestige¹⁷⁷⁶ and that he was "the first Maukhart king who really brought the family into prominence." 15 Dr. Mukherjee goes a step further and says that the imperial ambitions of the Maukharts were first embodied in Isvarvarman. 1788 But his title, like that of his predecessors, indicates that he still maintained his allegiance, howsoever nominal or formal it may be, with his suzerain and remained satisfied with his feudatory status. 77

IŠĀNAVARMAN

The real task of implementing the imperial designs successfully was left to his son and successor Išānavarman by his queen Upaguptā Devi. Haraha inscription informs us that it was probably at some critical juncture he was summoned to take up the reigns of the government. It enumerates his various triumphs over many rulers. It was after "conquering the lord of Āndhra who had thousands of threefold rutting elephants; vanquishing in battle the Sūlikas, who had an army of countless galloping horses; causing the Gaudas, living on the sea-shore, in furture to remain within their proper realm," Išānavarman is said to have come to throne of the Maukhart kingdom.

Attempts have been made to identify these powers who came into clash with him. His opponent in Andhra was probably Mādhava-

नष्यासिष्ट नत कितीस्थरण सिंहासन यो जिती।

^{74.} THK., Pp. 37-38.

^{75.} Ibid., P. 38.

^{76.} Harşa., P. 54.

^{77.} THK., Pp. 38-39.

Ep. Ind., Vol. XIV, Pp. 117-120; Hist. and Lit. Ins., P. 142,
 Verse 15.

Ibid., Verses 12-13.
 जिल्लाम्बाचिपरित सहस्रमणिजेवातर द्वारणम्
 अस्त्रमृत्याति ...
 संस्थापियम्बारिय ।
 संस्थापियम्बारिय ।
 संस्थापियम्बारिय ।
 कृत्या वायितमी (मो) वितं स्थलभूको गौडानसमूद्राक्षया-

varman II of the Vinnukundin family. This is further confirmed on the authority of the Jaunpur inscription of Idvarvarman, as at also refers to unfriendly relations of Maukharis with Andhras when Idvarvarman, predecessor of Ifánavarman, was on the throne. The Sollika, probably, were the rulers of the territories in south-eastern part of India near Kalinga. The third power that came into conflict with the Maukharis is said to be the Gaudas. They were, at that time, ruling over southwestern part of Bengal. Dr. Tripathi has suggested that "this might

^{80. 7}ASB., 1920, Pp. 391f.; PHAI., Sixth Ed., Pp. 603f.

^{81.} CII.; Vol. III, No. 51., Pp. 228-30.

^{82.} Dr. Tripathi identifies them with Saulikas of Brihatsamhitā, (XIV. 8) who are associated with Vidarbha and those of Markandey Purana. THK., P. 41. This view is further confirmed by Dr. R. C. Majumdar. CA., P. 68.; Ind. Ant., XXII., P. 189: Fleet, on the other hand, associates them with Mülikas of Brihatsamhitä (XIV. 48.23). According to him they lived in North-Eastern India. Ind. Ant., Vol. XXII. P. 186. The Sulikas and Saulikas are associated with Apranta (N. Konhan), Vanavāsi (Kanara) and Vidarbha (Berar). Brihatsamhitā, IX., 15, XIV. 8. They are also associated with Gandhara. Ibid., IX., 21, X.7, XVI.35. cited in PHAI. P. 602. footnote 5. Taranath refers to Sulika kingdom beyond Togara, Ind. Ant., Vol. IV, P. 364. Dr. H. C. Raychaudhari says that they "were probably the Chālūkyas." PHAI., 6th Ed. Pp. 602-3. footnote 5. He also cites a reference to Kulastambha of the Sulki family, JRAS., 1912, P. 128.; Bom. Gaz., Vol. I, Part I, P. 156, and Part II, P. 336. An attempt has also been made to identify them with the Cholas. 7AHS., Vol. I., Pb. 130-31. A Tamil work Kalingathupparani by Jayagondana is also referred to have narrated the circumstances of Chola king's conflict with Mukri (the Maukharis). Aravamuthan, The Käveri, the Maukhari and the Sangam Age, P. 14. Dr. Tripathi, however, rejects this identification of Mukri with the Maukharis, THK., P. 41. Mukri is a place in South India. Aravamuthan, Käveri, Maukhari and Sangam Age. Pp. 24-26, 72.; THK., Pp. 41-2. The Mahakuta Pillar inscription also refers to Kirtivarman I's conquest over Magadha. Ind. Ant., Vol. XIX. Pb. 16-20.

^{83.} THK., P. 42.

have been the joint venture" of Išānavarman and his contemporary Gupta ruler.⁶⁴

It was, probably, after these successive triumphs he assumed the title of Mahārājādhirāja. ⁸⁸ As we have seen earlier this was the immediate cause of the struggle between the Maukharis and the Later Guptas and proved fatal to the Maukharis.

It was, however, a temporary phase and the table was soon turned when Isanavarman was succeeded by Sarvavarman on the Maukhari throne. If the successfully avenged the defeat and death of his father. Dāmodaragupta was killed in the battle and the defeat of his army was decisive. It his victory of Sarvavarman over the Later Guptas was a brilliant success. As its result he succeeded in annexing "Magadha or at least, its western portion." After

^{84.} Ibid., Pp. 42-43.

^{85.} Ibid., P. 43.

^{86.} Dr. Majumdar, however, opines that the struggle between the two was "for the remnant of the Gupta Empire." CA., Pp. 68f.

^{87.} A reference to his another son, Süryavarman, is found in the Haraha inscription (Ep. Ind., Vol., XI, P. 185); but other family records do not make any mention of him. This is explained by Dr. Tripathi who says "that either he predeceased his father, or there was a struggle for succession, and Süryavarman being worsted in the fight was ousted or put to death." THK., P. 44. Dr. Raychaudhary identifies him with Süryavarman of the Sirpur stone inscription of Mahdivaqueha (Ep. Ind., Vol. XI, Pp. 185f.); PHAI., 3rd. Ed., P. 407, fn. 3. Similar views are expressed by Fires. The Maukharis, P.p 86f. But his views are also based on the same Sirpur stone inscription of Mahdivaqueha. Like Dr. Tripathi, Aravamuthan also suggests the possibility of a conflict for throne and "Süryavarman might have been worsted by his brother." Aravamuthan, the Kdveri, the Maukhari and the Sangam Age, P. 92.

 ^{68.} CII., Vol. III, No. 42, P. 206.; THK., P. 45.; Sinha, DKM.,
 P. 173; Aravamuthan, op. sit., P. 92; Mukherjee, Harsa, P. 55; Pires, op. cit.,
 Pb. 84-85.

^{89.} CII., Vol. III, Pp. 216-18; THK., P. 45.

Dămodaragupta's death his son and successor Mahāsenagupta shifted to Mālvā. 60

AVANTIVARMAN

The problem of succession after Sarvavarman is a knotty one. The view that Sushiiavarman succeeded Sarvavarman, ⁹¹ has now been rejected and is taken as untenable and unwarranted. ⁹² Avantivarman is generally accepted as an immediate successor to Sarvavarman. ⁹³ Under

^{90.} THK., P. 45, Dr. Pandey, Prāchina Bhārata, P. 263; Upadhyaya Gupla Sāmrājya Kā Lihāza, Vol. I., P. 165. As already referred to earlier Dr. Sinha opines that Mahāsenagupla retired to Mālvā in the end of his career. DKM., P. 174.

^{91.} This view is based on an incorrect reading of the Aphyaed inscription. CII., Vol. III, Pp. 2037, also Intro., P. 15. The learned editors of the Huayacharita of Bāṇa also hold similar views. HCCTH., Pref., P. XI, fn. 3. They probably did not notice a reference to Susthitararman in Bāṇa's Haryacharita itself (HCCTH., P. 217) where he is clearly said do have belonged to Kāmarūpa. Alto see Dr. Mukherjee, Harya, P. 55; C. V. Vaidya, HMHI., Vol. 1, Pp. 33-34.

^{92.} Dr. Tripathi has discussed this problem in detail. THK., Pp. 47-49. He concludes "the trend of evidence favours the elimination of Susthitavarman from the Mankhari genealogy." THK., P. 49; Aravamuthan, op. cit., Pp. 93-94; JBORS., 1928, Pp. 254f; Ibid., Vol. IV, Pp. 151f; Ep. Ind., Vol. XII, Pp. 65f; Ibid., Vol. XIX, Pp. 151f; Pires has also discussed it at length. (op. cit., Pp. 95-102) and says that he was not at all a Maukhari prince. Ibid., Pp. 95-102.

^{93.} Avantivarman's relationship with Sarvavarman is not known on the basis of family records. Dr. Tripathi assumes that Avantivarman was son of Sarvavarman. THK., P. 49. Coming to such assumption he argues that "there is no case in the Maukhari dynasty of a brother succeeding a brother" and "it may be tentatively assumed that Avantivarman was son of Sarvavarman. Ibid., P. 49. This opinion gets some support from the Nalanda seal of Avantivarman. Ep. Ind., Vol. XXIV, Pp. 283f; Sinha, DKM., P. 204; Woolner Com. Vol., P. 116; Basak, HNEI., P. 117.

Avantivarman the Maukharis continued to enjoy great strength and full independent status.

We learn from the Nālandā seal⁸⁴ that Avantivarman assumed all high-sounding imperial titles which are amply justified by his empire he governed.

It was, probably, during the reign of Avantivarman the Maukhari power reached its highest water-mark. Bāṇa says that "they (the Maukharis) stood at the head of all royal houses and were worshipped, like Siva's foot-print, by all the world." Avantivarman, according to Bāṇa, was the pride of that race of the Maukharis. Commenting on Bāṇa's description, Dr. R. C. Majumdar has rightly observed that "even allowing for poetic exaggeration, particularly when the occasion was a matrimonial alliance of his patron's family with the Maukharis, Bāṇabhaṭṭa's culogy undoubtedly conveys the idea that the Maukhari rulers enjoyed great power and distinction up to the beginning of the seventh century A.D."

GRAHAVARMAN

Avantivarman was succeeded by his eldest son Grahavarman.98

^{94.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XXIV, Pp. 283-5.

^{95.} HCCTH., P. 122. घरणीघराणां च मूर्जि स्थितो माहेश्वरः पादन्यास इव सकल भुवननमस्कृतो मौसारिवंदाः।" ह० च०, च० उच्छ०, पू० १३।

^{96.} Ibid., P. 122. "तत्रापि तिलकमूतस्यावन्तिवर्भणः"—ह० च०, च०, उच्छ०, पृ० १३।

^{97.} CA., P. 69.

^{98.} HCCTH., P. 122; हुषे बरित, बतुर्व उच्छ्वास, qo १३। The Nalandā seal (Ep. Ind., Vol., XXIV, Pp. 284ff.) has raised an important controversy pertaining to the problem of succession after Avantivarman. As the seal is damaged, it cannot be read fully, but its first letter is 'Su' and the second appears to be 'Va' or 'Cha'. Dr. Chakrowarty has tried to suggest that it may be taken as "Sri suchandra." This has created a puzzle which is difficult to be solved. Here Aryd-Madju-Sri-Mülakalpa comes to our help and informs us that Grahavarman was succeeded by 'Swa'. This, however, is not confirmed by any other source. But if this it believed the name in Nalandā seal cannot be "Suchandra". But the

Bāṇa says that he had all the virtues of his father. and was like the lord of the planets descended upon earth. Our knowledge of Grahavarman's personal and political achievements is meagre and confined to Harşacharita of Bāṇa. We know of his marriage with Puspabhūti princess Rājyaśri. This alliance between the Puspabhūtis and the Maukharis was of great political importance. This was also realized at that time, as we are told by Bāṇa that a wise and learned Brāhmaṇa, named Garibhīra, said to Grahavarman, "My son, by obtaining you Rājyaśri has at length united the two brilliant lines of Puspabhūtis and Mukhara, whose worth, like that of the sun and moon houses is sung by all the world to the gratification of

Nalanda seal completely omits Grahavarman and informs that Avantivarman was directly succeeded by 'Suna' (or 'Sucha'). But Bana's Harsacharita makes it definitely clear that Grahavarman succeeded Avantivarman as the ruler of Kanauj. It has been suggested that 'Suva' or 'Sucha' was another son of Avantivarman who occupied the throne before Harşa extended his actual control over Kanauj. (Ep. Ind., Vol. XXIV. Pb. 283f.). This possibility does not reconcile with an information furnished by Yuan Chwang (Watters, I, P. 343; Beal, I, P. 313). there are only two other possibilities which have not received the attention of learned scholars. Firstly, there might have been a war of succession after the death of Avantivarman and his son 'Suva' or 'Sucha' might have received initial success in declaring himself as the master of Magadha whereas Grahavarman remained satisfied with Kanauj kingdom and sought Rajyasiri's hand in order to consolidate his position with an idea to punish 'Suva' or 'Sucha'. But his untimely death, however, did not allow him to implement it. Secondly, 'Suva' or 'Sucha', following the murder of Grahavarman and Rajyavardhana II, might have declared himself as an heir to the Maukhari throne. This position might have lasted till the political confusion continued, but as soon as Harsa took over the reins of government 'Suva' ('Sucha') might have been ousted or he himself preferred voluntary abdication.

^{99.} HCCTH., P. 122. 'पितुरुव्यूनी गुर्वरेता' हु० च०, च० उ०, ५० १३। 100. HCCTH., Pp. 122-3; हु० च०, च० उ०, ५० १३। This analogy with the lord of the planets appears to give an impression that it indicates to his status as a suzerain and sovereign ruler.

wise men's cars. "181 The political history of northern India, afterwards, justifies this statement of Bāṇa as put in Gambhira's mouth. It was "largely instrumental in shaping the course of history during that momentous period." 108

The closing years of the sixth century and the early period of the seventh century A.D. witnessed a diplomatic revolution in the history of northern India, and matrimonial alliances played a major part in changing the course of history of that period. We have seen that the Later Guptas of Magadha and the Maukharis of Kanaui were on unfriendly and rival terms in the initial stages. Though this rivalry of a traditional nature existed till the Later Guptas shifted to Mālvā under Mahāsenagupta. But the marriages between the two royal houses proved as cementing bonds. First matrimonial alliance that was negotiated between them was that of princess Harsagupta, sister of Harsagupta and daughter of Jivitatupta I with Maukhari prince Adityavarman, son of Harlvarman. When this marriage could not prove successful as a bond of relationship and they soon were at daggers drawn, the Later Guntas turned towards the Puspabhūtis. The princess Mahāsenaguptā, daughter of Dāmodargupta and sister of Mahasenagupta was married to Adityayardhana, son of Raiyayardhana I of the Puspabhūti dynasty. But the marriage of Rāiyaśrī, daughter of Prabhākaravardhana with Grahavarman, son of Avantivarman, brought about a diplomatic revolution and accelerated the crisis. This marriage might have been a rude shock to the family of the Later Guptas and probably with the death of Mahasenagupta who placed his two sons at Harsa's court, the old relationship faded away. Their old ties were broken and an unholy alliance was formed between the Devaguota and the Gauda king Śaśānka.100 This Gupta-Gauda axis, formed so unscrupulously, resulted in treacherous murder of Grahavarman. With the death of Grahavarman we come to an end of the line of the Maukharis. Kingdom

^{101.} HCCTH., P. 128.

^{102.} THK., P. 51.

^{103.} PHAI., 6th Ed., P. 605. A detailed survey of these events will be made later.

of Kanauj was ultimately annexed to that of Thanesvara and some persons of the family of Grahavarman survived as petty nobles and are said to have entered into matrimonial alliances with the family of the Later Guptas. 104

3. THE PUSPABHÜTIS OF THANESVARA

After the decline and fall of the Later Guptas and the tragic assassination of the Maukhari prince Grahavarman the task of maintaining law and order and giving India an efficient administration and enlightened government fell upon the Puspabhütis of Thänesivara.

Though ill-starred, they succeeded in laying the foundations of the most powerful kingdom in northern India at a very critical moment in Indian history. The emperor Harşa not only succeeded in founding a great empire but his age is also regarded as one of epoch-making importance in many ways.

The family of the Puspabhūtis had a modest beginning at Sthāṇvlśwara in the Śrikaṇṭha Janapada. The founder of the line was Puspabhūti. The family was named after him and came to be known as the Puspabhūtivatiśa. He was a great devotee of Śiva and was called Paramamāhēevara.

He came into contact with a scath Indian Saivite saint named Bhairavächärya. With his assistance and under his guidance Puspabhüti performed a great ceremony called 'Mahākālahridaya' at cemetery. The goddess appeared there and anointed the king. She also blessed the king with a boon and declared that he "shall be the founder of a mighty line of kings....wherein shall arise an emperor named Harşa, governor like Hariśchanda....world-conquering like a second Māndhātrl.¹

Bāṇa does not tell us anything about the immediate successors of Puṣpabhūti. He tells us that many kings were born in that line, and then suddenly comes to Prabhākaravardhana.

Epigraphic evidences add to our knowledge some information about some of the successors of Puspabhūti. They are Naravardhana,

^{104.} PHAI., Sixth Ed., P. 605, fn. 2.

^{1.} HCCTH., P. 97.

Rājyavardhana I and Ādityavardhana. These rulers made their best efforts to lay the solid foundations of the Puspabhūti kingdom. Both Narvavardhana and Rājyavardhana I assumed the title of 'Mahārāja' and it appears that they must have enjoyed some political importance during their times.

In the time of Ādityavardhana the dynasty appears to have enhanced its prestige and power and this is clear from the marriage of Rājyavardhana I with Mahāsenaguptadevl, sister of Mahāsenagupta of the Later Gupta dynasty. This is rightly said to have marked "a definite step in their rise to power and importance." The Puspabhūtis were on their way to establish an independent rule which was fully materialised during the times of Prabhākaravardhana, son and successor of Ādityavardhana. We shall deal with all these rulers in detail later and shall try to assess their political achievements in the next chapter which deals with the family and heritage of Harsa.

4. THE MAITRAKAS OF VALABHI

While discussing the facts underlying the fall of the Imperial Guptas we have seen how Maitrakas of Valabhi embarked upon a way to set up an independent existence, and of all the ruling dynasties that established their principalities taking an advantage of the weakness of the declining and decaying Gupta power the Maitrakas proved successful in establishing a kingdom enduring for a long time.

BHATĀRKA

Bhaṭāraka or Śrī-Bhaṭārka² who laid down the foundations of the Valabhī kingdom, belonged to a family known as Maitrakas,² a kṣatriya

^{2.} CA., P. 97.

^{1.} Subra. P. 5.

Dr. K. Virji refers to several variants of Bhaţārka such as 'Bhaţāka', Bhaţārkka, Bhaţārkka, Bhaţakka, Bhaţārka; Bhaţārka etc. Anc. Hist. of Saurāṣṭṛa: The Maitrakas of Valabhi, Bom., 1955, P. 25, fn. 2.

^{3.} Ind. Ant., Vol. I, P. 14; Ibid., Vol. XIV, P. 327; JBBRAS., Vol. XI, P. 346.

clans and started his career as the Governor of Saurāṣṭṛa. He only maintained nominal allegiance to the Imperial Guptas and paved the way for an independent rule.

This fateful decision proved a turning point in the history of Saurāṣṭṛa.⁵ But he still remained 'Senāpati'a and did not assume any royal title such as 'Mahārāja' or 'Mahārājā'dnirāja'. It appears that he also transferred his capital from Girinagar to Valabhi.⁷

DHARASENA I

Bhaṭārka's reign came to an end about 493 A.D. and he was succeeded by his eldest son Dharasena I who ruled up to 499 A.D.

Dharasena I (c. 493-499 A.D.) might have made some attempst of attaining a greater degree of autonomy and independence; but he, too, like his father, retained the title of Senāpati*. This shows that he still maintained the usual nominal subservience to the Imperial Guptas and did not declare complete independence. He also did not assume the title of Mahārāja. This was left to his younger brother Dropa Simha who appears to have succeeded Dharatena I about 499 A.D.

DRONA SIMHA

Drona Sinha (c.A.D. 499-519) appears to have assumed the full status of a king with royal title of Mahārāja soon after he came to throne. He formally "celebrated his coronation ceremony in the presence

Ind. Ant., Vol. II, Pp. 312f; Tod, Annals. Ant. Raj., I, Pp. 100-255; Ojha, Rājputānā Kā Ilihāsa, I, Pp. 369-70. Watters, II, P. 269; Beal II, P. 246; Ind. Ant., LXI, P. 70.

^{5.} JBBRAS (New series)., I, Pp. 17ff.

^{6.} CII., Vol. III, No. 38, Pp. 165 and 167.

^{7.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XX, Pp. 114f; Bom. Gaz., I, Pt. I, Pp. 96ff.

CII., Vol. III, No. 38, Pp. 166, 168.

This is proved on the basis of his copper plate grant and other records.
 JBBRAS., Vol. XX, Pp. 1ff; Ep. Ind., Vol. XVI, Pp. 17ff; CII., Vol. III, No. 38, Pp. 166, 168.

of the paramount sovereign himself." 18 Thus it cannot be said that under Dropa Simha the Maitrakas fully "set on the course of complete independence." 11

DHRUVASENA I

Dropa Simha was succeeded by his brother Dhruvasena I (c. A. D. 519 to 549) about 519 A. D. and remained on the Valabhi throne for nearly thirty years. In one of his grants it is said that "he acquired the throne by the strength of his own arms" (Svahhujaparākrameņa) and this may suggest that his succession did not take place in usual course. It is probable that he ousted his brother and wrested the throne for himself. 18 He assumed many titles. They include Mahārāja, Mahāsāmanta, Mahāparāthāra, Mahādandanāyaka, and Mahākārtika. 15 We have enough epigraphic evidences of the time of Dhruvasena I and these sources

^{10.} अविकाभुवनमाण्डकेक—स्वाधिनापरमास्वाधिना—स्वयं उपहित्त राज्याधियेक:

As referred to it elsewhere, it is suggested that, though, they had broken off their relations with the Guptas, they, still, acknowledged the supremacy of Väkäjakas. Dr. Virji, Anc. Hist. of Saurästra, P. 28. But Dr. Majumdar, on the other hand, says that "there seems to be no reasonable ground to suppose that the overlord of Drona Simha could be other than Gupta emperor." CA., Pp. 61-62.; EHI., P. 335; Commissariat, History of Gujrät, P. XXXIX; Bom. Gaz., Vol. I, Pt. I, Pp. 88f.; IC., Vol. V., Pp. 409f. Dr. Majumdar's view appears to have a factual approach. We do not find any special reason competting the Maitrekas to shift their loyalty and allegiance. Dr. Krishnakumari Virji's arguments (Ancient History of Saurästra, Pp. 28f.) appear to be poor and vague.

^{11.} Dr. Virji, Anc. Hist. of Saurastra, P. 29.

Ep. Ind., Vol. IV, Pp. 104-107.

^{13.} Dr. Virji's arguments to rule out such possibility are not convincing, (Ancient History of Saurāṣṭṛa, P. 31.) and the expression that "his (Dhrwassena's) head was purified (pavirthṛata) with dust (Rajo) of his predecessor's feet appears to wipe off the possibility of this kind of an inglerieus act.

^{14.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XI, Pp. 113f; Ind. Ant., Vol. XXXIX, Pp. 130f.

JRAS., 1895, Pp. 382ff.

record¹⁸ that he had to face some reverses in his engagements. Probably at this time of distress he also lost his son.¹⁷ Other informations that we derive from these records are general and vague and no historical conclusions can be drawn on their basis. But one notable feature of his personality that deserves mention is that he, unlike his predecessors who all were Saivites, was a devotee of Vispu. But he was a man with generous religious views. This we learn from his grants. During his times all religions and sects got equal opportunities for an advancement of their faiths and values.¹⁸

DHARAPATTA

His younger brother Dharapatta appears to have succeeded him some time after c. A. D. 5491 and his rule came to an end sometime before 559 A. D. 10 His records have not yet been discovered and, surprisingly enough, no mention is made to him in the grants of his son and successor Guhasena. As he was on the Valabhī throne after the consecutive reigns of his three brothers who ruled for about half a century, Dharapatta might have been pretty old when he came to throne and naturally it might have been for a few years that he ruled over the Valabhī kingdom. Dharasena Il's records refer to him as 'Mahārājā' ind it appears that he kept the kingdom intact. A reference in Kavī grant of Govindarāja¹¹ to his success

^{16.} Ep. Ind., Vol. IV, Pp. 104-7; JRAS., 1895, P. 382.

^{17.} Sacred Books of the East, Vol. XXII, Pp. 270f. The great Jain Council that was convened at Valabhi expressed its condolence over this death.

Ep. Ind., Vol. III, Pp. 318f; JRAS., 1895, Pp. 379f; C. V. Acharya, Hist. Ins. of Gujrat, Vol. I, P. 40; Ep. In., Vol. XVII, P. 108; Ibid., Vol. XIX, P. 215; JBBRAS. (N.S.), Vol. I, P. 16f; Ind. Ant., Vol. XLIII, P. 176-77; Jacobi, Kalpasitra, Pp. 270f.

JUB., III, Pp. 79f. The last known dated record of Dharasena I is of 545 A. D..

^{20.} Ind. Ant., Vol. VII, Pp. 66f.

^{21.} Ind, Ant., Vol. V, Pp. 144f.

for conquering forcibly "the renowned greatness of the ranks of his enemies," 22 most probably, indicates to his participation in the battles fought during the preceding reigns of his brothers. 22

GUHASENA

.Guhasena (c.A.D. 553-69) succeeded his father Dharapatta. We have already seen that 'Guhasena's records do not refer to his father. It is somewhat unusual and significant and this omission cannot be dismissed as a "mistake of the writer,"44 I submit that aspirant sons of the rulers could not suppress for long their desire to be kings⁸⁵ and when they saw that Bhatarka was successively succeeded by his four sons, they, probably, made a common cause and helped Guhasena, son of the ruling monarch Dharapatta, to oust the latter. As Dharapatta must have been very old, the process of dethroning him might not have caused much bloodshed. The later records of the Maitrakas since the time of Siladitya I give the genealogy of the family beginning with Guhasena and the names of the rulers, who ruled over the Valabhi kingdom between Bhatarka and Guhasena are completely neglected or omitted deliberately. This omission of Dharapatta's name in the records of his son Guhasena is more than a mere "mistake of the writer" and it was certainly deliberate and intentional. This view is plausible till we do not find some record of Guhasena referring to his father Dharapatta.

Guhasena discarded the subservient title of Parambhattaraka-

^{22.} Ibid., P. 144.

^{23.} Dr. Virji, Anc. Hist. of Saurastra, P. 37.

^{24.} Virji, Anc. Hist. of Sau., P. 36. The learned writer, too, feels that "it requires to be resolved." Ibid., P. 36.

^{25.} Succession of brothers at the same time cannot be ruled out altogether. Stlāditya I appointed kharagraha I as his successor in supercession to his son Darabhaja. But some special reasons might have been there for this unusual practice. According to Hindu view of treatises on polity and Government it is the right of the eldest son to succeed the father. This was a general practice and it was not observed only in exceptional cause and unusual circumstances.

pādānudhyāta and this shows that Maitrakas under him completely broke off their relations with the Imperial Guptas. The nominal allegiance that was retained by the successors of Bhaṭārka before Guhasena ceased to continue and the Maitrakas were now completely independent masters of Saurāstra.²⁶

Five records of Guhasena have been discovered so far. They throw some light on his personality. He appears to be a great warrior and wise strategist. The Maliya Copper Plate inscription of Dharasena IIs* informs us that his "sword was verily a second arm (to him) from childhood, the test of whose strength was manifested by clapping his hands...on the rutting elephants of (his) foes....(his) enemies were made to bow down by his powers...; in beauty, luster, stability, profundity, wisdom and wealth (he) surpassed (respectively) the gods Smara, the moon, the king of mountains (Himšlayas), the ocean, the preceptors of gods (Brahaspati) and the God Dhaneśa." Though these are conventional praises, common in those days, we cannot minimise the importance of Guhasena as the first Maitraka ruler who laid the solid foundations of independent Maitraka rule in Saurāṣṭṛa.

Dr. Virji has tried to prove on the basis of the Jaunpur stone inscription of Isvaravarman that the latter came into conflict with the Maitraka ruler Guhasena, and she tries to prove that Guhasena "successfully repulsed his enemy" and that the latter "was driven back from Sauräştra by the Great Maitraka ruler Guhasena." This view has

^{26.} CA., P. 62.

^{27.} CII., Vol. III, No. 38, Pp. 164-171,

^{28.} Ibid., Pp. 168-9, Lines 10-14.

^{29.} Dr. Virji, Anc. Hist. of Saurāṣṭṛa, Pp. 38-39. It is, indeed, surprising to note that Dr. Virji has not said anything to prove that Išvaravarman was contemporary to Guhasma. She has taken them for granted as contemporaries for reasons known to her alone. The basis for this conflict is provided to her by the Jaurhpur stone inscription of the Maukhart ruler Išvaravarman (CII., Vol. III, No. 51, Pp. 228-30). This record says that Išvara went to the Rainataka Mountain (Samkāparenāsitam yā to Raivatakāchalam) (Ibid., P. 230, Line 7.). Pires says

hardly anything to justify it as the first known date of Isanavarman, immediate successor to Isvaravarman, is 554 A.D. (611 V.S.), we can take him to come to throne about 550 A.D.. The date of accession of Guhasena is

that king who faced the expedition was "probably the ruler of Valabhi" Maukharis, P. 73:). Tribathi does not come to any positive decision and says that "the adversary" who "went to the Raivataka mountain" are mere rhodomontade, or actually refer to some victory achieved by Isvarvarman against these southern monarchs." THK., P. 38; If we take the preceding line of the inscription into consideration that says, "the lord of Andhra, wholly given over to fear, took up (his) abode in the Vindhya Mountain" (Vindhyaddreh prati-randhram-Andhrapatim-Samkābarenāsitam Yāto Raivatakāchalam) (CII., Vol. III. P. 230), we are inclined to support the line taken by Dr. Tripathi. Fleet (CII., Vol. III, P. 229), however, takes a view to associate him with Saurastra or Kathiawar. If we take it for granted that the Raivataka's reference is intended to hint Isvaravarman's engagement with some ruler of Saurāstra and probably, the Valabhi ruler, as Pires has suggested, (Ob. cit., P. 73), the most important issue is to determine who was this Valabhi ruler? Was he Guhasena as suggested by Virji? (A. H. S., Pp. 38f.). The Jaunpur stone inscription is not dated and this has complicated the problem. Cunningham's view, that "it seems not at all improbable that this powerful king Isvaravarman may be the I-sha-fumo of the Chinese, who is mentioned as the king of Central India in the year 731 A.D., appears certainly baseless in light of new researches. ASIRC. Vol. XI. P. 25. But scholars have fixed Isvaravarman's chronology on the basis of Maukhari records and those of the Later Guptas. The sheet-anchor of chronology of the Maukharis is the Haraha inscription. But its dates have also variant readings, viz. 611 and 589. (Et. Ind., XIV. P. 118, 120, Ver. 21; Ann. Rep., Luck, Museum, 1915, P. 3). If it is Vikram era, it may correspond to 554 and 532 A.D. respectively. On the basis of the coins no conclusive stand can be taken. For further details. Ind. Ant., XX, P. 407; 7RAS., 1906, P. 849; Ind. Ant., XLVI, P. 126. Dr. Tripathi, who had taken pains, also does not come to any conclusion. THK., Pp. 55-60. Pires who has also worked over all details (Maukharis, Pp. 156-168) of the Maukhari chronology, says that as Haraha ins. may be of 611. V.S. (554 A.D.), the date of accession of Isvaravarman may be placed about 550 A.D. and, therefore, Isvaravarman who was immediate predecessor of

fixed at 553 A.D..²⁰ Thus, Isanavarman was contemporary to Guhasena and his successor Dharasena.

All records of Guhasena give an idea of his great personality. He was a great general and wise administrator. His grants prove that in his time Hinduism and Vaispavism progressed side by side. His grants refer to him both as Parama Mäheévara and Paramopäsaka. This reveals that his faith was in Saivism but he also took great interest in Buddhism. It was, probably, due to Dudda's definite inclination to Buddhism.

As the earliest known date of his successor Dharasena II is c.A.D. 571,²⁸ we can tentatively fix the end of Guhasena's rule about 569 or 570 A.D.. He was succeeded by his son Dharasena II sometime before 571 A.D., the first known date of his time, and certainly after 567 A.D., the last known date of his father²³ and thus the date of Dharasena's succession can be fixed at 569-70 A.D.,

Isanavarman, cannot be placed after 550 A.D. and certainly after 545 A.D. Dr. Majumdar also places Isvaravarman before 555 A.D. and Isanavarman about 550-76 A.D. CA., P. 70. If we accept Harabe's date as 589 V.S. (532 A.D.) the case for making Isvaravarman contemporary to Guhasena becomes still poorer, and, therefore, Virvi's contention that Isvarvarman came into conflict with Guhasena is entirely baseless and unwarranted. Therefore, Isvaravarman naturally becomes contemporary to Dharapala (549-553). And it was in the reign of Dharapala, Isvaravarman might have attacked the Valabhi king of Sauräsita. And as Dharapala, who might have been pretty old by that time, seeing the terrible army of Isvaravarman might have got refuge at the Raivataka mountain. Thus the conclusion reached by Virji that Isvaravarman attacked Sauräsita during Guhasena's reign is not properly reached at. In light of the above I sumbit that Isvaravarman attacked Sauräsita during Guhasena's reign is not properly reached at. In light of the above I sumbit that Isvaravarman attacked Sauräsita during Guhasena's reign is not properly reached at. In light of the above I sumbit that Isvaravarman attacked Sauräsita during Guhasena's reign is not properly reached at. In light of the above I sumbit that Isvaravarman attacked Rauräsita in the Isvaravarman attacked Rauräsita in the Raivataka mountain.

^{30.} AHS., P. 38.

^{31.} Ind. Ant., Vol. VII, Pp. 66f.

^{32.} And. Ant., Vol. XV, Pp. 187f.

Ibid., Vol. V, Pp. 206f.

DHARASENA II

The early career of Dharasena II appears to have faced some reverses. This is revealed from his title of Sāmanta in his grants of 571 A.D..* But very soon, probably in the same year, he appears to have dropped it a and after a couple of years we find him again as Mahārāja.* This shows that in the beginning he might have faced some difficulties but soon consolidated his position.

It has been said that Dharasena II came into conflict with the Maukharis under Isanavarman³⁹ but no convincing arguments have been brought before us to prove such a conclusion. No Maitraka records nor the Maukhari records help us to support such fanciful imagination. Dharasena again changes his title to Mahāsāmanta. He is said to have done so to "avert calamities that would afflict his subjects" and it is not because of an aggression by Isanavarman. The learned author should have found some satisfactory and understandable ground for such change in his titles. Had there been such a brilliant success achieved by Isanavarman he would have certainly referred to it alongwith other successes over the Andhras, Śūlikas and the Gaudas. It can, of course, certainly be inferred that Dharasena II might have "come to terms with his enemies" to avoid "destruction and havoc caused to his subjects. "10

In his inscriptions he is said to have "maintained all the gifts of previous kings" and that he "could prove that Sri (the goddess of wealth) and Sarasvati (the goddess of wisdom) could live together in his kingdom". These epigraphic evidences indicate that his rule was uneventful and he maintained the kingdom he inherited.

^{34.} Ind., Ant., Vol. XV, Pp. 187f.

^{35.} Ibid., Vol. VII, P. 68.

^{36.} This is clear from his Bantia plates. Ep. Ind., Vol. XXI, Pp. 179f.

^{37.} Virji., Anc. Hist. of Sau., Pp. 43f.

^{38.} Ibid., P. 43.

^{39.} Ep. Ind., XIV, Pp. 117, 120, verse 13.

^{40.} Virji, Anc. Hist. of Sau., P. 44; Bom. Gaz., I, Pt. I, P. 115.

A Palitana copper plate grant of Sinihāditya of 574 A.D.4 throws some light on the political supremacy of Dharasena II. It shows that Sāmanta Mahārāja Sinhāditya belonged to a feudatory family of Gārulakas. This grant gives a genealogy of Gārulaka family begianing with Senāpati Varāhadāsa I, his two soms Bhaṭṭisūra and Varāhadāsa II and Sinhāditya, son of the latter. As the grant says that Varāhadāsa II defeated the ruler of Dvārkā, it appears, as Dr. Majumdar suggests, that Varāhadāsa II "fought on behalf of his overlord Guhasena II or Dharasena II."49 It "swas probably under Dharasena II, and by this conquest, Dharasena might have extended his sway up to the western coast. This assumption appears to be well-founded on the basis of his Wala Plate¹³ that assigns him the title of Mahādhirāja in addition to his title Mahādāinanta-Mahūrāja.

As Dr. Majumdar has suggested, "his claim", most probably, depends upon his success of "extension of territory".41

ŚĪLĀDITYA I

The last known date of Dharasena II is c.A.D. 589. The problem of succession after the death of Dharasena is complicated. It is not so simple as has appeared to many scholars. Whereas the last known date of Dharasena II is 589 A.D. the first knowr date of Silāditya I Dharmāditya is 605-6 A.D. as we learn from Valabhi grant. 15 He issued eleven copper plate grants and they range from 605-6 A.D. to 611-12 A.D., the last being the Bhadrenika grant of 611-12 A.D.. The man who issued eleven grants during the period of six years, on average two each year, did not issue a single grant from 590 to 606 and, therefore, it seems strange to accept Silāditya I as successor of Dharasena II.46

This puzzle is partly solved by Yuan Chwang. In his accounts

^{41.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XI, Pp. 16f.

^{42.} CA., P. 63.

^{43.} Ind., Ant., VI, Pp. 11f.; CA., P. 63, Note 1.

^{44.} CA., P. 63.

^{45.} Ind. Ant., I, Pp. 46f.; Ep. Ind, XI, P. 115.

^{46.} Virji, Pp. 46f.

he refers to king Sīlāditva of Mālvā (Mo-la-po).47 who is said to have ruled over the country sixty years before him.48 This would place Siladitya somewhere between 580 and 590 A.D. but as we know it for certain that Dharasena II was on the Valabhi throne in 589 A.D., we can accept Städitya as a successor to Dharasena II as the identification of Siladitya I Dharmaditva with Silāditva of Mo-la-po now appears to be an established one.46 But the emergence of his brother Kharagraha I soon after Siladitya I complicates the situation as we learn that Kharagraha I succeeded Maitraka throne after Šīlāditva I in supercession to latter's son named Dherabhatta. 50 This is proved by the grants of Kharagraha I.51 The (Bhadrenika) grant of Šilāditya I of 611-12 A.D.58 refers to Šīlāditya and Kharagraha I as Indra and Upendra. This, according to Dr. Sinha, gives some hint of "a dispute between the two brothers in which the younger, Kharagraha I, came out successful.51 As there was no properly maintained convention at the Maitraka court pertaining to the law of succession.51 it seems probable that Kharagraha I tried to wrest the Maitrika throne after Dharasena II. And as Šilāditva I was a religious minded and virtuous man, he must have avoided bloodshed for the sake of the throne.

^{47.} Watters, Vol. II, P. 242; Beal, II, P. 260.

^{48.} It is not clear that Yuan Chwang counts these sixty years from the time he visited India or from the date he compiled his records. If he calculates the period of sixty years from the date he compiled the record it would come to about 590 A.D.,

EHI., P. 344; CA., P. 63; Beal, II, Pp. 260f. Waters, II, Pp. 248f. Sylvin Levi, Journal des Savants, 1905, Pp. 544-48.

^{50.} CII., Vol. III, No. 39, Pp. 171-191.

Gadre, Imp. Insc. from Baroda State, Baroda, 1943, Pp. 7f; Proceed.
of VII. All-India Oriental Confe., Baroda, Pp. 659f; Ann. Rep. of Watson Museum,
1933-34, Pp. 7f.

^{52.} EP. Ind., Vol. XXI, Pp. 116f.

DKM., P. 183.

^{54.} This is proved by the fact that before Guhasena all four brothers ruled successively after Bhakārka.

This might have created a state of uncertainty about the recognised succession after Dharasena II. Dr. Raychaudhary opines that "a branch of the dynasty established itself in Mo-la-po or the western-most part of Mālvā in the second half of the sixth century A.D." and the another, "a junior branch continued to rule at Valabhi." It is probable that Stläditya remained satisfied with the kingdom of Mo-la-po and agreed to accept the control of Kharagraha I over Valabhi. This also reconciles with the accounts of Yuan Chwang. By 605 A.D. a compromise might have reached between the two brothers and Kharagaraha I might have agreed to the unification of the kindgom? With a condition that he would succeed the Maitraka throne after the death of Silāditya I. As an obedient son Devabbaţa might have honoured this commitment of his father.

As Šilāditya I is contemporary to both Prabhākaravardhana and Harṣavardhana, we shall deal with his relations with the Puṣpabhūtis while dealing with them.

5. THE GURJARAS

The second half of the sixth century A.D. that precedes the rise of Harsavardhana saw the rise of another power that made an attempt to establish its principality in north. Bāṇa .ays that king Prabhākaravardhana was "a troubler to the sleep of the Gurjaras." This shows that the Gurjaras came into conflict with the Puspabhūtis before the rise of Harsa, and a brief reference to them appears necessary.

The story of the origin of the Gurjaras has been an enigma that has baffled several scholars and they are sharply divided on this issue. Some of them regard Gurjaras of foreign descent² whereas others have tried

^{55.} PHAI., P. 427, Note 3.

^{56.} Watters, II, 242.

This is why Silāditya issued his grant in c. 605 A.D. Ind. Ant.,
 I, Pp. 46f.; Ep. Ind., XI, Pp. 115f.

^{1.} HCCTH., P. 101.

ASIRC., II, Pp. 61f.; Dr. Hoernle, JRAS., 1904, Pp. 639-662;
 Ibid., 1905, Pp. 1-31; also, JBBRAS., XXI, Pp. 411f.; Smith, EHI., P. 340;

to prove their indigenous origin.³ But authing can be said conclusively⁴ and it appears that the Gurjaras were of Indian origin, and "no definite evidence" could have been put before the learned world to prove that "they were foreigners."³ And "the consensus of opinion seems to be in arounr of their indigenous origin.⁸ Thus the stand taken by Ojha, Vaidya, Ganguly and others has yet remained a substantial basis of their indigenous origin.

The carliest literary reference to Gurjaras, most probably, is in the Bāṇa's Harṣacharita. But Bāṇa's report in his description as he says that Prabhākaravardhana was "a troubler to the sleep of the Gurjaras". Thus it is clear that the Gurjaras were in possession of some territories. Secondly, Bāṇa refers to them alongwith the Hūṇas, king of Sindhu, lord of

JRAS., 1909, Pp. 53-76, 247-281; Bom. Gaz., I, Part I, Pp. 471-78; Jackson, JRAS., 1905. Pp. 153f; Buhler, Ind. Ant., XVII, P. 192, Cambell, Bom. Gaz., IX, Pt. 1, 471-78; Ind. Ant., XL, Pp. 21-24; Bhandarkar, JBBRAS., XXI, Pp. 403f. Proceed. Ind. Hist. Cong., Aligarh Session, Pp. 44f; R. C. Majumdar. JDL., X, Pp. 1f. THK., Pp. 221f; IHQ., Vol. X., Pp. 337f.; Ibid., XI, Pp. 167f. Ind. Cul., Vol. I, P. 510f.

Munshi, The Glory of the Gurjara Deśa; Puri, the History of the Gurjara-Pratihāras, Bom., 1957, Pp. 1-6.

^{4.} Recently Dr. R. C. Majumdar, one of the champions of the foreign descent of the Gurjaras, has also come to realize that "there is not definite evidence that they were foreigners, and came to India in historical times in the wake of the Hūnas, the Kushanas or other foreign hohes. Their sudden rise into prominence in the sixth century A. D., and the attempt of some of their royal dynasties to fabricate a mythical origin, no doubt, lend colour to this view. But these cannot be relied upon as definite evidence, and we may cite analogous instances of the Kalachuris and the Chandellas." CA. P. 65. With this remark Dr. Majumdar appears to have inclined to cross the floor and "this question" need not "be left open till more definite evidence is available." For his further views, Munshi Diamond Jub. Vol., Part II, Pp. 1-18.

^{5.} CA., P. 65.

^{6.} Puri. The History of the Guriara-Pratiharas, Bom., 57, Pb. 1-2.

Lata and Mälvä. This indicates that by the later half of the sixth century A.D. Gurjaras were regarded as an important political force in the comity of kingdoms contemporary to Prabhākaravardhana.

Various names of territories are found to have been associated with Gurjaras and their wide distribution* proves the existence of many principalities of the Gurjaras. But most important, and, probably, the first political unit which they carved out for them, was that in Rājputānā. On the basis of Jainad inscription, an information obtained from Tilaka-Mañjari of Dhanapāla and another inscription of V.S. 682 from Vasantagarh, Dr. Puri has also presumed the association of the Gurjaras with Mount Abu.* This association may have been in imitation to other princely families of Paramāras, Pratihāras, Chauhānas and Chālukyas.

Epigraphic evidence also supports the view that the Pratihāras belonged to Rājputānā¹⁰ and this has helped us in constructing the history of the early Gurjara rulers of Rājputānā. The Jodhapur inscription of Bauka¹¹ and five Ghatiyata inscription of Kakkuka¹² give us a genealogy of the Gurjara dyansty of Rājputānā. The man who is accredited of having laid the foundations of the Gurjara line of Rājputānā is Harichandra. The Jodhapura inscription records the brilliant personal achievements of Harichandra. He had mastered the V:das and Śāstras and was equal

^{7.} The first reference to the Gurjaras, according to Dr. Majumdar has been found in a Tamil poem "Manimekhalai" written before the sixth century A.D. But this cannot be taken as an established fact as opined by Mr. Krishnasusamy Aiyangar in a note to Dr. R.C. Majumdar. The former says "I do not offer it as a definite conclusion, but I do believe that the immigration of the Curjaras is not such a settled fact of history for deductive application." cited by Dr. R.C. Majumdar, in JDL., Vol. X, P. 3, fin. 2.

^{8.} Puri, Hist. of Gurjara-Pratiharas, Pp. 14-18

^{9.} Ibid., P. 7.

^{10.} JDL., X, p. 6; Ep. Ind., Vol. III, P. 266.

JRAS., 1894, Pp. 1f; Ep. Ind., XVIII, Pp. 87f; JDL., Vol. X,
 Pp. 6ff.

^{12.} JRAS., 1895, Pp. 513f; Ep. Ind., IX, Pp. 277f; JDL., X, P. 6f.

to Prajāpati as a proceptor (Prajāpati samoguruḥ). He married a Brāhmaṇa's daughter (Pariṇtā doijdmajā) and afterwards he married Bhadrā, a Kṣatriya girl, born in a noble lineage (doitiyā Bhadrā Mahākulāguṇānoitā). The sons through the Brāhmaṇa wife came to be known as Pratihāra Brāhmaṇa (Pratihāra doijābhīta Brāhanaŋāṃ) and those of the Kṣatriya wife Bhadrā became the Kṣatriya Pratihalras. They are called the drinkers of wine (madhuṣpiṇāṇ. 13

This information is further supplemented by Ghatiyata inscriptions which inform us that Harichandra was the family preceptor (VaihSaguru) of the Pratihāras.

Rajjila was his son by his Kṣatriya wife Bhadrā. He and his three brothers Bhogabhata, Kakka and Dadda conquered the fort of Māṇḍavyapura (mod. Mandor) and thus they started their political achicvements.

On the basis of the date of Kakkuka, V.S. 918 (c.A.D. 861), Dr. Majumdar appears to be correct when he says that "the founder of the dynasty, Harichandra, may be placed about 550 A.D." and thus Rajjila, son and successor of Harichandra, and the latter's son Narabhaṭa 1 and his successor Nāgabhaṭa I were the contemporaries to Prabhākaravardhana.

Here reference may also be made to another line of the Gurjaras who ruled over the Bharoach region. This we learn from the records of Gurjara king, named Dadda II, who claims to have extended his support and protection to the Valabhi ruler (Dhruvasena II) when the latter was attacked by Harṣa.¹³ His records issued between A.D. 629 and A.D. 641 make him contemporary to Harṣa.

On the basis of Prince of Wales Museum plates of Jayabhatta (III?), 16 professor Mirashi has worked out the genealogy of the Gurjaras of Bharoach. Dadda I was the founder of this line. He was succeeded

^{13.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XVIII, Pp. 87f., Verses 6-8.

^{14.} JDL., X, P. 8. also Note 1.

^{15.} Ind. Ant., Vol. XVII, P. 196f.

Ep. Ind., Vol. XXIV, Pp. 178f.

by Jayabhatta I Vitarāga. The latter was succeeded by Dadda II Praśāntrāga. It was during his times Harşa came into conflict with the Valabht ruler. Dadda's birth in the Gurjara family and his contemporancity with Rajjila or his successor Narabhatta (later half of the sixth century A.D.) support us "reasonably" to conclude that Dadda I and Dadda, one of the sons of Harichandra through his Kṣatriya wife Bhadrā might have been one and the same man!". We have references to another Gurjara principality in the Aihole inscription! and one that is referred to by Yuan Chwang!* It appears probable that, like Rajjila, his other brothers might have carved out their own principalities. This is in tune with the spirit of their recordwhich describes them "as it to bear the burdens of the earth²⁰." The role that these Gurjara chiefs played in the political history of the country that followed the decline and fall of Imperial Guptas will be disc cussed when we discuss Harṣa and his relations with contemporary rulers.

6. KINGDOM OF VANGA AND GAUDA

Samudragupta and Chandragupta II achieved the task of annexing and subjugating Samatata¹ and Vanga² and it can definitely be said that

^{17.} This cannot be said conclusively. Dr. Majumdar favours such a view, CA., P. 66. Dr. Puri has discussed it in detail and he does not agree with Dr. Majumdar. Hist. of Gujara-Pratithäras, Pp. 28-30; but the arguments put forward by the learned scholar are not convincing.

^{18.} Ep. Ind., Vol. VI, P. 1-12.

^{19.} Watters, 11, P. 249.

^{20.} CA., Pp. 65-66.

^{1.} CII., Vol. III, No. 1, Line 22, Pp. 8, 14.

Scholars vary their arguments with regard to the identification of king Chandra'. Some identify him with Chandragupta I. C.II., Vol. III, No. 32, P. 140, note 1; Basak, Ind. Ant., 1919, Pp. 98-101; HNEL, Pp. 1987; J.H., IV, Pp. 14-22. Whereas others hold that he was Chandragupta II. JRAS., 1897, Pp. If. EIII., P. 290. Mm. H. P. Sastri identifies him with Chandrawarman of Susunia Rock Ins. Ep. Ind., XII, R. C. Majumdar does not take any conclusive stand

the Gupta empire included entire Bengāl in its territorial boundaries?. After the death of Skandagupta and specially under the rulers who followed him in quick succession, Northern India fell prey to gradual political disintegration and as its inevitable result we have seen how a number of small kingdoms were established. Some of them attained tremendous power and declared their independence. Kingdoms of Vañaga and Samatata also tried to make political capital out of these confused and chaotic conditions. As we have seen earlier that, like feudatories and founders of the new principalities, Governors also took full advantage of this political disintegration. A reference has been made to the governor of Pundravardhana who was called simply "Uparika" in the time of Budhagupta. And like the other kingdoms, in Bengāl, too, an independent kingdom was founded after the fall of the Imperial Guptas.

This kingdom of Vanga appears to have been founded by Gopachandral who was followed by Dharmāditya and Samāchāradeva. They assumed high-sounding titles of 'Mahārājādhirāja', and probably, the sudden emergence of these mahārājas without proper basis for the justification of these titles, led R. D. Banerjee to come to the conclusion that "these grants are forgeries'.", but Pargiter takes them as genuine records⁶.

but he favours the identification of 'Chandra' with Chandragupta II. CA., Pp. 20f; This appears as correct and several other scholars have supported this view.

^{3.} Dr. Majumdar opines that Samataļa was an exception. Hist. of Bengāl, J, 1943, Dazca, P. 41. But on the basis of Allahaba Pillar inscription, he, too, feels that "Samataļa was a tributary state acknowledging the suzerainty of the Cupta Empire." Ibid., P. 41. Later he expresses the view that Samataļa was "gradually incorporated into the Gupta Empire." Ibid., Pp. 49f.

Six inscriptions belonging to these three kings, namely Gopachandra, Dharmāditya and Samāchāradena of the kingdom of Vanga here been discovered so far. Ind., Ant., 1910, Pp. 193f; JASB. (N.S.)., VI, Pp. 429f; Ibid., VII, Pp. 476f; Ep. Ind., XVIII, Pp. 74f; Ep. Ind., XXII, P. 155f.

^{5.} JASB. (N.S.)., Pp. 429f; Ibid., VII, Pp. 289f; Ibid., X, Pp. 425f.

JASB (N.S.)., VII, Aug. 1911, Pp. 499f.; JRAS., 1912, Pp.

Pargiter is further supported by Bhattasali.* Dr. Majumdar, who says that gold coins were issued by Samāchāradeva, "supports the same conclusion." This kingdom consisted of Eastern and Southern Bengāl and the Southern part of Western Bengāl¹². Under these rulers the kingdom of Bengāl enjoyed "strong and stable government" that brought "peace and prosperity to the people". But their end, like their rise, was also sudden. We learn from the Mahākūṭa pillar inscription that the Chālukya king Kirtivarman I claims to have achieved the conquest over Aṅga, Vanga and Magadha and other kingdoms¹³, and, as suggested by Dr. Majumdar, his adversary in Vanga must have been "either Samāchāradeva, or one of his successora. This must have shaken the foundations of the Vanga kingdom and the new kingdom of Gauda might have "dealt the final death blow" to it. 14

The history of the kingdom of Gauḍa¹⁵ under Śaśāńka is of much interest during the period under review. The kingdom of Gauḍa consisted of North Bengal and the northern part of West Bengal.¹⁶ As we have

^{7.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XVIII, Pp. 79f.

JASB. (N.S.)., XIX, Num. Supplement, Pp. 54f. cited by R.C. Majumdar in History of Bengål, I, P. 52, note 1; Ep. Ind., Vol. XVIII, Pp. 79-84.

^{9.} Hist. of Bengal, I, Pp. 52f.

^{10.} Ibid., I, Pp. 51f.

^{11.} Ibid., I. P. 54.

Ind. Ant., XIX, Pp. 17 and 19; Bom. Gaz., Vol. I, Part II, P. 345; THK., P. 42.

^{13.} Majumdar, History of Bengal, Vol., I., P. 54.

^{14.} Ibid., Pp. 54 and 59f.

^{15.} For detailed study of Gauda kingdom see IHQ., 1952, Vol. 28, Pb. 123-133.

^{16.} Dr. Majumdar has discussed the extent of Gauda country throughout the ages. Hist. of Bengal, I, Pp. 12-15. He concludes that "throughout the Hindu period Gauda and Vanga loosely denoted the two prominent political divisions:

seen above that the Imperial Guptas held their sway over entire Bengāl and it was during the political confusion during the decline and after the fall of the Guptas many kingdoms came into existence. The kingdom of Gauda under Šašānka was an important one in the eastern part of India, 17 We do not possess any definite information pertaining to an ancestry and early life of Śaśānka 18 except the fact that he startedhis career as a feudatory chieft¹⁸, probably under the Maulharis²⁰. We do not know anything of

of Bengal, the former comprising the Northern and either the whole or part of Western Bengal, and the latter, Southern and Eastern Bengal." Ibid., P. 55.

^{17.} Basak, NHEI., Pp. 135f.

^{18.} Dr. Raychaudhary establishes his (Safönka's) connection with the Guptar, PHAI., Sixth Ed., P. 514; Dr. R. D. Banerjee opines that he was the son or nephew of Mahāsenagupta. Bāngālār Itihāsa, Part I, Sec. Ed., P. 105; G. Kāmarūpa Śāsanāvali, Introduction, P. 85. Dr. Majumdar does not agree with these views. History of Bengal, Vol. I, Pp. 59-60. Dr. Sinha also thinks that no relationship can be maintained between Guptas and Śaśānka. DKM., P. 226.

^{19.} This is clear from Saśānka's own record. CII., Vol., III, No. 78. Pp. 283-284. On numismatic grounds Bhattasali suggests that Saśānka was son and successor of Mahārājādhirāja Samāchāradeva. Cat. Co. Br. Mus., Intro., P. CXXVII, Pl. XI, cxxiv, Pp. 149-150. But this, too, has no proper basis to be accepted. DKM., Pp. 226f. notes 4 and 5. Some attempts are also made to establish "close relationship" between Saśānka and Jayanāga. Ep. Ind., Vol. XVIII, Pp. 60f. Dr. Sinha finds some basis in the Harşacharita where Śaśānka or the Gauda king is compared to a serbent (HCCTH., Pp. 83,85). DKM., P. 229-234. But nothing can be settled conclusively till some more positive proofs are available.

^{20.} Scholars have differed on this issue as to who was the overlord to whom Saldhka extended his allegiance. Dr. Majumdar holds that he was "no other than Mahäsenagupta." (History of Bengal, I, P. 59, also Pp. 56f.); but this view does not appear to be well-founded as we learn that Mahäsenagupta, though a very powerful ruler in the earlier part of his reign, had to face many calamities in the later part of his life. Either he was killed in one of the battles or was forced to go into compulsory retirement and his two vons were placed at the court of Prabhäkarapardhana,

the steps Saśānka took to wrest the kingdom of Gauda; but it appears that he might have utilized the opportunity of political instablity and confusion that followed the militant expeditions of the Chālukya king Kirtivarman I. What we know is that Saśānka was the ruler of Gauda with his capital at Karņasuvarņa. We shall deal with his later activities in course of our discussion about the circumstances that led Harṣa to the thrones of Thāneswar and Kannui.

7. KALINGA

It is difficult to say whether Kalinga formed the part of the Gupta Empire. It cannot be explained easily why Samudragupta, the Masterbuilder of the Gupta Empire, during his campaign in the Dakşināpatha, did not follow "the more practicable route to the Godāvari-Krisnā Doab along the eastern coast through Southern-Western Bengal" and took up "the extremely difficult route through the Jabalpur and Raipur districts of the Central Provinces (Madhya Pradesh)." Ihis itinerary, probably, covered of Bamra, Rairakhol, Sonepur, Patna and Karond, and he

Thus the overlordship of Mahäsenagupta over Sašāhka cannot be maintained for entire period of his rule. On the other hand, Dr. Gauguty, on the authority of the Deo-Baranark inscription, maintains that "Sašāhka waa a feudatory of Avantivarman and probably, for a short period, of his son Grahawarman." IHQ., Pp. 456f. I have also whitted elsewhere, as has been suggested by Dr. Majumdar, that Mahäsenagupta conquered Magadha. To me it appears that Sašāhka was a feudatory to Mahāsenagupta dwing the latter's earlier years when he held way over Magadha and probably over part of Assam; but after his fall, came under the Maukharis, and, therefore, Sašāhka musthave accepted the succerainty of the Mawkharis. Thus the possibility is that Sašāhka became the feudatory of Avantivarman and, later, of his son Grahawarman, as has been suggested by Dr. Ganguly. Dr. Majumdar, too, says that it is "not altogether improbable" but "not supported by any convincing evidence." I may humbly submit that Dr. Majumdar's arguments, too, are hardly convincing.

^{1.} R. D. Banerjee, History of Orissa, Cal., 1930, Vol. I, P. 115.

^{2.} Ibid., P. 115.

"proceeded or receded leaving the country unchanged." We have also no information at present to show that Orissa was conquered by any of the successors of Samudragupta. At the same time we do not come accross any "royal dynasty ruling over Orissa during the fourth and fifth centuries A.D.4.

But the province was "distinctly within the zone of influence of Gupta political influence and culture" and remained so throughout the Gupta period. This is proved by an inscription discovered at Sumandala, a village near Khallikote in Orissa.

PRITHVI-VIGRAHA

This Sumandala inscription is of great importance as it gives an account of a king named Prithvi-Vigraha who is said to have ruled over Kalinga "in the dominion of the Guptas", in the year 205 of the G. E. corresponding to c. 569-70 A.D.. Thus, Orissa appears to have been under Gupta suzerainty, at least, till c.A.D. 569-70". This expansion of Gupta influence is also proved by the use of the Gupta era in certain inscriptions. We know nothing of the Gupta supremacy in Kalinga after the year 569-70 A.D.; neither we possess any information about the successors of Prithvi-Vigraha. He was probably the first and the last man in his family to attain a feudatory status worth the name and with the end of his life the family also lost its importance in the political scene in Kalinga.

MĀNAVAMSA

After the decline of the Guptas and with that of the line of Prithvl-Vigraha we come to the new line of the Māna rulers. The earliest dated record that makes references to Māna dynasty is the Patiakella plate of

^{3.} Ibid., P. 117.

^{4.} CA., P. 92.

^{5.} R. D. Banerjee, History of Orissa, Vol. I, P. 117.; C.A., P. 92.

IHQ., Vol. XXVI, Pp. 75.

^{7.} CA., Pp. 44, 92-93.

^{8.} R. D. Banerjee, History of Orissa, Vol. I, P. 117. The learned

the Mahārāja Sivarāja. This is dated in the year G.E. 283 (c, A.D. 602-3).

SAGGUYAYYANA

This record shows that Mahārāja Śivarāja was a feudatory to king Śagguyayyana, 10 who belonged to the Mānavamās. It is really surprising to note that the feudatory ruler Śivarāja assumed the title of Mahārāja whereas Śagguyayyana did not assume any title such as Mahārāja or Mahārājādhirāja. He is simply referred to as Paramamāhedvara-Paramabhaṭṭāraka Paramadevatādhidaivatā. That the feudatory assumed the title of Mahārāja suggests that the suzerain certainly must have been enjoying higher political status, and an absence of any political title such as Mahārāja or Mahārājādhirāja in his case might have been accidental or an error on the part of the engraver of the grant.

As his earliest known date is the year G. E. 260 (c. 579-80 A. D.)¹¹, it appears that Sugguyayyana was the first man in the Mānavamia who brought eminence to his line. It is probable that the family of Prithvi-Vigraha might have been uprooted by Sagguyayyana sometime after G.E. 250 (c. 559-70 A.D.), but certainly before G.E. 260¹³ (c. 579-80 A.D.), the first known date of his reign.

scholar has referred to the Paliakella plate of Sivarēja of the Gupta year 283 and the Arang Plate of Bhimasena II of the Gupta year 282. Ep. Ind., Vol. IX, Pp. 342-345.

Ep. Ind., Vol. IX, Pp. 285-88. Another record of this ruler is also found. Ibid., Vol. XXIII, Pp. 198f.

^{10.} Dr. Stein konow reads it as 'Sambhuyayya' (referred to in Hist. of Orissa, Vol. I, P. 118) and it is adopted by certain authors (CA., P. 93); but Dr. Banerjee reads it as 'Saguyayyana' and observes that after Steins's suggested reading "I cannot change my opinion framed twontyfour years ago after seeing the plate once more." Hist. of Orissa. Vol. I. P. 118.

^{11.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XXIII, P. 198.

^{12.} JRASB. (Letters), Vol. XI, Pp. 4f.

ORIGIN OF THE MĀNAS

Our knowledge of the origin of the Māna dynasty is insufficient and poor. Dr. R. D. Banerjee tries to connect this line to another Māna line¹³ which is referred to in the Govindapur Stone inscription of the poet Gangadhara of the Saka year 1059¹⁴ and the Dūdhapāni rock inscription of Udayamāna¹³. These inscriptions record the modest beginning of the dynasty that ultimately succeeded in carving out a small principality under Udayamāna, and this was made possible with an assistance of King Ādisinha of Magadha.

THE SAILODBHAVAS

But we know nothing definite about "many generations" that ruled after Sagguyayyana and soon find another dynasty ruling over Orissa simultaneously. This was the family of Sailodbhavas¹⁸ known from the Buguda plates of Mādhavavarman discovered at Buguda in Ghumsur Tālukā of the Ganjām District.¹⁹

THEIR ORIGIN

This inscription and several other records of the later period inform that Pulindasena, a great devotee of Brahman, got a boon from Brahman. He created, out of Silä, the lord Sailodbhava. The latter founded the line of Sailodbhavas.¹⁸ It was in this family Raṇabhiṭa or Araṇabhiṭa was born, who, it appears, "took advantage of the anarchy

^{13.} History of Orissa, Vol. I, Pp. 118-19.

^{14.} Ep. Ind., Vol. II, Pp. 330-342.

^{15.} Ibid., Vol. II, P. 334-347.

The dynasty has been dealt with in details in the Journal of Andhra Historical Research Society. Vol. X, Pp. 1f; R. D. Banerjee, Hist. of Orissa, Pp. 120f.

Ep. Ind., Vol. III, Pp. 41-46; Ibid., Vol. VI, Pp. 143-6; Ibid.,
 Vol. VII, Pp. 100-101.

As the line founded by Puspabhüti was called Puspabhütivamia, Satlodbhavas were named after Silā.

and confusion, following the dissolution of the Gupta Empire," carved out an independent kingdom of his own.¹⁹

SAINYABHĪTA I. YASOBHĪTA AND SAĪNYABHĪTA II

Raṇabhiṭa or Araṇabhiṭa was succeeded by his son Sainyabhiṭa I Mādhavarāja. The latter was succeeded by his son Yaśobhiṭa. His son was Sainyabhiṭa II Mādhavarāja II. The latter, according to his Ganjām plates of the G.E. 300 (c.A.D. 619) flourished in the second decade of the 7th century A.D. or even earlier. He assumed the title of Mahāṣāmanta and Mahārāja and was a feudatory of Mahārājādhirāja Saśāñka.

If we assign a period of twenty years to each generation preceding to Sainyabhlta II Mādhavarāja II, we can assume that Raṇabhlta might have flourished about the middle of the sixth century A.D..

8. KÄMARŪPA1

Samudragupta was the first of the Gupta emperors to have extended his suzerainty over Assam.² The Allahabad Pillar inscription refers to the kingdoms of Daväka³ and Kämarūpa.⁴ These kingdoms are said to

^{19.} CA., P. 94.

The kingdom was also known as Prägipolisa, the name sometimes
applied to the capital also. It was during the classical, or, rather the medieval
times, the kingdom came to be known as Kāmarūpa. About the 13th century it was
named Assam.

CII., Vol. III, No. 1, Pp. 16f; RKMGE., Pp. 24f; AIC., P. 20.;
 CA., Pp. 8f; PHAI., Sixth Ed., P. 543, footnote 4.

^{3.} Kingdom of Daväka has not yet been "satisfactority identified".
(PHAI., Sixth Ed., P. 643, footnote 4). It has been identified with Dacca, (Hoyland, the Empire of the Creat Mogol, P. 14; AIG., P. 20) an ancient kingdom of Tagaung in upper Burma. (Ibid., P. 20), Kopili-Yamuna-Kolong Valley in Middle Assam. (K. L. Barua, Early History of Kāmarūpa P. 42); RKMGE., P. 24; CR., Pp. 8f; Ep. Ind., Vol. XXVII, Pp. 18f.

^{4.} Kamarupa certainly formed the part of Assam. It may correspond

have been conquered by Samudragupta. At that time Kāmarūpa was ruled over by the Varmans.

ORIGIN OF THE VARMANS

The Nidhānapur copper plate of Bhāskaravarman⁵ and the Nālandā seals⁶ show that Puşyavarman was the first ruler in the line of the Varmans.

Bāṇa records that the progenitor of the line of the Varmans of Kāmartipa was Naraka, "son of the Holy Earth having through union with lord Viṣnu in his Boar incarnation." He adds, "In the posterity of this hero many great kings were born." Of them he makes mention of Bhāgadatta, Puṣpadatta and Vajradatta and jumps to Mahārājādhirāja. Suṣthitavarman, greatgrandson of Mahārāja Bhūtivarman, grandson of Chandramukhavarman and son of Sthitavarman. To him was born by his queen Syāmādevi, a son and heir, Bhāskaradyuti, otherwise named Bhāskaravarman." He was contemporary to Harşa.

Thus, it appears that Naraka was treated as the founder of the line of the Varmans according to tradition recorded by Bāṇa; but Puṣya-varman appears to be the first historical personage who might have started his career about the middle of the fourth century A.D.,⁸ and it has been suggested that "the dynasty owed its rise or importance to the patronage of the great emperor (Samudragupta)."⁹

It has been further suggested that the similarity of names of Samudragupts and his wife Datta Devi with the second king Samudravarman of the Varman dynasty of Kämarüpa and his queen Datta Devi was not "a mere coincidence" but rather "deliberate imitations of the names of the

to Lower Assam, (PHAI., Sixth Ed., P. 543) consisting of Gauhâtî District. RKMGE. P. 24.

^{5.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XII, Pp. 73f.; Ibid., Vol. XIX, Pp. 115f.

^{6.} Mem. Arch. Su. of India., No. 66, Pp. 69-70.

^{7.} HCCTH., P. 217.

^{8.} CA., P. 89.

^{9.} Ibid., P. 89.

Gupta emperor and his queen", or Pusyavarman, "out of loyalty and devotion to his overlord and patron named his son and daughter-in-law after the names of the great emperor and empress."¹⁰

The Imperial Guptas continued their hold over Assam and, therefore, we cannot attach much political significance to the early rulers of the Varman dynasty till we come to the beginning of the sixth century A.D.. They appear to have enjoyed feudatory status under the Guptas,

But with the weakening of the Gupta power they might have made some attempts to throw off their traditional allegiance which they extended to the Guptas. This accounts for the performances of two advamedhas by Nārāyapavarman or his predecessors.¹¹ When the Gupta Empire broke into pieces, the Varmans got an opportunity of establishing their independent rule over the Brahmaputrā Valley.

BHŪTIVARMAN

Under Bhütivarman (Mahābhūtivarman) the Varmans extended their territorial boundaries up to Koši. This is confirmed by his land grants in Vişaya Chandrapuri, demarcated by Kauśiki (Kosi).¹²

We have already seen that the sixth century starts with political anarchy and confusion, and almost all ever India attempts were made for carving out independent principalities. The Varmans, who were so far the loyal feudatories to the Guptas, made successful bid of establishing an independent rule. Bhūtivarman's conquests enabled him to extend his kingdom up to the Surmā valley corresponding to Sylhet Division and he made grants to Brāhmaṇas. We learn from the Nidhānapur Copperplates of Bhāskaravarman that the grants were already made by Bhūtivarman to more than two hundred Brāhmaṇas. Bhāskaravarman ratified

^{10.} Ibid. P. 90.

Mem. Arch. Sur. Ind. No. 66., Pp. 69f.; JBROS., Vol. V, Pp. 302f; Ibid., VI, Pp. 151f.

^{12.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XII, Pp. 65f; Ibid., Vol. XIX, Pp. 115f.

these grants as the original deed was lost. These lands were located in the sylbet region.18

It was, probably to commemorate these victories he performed the asymmetha sacrifice¹⁴ and thus, justifiably assumed the title of Mahārājādhirāja.¹⁴

That Bhûtivarman was the first ruler of his dynasty who succeeded in his attempts at establishing an independent kingdom is also proved by Bāṇa. The latter records the tradition current in sixth-seventh centuries A.D. and says that after Bhāgadatta, Puspadatta, and Vajradatta had passed away, there was born Mahārājādhirāja Susthiravarman, great-grandson of Mahārāja Bhūtivarman. Thus both epigraphic and literary traditions make Bhūtivarman the real founder of political power of the Varmans of Kāmarūpa.

He, as told earlier, made real political gains out of the political conditions following the disintegration of the Gupta empire.

CHANDRAMUKHAVARMAN

Bhūtivarman was succeeded by Chandramukhavarman. We know very little about him. Bāṇa does not ascribe to him any title, such as Maḥārājādhirāja or Maḥārāja, and it appears that he did not add any territory to the existing one, but might have maintained the ancestral kingdom intact.

STHITAVARMAN

Chandramukhavarman was succeeded by Sthitavarman. About his political achievements, too, we do not find any categorical reference.

CA., P. 91, footnote 2; JRASBL., Vol. I, Pp. 419f; Ind. Cul.,
 Vol. II, Pp. 153f.; IHQ., Vol. VII, Pp. 743f; Ibid., Vol. XI, Pp. 60f.; Ep.
 Ind., Vol. XIX., Pp. 11f.

This information is based upon an information we get from a rock inscription in the Kapili valley. Ep. Ind., Vol. XXVII, Pp. 18f.

^{15.} Ibid., Pp. 18f.

HCCTH., P. 217.

But his performances of two Aśvamedha sacrifices must have some basis. He might have pursued the policy of his grandfather and it is, probably, to commemorate some political gains or conquests he might have performed these. Akyamedha sacrifices.

SUSTHITAVARMAN

Sthitavarman was succeeded by Sunthitavarman. Bāṇa calls him Mahārājādhirāja and a splendid hero famous in the world as Mṛi-gāṇka.¹¹ Thus after Bhūtivarman he was the next great king of the dynasty, and perhaps greater than Bhūtivarman, if Bāṇa's titles of 'Mahārāja' and 'Mahārājādhirāja' to Bhūtivarman and Sunthitavarman respectively, bear some political significance.

But, as told earlier, he suffered a major defeat at the hands of Mahäsenagupta. This would have been a great setback to the rising power of the Varmans of Kāmarūpa; but, as Dr. Majumdar opines that it led to no permanent result and they (the Varmans) might have recovered soon as we learn that soon after his campaign in Brahmaputrā Valley, Mahäsenagupta fell prey to successive reverses.

Thus Sushitavarman's defeat at the hands of Mahāsenagupta might have been a temporary eclipse over the rising power of the Varmans and the latter soon recovered from this shock. Bāṇa treats him with high estimation. He (Sushihiavarman) even as a boy (Takhabālava) dealt out presents to Brāhmaṇas (dujātinaprityāchārātina) through affection (prityā) and reverses to all enemies through hate (Sāmagrāhpratigrahānagrāhprat). He took away the conch-shells of the lotds of the armies (Vāhinināthārāni); 18 grasped the stability of the earth (prithinyāh-sthainya jagrrāh); seized the majesty of monarchs (avanībrītāni). 18

These praises are certainly exaggerated; but we can hardly

^{17.} HOCTH., P. 217.

^{18.} The learned editors maintain that "the use of the conches was a sign of independent rule." HCCTH., P. 217, footnote 2.

^{19.} Ibid., P. 217.

dismiss them as entirely baseless. What Bāṇa makes clear is that Susthitavarman was the first great king who enjoyed complete independent status.

SUPRATISTHITAVARMAN AND BHÄSKARAVARMAN

Bāna tells us that Susthitavarman was succeeded by Bhāskaravarman. The latter is said to be his son and heir by his queen Syamadevi. He was born to her as Bhìsma was born to Santanu by Bhagirathi. ** This clearly makes out a case for Bhaskarayarman as an immediate successor of Susthitavarman. But Doobi Copper-plate of Bhaskaravarman 21 gives us another name of prince Supratisthitavarman, who succeeded Susthitavarman and preceded Bhāskaravarman. This record "proves definitely" that Supratisthitavarman ascended the throne.22 But we cannot explain why he is dropped by Bana in his account in the Harşacharita. It is probable that he might have ruled for a very short time and might not have caught the attention of Bana. Doobi copper-plate of Bhaskaravarman records that Supratisthitavarman and Bhāskaravarman "defeated the forces of Gauda after the death of their father while they were still young."28 The suggestion of Dr. R. C. Majumdar that "victory over the forces of Gauda must have taken place almost immediately after the victorious campaign of Mahasenagupta" and that "the defeated Gauda king was none other than Mahāsenagupta"34 is a workable hypothesis. But as the learned scholar has observed, it is impossible to come to any definite conclusion.25

Supratişihitavarman was succeeded by his brother Bhāskaravarman. Bāṇa says it was his firm resolution (Sankalpa) never to pay homage to any being (nāhanmanyanh namaskuryāmiti) except the lotus

^{20.} Ibid., P. 217.

^{21. 7}ARS., Vol. XII. Nos. 1 and 2, P. 16.

^{22.} CA., P. 92, footnote 2.

^{23. 7}RAS., Vol. XII, Nos. 1 and 2; CA., P. 92.

^{24.} Ibid., Pp. 76 and 92.

^{25.} Ibid., P. 76.

feet of lord Sthāṇu. He was contemporary of Harşa and his role in the national political scene will be discussed alongwith Harşa's political career.

^{26.} HCCTH., P. 217. স্থ০ খ০, বাও বাও, সাও হ্র। Yasodharman's head is also said to have never been brought into the hamility of obvisance to any other save Sthānu. CH, Vol. III. No. 33, P. 147., Line 6. (ব্যাঘাইবেশ ইন স্পতি), Hist. and Lit. Ins., P. 137.

CHAPTER II

FAMILY AND HERITAGE OF HARŞA THE LAND OF SHRĪKAŅŢHA JANAPADA AND THĀNEŠVARA

POSITION AND IMPORTANCE

The small township of Thanesvara (Sthanesvara or Sthanesvara) in Ambala District of Punjab along with its adjoining territories is of very high antiquity. It is associated with India's political, cultural and religious history since the very dawn of our civilization. The town, at one time, was one of the most glorious political and cultural centres of this country.²

- 1. Identification of Thănesara or Thănesara with Sthănesara or Sthănesara or Sthănesara or Sthănesara is almost certain. ASIRC, Vol. II, P. 212. This, however, has been suspected by Watters. Vol. I, Pp. 316-17. He says that "there is no correspondence in distance, direction or measurement" of these two places. According to Alberuni "Thănesara is about 180 miles north-north-north-west of Mathura". Alberuni, Vol. I, p. 199. And according to the Chinese pilgrim Sthâneswara was about 100 miles to the North-East of Mathura! Watters, I, P. 316. Watters further remarks that the pilgrim had not journeyed to the south of Thâneswara, he would probably have told us of the celebrated tank in the district about which Alberuni and Tavernier relate wonderful things." Watters, I, Pp. 416-17; Alberuni, Vol. II, P. 145; Berniers' Travels, P. 302. This lack of correspondence in distance and direction, as pointed out by Watters, may be due to the different routes taken by Yuan Chwang and Alberuni.
- St-yū-ki refers to it, "This is one of the oldest and most celebrated places in India on account of its connections with Panghar." Beal, I, P. 183; Watters, Vol. I, Pp. 314-317; Ambālā Distl. Gazetteer, Pp. 14-16, ASIRC., Vol. I, P. 245; Ibid., Vol. II, Pp. 212f.; Ibid., Vol. XIV, Pp. 72-106; HCCTH., Pp. 79-84; HC., Kant's Ed., Canto 3, Pp. 42-43.

THĀNEŠVARA

The name of Thăneśavra or Sthāņetvara or Sthāņetvara or Sthāņu's or a stantivara is said to be derived either from the 'Sthāņu' or 'abode' of 'Iśwara'.

Though it is one of the most important centres of culture, philosophy and religion, it is surprising to note that a reference to Sthāņu'sara as a town does not go back prior to the Harşacharita of Bāṇa. Bāṇa speaks of Sthāņu'savara in a very magniloquent style,' as we shall see later. The earliest forcign reference to this town is probably by Ptolemy who refers to it as 'Batan-Kaisara' which may correspond to 'Satan-aisara' or 'Sthāneśara.'s Chinese pilgrim, Yuan Chwang, also refers to it as Sa-tan-isstū-fa-lo.'s

^{3.} ASIRC., Vol. II, P. 212. The word "Sthāmu" stands for "stationary", "firm", "fixed," "immonable" or "motionless" and it is also the name of "Stoa" who is subposed to remain as motionless as the trunk of a tree during his austerities. It has been used in this sense in the Kânya literature. In the Mahabhārata and Hariwańsapurāņa he is one of the eleven Rudras. Mahābhārata (Salya, Chaḥ, 54; Yana, Chaḥ, 83) also refers to a Sthāmurirha known as 'Sthāmurata.' There is also a Sanskrit work known as Sthāmoātsama-Mahāmya. In Vāmanapurāņa Sthāmurivar, is also mentioned as a neme of Lingam of Siva and it was at Sthāmurivar that the linga worship was first established. Moniervilliams. Pp. 1262-3; Geographical dictionary of Ancient and Medieval India by Nundo Lal Dey, See. Ed., Luzac and Co., Lon., 1927, P. 194. Bāṇa also calls it "Sthamurivara" HCCTH, P. 81; HC., Kami's Ed., Canto 3, P. 43.

^{4.} HCCTH., Pp. 79-83.

ASIRC., Vol. II, Pp. 212-13; But Saint Martin has identified 'Ostobalasora' (Stobolasara) with Sthāṇwitvara. McCrindle's Ancient India as Described by Ptolemy, Ed. Surendra Nath Majumdar, Cal., 1927, P. 128.

^{6.} Watters, I, P. 314. According to Yuan Chaoang the name applies both to the region and its capital. "The country" according to him, "wax 7,000 li in circuit and its capital with the same name, apparently, was above 20 li in circuit." Ibid., P. 314. Bāpa confirms this statement of the pilgrim. After describing the riches, glory and culture of Srikaytha country he comes to Shāqastoure and seps

Before it acquired eminence under the Puspabhūtis, Thāncivara and the area immediately surrounding it, between the Sarasvati and the Dhrisdavati rivers, was known as Kuruksetra, one of the most sacred and holy lands." Pāṇṇi refers to the Kurus, both as a Janapada and a kingdom with its capital at Hastinapura.9 In the Kāśikā reference is made to the Kururāstra, Kuru-jāngala and Kuruksetra as three district geographical areas.10 It has been suggested that Kuru-rastra lay in the Ganga Jamuna Doab and had its capital at Hastināpura.11 Kurujāngala consisted of what are now known as the districts Rohtak. Hansi and Hissar. And Kuruksetra included within its boundaries the modern Kaithal and Karnal.18 The traditional boundaries of Kuruksetra, as indicated above, were the rivers Sarasvatī and Dhrisdvati.13 The Buddhist14 and Jain16 works refer to it as one of the sixteen great countries (Sodasmahājanapadas). This region enjoyed a status as a place of great importance in India's political, social and cultural heritage. Throughout the ages people flocked to this land from far and wide because of its rich culture and religious

[&]quot;In such a country (Srikantha) is a certain district (Janapada) called Sthāṇvīfvara. 'स्थाण्यीसवरास्थो जनपदविशेषः' ह० च०, त्० उ०, त्० ४३; HCCTH., P. 81.

^{7.} Matsyapurāņa, 106.57; Kūrmapurāņa, 1.37. Mahābhārata, (Vana, Ch. 83, Verse 4) tells us that people who live in Kurukṣetra (vazanti) to the south of Sarasvati (Dakṣṣṇena sarasvasyā and north cf Dhṛṣṣḍvatī (Dhṛṣhḍva-tyvottareṇa), live in paradise. cf. Padmapurāṇa, Uttara khaṇḍa, 35-38.; Kūrma-purāṇa, Pūruohhāga, 30-45-48.

Aşţādhyāyī, IV. 1.172.

^{9.} Ibid., VI, 2.201.

^{10.} Kāšikā, II. 47.

Hastināpura is identified with a ruined site in Meeruth District on the old bank of Gangā. Later the capital was Indraprastha, identified with modern Indarapat near Delhi. Cambridge History of India, Vol. I, P. 308.

^{12.} Agarwal, India As known to Panini, Lucknow, 1952, Pp. 54-55.

^{13.} Mahābhārata, Vana Pa. 83.4.

^{14.} Anguttaranikāya, I. 213; IV. 252f.

Uttarādhyāyana Sūtra. (Sacred Books of the East, Vol. XLV), P. 62.

sanctity.16 Kurnksetra is generally associated with what is good and great in India's life and culture. Writing about Śrikantha Janapada Bāna informs us that the region was named after a naga, named Srikantha.17 He depicts a graphic, vivid and unintermittent picture of the prosperity, rich culture and glorious heritage of the Srikantha Janapada of which Sthanvisvara was capital.18 It is in great magniloquence that he tells us that the coutry was "inhabited by good people" (punyakratāmadhivāso) where "the laws and code of conduct are for ever liberalized"19 (satatamasamkirnavarnavvavahārasthitih) and where "the order of the krita age (Kritayugapyapasthāh) prevails."20 On every side "its marches are packed with corn heaps" (khaladhānadhāmbhirribhaiyamānaih sasyakūtaih) Throughout "it is adorned with rice crops extending beyond their fields" (urvarāvarīyobhih śālevairalankratah), "Upon its lordly uplands are wheat crops variegated with Rajamasa patches ripe to bursting" (pākaviśarārurājamāsanikarakirmīritaischa). It was the land where "milk and fruits were available in plenty."31

^{16.} Dr. B. C. Law, Belvalkar Felicitation Volume, Pp. 249ff.

HCCTH., P. 94. कालेन क्षेत्राधिपतिरस्य मन्नान्मैव लब्बब्यपदेशस्य देशस्य नागतस्त श्रोतोपकण्डं श्रीकण्टनामा, ह० च०, त० उच्छ०, प० ५२।

^{18.} ह्यं चरित, उ० ३, ५० ४२-३। HCCTH., Pp. 79-83. Bāva does not make his ideas clear about territorial jurisdiction of Srikanjha and Sthäquisvara. Former is called Janapada whereas the latter is called Janapada proper (Janapadavissah). From the description of Bāva it appears that Śrikanjha was the country with its capital at Sthäquisvara. But Yuan Chwang informs us that the country and its capital were known by one and the same Sthäquisvara (Sa-1' a-ni-ssu-fa-lo). Watters, I, P. 314.

Cowell and Thomas use word 'unconfused' for "asamkirna". I prefer to adopt "'liberalized" for it.

^{20.} HCCTII., P. 79; HCK., P. 42.

^{21.} HCCGH., Pp. 79-80; HCK., P. 42. Bāṇa is supported by Yuan Chwang. The latter says that "the soil was rich and furtile and the crops were abundant." Watters, I, P. 314; HCCTH., Pp. 81-82. HCK., P. 43. Yuan Chwang says that "the rich families vied with each other in extraorgance. The

Like the country its capital also gets the rich treatment from Bāṇa's liberal pen. He says that "it was like an encampment of the kṛita age' (Sibirasaniusela isa kṛatayugaya), "like the Brahmaloka's first descent (prahame-avalāra isa Brahmalokaya)). "It was famous for the meditation of Brahmarishis seated on the padmāsana." Its "houses were plastered with ambrosia and were built in rown." For "its riches it was like Kubera's city oppressing the world with clinking ornaments of wine-flushed beauties" (madhumattamattak āšinihhāṣa paracabharitabhavano). 18

He tells us further about the varied culture of the country. It was made "the hermitage (lapovanam) by the sage (munibit), pleasure retreat (Kāmāpstana) by the courtesans (Vetyabhit), Sangitasālā by the actors (lāzakait), city of death (yamanagaram) for the foes (starubhit), land of philosopher's stone (chintāmapibhūmit) for seekers of wealth (ityarthibhit), land of herces (virākṣtra) for warriors (satropājizbhiti) preceptor's home (gunukulamiti) for aspirants to knowledge (vidyārthibhit), Gandharva's city for singers (gayanait) temple of Vivakarmā for scientists (vijāānibhit), for merchants (Vaidehakait) the land of profit (lābhabhūmit), for bards (bandhibhit) the gambling house (dyūtasthāmam), assembly of virtuous (sādhusamāgama) for good men (sadbhith), the cage of vajra (sairabañjaram) for refugees (sarnāgataith). free gathering (vitagasthi')

people were greatly devoted to magical arts and highly prized outlandish accomplishments....rarities from other lands were collected in this country." Watters, I, P. 314.

^{22.} HCCTH., Pp. 80-81.

^{23.} Pāṇini calls some of his samighas as 'Ayudhajivināḥ' where as Kautilya designates, them as "Sastropajivinaḥ." It has been rightly suggested that 'Sastro-pajivinaḥ' 'observed the practice of arms or military art.' Jayaswal, Hindu Polity, Bangalore, 3rd. Ed., 1955, P. 31. It is in that sense Bāṇa uses the term. Manu says that warriors from Kurukṣetra, Matsya, Pāñchāla and Śāurasena should be placed in front line of the battle.

[&]quot;कुदक्षेत्रांश्च मस्त्यांश्च पंचालान्यूरसेन जान। 'दीर्चाल्कवंश्चैव नरानग्रानीकेषु योजयेत्॥ सन्० स्मृ०, ७, ११३।

for libertines, "the reward of their good deeds" (sukrisaparināma) "for the way farer" (patikaib), "the mine (asuravioranh) for treasure seekers (cātikaib), Buddhist monastery (Śākyāśramah) for quietist (śamibhib), Apsarās city (Apsarāhpuranh) for lovers (kāmibhib), festive gathering (mahotsansamāja for troubadours (chāraṇaib) and stream of wealth (casudhā) for the Brāhmanas."

THE KING PUŞPABHÜTI

Bana tells us that "in that country there arose a monarch named Pusnabhūti." He gives a fabulous account of this king. He was "like Indra incarnate" (sāksāt Sahasrāsa iva), "a bow supporting all varna" (sarvavarnadharam dhanurdhānah), "Meru-like in attribute of a golden nature" (kalvānabrakrititve), "mandard-like in attracting glory or Laksmi" (mandaramaya iya Laksmi samakarsane), "ocean-like in observing proper bounds" (ialanidhimaya iva maryādyām), "ether-like in the noising abroad of his fame or manifesting sound" (ākāšamaya iva šabdaprādurbhāve) "moon-like in his receptivity for arts" (sasimava iva kalāsamerahe) "Veda-like in truthful speech" (vedamaya ivā kritimalabatve) "carthlike in supporting all mankind" (dharanimava iya lokadhritikarane) "wind-like in sweeping away the bad passions of all kings (or earthly dust) (bananamaya iya sarvabārthiyarajorikāraharane), "a Guru in speech" (Gururvachasi), "a Prithu in breast" (Prithururasi) "a Visāla26 in intellect" (Viśālomanasi), "a Janaka in asceticism" (Janakastapasi), "a Suyātra in splendour" (Suvātrastejasi), "a Sumantra in secret council" (Sumantra rahasi), "a Buddha in station" (Budhah sadasi), "an Arjuna in brilliance"

^{24.} Cowell and Thomas translate 'vitagosphi' as "Rogues' meet." 'Vija' means 'a volubbuary', 'a ensuadist' ... accomplished in the arts of poetry, music etc.; also 'the keeper of the prostitutes.' As it is accompanied by gosphi, it may correspond to a gathering of artists. 'Vidagdha', then, may mean a learned pun fit. If vitagosht' is taken for a gathering of pimps, the vidagdha may naturally mean a libertine. As Baga's accounts are full of puns, we cannot make out any clear meaning conclusionity.

^{25.} HOCTH., P. 82; HCK., Pp. 43-44.

^{26.} Name of Bodhisattva. HCCTH., P. 84, Footnote 8.

(Arieno Taiari)", a Bhisma with a bow" (Bhismo dhamasi), "a Nişādha, in frame" (Niṣādha osphasi), "a Satrughna in battle" (Satrughna) semera) "a Sūra in vanquishing the armies of heroes," and "a Daka in fecundity" (Dakasē prajākermasē). Unfaitgued with his description of Puppabhūti's grandeur and greatness as cited above, Bāṇa concludes that "he (Puṣḥabhāti) was framed in fine as it seemed of the compounded splendour of the primaeval kings." 38

HIS POLITICAL ACHIEVEMENTS

We cannot come to any definite conclusion pertaining to the political achievements of Puppabhüti on the basis of Bāṇa's above account. We have to accept Bāṇa's description with reservations. We know that he is accustomed to indulge in exaggerations. But some of the analogies put forward by Bāṇa appear to be meaningful.

Puspabhūti is said to be 'a Mandara-like in attracting Lakṣmi'. This may indicate that Puspabhūti did rule on some territory, howsoever small it may be in the area. This is further indicated by his ability 'to support all mankind.' He was "wind-like in sweeping away the bad passions of all kings." This shows that he might have come to conflicts with some rulers in his attempt to carve out a principality for his own line. His comparision with 'Satrughna in battle' may lend further support to our views cited above.

But one analogy is of great political importance to us. He is called 'a Sūra in vanquishing the Sūrasenas.' The learned editors appear to be doubtful about their approach to "Sūrasena" and; therefore, they translate it as the "armies" (Senā) of the 'heroes' (Sūra) and it is in the footnote they indicate that there is also a possibility of suggesting the conquest over the Sūrasenas.

The learned editors of Hen; acharita suggest that it may also indicate Puspabhüti's exploits in conquering Sūrasena. HCCTH., P. 84.

^{28.} HCCTH., P. 84.; "सर्वादिराजतेज:पुरूजनिर्मित इव राजा पुष्पमूर्तिरिति नाम्ना वसूव" HCK., P. 44.

^{29.} At another place Bana again tells us that he fought many battles, HCCTH., P. 94.; go 40, 30 30, 40 42 |

According to Paŭcharātra Sūrasena stands for the country or inhabitanti living in the area about Mathura. ** The Epics and the Parāṇas also support this evidence. Manu also includes the people of Sūrasena also appears to have been known to Greeks who call it Sourasenoi and its capital was Mathurā (Mathora). ** Pāṇini in his Aṣtādhyāyi refers to Andhakas** and Vṛṇṇis** of Mathurā but his silence about Sūrasena indicates that the name Sūrasena had not come into vogue in the days of Pāṇini. Several references in the Mahābhārata and the Purāṇas and various traditions make it clear that the country about Mathurā was known as Sūrasena.

Bāṇa was a learned paṇḍita and from his accounts it becomes quite clear that he possessed a wast amount of knowledge of our various Sarūskrit works and historical information as it was then available. And the knowledge of the country and its geography was not altogether unknown to him.

It is, therefore, unthinkable that Bāṇa, who knows so much about Srikaṇha Janapada and Sthāṇviśvara and other regions and towns may not have knowledge of Śūrasena. Therefore, Bāṇa's reference to Puṣpabūti as a Sūra who conquered Śūrasena cannot be dismissed as altogether baseless.

TESTIMONY OF YUAN CHWANG

Yuan Chwang tells us that he first visited Mathura (Mo (or mei)-

- 30. Monierwilliams., P. 1086; कु० द० बाजपेयी, बज का इतिहास ।
- 31. Manu Smriti, 7, 193.
- 32. CA., P. 12.
- 33. IV. 1.114.
- 34. VI. 2.34.
- 35. कृष्णदत्त बाजपेयी, कज का इतिहास।

Geographical data in Bāṇa's works reveal that he passessed a great deal of information pertaining to geographical study of India. It was probably the result of his wanderings during his early career that he tearns so much about various regions and towns and cities of India. *Ps-Lo].** From his description we learn that Mathurā was the capital as well as the country. He says that the country of Mathurā was above 5,000 li in circuit and its capital above twenty li in circuit.** He says that from Mathurā he proceeded North-East and reached Sthāncévara (Sa-4'-ani-su.-fs-Lo) after a journey of above 500 li (about 100 miles).** Watters remarks that the pilgrim is "correct in his statement of distance and direction from Mathurā to Sthāncévara.** Thus Mathurā, the capital of Sūrasena is about 100 miles from Sthāncévara, the capital of the Puspabhūti, and it is not altogether improbable that Puspabhūti might have come to a clash with the Sūrašenas during his attempts to carve out a principality.

As a ruler he was kind and benevolent. He is said to have been "honoured by the citizens, dependents, councillors and neighbouring sovereigns, whom his arms might had conquered and made tributary.".¹⁰ This indicates his achievements as a conqueror and ruler.

His simple title of 'rājā', "and 'Bhūpāla', however, do not allow us to conclude that Puspabhūti enjoyed an independent status. It appears that during the confusion that followed the death of Kumāragupta I about 455 A.D., Puspabhūti might have made his attempts of laying the foundation of a kingdom. We know how the Pusyamitras, Hūṇas and Mlechchhas had shaken the fortunes (Kulalakımı') of the Imperial Guptas. The political stability was soon re-established under Skandagupta and he (Puspabhūti) and his two or three immediate successors could not have succeeded in making further attempts to consolidate their position till we come to the time of Narsavardhana.

HIS DATE

This appears to be a tentative hypothesis if we calculate the date

- 36. Watters, I, P. 301.
- 37. Ibid., I, P. 301.
- 38. Ibid., I, 314.
- 39. Ibid., I, 317.
- HCCTH., P. 85, पौराः पादोपजीवितः सचिवा भुजवलनिजितास्य करवीक्रता महासामन्तास्त सिवेविरे, ह० च०, त० उ०, प० ४५।
 - 41. HCCTH., Pp. 83f.; HCK., Pp. 44f.

of Puspabhüti on the basis of the date of Harsa. We know that Harsa ruled from c. 606 A.D.. to c. 647 A.D.. His date of accession is almost fixed in the year 606 A.D.. At this time Harsa was sixteen.

We learn from Harşacharita that Prabhākaravardhana had his children many years after his marriage and, therefore, we propose to fix Prabhākaravardhana's reign period after discussing all possibilities.

Dr. Radhakumud Mookerii, writing on some dates in the history of Harsa and his predecessors, says, "The Harsacharita contains some statements which Hoernle has cleverly utilized to arrive at some fairly precise dates in the history of Harsa and his predecessors."48 The opinion of the learned scholar in this connection that "the expedition of Rajya against the Hūnas, Prabhākara's illness and death, Rājya's accession to the throne and death, all took place in the course of a year, A.D. 605-6", is quite convincing. His calculation that Harsa was born in A.D. 590 also appears to be well worked out.44 Vaidva.45 writing earlier, has worked out more minutely and fixed Harsa's birth on Sunday, the fourth June, A.D. 590. Rājya's birth, according to the learned scholar, must have taken place in 586 A.D.,46 He further observes that "thus their father could not have married Yasovati later than 585 A.D.",47 and "as he had married soon after accession to the throne the latter event took place about A.D. 583....Thus, Prabhākaravardhana" according to Dr. Mookerji's calculations "ruled between A.D. 583-606.":8

Similar is the view of Dr. Sinha who calculates on the same lines.

I respectfully submit that the marriage of Prabhākaravardhana with Yasovati

Vaidya's calculation appears to be a workable hypothesis. HMHI.,
 Vol. I, P. 5, Footnote 2.

^{43.} Harşa, Oxford, 1926, P. 69.

Ibid., P. 69.
 HHHI., Vol. I, 1921, Pp. 41-2.

⁴⁶ House D CO

^{46.} Harşa, P. 69.

^{47.} Ibid., P. 69.

^{48.} Ibid., P. 69.

^{49.} DKM., P. 175, Footnote 4.

about A.D. 385 and the birth of Rājya about A.D. 586 are not well calculated. The learned scholars have failed to note some important hints in the Harsacharita.

I want to draw the attention of the scholars to a passage in Harsacharita which throws enough light on the gap between the marriage of Prabhäkaravardhana and the birth of Rajvavardhana. Bana tells us, "The king was by natural proclivity a devotee of the sun. Day by day at sunrise he bathed, arrayed himself in white silk, wrapt his head in a white cloth, and kneeling eastwards upon the ground in a circle smeared with saffron paste, presented for an offering a bunch of red lotuses set in a pure vessel of ruby and tinged, like his own heart, with the sun's hue. Solemnly at dawn, at midday, and at eve he muttered a prayer for offspring, humbly with earnest heart repeating a hymn having the sun as its centre."30 Later when the queen Yasovati related her dream, the king said, "Our wishes are fulfilled. Our family goddesses have accepted you. In his graciousness the holy god of the radiant crown (the sun) will grant you joy, and that soon, by the gift of three noble children."51 That Prabhakaravardhana was the worshipper of the sun is confirmed by the epigraphic evidence. It records that he was a devout worshipper of the sun and is given an epithet "Paramādityabhaktāh,"

Thus it becomes clear that Prabhākaravardhana got the children after much expectation and after great and continuous worship of the sun. It cannot be assumed that he started these prayers to have children

^{50.} HCCTH., P. 104 "नित्तर्गत एव च स नृपतिरादिस्यमस्तो समूद। प्रतिविनभूदये विनक्तः स्नातः वित्तुकृत्यारी वयक्कारंटप्रावृत्त विदाः प्राक्षमुकः क्षितौ आनुन्धां स्थिका
कुक्कमुन्धांकानुिक्ते सण्डकके पिनपरटपायाभीतिहिता स्वद्वदयेनेव सूर्वीनुष्कोत रस्तक्षकथयेनार्वा ददा। अवपण्यवच्यं सुचरितः प्रत्युपति मध्यत्वित विनान्ते चाप्तसहेताः प्राहृवं प्रयतेन
मनता अंबपुक्तिमन्त्रमतिरसङ्ख्या ।" हु० च०, च० उ०, प० ३।

^{51.} HCCTH., P. 106. पूर्णा नो मनोरकाः परिपृहीतासि कुळवेबताभिः प्रसमस्ते स्थानान्तुमाली न विरोधवातिगुणवदयस्य भयलाभेनानन्त्वयति अवतीम् । ह० च०, च०उ०, प०४। In Bags's other work Kädambari we learn of such swerel steps taken by queen Villavati to get a son. Kädambari, tr., Ridding, Pp. 55-56; Kädambari, Ed. Parab, Pp. 144-146.

immediately after the marriage. It is unthinkable for any newly-married couple to think in the terms of producing children from the very day of their marriage. It appears that for long after their marriage they had no children and to have children they performed many worships in order to please the god sun who was to bless them with children.

Another point that Bāṇa makes clear is that Prabhākaravardhana also consolidated his position before he had these sons. References to his conflicts with Hūṇas, kings of Sindhu, Gujrāt, Gāndhāra, Lāṭa and Mālvāsa er made earlier than to the birth of the children and it is referred to in such a manner that it makes it certain that Prabhākaravardhana had conquered these kingdoms before he had these children. Neither we have any information to show that these conflicts took place before his accession to throne. Thus it appears almost certain that soon after coming to throne he consolidated the task of building a powerful kingdom and when his millitary exploits were over he started an era of peaceful reign. He, afterwards, like a real Hindu ruler, wished to be a father and in order to fulfil that desire he started prayers as he had not got children for long.

Thirdly, if we accept Dr. Mookerji's contention that Prabhākaravardhana married about 585 A.D. and that it was soon after this marriage his accession to the throne took place, we have to accept that he must have been about 25 at the time of marriage, normally a marriageable age in ancient times. And thus he cannot be more than fortyfive when Hitpas renewed their incursion about 605 A.D.. Now it would appear strange that a father, who was only about fortyfive, sends his son Rājyavardhana, who had hardly attained the majoritys and was born after so many continuous prayers. It may be argued that the task of defending the country was also entrusted to princes in our ancient political set-up, but they used

^{52.} HCCTH., P. 101; HCK., 4th Canto. P. 1. A detailed study of these wars and conquests will be made later.

^{53.} Båna tells us that Räjya's beard showed a faint growth. HCCTH., P. 166; HCK., P. 37. This information makes it certain that Räjyavardhank must have been seventeen or eightem, at the most, when the Hünau were to be faced by him. Faint growth of beard makes our conclusion well calculated.

to participate generally with the kings. Or when the kings were quite old to take up arms, the princes were placed in singular charge of such expeditions. We know that Skandagupta fought his enemies in the lifetime of his father, but we also know that Kunāragupta was very old at that time. He had ruled for nearly four decades when he was attacked by the enemies and had to depute his son to fight them.

Thus it appears quite reasonable to conclude that Prabbākararardhana must have been very old when Hūnas renewed their attack and it was because of his old age he could not control the operations personally and entrusted the command to his eldest son.

Another point was the death of Prabhākaravardhana. We know that he died a natural death caused by severe illness. This further proves that he was quite old at the time of his death. He may be between sixty and seventy or above seventy when he died, and, thereofore, we have to admit that Prabhākaravardhana must have come to throne sometime about 565 A.D. and must have been born about 540 A.D.

Another point that goes to support our conclusion is the marriage of Mahāsenaguptā, daughter of Dāmodaragupta, with Prabhākaravardhana's father, Ādityavardhana, son of Rājyavardhana I. Mall the sources reveal that Mahāsenaguptā was the mother of Prabhākaravardhana, but her relationship with Mahāsenagupta of the Later Gupta dynasty has been established on the basis of mere similarity of names. Nowhere we find any direct evidence of her relationship with Mahāsenagupta. C. V. Vaidya has discussed this problem in detail and he maintains that the marriage must have been materialized. Dr. Raychaudhary favours such relationship. Dr. Sinha says, "As Harsaguptā was sister of Harsagupta, Mahāsenaguptā was sister of Mahāsenagupta." Dr. R. C. Mājumdar

Ep. Ind., Vol. I, Pp. 73f.; P. Ibid., Vol. IV, Pp. 211f; Ibid.,
 Vol. XXI, Pp. 74f; CII., Vol. III, P. 15 and P. 232; JBORS., 1919, P. 302;
 Ibid., 1920, Pp. 151-52.

^{55.} HMHI., Vol. I, Pp. 36f.

^{56.} PHAI., 6th Ed., Pp. 606-607; also Harsa, P. 60, footnote 1.

^{57.} DKM., P. 175, Footnote 3.

unhesitatingly says that "this is mere speculation and no definite oninion is possible till more positive evidence is available."58 Assuming that the marriage did take place, scholars suggest that Mahasenagupta was married by her brother to Adityavardhana. I think this marriage might have taken place during the time of Damodaragupta himself and the marriage might have been concluded about a couple of years earlier to 540 A.D. the date I propose to fix as the date of birth of Prabhakaravardhane.

Thus the marriage must have been performed about 538 A.D. Prabhākaravardhana was born about 540 A.D. and came to throne about 565 A.D. and ruled for nearly four decades. His rule came to an end about 605 A D.. 40 This appears as the most plausible and tentative hypothesis as these dates are corroborated by the epigraphic evidences.

On the basis of epigraphic evidences, we know that three generations had ruled over the kingdom of Thanesvara from the time of Naravardhana to Prabhākaravardhana and if we allot the approximate period of nearly twenty years to each ruler we come to following chronology of the Puspabhūtis.

- I. Naravardhana. (c. 505 to 525 A.D.)
- 2. Rājyavardhana I. (c. 525 to 545 A.D.)
- 3. Adityavardhana. (c. 545 tt. 565 A.D.)
- 4. Prabhākaravardhana (c. 565 to 605 A. D.) 5. Rájyavardhana II (c. 605 to 606 A.D.)
- 6. Harşavardhana (c. 606 to 647 A.D.)

This may indicate that between Puspabhūti, the founder (c. 455-475 A.D.) and Naravardhana (c. 505-525 A.D.) there is a gap of about 40 to 50 years and we can say that two or three rulers would have ruled in the intervening period.

If we examine the question further, we do not find any possibility of taking back the reign of Puspabhūti still beyond the year 455 A.D.,

^{58.} CA., P. 97.

^{59.} DKM., P. 175.

^{60.} This, however, does not come in accordance with the chronology of the Later Guptas as suggested by Dr. Sinha. DKM., Pp. 175f.

Any such possibility of taking back the emergence of Puspabhūti beyond this date can be ruled out on simple ground that any such attempt of carving out any political entity in the life-time of Kumāragupta I, ⁶¹ or during the reigns of his immediate predecessors Chandragupta II and Samudragupta, was not possible under these strong rulers. Thus it appears tentatively reasonable to conclude that Puspabhūti, taking an advantage of the political instability that followed the invasion by the Pusyamitras and the Hūṇas against the ageing sovereign Kumāragupta I, established his own small kingdom. But we have seen that Skandagupta's indomitable personality soon crushed these enemies and re-established the law and order in the empire, and thus granted a further lease of life to his dynasty. Therefore, Puspabhūti and his two or three immediate successors could neither make any further attempts to become independent nor they could extend their territorial limits. And probably for their insignificant roles they do not find mention either in literary traditions or in family-records.

THE ORIGIN OF THE PUSPABHŪTIS®

On the basis of Bāṇa's information that the two houses of Puspabhūtis and Maukhar's were like the moon and the sun, ** I submit to treat

^{61.} His rule came to an end about 455 A.D..

^{62.} Referring to Puphabhüti (rather Puphabhüti) Dr. Bühler writes that "the word gives no good sense. Pushpabhüti is a Nakshatra-name, and means "he to whom Pushya may give welfare." There are numerous similar ancient names tike Ashāḍhabhüti, Somabhūti, Indrabhūti and so forth." Ep. Ind., Vol. I, P. 68, feotnote 5. This view of the learned scholar does not hold good grounds. It is indeed surprising to note hou Dr. H. C. Raychaudhary follows Dr. Bühler in his suggestion. PHAI., 6th Ed. P. 582, footnote 4. Bäna, who had the first hand information of Harpa and his family, refers to the founder in summitakeble term as Puspabhūti, who became the founder of the line of Puspabhūtis. All these manustripts record it as Puspabhūti. And there is no point in suggesting that the word must be Puspabhūti simply because "the word gives no good sense."

^{63.} HOCTH., P. 128. "सोमसूर्य बंशाविव पुष्पमृतिमुखर वंशी"। हुः चः, वः उच्छः, पः १६।

it as feasible that the Maukharis belonged to the solar line and the Puspabhutis belonged to the lunar orgin. 1 And thus, if Bâna is believed, the Puspabhutis were the Somavanidi spatriyas. But another contemporary of Harsa, the Chinese pilgrim Yuan Chwang tells us that Harsavardhana belonged to the "Fei-she" or Vaisiya caste. 15

Thus it would appear that the evidences of the two contemporaries are opposed to each other. Commenting on the statement of Yuan Chwang, Cunningham opines that "it is a mistake," and "the pilgrim confounding the Vaisa or Bais Rājpūts with the Vaisya caste." Matters, making his remark on the comments of Cunningham says that he (Cunningham) "may be right." But he appears to be in a fix as he tells us that "we must remember that Yuan Chwang had ample opportunities for learning the antecedents of the royal family, and, he must have had some ground for his assertion."

It is really difficult to judge who should be relied upon—Bāṇa or Yuan Chwang. On the basis of the wrong translation of a reference of Bāṇa, cited above, and the fact that the Puṣṇabhūtis were mostly sun-

^{64.} Dr. Triapathi, however, does not agree to this view and seps that the suffix "bhisti", indicating that Puspabhitii, the founder was a Vaitya." Continuing further, the learned scholar observes that "the passage of Bāva was not actually connecting the two dynasties with the sun and the moon respectively, but he was merely comparing them with the two wellknown Kiatriya houses." But referring to the Maukharis he soys: "at least this much seems probable... that they were kyatriyas." THK., Pp. 30-31. I most humbly submit that if Bāva's statement can be without to prove that Maukharis were the Kiatriyas, his statement cannot be set aside while discussing the origin of the Puspabhitis. Bāva is an important author who was deeply connected with the family and, threefore, his statement suits more to the family of his patron than to that of the Maukharis.

^{65.} Watters, P. 343.

Cunningham, Ancient Geog. of India, P. 377, Quoted by Watters, I,
 Pp. 343ff.

^{67.} Ibid., I, Pp. 344-45.

worshippers, ** it has been argued that the Puspabhütis were of the solar race. ** My submission is that they belonged to the lunar race if Bāṇa is to be believed at all.

Bûhler, ⁷⁰ on the other hand, agrees with Cunningham and says that the latter "is right when he asserts that the French translation of Hiuen Tsiang's "Fei-she" was wrong in making Harşa of Vaisya caste, and that the inter-marriages with the Rājpūt families of Valabhl and Mālvā (recte Kenej) prove him to have been a "Kṣatriya." Rājyaśri's marriage can also be cited as another example of their matrimonial relationship with the Kṣatriyas. Búhler's identification of Harṣa's family with the modern Bais Rājpūts is also meaningful and reasonable. In his support to this view he further refers to Pāṇini." The latter informs us

^{68.} Rājyavardhana I, Ādityavardhana, and Prabhākaravardhana are said to be the worthippers of the sum (Paramādityabhaktāb) Ep. Ind., Vol. I, P. 72.; Ibid, Vol. IV, P. 210; Ibid, Vol. XXI, P. 74f.; CII., Vol. III, No. 52, Pp. 232f.; JBORS., 1919, P. 302; Ibid., 1920, Pp. 151-152. Bāṇa also confirms Prabhākarvardhana's devotion to the sum. HCCTH., Pp. 104 and 106; HCK., 4th Canto, Pp. 3-4. This certainly proves that these rulers were worshippers of the sum but it is inexplicable to conclude that they were worshippers of the sum because of their family's association with the sun. Had it been so the successors of Prabhākaravardhana, Rājyavardhana and Harsavardhana might also have been the worshippers of the sun. But they are known as Paramasavagata and Paramamāhesīvara respectively. We have also seen that Puspabhāti, the founder of the line, was a staunch follower of Saivism. As a matter of fact religious beliefs were the matter of personal conscience and faith. It has no connection with the origin of the family.

^{69.} Cal. Review., Vol. XXVI, 1928, No. 2, P. 203.

^{70.} Ep. Ind., Vol. I, P. 68, footnote 4.

She was married to Maukhari king Grahavarman, who was a Kşatriya.
 THK., Pp. 28-30.

IV. 1. 170. Kielharn, Vol. II, P. 269, referred to in Ep. Ind.,
 Vol. I, P. 68, footnate 4.

of a country called 'Vaisa' an inhabitant of which is named Vaiysa (Naisa and Naisya)).72

TESTIMONY OF ĀRYAMANJUŚRĪMŪLAKALPA

This confusion is further confounded by Āryamañjuśrimūlakalpa. On the basis of a few stanzas¹¹ of this work, Dr. Jayawal, has tried to maintain that Viṣṇuvardhama-Yasodharman of Thānestvar was the founder of the Thānestvar line of the Puspabhūtis.¹³ The stanzas in question are highly ambiguous, misleading, erroneous and grammatically incorrect, and, therefore, the reference to Puspabhūtis in Āryamañjuśrimūlakalpa as Vaisyas can hardly be taken as historical evidence.²⁴

The very basis of such a conclusion is weak and unfounded. Dr. Chatterjoe confesses that Aryamañjuśrimūlakalpa's stanzas in question are highly ambiguous,...full of linguistic errors and with the help of these passages, it is not only difficult, but impossible to arrive at any historical conclusion." Same is said about Yuan Chwang's 'Fei-she."

We know that the sixth and seventh centuries are characteristically significant for their emphasis on the purity of Varnas. A majority of the

^{73.} Ibid., I, P. 68, footnote 4. This variation is according to a majority of manuscripts. Ibid., I, P. 68.

^{74.} विज्युपनकी तम महाभोगी वानिनो तथा॥६१४॥
मध्यमात् तो मकारावो मंत्रिमुख्यो उभातवा।
विती....॥६१५॥
ततः परेण मुक्को वातानामुक्किरती॥६१६॥
सप्तमस्याता शीण श्रीकण्डवासिनस्तवा।
जाविस्ताना वैद्यस्तु स्वानतीक्षर वास्तिः।।६१६॥
मविस्यति न संवेहो जते सर्वेम मुणितः।
हकारस्यो नामतः प्रोक्तो वार्केमुम्तिनराविषः।।६१८॥

quoted from Jayaswal's Imp. Hist. of Ind., Sans. Text., P. 45.

^{75.} Jayaswal, Imp. Hist. of India, P. 28.

^{76.} G. Chatterjee, Harşavardhan, Pp. 64-66.

^{77.} Ibid., Pp. 64-65 also Pp. 443-44.

^{78.} Ep. Ind., Vol. I, P. 68, footnote 4.

inscriptions of this period refer to ruling kings who did their best to maintain the order of Varpa and Āframa. Prabhākaravardhana is also said to have maintained the order of Varpa and Āframa (Varpāframsyvasthāpanaprasyithāp), and we can expect that this epithet could not have been assigned to him in the inscriptions of his son if he himself did not maintain that order. In this period, particularly, we cannot expect intercaste marriages on a mass scale. We have seen that Prabhākaravardhana's mother appears to have been a Gupta princess. Prabhākaravardhana's daughter was married to prince Grahavarman, son of Avantivarman of the famous Maukhari line. Harşa's (grand?) daughter was married to Dhruvasena II, the Valabhī ruler. These matrimonial alliances could not have been possible had Puspabhūti been the Vaiśyas. The last two alliances could, at least, be tolerated as anuloms marriages, but the marriage of Gupta princess Mahāsenaguptā with Ādityavardhana was unthinkable in a period when great importance was attached to the purity of Varpas.

Moreover, Bāṇa's information about Harṣa's family, if not about his political achievements, is most trustworthy and we must conclude with his verdict that the Puspabhūtis were Kṣatriyas of Lunar line.

THE FOUNDER OF THE FAMILY

With this brief reference to the origin of the Puspabhūtis we must come back to Puspabhūti's life and achievements. He was a great devotee of Siva and is called Paramamāheivara by Bāṇa⁸¹ and "not even in dreams did he take food without worshipping the lord 'Siva' (vrapabhadhraja)." This devotion to Siva was so earnest and singular that he "thought the three world void of all other deities."

Once he heard of a great South Indian (Dakṣināṭya) śaivite saint (mahāśaivaṃ) Bhairavāchārya "who was famous for his excellence in

^{79.} PHAI., 6th. Ed., P. 605; DKM., P. 175, footnotes 3 and 4.

^{80.} K. Virji, Anc. Hist. of Saurastra, P. 74.

HCCTH., P. 85, बङ्कतवृषमध्यय पूजा विधिनैत्यपोधाहारमकरोत . . .
 पश्चिति प्रपक्षोज्य वेवतायान्यमन्यत कैलोक्यम ।। इ० व०, त० उ०, प० ४५ ।।

^{82.} HOCTH., P. 85; \$0 40, 70 3450, 40 841

multifarious sciences" (bahunidhanidyāṭnrabhāna prakhyātairguṇaiḥ) and
"whose thousands of disciples were spread abroad over the whole world"
(Sizpair ivā nekasaharusamkhairuyāṭpatāmartyalakami)).** When the king
heard of the Sādhu, who was staying on the bank of Sarasvatt, he went
to pay his respect to Bhairavāchārya. On the next day, Bhairavāchārya,
on his part, went to see the king. The latter received the former with
great warmth and hospitableness.*

One day the faivite saint presented the king a sword called Aṭṭaḥāṣa, received by Pātālasvāmin, a Brāhmaṇa disciple of Bhairavāchārya, from king hand of a Brahmarākṣṣaṣ. ⁴⁵ On another occasion he suggested to the king to perform a great ceremonial rite called "Maḥākālaḥriḍaya" in the great cemetery (maḥāɪmaṭāne) and told that "its completion would end with the laying of a goblin" (taya retālasādhananatanā siddhiḥ). Its performance, he said, would require three assistants, Ṭtiṭbha, an old friend of Bhairavāchārya, Pātālasvāmin, the old medicant and associate of Bhairavāchārya, and his Draviḍian disciple, Karṇatāla.

The king agreed to perform it and on the fourteenth day of the dark fortnight (krispachaturdalyām) all persons reached the appointed place at the cemetery. The ceremony was properly performed, and, as desired, the goddess was seen in the circle of ashes drawn at the graveyard. The told the king, The am ravished by the valorous spirit. Crave of me a boon: I will give thee thy heart's desire. The king "bowed to her" (rāj tāth pranamya) and 'heedless of his own advantage" (wārthavinukho) ukho) "besought" (rayāch) "the success" (siddhim) of Bhairavācharya. The godess (Devi) "was highly gratified" (pritatarahridayā) "with her

^{83.} HCCTH., P. 85; ह० ৰ০, বৃ০ বভ্সত, বৃ০ ४५ ।

^{84.} HCCTH., Pp. 85-89.

^{85.} A degraded Brāhmaņa—Manu saps: "संयोग पतितमंत्वा परस्यैव च योषितम्। अत्रहृत्य च वित्रस्वेमवित ब्रह्मराक्षतः॥ अनुस्मृति, १२।६०।

^{86.} HCCTH., Pp. 88-89.

^{87.} Ibid., Pp. 94-95.

^{88.} $\mathit{Ibid., P. 97;}$ "अपह्नतारिम तवामुना सौर्यरसेन। याचस्य। दवामि ते वरमभिकाषितम्॥" ह० च०, तृ० उच्छ०, पृ० ५३।

wide open eyes" (sistinyamānena chakṣuṣā) anointed (abhiṣikchanti) "the king" (bhāpālam) and said, "So be it. Because of this magnanimity of thine and because of thy superlative devotion to the holy lord Siva thou, tike a third added to the sun and moon, shalt be the founder of a mighty line of kings persisting unbroken upon the earth, daily increasing in greatness, full of matchless heroes elate with purity, high fortune, truth, munificence and fortitude. Wherein shall arise an emperor named Harşa, governor like Harischandra of all the continents, world-conquering like a second Māndhātrī, 'whose chowrie, this hand, spontaneously abondoning the lotus, shall grasp."

This story as related by Bāṇa is quite interesting, but it appears that it has little historical importance. When little is known about a great hero, a halo of romance is generally gathered round his name and origin. Obscurity is the mother of various legends growing around many kings and dynasties. But this story unmistakably gives an impression that Puppabhūti, the founder of the line, had a great faith, in such supernatural ceremonies and rituals and as such he might have performed this ceremony to seek a great future for his line.

Bāṇa does not refer to any of the immediate successors of Puspabhūti. He simply tells us that from the latter "there issued a line of kings"..."born free from the stain of violating Dharma" (avinatjadharmadhaealāb) "dominating the world by their splendour" pratāpākrānta-bhusenab) "thronging the regions with their armies in array" (vigraha-vyāptadingamukhā), "strong to support the world" (Dharaṇidhāraṇak-ymā), "rising in might to guard the oceans" (Udadhiṇātumudyatā). Thus these achievements are collectively attributed to the kings intervening between Puspabhūti and Prabhākaravardhana without making any

^{99.} एवमस्तु वनेनतस्त्रोत्कर्षण मनविष्यवसद्दारक मनत्या चासावारणया मनान्मृति सूर्यंचन्नमहोत्त्रतीय स्वाविष्यकारय प्रतितिनमूर्यवीयमानदृद्धेः सूर्यंचनुमम सत्यत्यार पर्यवाष्यकृत्वस्त्रार्थ्य मन्त्रस्त्रार्थे स्वयत्यार्थे पर्यवाष्ट्रस्त्रमान्यः मन्त्रती राजवंचास्य कत्तां अविष्यति । यस्मिन्नुस्तरस्त्रते तर्वद्वीपानां मोनता हरिस्वन्द्र स्व हर्यनामा चकवर्तीं विमुक्त विजनीपृद्धितीयो मान्यातेव यस्यायं करः स्वयनेव कम्प्रत्मपद्भाव यहीच्यति चानस्य

⁻⁻ह० च०, तृ० जच्छ०, पृ० ५३-५४ HCCTH., P. 97.

individual reference to any of them, and it is difficult to judge the element of historical facts in this description. What we can gather from Bāṇa is the information that all the rulers who immediately succeeded Puṣpabhūti, the founder, and preceded Prabhākaravardhana had done their best to promote an advancement of the power of their family.

It is really surprising to note as to why Bāṇa, who was a great learned Pandita and who has recorded so many minute details pertaining to the lives of Puṣpabhūti, Prabhākaravardhana and Harṣa, does not mention the names of the intervening rulers. Epigraphic evidences, however, supplement Bāṇa, and we learn of three generations preceding Prabhākaravardhana. Harṣa's two inscriptions, Bānskhera'o and Madhubana'i of the years 628-29 A.D. and 631-32 A.D. respectively, his Sonapat'a and Nālandā's seals prove the existence of the following rulers who preceded Prabhākaravardhana. But it is surprising to note why these state documents make no reference to Puṣpabhūti or to any of the immediate predecessors of Naravardhana. All of them start the family genealogy from Naravardhana.

EARLY KINGS OF THE FAMILY

1. NARAVARDEANA

As he is the first to be referred to in the records of his family, it appears that Naravardhana was the first to bring his family in the comity of frudatories. We have seen that Bāṇa refers to Puspabhūti simply as 'rājā', but Naravardhana in all records is known as 'Mahārājā'. This is significant and it shows that he certainly enjoyed superior political position as compared to that of Puspabhūti and the intervening rulers between Puspabhūti and himself. As we have noted elsewhere that the title of Mahārāja in these days had lost much political significance, Naravardhana

^{90.} Ep. Ind., Vol. IV, Pp. 208f.

^{91.} Ibid., Vol. I, Pp. 67-75.

^{92.} CII., Vol. III, No. 52, Pp. 231-32.

^{93.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XX, Pp. 74f.; JBORS., 1919, P. 302; Ibid., 1920, Pp. 151-52.

might not have become fully independent. He might have been a feudatory ruler. And it appears that he owed allegiance to the Imperial Guptas. Ma His wife was Vajrinidevi.

2. RĀTYAVARDHANA I

Naravardhana's son (putra) was Rājyavardhana I, born from (uipanah) his queen Vajriņidevi. As he is said to have meditated upon his father's feet (tatpādānudhyālah) he was certainly the immediate successor of Naravardhana. He is said to be a "most devout worshipper of the sun (paramādityabhahta). He, too, assumed the simple title of Maḥārāja and it appears that he was also a feudatory chief. But he appears to be instrumental in furthering the cause of his family. This is clear from the matrimonial alliance concluded with the Later Guptas. But His queen was Apsarā Devi.

3. ĀDITYAVARDHANA

He was the son and successor of Rājyavardhana I, whose mother was queen Apsarā Devi. As noted above, his marriage with princess Mahāsenaguptā Devi, sister of Mahāsenagupta, the ruler of the Later Gupta dynasty, was of great political significance. We do not know whether this marriage was negotiated and concluded within the lifetime of his father

^{94.} Nothing definite can be said about their allegiance to the sovereign power. Majumdar says that the first three kings were "fruddory chiefs acknowledging the supremacy either of the Highes or of the Guptas or of both at different times it is also very likely that the Maukharis excercised supremacy over them." CA., P. 97. Acknowledgement of the supremacy of the Guptas or the Maukharis is frasible, but any such respect to the Highes teems improbable. We will see later that Prabhâtaraardhana was at constant war with the Highes.

^{95.} DKM., P. 175, Footnotes 3 and 4.

^{96.} It is just an assumption from her "Gupta" cognomen. JBORS., Vol. XIX, Pp. 3997; Saletore, Life in the Gupta Age, P. 63; Dandekar, A History of the Guptas, Poona, 1941, P. 174. This marriage is supposed to have taken place about 565 A.D. Ibid., P. 175, footnote 4.

Rājyavardhana I or during his own times. What is important is the conclusion of this matrimonial alliance which must have enhanced the prestige of the Puspabhūtis and that it must have helped them in their political designs. Dr. R. C. Majumdar rightly opines that it "marks a definite step in their rise to power and importance." The Puspabhūti kingdom was on way to attain independent status which was fully materialized during the times of Prabhākaravardhana. Ādiyavardhana is said to be "the most devout worshipper of the sum" (paramādiyabhātsa).

Referring to the first three rulers Bühler observes that all the three rulers receive the simple title of Mahārāja, and on that basis it appears that "their power was not great, and it is even doubtful if they were independent."

4. PRABHAKARAVARDHANA

Ādityavardhana's son and successor was Prabhākaravardhana, born (uḥennah) from his queen Mahāsenaguptā. He was certainly the first in the family to embark upon his schemes of complete independent rule and appears to have materialized it successfully.⁶⁸ This is clear from the title of Mahārājādhirāja which he assumed according to all sourcesepigraphic⁶⁰ and literary.¹⁰¹ Harşa's records¹⁰² inform us that he was

^{97.} CA., P. 97.

^{98.} Ep. Ind., Vol. I, P. 69.

^{99.} This is further proved by his coronation. Bdna says that 'from his members of royalty the coronation water purged no foulness but filthy lucre,' indicating that at his coronation his ministers distributed money. HCCTH., P. 101. 'यो राज्योगसंगीन्यानिषच्याना गएन सलानीन गुनीच घनानि' हुपैचरित, चतु ० उच्छ०, पृ० १

^{100.} Ep. Ind., Vol. I, Pp. 72-3; Ibid., Vol. IV, Pp. 208-11; Ibid., Vol. XXI, P. 74; CII., Vol. III, No. 52, P. 231-2., JBORS., 1919, P. 302; Ibid., 1920, Pp. 151-152; THK., P. 78.

Bāņa calls him "rājādhirāja", i.e. 'King of Kings'. HCCTH.,

^{102.} Ep. Ind., Vol. I, Pp. 67-75; Ibid., Vol. IV, Pp. 208-211; Ibid., XXI. Pp. 74-76; CII., Vol. III, No. 52, Pp. 231-232.

called 'Paramabhaṭṭāraka' and 'Maḥārājādhirāja.' Commenting on this Bahker has observed that "he must have been an independent sovereign and a man of some consequence" and "no doubt owed his prosperity bin valour." His "fame passed beyond four occeans" (Chatussomudrā-tikkrāntaktrith). 101 His conquest over the kings of Sindhu and Lāṭa (Bharoch) must have helped him in extending his sphere of infleunce far and wide and his territories or his zone of influence might have touched the occans. But we cannot take it for granted that his "fame passed beyond four occeans." It was used as a vague generalization. Bāṇa tells us that hewas also famous under a second name (brathitābaranāmā) Pratāpaājla. 105

HIS WARS AND CONOUESTS

Bāṇa's rich and liberal pen has written much about Prabhākaravardhana and his achievements, but most of his descriptions are full of poetical exaggeration and magniloquence and we have to examine critically his references to Prabhākaravardhana's territorial designs, military engagements and political gains.

Bāṇa says that Prabhākaravardhana was "a lion to Hūṇa deer, a burning fever to the king of Sindhu, a troubler of the sleep of Gurjaras, a bilious plague to that scent-elephant, the lord of gandhara, a looter to or destroyer of the lawlessness of Lāṭas, and an axe to creeper of Mālvā's Laskṣmī i.e. fortune or sovereignty."

Now I propose to examine the statement of Bāṇa pertaining to political achievements of Prabhākaravardhana.

1. HIS WAR WITH THE HON AS

It has been maintained that Mihirakula was finally subjugated by

^{103.} Ep. Ind., Vol. I, P. 69.

^{104.} Ibid., P. 69; Ibid., Vol. IV, P. 208-11; Ibid., Vol. XXI, P. 75.

^{105.} HCCTH., P. 101 हु॰ च॰, च॰ उच्छ॰, पृ० १।

^{106.} HCCTH., P. 101. "हुणहरिणकेसरी सिन्धुराजन्वरो गुजंरप्रजागरो गान्याराविषयनग्रहिपकुरपाकको काटपाटच पाटच्चरो मालबक्ष्मी कतापरहा।"

⁻⁻⁻ह० व०, व० उ०, पृ० १॥

Janendra Yasodharmana sometime before 533 A.D..¹⁰⁷ After Mihirakula, we certainly do not come across any other great Hūṇa chief who could have successfully tried to make further intrusions. But they did not disappear wholly from the Indian scene. Sūlikas of Haraha inscription are supposed to be Hūṇas by some scholars¹⁰⁸ and it was Iśānavarman who conquered the Sūlikas¹⁰⁹ and his som and successor Sarvavarman inflicted another defeat on the Hūṇas.¹¹⁶

Next reference to Hūṇas is in the Aphṣad inscription. On the hasis of two fragmentary seals we learn of Maḥārāja Lavakhāna and Maḥārāja Jarvā, ¹¹¹ They may be identified with Lakhana Narendrāditya of the Rājataraṅgini¹¹³ and Lakhana Udayātiya of the coins, ¹¹³ These pigraphic and numismatic evidences conclusively prove that with the defeat of Mihirakula Hūṇas did not disapperar completely and they continued to disturb Indian politics whenever they found occasion for it.

Shri Vaidya has fully relied upon the statement of Bāṇa and opines that he (Prabhākaraserdhana) "had defeated and humbled the Hūṇas who, notwithstanding their signal defeat in the previous century... were still a powerful people in the Punjāb and had their kingdoms at Gāndhāra or Peshavar and at Sākala or Sialkot." Dr. Radhakumud Mookerji¹¹⁵ and Dr. Pannikar¹¹⁸ hold similar views. But Dr. Tripathi

^{107.} PHAI., 6th Ed., Pp. 596-597. But some scholars have maintained that "it was not Yasodharman but Narasimhagupta Bălāditya who dealt a final blow to the Hūṇas." JBRS., Vol. XLV., Parts I-IV, P. 131.

^{108.} JDL.; Vol. XXI, Pp. 1-10; S. Chattopadhyaya, Early History of North India, P. 223.

^{109.} CII., Vol. III, No. 42, Pp. 200-208.

^{110.} Ibid., Vol. III, No. 42, Pp. 200-208.

^{111.} IHQ., Vol. XIX, Pp. 188-189.

^{112.} JBRS., Vol. XLV, Parts 1-4 (Altekar Memorial Vol.), P. 139.

^{113.} Ibid., P. 139, footnote 159.

^{114.} HMHI., Vol. I, P. 1.

^{115.} Harşa, P. 11.

^{116.} Sri Harsha of Kanauj, Pp. 9-10.

found it difficult to agree with Dr. Mookerji and Pannikar and says that the passage. "hardly warrants such conclusion." 117 He says further that "there is evidence that the "Hūṇa deer," instead of being over-awed and cowed, was trying to pounce upon the "lion" himself for towards the close of his reign and the kingdom was seriously disturbed by the Hūṇa menace, and Prabhākaravardhana had to despatch the crown prince at the head of a strong force to cope with the danger." 118 Like Dr. Tripathi, Dr. R. C. Majumdar also appears to be doubtful about Prabhākaravardhana's engagement with the Hūṇas. 118 He says, "This poetical description leaves us in doubt whether he actually defeated these powers or was a mere threat to them. About Hūṇas we are told later that shortly before his death, Prabhākaravardhana sent a military expedition under his elder son Rājyavardhana to Uttarāpatha. But the result of the expedition is not known; probably no conflict took place as Rājyavardhana was suddenly called back on account of the illness of his father." 118

The common point in the approach of Dr. Tripathi and Dr. Majumdar is the renewal of the Hūṇa invasion during the closing years of Prabhākaravardhana's reign. But both of them do not appear to give any credit of victory to the forces of Prabhākaravardhana headed by Rājyavardhana. With due respect to these learned scholars, I fail to understand how they come to such conclusions. Dr. Tripathi doubts on the ground that the Hūṇas renewed the invasion during the closing years of Prabhākaravardhana's reign. Any conquest achieved against a particular power cannot be treated as a guarantee of any further non-involvement of that power in further conflicts with the victor. Had it been so the Hūṇas might have withdrawn from the Indian scene after their defeat at the hands of Skandagupta. But we find that soon after that defeat they made several attacks and suffered successive defeats, such as the defeats at the hands of Narsinhagupta Bālāditya and at those of

^{117.} THK., P. 79.

^{118.} Ibid., P. 79.

^{119.} CA., Pp. 97-98.

^{120.} Ibid., P. 98.

Yasodharmana of Mandsaur. There are several instances of many invaders who did not lose courage at the first defeat and renewed invasions time and again.

Secondly, there is a long gap between the first engagement between the Hunas and Prabhākaravardhana and the next one between Rāiyavardhana and the Hunas. The latter might have thought that the old emperor would not be able to repulse them, and that they would avenge the insult of their first defeat. Dr. Maiumdar finds it doubtful because our source of information is Bana's poetical description. Bana is a great writer and we cannot dismiss his evidence because he was a poet. The fact is that he was a biographer of Harsa. Dr. Majumdar's another conclusion that "probably no conflict took place" is also unconvincing and baseless in light of facts supplied by Bāṇa.181 The latter describes Rāiyavardhana's return in these words "....the elder brother came in sight amid a throng of servants pale and worn with many days' neglect of bathing, cating and sleeping, and reduced in number by their long and rapid march,"188 "umbrella-bearer was wanting" (Vichchhinnachchhatradhārena)" "the superintendent of the wardrobe lagged behind" (lambitāmbaravāhina). collapsed" (bhristabhringāragrāhinā), pitcher-carrier had "the spitton-bearer was prostrate" (chyutāchamanadhārinā), "the betelbearer panted" (tāmyatāmbūlikena), "sword-bearer limped" (khañiatkhangagrāhiuā). This description can also be depicted as the result of overwhelming grief caused by his father's death. But what Bana says later, is certainly significant while discussing Rajyavardhana's expedition against the Hūņas. "Long white bandages" says Bāṇa, "bound about arrowwounds received in the battle while conquering the Hūnas, dotted his form like side glances from his approaching royal glory."121 His "limbs were

HCCTH., Pp. 165ff. "बहुबासरान्तरित स्नान भोजन शयनस्थामक्षामकपुषा परिजनेन परिवृतम अविरल मार्ग धृलिध्सरित शरीर तथा शरणी कृतमि,..."

[—]ह॰ **च**०, ष० उ०, प० ३६।

^{122.} Ibid., P. 165.

^{123.} Ibid., P. 165. "हुणनिजयसमरशरक्षणबद्धपट्टकदीचे घवल: समासक्ष राज्यलक्ष्मी कटाक्षपातसिवावलीकृतकायमा।" ह० च०. व० उ०. व० ३६३

emaciated, as though for the preservation of king's life he had offered his flesh. "1414 This statement of Bāṇa clearly shows that the disturbance caused by the Hūṇas was not of ordinary nature. Rājyavardhana was dispatched with an "immense force" (Aparimitabala). "143 and his "long white bandages bound about arrow-wounds received in the battle" and he returned with "limbs emaciated" bring to our notice more evidences than we require to prove serious active military operations between Rājyavardhana and the Hūṇas.

And that there was no renewal of the Hūṇa invasion after this historic march of Rājyavardhana proves further that Rājyavardhana's mission was a brilliant military success. Had it not been so the barbarous Hūṇas would have certainly utilized an apportunity provided by Frabhākaravardhana's death and brutal murder of Grahavarman followed by that of Rājyavardhana? Thus the victory of Rājya against the Hūṇas was decisive. By this conquest and others Prabhākaravardhana must have certainly "had raised himself to considerable eminence," ¹³⁸⁶ and won much political glory in north Indian politica. ¹³⁷

With regard to other wars fought by Prabhākaravardhana, scholars appear to have been divided on the issue. Smith says these wars were "successful" and it was by them Prabhākaravardhana enhanced his status and prestige considerably.¹⁸⁸ C.V. Vaidya supports Smith and says that he was "undoubtedly the premier king of Northern India" who "defeated and humbled" the powers as referred to in Harsscharita.¹⁸⁸ About A.D.

While referring to Rājya's anger at the news of Grahavarman's murder, he again refers to his fresh wounds, caused in Hūna war. HCCTH., P. 174.

^{124.} Ibid., P. 166. 'शबलीकृतकायम, अवनिपतिप्राणपरित्राणार्थमिव'

^{।।} ह० च०, ष० उच्छ०, प० ३६॥

^{125.} HCCTH., P. 132.

^{126.} EHI., 4th Ed., P. 349.

B. C. Sen, Historical Aspects of the Inscriptions of Bengal, P. 258.
 Pannikar; Sri Harşa of Kanaui, Pp. 9-10; JBRS., Vol. XLV, Parts I-IV, P. 130.

^{128.} EHI., 4th Ed., P. 349.

^{129.} HMHI., Vol. I, P. 1.

605, he was "by far the most powerful king in Hindustan and he was well justified in assuming the titles of "Mahārājādhrājā" and "Paramahbaṭāraka.".26 Dr. Mookerji adds that he "very nearly attains the position of an emperor by subduing or over-awing a number of countries and peoples all over Northern India."121 Dr. Tripathi, too, who does not fully agree to above conclusions, opines that "making allowance for exaggeration, it appears that in the above passage of Haracharita we have only a poetical description of Prabhākaravardhana's excellence and greatness as compared with the other contemporary rulers." On the other hand Dr. G. Chattopadhyaya does not find any basis for these conquests. 123 Dr. Majumdar, referring to Bāṇa's list of six rulers who are said to have been conquered, says that the "poetical description leaves us in doubt whether he actually defeated these powers or was a mere threat to them." 134

It appears that Bāṇa's other references to Prabhākaravardhana's other achievements escaped the attention of the learned scholars. In Harsacharita queen Yaśovati tells Harsa who wanted to dissuade her from entering into fire to die unwidowed. She says, "Have you forgotton that I am the lioness mate of a great spirit, who like a lion had his delight in a hundred battles. Daughter, spouse, mother of heroes, how otherwise could such a woman as I, whose price was valour, act? This hand has been clasped by even such a hero, thy father, 'a chief among princes', peer of Bhārata, Bhagiratha, and Nābhāga. Upon this head have the subservient wives of 'countless feudatories' poured coronation water from golden ewers. This forehead, in winning the honourable fillet of chief queen, has enjoyed a thing scarce accessible to desire. These breasts have worn robes swayed by the wind of 'chowries waved

^{130.} Ibid., P. 2.

^{131.} Harşa, P. 11.

^{132.} THK., P. 79.

^{133.} Harşavardhana, P. 67.

^{134.} CA., Pp. 97-98.

by captive wives of foes' they have been sucked by sons like you."125

Bana, while concluding his other military exploits, says, "From his members of royalty the coronation water purged no foulness but filthy uter." Even an enemy's life, that coward's darling, when kept like a straw in the mouth of battle, filled him with shame. It was torture to him to be accompanied in battle even by his image in the sword-blade.... that even his bow bent to the foe in conflict...." "Levelling on every side hills and hollows, clumps and forests, trees and grass, thickets and an-thills, mountains and caves, the broad path of his armies seemed to portion out the earth"....He adds further, "A furious onslaught he counted a present, war a favour, the approach of battle a festival, a fee the discovery of a treasure, a host of enemies the acme of prosperity, a challenge to conflict a host...."

This praise is certainly highly exaggerating but we get something out of it. He was a great fighter. It was his personal gain to expand the limits of his kingdom.

^{135.} HCCTH., P. 153. फिं विस्मृतोडियाम समरशत शौण्डस्य पुरुषप्रकाणस्य केवारिण इवकेवारिणी मृहिणीम्। एवं विकेत रिका ते मरत भगीरण नाभागितिम नरेन्द्रवृद्धारकेण मृहीतः पण्टिः। कासेवितः तेना संभान्तानन्ततामन्ततीयन्ति।त्वमार्वतत आन्वृतवयटानिकेकः शिरसा। कच्चो मनोरण दुर्लभो महादेवी पृट्टनच सरकारकामो कलाटेन। आमीतायुष्पद्विष्टैः पूर्वेरियनक्रकव्यत्वेत्व विद्ययानचामराकण्यकवीनोक्का वरा पर्योचरी।'

[—]ह् व व, पं० उच्छ०, पृ० १०।।

136. HCCTH., P. 101। "वी राज्यांगर्सागित्यश्विष्यमान एव मलानीव सुमोचपनानि" —ह् व०, व० उ०, पृ० १॥ Editors of Harşackarita explain that at his coronation his ministers distributed money. Bid., P. 101, fostnote 3.

^{137.} HCCTH., Pp. 101-2. "यः परकीवेणापि कातरवस्कमेन रणमुखे तृणेनेव पूर्व नातक्वत जीवितन । यः करमूत चाताति जीतिबिन्नतेनात्वनात्पद्वतं समितिषु सहायेन रिपुणं पुटः प्रकेषु बनुषापि ननता. .. यक्क सर्वाषु दिख् अमीकृत सरिताटावत विटपाटनी तत्तुप्युत्म सन्तीकातिरि सहत्वेर्वस्व साजापचैः प्रवृत्तिभृत्यायाम् सम्मकतेव नयुषां बहुषा (सस्त वरतुप्यायनं विश्वस्वमृत्युद्धं समरागमं प्रकृतिस्व कांत्रु तिषद्वयंतनारि साहत्यसम्पुरसमाह साहत्य कराह्यसम्पुरसमाह साहत्यसम्पुरसमाह साहत्यसम्पुरसमाह साहत्यसम्पुरसमाह साहत्यसम्पुरसमाह साहत्य कराहत्यम्"

Bāṇa's references to 'hundred battles,' 'countiless feudatories' and 'captive wives of foes' certainly indicate his successful engagements with the adversaries. We have seen that all his predecessors enjoyed the status of 'Mahārāja' whereas Prabhākaravardhana is called 'Mahārāja'hirāja' in all records. This certainly proves that he enjoyed considerable political power and was an independent king who enjoyed allegiance of many feudatories.

It is quite likely that he assumed this title of 'Mahārājādhirāja' after his successes in various battles. Another important information is about his coronation which was attended by 'countless feudatories.' This celebration of the coronation ceremony does indicate his independent status.

Now we propose to discuss other campains of Prabhākaravardhana.

2. HIS CAMPAIGN AGAINST MÂLVÂ

We do not know definitely who ruled over Mālvā at that time. It was probably Devagupta, a scion of Mahāsenagupta's family or his predecessor. According to Dr. Mookerjit**, Prabhākaravardhana's adversary in Mālvā was king Śtlāditya, son and successor of Yaśodharmana whose reign came to an end about 583 A.D.. He maintains that Prabhākaravardhana attacked him as a leader of a confederacy consisting of the Maukharis of Kanauj, Vardhanas of Thāneśvara and the Guptas of Eastern Mālvā. It has also been pointed out that Prabhākaravardhana "regarded himself as a special rival of Śtlāditya from the fact that his wife queen Yaśovati was the daughter of Yaśodharmana Vikramāditya, Thus it was a contest between a son and a son-in-law for the Mālvā imperial throne." These views of the learned scholar appear to be unwarranted and he, unfortunately, has not given any basis to arrive at such conclusions. In absence of any positive basis for them, these views cannot claim any historical importance. The Mālvā ruler appears to have been subdued

^{138.} Harra, Pp. 59f.

^{139.} Ibid., Pp. 59f.

and this is further proved by his behaviour at the time of Prabhākaravardhana's death and afterwards.

3. THE WAR AGAINST THE GURJARAS

Smith suggests that they were "probably of Rājputānā, but possibly those of the Gurjara kingdom in the Punjāb, now represented by the Gujarāt and Gujarānwālā Districts." But as Punjāb was already under his possession, he must have made attempts to conquer and to subjugate the kingdoms in the vicinity of Punjāb. And, therefore, "the Gurjaras whose sleep was troubled" by Prabhākaravardhana, appear to have been the Gurjaras of the line founded by Harichandra in Rājaputānā.

4. CONQUEST OF GÄNDHÄRA

Bāṇa tells us that Prabhākaravardhana was "a bilious fever to that scent-elephant, the lord of Gāndhāra (Gāndhāradhipaganhadi) pakilgapādaloj).

By Gāndhāra, the region around modern Kandahār is denoted and Prabhākaravardhana is said to be the "bilious fever" or "the deadliest of all the fevers" to the king of Gāndhāra may indicate that the king of Gāndhāra must have suffered a serious set-back and that the kingdom was annexed to the domain of Prabhākaravardhana.

5. EXPEDITION AGAINST THE SINDHU KING

The reference to the kingdom of Sindha is vaguely made and it cannot be identified with any particular kingdom. Yuan Chwang tells us that Sindha "had then at least three dependencies," Atien-po-chih-lo or Atyanabakela, pi-to-shih-lo (Haidarabad or Nirankot) and A-fan-tu (Brahmanabad or the Khairpur territory). These territories were under Sindha.¹⁴⁴ The Chinese pilgrim gives us an imperssion that these dependence.

^{140.} EHI., 4th Ed., P. 349.

^{141.} CA., P. 98.; Ep. Ind, I, P. 69.; Ibid., Vol. XVIII, P. 92.

^{142.} HCK, Canto 4, notes P. 5.

^{143.} Ibid., P. 5.

^{144.} Watters, II, Pp. 251, 258-59; Beal, II, 271-280.

dencies did not enjoy political stability. The events after the death of Prabhākaravardhana support the impression left by the pilgrim and it is quite probable that Prabhākaravardhana must have utilized the unstable political conditions and must have extended his sway over Sindha.

6. EXPEDITION AGAINST THE LATAS

According to Bühler they were the "Gurjaras of Bharocha who, no doubt, assisted their northern clansmen.14 Dr. Raychaudhary, however, indicates that the Läṭas may have reference to the Kaṭachuris who finally ousted Guptas from Vidisä in or about A.D. 608.144 According to Vatsyā-yana, the Lāṭa country represented the land between Tāpti and Māhi rivers to the West of Mālvā.147 But the Aihole inscription informs us that the Lāṭas, the Mālvās and the Gurjaras were subdued by Pulakeśin II.148 This may suggest that during the difficult days of the Puspabhūtis these kingdoms might have thrown off their allegiance to Prabhākaravardhaṇa and Haṛa and might have shifted their allegiance to Pulakeśin II. Ravikiti, however, may not be taken seriously. Even if we accept his claim, Haṛa reconquered these kingdoms.

A word must be said about Yasovatl and her ancestry. Dr. Hoernle has assumed that Prabhākaravardhana's wife Yasovatl was the daughter of Yasodharmana and sister of Ślūdilya of Mālvā. **140 At the very outset we can say that there is nothing at our disposal to support Hoernle's view. Bāṇa tells us that "Yasovatl's brother "presented his son, a boy of about

^{145.} Ibid., Vol. I, P. 69; CA., P. 98, footnote 2.

^{146.} PHAI., 6th Ed., Pp. 606-7, Footnote 2. Dubrauil also maintains that Kalachuri (Kalachuri) dominions included the Lale country in the later part of the 6th and the first decade of the seventh century A.D.. Ancient History of Deccan, P. 32., cited in PHAI., Pp. 606-7, Footnote 2.

^{147.} Bom. Gaz., Vol. I, Part I. P. 7.; Ans. Hist. of Saurāstra, P. 49.

^{148.} Ep. Ind., Vol. VI, Pp. 9-10.

^{149.} JRAS., 1903, P. 545f.; Mookerji, Harge, P. 61.

eight years of age, to serve the young princes. 1:0 But he does not tell us who was this brother of the queen Yasovati. Neither in Harsacharita nor in any record of Harsa we know of any relationship of Prabhākarāvardhana with Sīlāditya of Mālvā (?), Bāna, who talks of Bhāndī, a boy of cight, at a great length; but, to our surpirse, he does not find it proper to mention his father's name . Had he been the ruler of Malva, Bana would not have left him unmentioned. Yasovatl, too, while preparing to burn herself unwidowed, simply tells us that she was "the lady of a great house, born of a stainless ancestry,141. It also becomes almost certain from her statement that her parents were alive when she decided to burn herself. Bana tells us that she recalled to her mind188 from the days of her birth all the childish experiences. 253 She cried, "Mother, father! look not upon me as a sinner that in my sore affliction I have set out for the other world."151 Had her father been in possession of a kingdom. she would have referred to him. All these factors lead us to conclude that Yasovati's father was of a good and respectable family, but his political status was not so great as assumed by Hoernle. He must have been "a mere sāmanta" as opined by Shri Vaidva. 181 The course of events, that followed the death of king Prabhākaravardhana and Rāiyavardhana, and Bhāndi attacking Śilāditya (his own father?), and presenting to Harşa "the family and dependents enchained, and the treasures and even the throne of his own father (?),"108 makes it easy for us to give up Hoernle's conclusion as mere basseless assumptions.

^{150.} HCCTH., P. 116.

Ibid., P. 153. 'कुलकलनमस्मि चारिनमानघना बमैघवल कुलेजाता"
 —ह० च०, पं० उच्छ०, प० ३०॥

^{152.} This also at the time of decision to die. HCCTH., P. 152.

^{153. &#}x27;स्मरन्ती प्रसुतस्तनी प्रसर्वदिवसादारम्य सकल्पंशायिनः धैशवसस्य सातिर्गृहयत हृदया'।। —हु० च०, पं० उच्छ०, पृ० ३०।

^{154. &#}x27;अम्ब, तात, न पश्यतं पापां परलोकप्रस्थितां मामेवमतिदुःखिताम्

⁻⁻ ह० था०, पं० उच्छ०, प० ३०।

^{155.} HMHI., Vol. I, P. 38.

^{156.} Ibid., Pp. 38-39.

Thus we can conclude that Prabhākaravardhana was the first ruler in the dynasty of the Puspabhūtis who can rightly be called the real founder of Puspabhūti hegemony with complete independent status. His achievements of having conquered the Hūpas, the kings of Sindhu, the Gurjaras, the lord of the Gändhäras, the Läṭas and the Mālavās are not merely conventional praises. By these conquests and others he appears to have attained "the position of an emperor" as opined by Dr. Mookerji and thus he left for Harşa a great kingdom with solid foundations.

CHAPTER III

BIRTH AND EARLY LIFE OF HARSA

The king Prabhākaravardhana and his wife Yaśovati (Yálómath)¹ led a very happy conjugal life. As an ideal Indian couple they are compared with 'Śańkara and Pārvati' Viṣṇu and Lakṣhmi, Chandramā and Rohiṇi, Prajāpati and Buddhi, and Vaśiṣtha and Arundhati.¹

From detailed accounts of Bāṇa it appears that they had no issue for long after marriage. The king was worried for his successor and he prayed to his family deity Siva. And it is for getting an issue the king performed daily prayers with a strict routine. Bāṇa tells us, "Day by day at sunrise he bathed, arrayed himself in white silk, wrapt his head in a white cloth, and kneeling eastwards upon the ground in a circle smeared with saffron paste, presented for an offering a bunch of red lotuses set in a pure vessel of ruby and tinged, like his own heart, with the sun's hue. Solemnly at dawn, at midday, and at eve he muttered a prayer for offspring, humbly with earnest heart repeating a hymn having the sun as its centre.

Bāṇa gives her name as Yaśovati whereas the Banskhera and Madhubana inscriptions and the Sonpat seal refer to her as Yaśomatidevi. As Harşa's own records (Svahasto) refer to her as Yaśomati, it is better to adopt both names as correct.

^{2.} पार्वतीय ग्रंकरस्य, क्रक्मीरिय कोकनुरोः, रोहणीय कलावतः, बुद्धिरिय प्रजापते, सक्त्मतीय महासुरे। हु० च०, चतु० उ०, पू० २॥ НССТН.. Рь. 102-3.

^{3.} This is also confirmed if we take into consideration the age of Prabhākaravardhana and his children and the difference therein.

^{4.} प्रतिविनमृत्ये विनक्षतः स्नातः सितपुक्तवारी ववलकर्गद्रमानृतियारः प्राक्रमुख कितो जानुन्यां स्थित्वा कुकुमपक्कानृत्तित्व कच्छकं पविकथपरायपानितिहितेन स्वहृदयेनेव सुनितन्ति स्तक्तकार्याचार्या देवी कार्याच व्ययं पुत्रपितः प्रत्युवित मध्यित्व विनान्ते वापाय-हेतीः प्राच्यं प्रयतेन सन्ता जंत्रपृक्तं सन्तमावित्वहृदयम् । ह० च०, चतु उठ, प० ३। HCCTH., P. 104. Similar penances for son are in the Kädambart of Bäga.

These prayers bore fruits and "one night when the night was near its close and it was just the approach of dawn" the queen had a dream in which she saw "... two shining youths issue from the sun's disk (savturmaqdalannirgalya dau Kumäraksu tejomoyau) accompanied by (anugamyāmānau) one maid like a moon incarnate (Kanyayaikayācha-chandramūryaiva) lighted upon the earth (kriititalamavaitīyau) and cut open her womb with a sword (shitrayadarain vidārya) and essayed to enter (praveitumārabdhau)." When she related the whole dream to the king the latter rightly understood the prophetic meaningfulness of the dream and he said to the queen, "Your parents' prayers are answered. Our wishes are fulfilled. Our family goddesses have accepted you. In his graciousness the holy god of the radiant (the sun) will grant you joy, and that soon, by the gift of three noble children." Then, he "performed the customary ceremonies." After the lapse of a short period of time the eldest prince Rājyavardhana was born.

BIRTH OF HARSA

We are certainly indebted to Bāṇa for elaborate details pertaining to Harṣa. About his birth, too, he gives all minute details since he came into womb of Yaśomati but he, unfortunately, dues not give the year of birth. He writes, "A second period having elapsed, in the Nabhas month of plantains."

^{5.} HCCTH., P. 104; হৃত ৰত, ব্ৰুত তৰ্মন্ত, বৃত ই। The dream at the close of the night are believed to bear fruits.

HCCTH., p. 106. समुदास्ते गुरुवनाशिषः पूर्णा नो मनोरवाः परिगृहीतासि
कुलदेवतामिः असम्रेस्ते भगवानंशुमाली निविरेणैवातिगुणवदपत्यत्रयलाभेनानन्दियस्ति भवतीम्
— हुँ० च०, च० उ०, पु० ४।

^{7.} Ibid., P. 106.

^{8.} Ibid., Pp. 106-7.

According to the learned editors "the plantain flowers all the year, but especially in the rains." They take it as the month of "Śrāoaṇa" HCCTH., P. 108. It is said that "wild plantain plant: grow up spontaneously in rainy season." HCK., Notes on 4th Canto. P. 19. The month of Nabhas is śrāoaṇa. HCCTH., P. 108, Footnote 1.

when the bud is on the Kadamba tree, ¹⁰ the barley blades grow in clusters, ¹¹ the red-lotus stands crect, ¹⁸ the chitaka's heart expands, ¹³ and the dwellers in Mānasa (Hathsāb) are dumb, ¹⁴ in that month Harsa came into being, at once in the heart and womb of Yaśovati, even as Krispa in Devaki¹⁴."

According to Bāṇa, Harşa was born "in the month of Jyeştha on the twelfth day of the dark fortnight, the Pleiads being in the ascendant, just after the twilight time, when the young night had begun to climb, a sudden cry of women arose in the harem." Suyātrā, daughter of Yasomati's murse, conveyed this news hurriedly to the king with the following words "Good news, Your Majesty. You are blessed with the birth of a second son."

^{10. &}quot;The Kadamba tree is said to put forth buds at the advent of the thunders and rain." HCK., 4th Canto, P. 19,

HCCTH., P. 108; "सरुलवाम्यः प्रविष्तिसत्ता कदम्बयिष्ट स्कृपुटकोरकेव"
 ज्वतर रामवरित, अध्याय ३। ह० व०, व० उ०, प० ५।

^{12.} This again takes place when ponds are full of water.

^{13.} It is a traditional belief that châtaka does not drink water on the earth and only takes the drops from the clouds.

^{14.} It is also commonly known that Hamsas leave for the lake of Mānsarovara when the rainy season starts and, therefore, it was probably they had left the land and their roice could not be heard.

^{15.} वधान्यस्मित्रवात्त्रात्ते कस्मिश्चरकाले कन्दिलित कुद्मिल्यकदम्बत्तरी स्वृदोक्य-तृणस्त्राचे स्तिम्मिताप्तारसे विकसित्धातकचेत्रीस मूकमानसाकिक नमित मासि देव्या देवस्या इव धक्ताणियंश्चीसच्या दुवये वर्गे स सममेव सम्बन्ध हुईं:। हु० ६०, ४० ७०, ५० ५५। Kriyaa was born on the 8th day of the dark fortnight of Bhādrapada, and not in Srāvaņa. If it is calculated on the basis of his birth the conception might have taken place in the month of Bhādrapada.

^{16.} রবেশ সাংবী কথিকালানী নারি বহুজানু বুলুবংলাকেরা অধারি মেণিকলেই জ্বাক্তবার বা করেই করিবলেই এইট , মুক্তবার করিবলেই এইট , মুক্তবার করেই এইট , মুক্তবার করেইটার কর

Bana, who is so much particular about the time and position of the planets and other details, unfortunately does not mention the day and the year. This omission and the faulty astronomical calculations of Bana have made the confusion worse confounded. He says, "Harsa was born in the month of Ivestha on the twelfth day of the dark fortnight when the moon was entering on her youth." We can fix it at about 10 n.m. It was the time of 'Pradosa'. Shri C.V. Vaidya, after a detailed calculaion made on the basis of these data as studied and discussed by Prof. Apte of the Victoria College, Laskar (Gwalior), has made an accurate study of Harsa's birth date. Prof. Apte maintains That "The moon was at 10 p. m. in the Krittikas on the 12th of Jyestha Vadya Saka 511, (589 A.D.) as also on the 12th of Ivestha Vadva Saka 512 (590 A.D.)". According to the learned scholar, the latter year seems more probable of the two, as in the former the dvadasi set in after sunrise" and "The month mentioned by Bana must here be taken to be an Amanta month."18 Only by that calculation (Amanta month) we can fix Harsa's birth on the 12th day of Ivestha Vadya, Saka 512.19

In order to fix up the corresponding date according to English calendar, Shri Vaidya, on the basis of calculations from Sewell and Dexit's tables, has found that the moon in Krittikā and Dvādasī come together in the Jyeştha Vadya in the years 511 and 512. Prof. Vaidya says the year 512 is better and more correct for "There is dvādasī from sunrise and the tithi lasts for more than 22 hours" and the Krittikā nakṣatra begins after four hours after sunrise." Thus the Saka year 512 suits all conditions and requirements necessary to corroborate Bāṇa's statement.

^{18.} Month ending with the Amāvakyā. According to Shri Apte, "this seems somewhat strange as Bāga, who was a northener, does not use the northen calculations with the Purpimānta month; i.e. the month ending with the Purpimā (on the full moon day). This may probably be due to Saka ereż siglience. If we take Pürpimānta month we have to accept Vaisākha Vadya 12, but we do not find the moon in Krittikā neither on Vadya 12, 588, nor 589, nor Vadya 590, nor 591. HMH, Vol. 1, Pp. 42.3.

^{19.} Ibid., Pp. 42f.

The Jyestha Vadya 12, Saka 512, according to Sewell and Dexit's table corresponds to Sunday, the 4th June, 590. A.D., 20

Another point of confusion that has been made by Bāṇa is about the planetary conditions. Bāṇa puts it in the mouth of an astrologer who says that "It was on a day like this, free from the taint of all evil conjunctions such as malignant aspects of the sun and the moon, at the moment like the present, when all the planets were similarly at their apexes, that Māndhātrī came to earth." It

^{20.} Ibid., P. 43.

HCCTH., P. 112. मान्याता किळैबंबिचे ब्यतीपातादिसर्बदोवामिय रहिते हिन सर्वेषच्यस्थानस्थितेष्येव ग्रेडेप्वीहिक लग्ने भेजे जन्म । हु० च०, च० उच्छ०, प० ६।

^{22.} मेब, बृबभ, मकर, कन्या, ककें, मीन and तुला are the उच्च s respectively of रवि. चन्द्र, मीम, बच, गर, शक and कृति।

Kane opines that "it seems impossible from an astrological point of view that the all planets were in their excalation at the time of Harşa's birth. The day being the 12th Josepha Vadya, the sun could not have been in 'Mesa' which is the 'uchcha' of the sun (Ravi)". HCK., Notes, 4th Canto, P. 24.

^{23.} HMHI., I, P. 42. Vaidya says further, "Although from the above, Bāṇa's testimony regarding the position of the planets is found to be unreliable, his date of birth cannot be so as Harşa's birth day celebrations must have taken place every year as emplorer's birth days usually are and there could have been no mistake about it". Ibid., P. 42.

^{24.} परमसंमतः शतसः संवाधितातीन्त्रियादेशो ब्राधितप्रभावः स लिती ज्योतिषि सर्वीतां महसंहितानां पारदृष्वा शकलगणमकमध्ये महिती हितस्य त्रिकालज्ञानभारभोजकस्तासको नाम गणकः। ह० च०, च० उच्छ०, पृ० ६।

emed for supernatural insight and great mastery on all the treatises on astronomy, came and prophecied the greatness of the future that Harya was destined to achieve.⁵⁵

Harşa's birth was celebrated with great pomp and show and we mark many common customs being observed on that occasion. White-clad (siukla vāsavo) Brāhmaņas, with the Vedas on their lips (Brāhmanus, with the Vedas on their lips (Brāhmanus hāhā)** to foster (vridhay*) the newborn's life. The family priest came with lustre and fruits ready in his hand (sānṣyudakaphalahastasthas) along with the incoming elders of the family (Bāndhanayridhhāb). In accordance with the time-honoured custom the prisoners were freed "whose disorderly crowds" (muklāmi bandhanayrindāmi) are described by Bāṇa.**

The festivities at the royal palace are presented in a somewhat magniloquent style, "So proceeded the great birth festival, the order of the royal household gone, the pretence of chamberlains laid low, the mace-bearers sobbed of their maces, entrances to the harem in no wise criminal, master and servants reduced to a level, young and old confounded, learned and unlearned on one footing, drunk and sober not to be distinguished, noble maidens and harlots equally merry, the whole population of the capital set a-dancing." ***B

From Bāṇa's statement it appears that the gap between Rājya-vardhana and Harṣa was of about 5 years. He says, "When Rājya-vardhana was now nearing his sixth year (saythan varşamazutarai cha Rājya-vardhana) and while Harṣa could just manage five or six paces with the support of his nurse's finger (dhārikarāngullagne paicha yāṇi padani

^{25.} Ibid., P. 110.

Ibid., P. 111, or it may mean "headed by Brahma." Ibid., P. 111,
 Footnote 1.

^{27.} Prisoners were released on such occasions. This we learn from many ancient treatists,

^{28.} HCCTH., P. 111; प्रावर्तत च विवतराजकुलस्थितिरयः इतप्रतीहरपाकृति-रपनीत्विष्यको निर्वोधानाः प्रवर्थेयाः समस्याधियपित्वनो निर्विचेष बालमुद्यः समामशिष्याशिष्यकनो दुव्यममामानप्रायिकामानदुत्वकुलयुवविवेदसाकापिकासः अनुमतस्वककरुकाकः पुत्रकम्मित्सवो महान् । हु ० च, प्र० उच्छ०, ९० ७।

propachehheti Harte), it was at that time queen Yasomati became preguent with Rājyaśriya (Deof Yasomati garbhenádhatta Denin Rājyaśriyan), 10 Thus the difference between the age of Harsa and that of Rājyaśri nust have been of nearly two years or a little less than two years. It was about the time of Rājyaśri's birth Yasomati's brother presented his son Bhandi, a boy of about eight years of age, to serve the young princes. 10 He is the same Bhandi who helped these princes with unqualified loyality and devotion in their hour of need.

HARSA'S EARLY LIFE AND EDUCATION

Băṇa does not give necessary details pertaining to Harṣa's early life and education. He simply says, generally speaking, about the princes Rājyavardhana, Harṣa and princess Rājyasiri alongwith their fourth brother Bhandi that "growing in due course step by step" (Kramsea vardhamdnau) "they came to manhood" (Tawanamavatarstub). But no specific mention has been made with regard to their education and training. From the informations found here and there in Harşacharita and his other work Kādambari Bāṇa makes it quite clear that people educated their children with adequate care and a great sense of responsibility. From the description we find in Bāṇa's works we can assume that Harṣa's educational career might have started at about 6 or 7 and continued up to the age of fourteen. We definitely know that Harṣa assumed full control

^{29.} HCCTH., Pp. 115-16. हo ৰo, ৰo বভ্ছo, qo ९-१०।

^{30.} Ibid., Pp. 116. अस्मिन्नेव तु काल वेच्या वशीमत्या ज्ञाता सुतमान्वर्य-वेशीसमुद्धस्यानकृटिककालपवकशिकाच कुमारवोर्रातत्वान। ह० च०, च० उच्छ०, पृ० १०। The Bhaydi was elder to Harşa by nearly six years and to Rajyavardhana by two wars.

^{31.} HOCTH., P. 117; ह० च०, च० उच्छ०, पृ० १०१

^{32.} Bāņa himself performed all his sacred duties proper to a Brālamapa as enjoyed in Sruti and Smiti by his fourteenth year. क्लोपनमनाविक्रियाकलगस्य सभाचृत्तस्य स्वृद्धंवाणयेश्वीयस्य पिसापि प्रतिस्पितिक्षितं कला विकास प्राप्ताप्त निक्कं पुष्पाचारं कालेनावासामित्र प्रवास्तामनात् । ह० च०, ४० उ०, ५० १९। HCCTH., P. 32. This, probably also applied to princes as we learn that prince

of the affairs of the kingdom when he was about sixteen years of age. It appears that due emphasis was laid on physical and military education of Harsa and Rājyavardhana. They rode on horses and had well-proportioned bodies. They rode the best of elephants. Bāṇa says that "day after day their hands, begrimed with the marks of sword play, seemed defiled by quenching the fire of all other monarchs' prowess. By the deep twang of their bows at recreation time they seemed conversing after recent dalliance with the queens of the quarters. "In fis clearly proves that both Rājyavardhana and Harsa were trained in the sword-fighting and bow-fighting. It appears that in bow-fighting they attained great kill. Bāṇa says, "The very bow borne by the clouds distressed their

Chandrapitja is said to have entered the school when he was six and studied for ten years. Thus he left the school when he was sixteen. Yuan Chwang also gives the same information. He tells us that "when the children are seven years of age the great treatises of the Five sciences are gradually communicated to them." Watters, I, P. 184-5.

- 33. অভ্যানহাটিক ছবিবাছন বিস্কালকাহাবি। ছ০ ব ০, ব ০ বজ্ঞত , বৃ০ १०।

 Both Hari and Harivāhan mean horse of a special breed and Aruna is charioteer
 of the sun and Garunda is the Vāhana of Viṣṇu. It may indicate that they drove with

 speed of Aruna and Garunda on the horse assigned for carrying Sirya and Viṣṇu.

 Kana appears to adopt similar meaning. Notes, HCK., P. 40.; HCCTH., P. 117;

 Monier Williams, Pp. 1289 and 1290.
- 34. हन्द्रोपेन्द्राविक नापेन्द्रपती । It may also mean "their gait was like that of the best of elephants." But both Indra and Vişpu ride on Nagendra. Indra has his Erdwata, the best of elephants, and Vişpu is also known as Seşaldyī i.s. one who sleeps on the 'steat', the lord of the serpents. HCCTH., P. 117.
- HCCTH., P. 118. अनृदिवसं शास्त्राम्यासस्यामिकाकलिक्तमशेष-राजकअतापामिनिविषणमिलनिव करतलमुडहन्तौ, योग्याकालेषु श्रीरैर्मनुष्येनिकरस्यणोपमोदा-दिग्यपूमिरिवालयन्तौ। हरु च०, च० उच्छ०, पृ० १९।

This may indicate the tawing of their bown spread in all directions. In Himdlepas
.... "He with his bow drawn to the ear killed wild creatures." HCCTH., P. 132.
वासमानाक्रणकार्यकुर्तानार्गतानुरामत्कवर्षी स्वत्यीयोजिरेव विकसानः ववापदान्यरण्यानि ।
इ० म, पंज उठ, पुरु ११।

hearts." Our knowledge of education imparted to the princes in Bāṇa's times is further supplemented by his description of education and training given to prince Chandrapida. An elaborate picture has been drawn by Bāṇa and to understand the essentiality of education for princes or heirapparents, Kādambarī works as a mirror. Bāṇa says that almost all branches of learning and all sciences were taught to prince Chandrapida.

He is said to have attained a high degree of perfection and masterly skill in words (pade), 37 sentences (väkye), 18 in reasoning or logic (pramāne). in theology (dharmatästre), in polity (rājanīti), in Gymnastics (Vyāvāmavidyāsu), in all kinds of weapons, such as the bow (chāba), quoit (chakra), shield (charma), scimitar (kṛipāṇa), dart (śakti), mace (tomara), battle-axe (parsu), and club (gadā), in driving and elephant-riding (gajapristhesu) and in chariotdriving (rathacharyāsu); in musical instruments, such as the lute (Vinā), fife (venu) etc.; in the laws of dancing (nrityašāstresu) and in the science of music (Gandharvavedavisesu). He attained complete skill in the management of elephants, the knowledge of horses and the marks of men (bururalaksane):39 he was trained in the arts of painting (chitrakarmāni), leaf-cutting (batrachchedye), the use of books (bustakavyāpāra); he was also taught all the arts of gambling and had acquired the knowledge of the cries of birds and had learned astronomy (grahaganits). He gained the knowledge of testing the lewels (ratnapariks a) and learned carpentry (dārukarmāni), the art of ivory (dantavyābārs), architecture (vāstuvidyāsu), the science of medicine (Ayurveda), mechanics (yantraprayoga), antidotes (Viśapaharana), the science of tunnels (sura-

^{36.} HCCTH., P. 118; जलवरकृतेनापि धनुषा दोदूबमानहृदयौ। इ० च०, ४, १९।

^{37.} It may be lexicography.

^{38.} It may indicate grammar or philology.

^{39.} Bāṇa informs us that the right hand of Dioākaramitra was graced with all the lines and marks of a great man. HCCTH., P. 238. Harşa is also said to have possessed the auspicious marks which told of his sovereignty. Ibid., P. 91. These examples prove that a special branch of science of the study of such marks was in existence.

ńgopabhoda), swimming, magic (indrajāla), stories (kāthāsu), dramas, romances (ākhyēyikā), poetry (Kāŋṣṣu); he thoroughly studied the Maḥābhārata, the Rāmāyana, the Purāṇas and history; he learnt all kinds of writing (lipi,u), and all languages of all the countries, all technicalities (xarasanjñāṣu), all mechanical arts (xarasāijbēpu), metre (chhandēpu) and other various branches of arts.⁴³

This long list is admittedly exaggerated and it is quite obvious that a man cannot attain "the highest skill" in all these branches even if he devotes the whole of his life. But this exaggerated account, however, reveals the existence of these various branches of learning. Harsa must have been taught some of these subjects in order to be trained for the great task. Bāna tells us that Prabhākaravardhana was conscious about his responsibility. We learn that he educated Răivaśri. Harsa also proves his literary knowledge and his works are the testimony in themselves. It was their thorough educational training that made Raivavardhana and Harsa well-trained for the task assigned to them. It is clear from the conversation between Prabhākarayardhana and his sons. He says, "It is difficult to secure good servants, the first essential of sovercignty. In general mean persons, making themselves congenial, like atoms, in combination, compose the substance of royalty. Fools, setting people to dance in the intoxication of their play, make peacocks of them. Knaves. working their way in, reproduce as in a mirror their own image. Like dreams, impostors by false phantasies beget unsound views. By songs, dances, and jests unwatched flatterers, like neglected diseases of the humours. bring on madness. Like thirsty catakas, low-born persons cannot be held fast. Cheats, like fishermen, hook the purpose at its first rise in the mind. like a fish in Manasa. Like those who depict infernos, loud singers paint unrealities on the canvas of the air. Suitors, more keen than arrows, plant a barb in the heart. For these seasons Inhave appointed to wait upon: your highnesses! the brothers Kumaragupun and Madhavagupta, sens of the Malva king, histoparable as units again from my miles when them found by frequent crisis sintroliched by any easiet of wied) blameless discrete; These examples prior that a special binach of serior of the study of each made or

^{40.} Kādambari, tr. Ridding, P. 60; Kādambari, Ed. Parab, Pp. 168-169.

strong, and comely. To them your highnesses also will show a consideration not enjoyed by the rest of your dependants."11

This somewhat lengthy passage shows that Prabhākaravardhana trained both these princes in the art of government and they were up to his expectation which was amply justified by their handling the situation after their father's death.

HARSA'S EARLY MILITARY CAREER

In ancient times it was a common practice that the princees had to participate in the military engagements alongwith their fathers. We know it for certain that many princes fought some important battles before coming to throne.⁴⁸ But about Harşa's early military career we do not possess such information. From Bāṇa's Harşacharita we learn that soon after the marriage of Rājyaśri, king Prabhākaravardhana, one day summoned Rājyavardhana to lead an expedition against the Hūṇas. We are told that he was a grown-up youth and "was fitted himself for wearing armour (Kavacha). He was placed at the head of an immense force" and was ent "attended by ancient advisers and devoted feudatories towards the north to attack the Hūṇas. "¹⁸ Bāṇa hastens to tell us that Harşa "followed

^{41.} HCCTH., P. 119. प्रयमं राज्यांग दुर्जमाः सभूत्याः। प्रायेण परमाणव इव समयायंजनुषुणीभूय इव्यं कुर्वित पार्थिवं कृष्टाः। ऋषारक्षेत्र नतंवन्तो मयूरतां नवन्ति बालिखाः। वर्षणीभवागुप्रवित्रात्यां प्रकृतिं संकामवन्ति पल्लविकाः। स्वप्ता स्व मिन्यावर्धनैरस्यकृति । निर्माण प्रवित्रात्यां प्रकृतिं संकामवन्ति पल्लविकाः। स्वप्ता इव वातिकाः। वातका इव तृष्णावत्तो न वाक्यां स्वर्देष्णभूक्ष्णोताः। मान्ते मीनिम्य स्कृत्तमेवाभिप्रायं पृक्कृत्ति आंक्याः। यस्य हिक्स ह्वास्य विकाराः। वात्यः स्वर्ये निर्माणिकाः। यस्य हिक्स ह्वास्य विकारां क्ष्यां ह्वास्य विकारां। यस्य ह्वये निर्माणिकाः। यस्य ह्

^{42.} There are many examples of such nature and they are widely known.

^{43.} HCCTH., Pp. 132f. राज्यवयंत्र कवचहरमाहुव हुणान्हत्तु हरिणानिव हिस्हिरिणेशिक्कोरकमपरिमितवलानुवातं चिरत्तनरमात्परपुरत्तरेश्व महासामन्तैः हरवा सामिसरमृत्तरापयं प्राहिणोत्।—ह० वा, पं० उच्छ०, पं० १९।

his march with the horse for several stages."41 When Rājyavardhana "had entered the snowy regions", Harsa is said to have "spent several days, for his being at adventure-loving age, away from the camp where he got an opportunity to hunt lions sarabhas, tigers and boars which were in plentiful". He is said to have "left the forest empty of those wild creatures in comparatively few days." This is all what Bāṇa says about Harsa's early military career.

This was not, as Bāṇa suggests, merely an offensive war which Rāiyavardhana waged. It was both an offensive and defensive war against the Hūṇas. It was a serious engagement as we shall see later. And Hanşa's participation in that expedition makes it clear that Hanşa was well-trained for the war and was allowed to go in order to have practical training in active military operations.

Harşa spent these several days at the military camp. It can be safely assumed that Harşa was entrusted with the task of guarding the rear, while Rājyavardhana was engaged in a serious struggle against the Hūṇas. Bāṇa does not record the details of this conflict, but the description of Prince Rājyavardhana and his expedition clearly indicates the scriousness of the engagement. He says "The eleder brother came in sight amid a throng of servants pale and worn with many days' neglect of bathing, eating and sleeping, and reduced in numbers by their long rapid march." He adds "Only one or two, chiefly domestics, could be distinguished. The umbrella-bearer was wanting, the superintendent of the wardrobe lagged behind, the pitcher carrier had collapsed, the spittoon-bearer was prostrate, the betcl-bearer panted, and the sword-bearer limped" and "the earth had made him her refuge."

HCCTH., P. 132 प्रयान्तं च तं देवो हवंः कतिचित्त्रयाणकानि तुरक्कमैरनुवक्काज ।
 क च०, पं० उच्छ०, प० १९।

^{45.} HCCTH., P. 165. दूरदुतामननमृषिबाहुत्येन विच्छित्रच्छत्रचारेग लिम्बता-म्बरताहिना भ्रष्टभृङ्गारबाहिणा च्युताबमनमारिणा बास्यत्तास्मृलिकेन स्रंबरसङ्ग्रमाहिणा किन् त्यमकायवादोरकत्रायेण बहुवायरान्तरितनानमोजनवयनस्यायसामवपुषा परिजनेन परिवृतम्, जैवरत्नमार्ग्नृलिमुद्यतिरादारीरात्या सर्णोङ्गतिमवादारणया ऋमानतया वसुन्वरया। —ह० ४०, वषट उच्छ०, पु० ३६।

This description of Rājyavardhana's -return, of course, coincides with the sad demise of Prabhākaravardhana and Bāṇa intends to portray Rājyavardhana's condition because of the grief he felt at the news; but what he writes further is a clear testimony to what happened during the struggle against Hūnas. Bāṇa says that his body was "dotted with long white bandages bound about arrow wounds received in battle while conquering the Hūṇas." Though a victory, if Bāṇa is believed, it was a serious engagement and Rājyavardhana fought it courageously. On the occasion of such great danger and stubborn resistence offered by Rājyavardhana, the latter might have posted Harsa to guard the rear, which he appears to have performed quite skilfully.

FAVOURITE SON

From Harşacharita it appears that Harşa enjoyed his father's affection more than his elder brother Rājyavardhana. The first hint of such treatment is felt when the king fell ill. We are told by Bāṇa that the message was first conveyed to Harşa through a special messenger named Kurangaka. As the latter does not mention any desire of conveying the news of king's illness to his eleder brother, Rājyavardhana, it is quite obvious that the king must have asked the messenger to convey the message only to Harşa. When Harya reached the bed of his father, the latter received him with so great affection? that we are forced to conclude that his father loved him most. Bāṇa's pen gives a very fine picture of this meeting of a father on the sick-bed with a son whom he loved so much. He tells us, "Lumb pressed to limb; cheek joined to cheek; closing eyes which flowed with incessant drops forming on their lashes he held his son in a long embrace, foregetting all the torments of the fever." When

^{46.} HCCTH., P. 165. हूणनिर्जयसमरशास्त्रणबद्धपट्टकर्दीर्घववलः ।

⁻⁻ह० च०, व० उच्छ०, पृ० ३६।

^{47.} HCCTH., PP. 141ff.

^{48.} Ibid., Pp. 147ff.

HCCTH., 142. कपोलेन कपोलमवषट्यम्, निमीलयन्यस्माग्रयिताजस्त्रास्त्र-विस्वाविणी विलोखने विस्मतज्वरसंज्वर: 1—ह० च०, पं० उच्छ०, पु० २४।

he sat down near the couch, his father "gazed upon him with eyes that seemed to drink him in their fixed unblinking look."50 And again and again he "touched him with trembling palms." This description certainly amounts to the strongest bonds of love. Later the king, after a long sigh said in "tear-chocked accents" (bāspavegagrihyamānāksaram), "I know your filial love and exceeding tender heart. At times like this overmastering. all-afflicting family affection distracts even a sober man's mind. For this reason you must not give yourself over to sorrow. Consumed as I am by the fever's fierce heat, I am still more so by your distress. Your leanness cuts me like a sharp kinfe." And then he hastens to declare. "Upon you my happiness, my sovereignty, my succession, and my life are set, and as mine, so those of all my people. The sorrows of such as you are a sorrow to all people on the earth; for no families of small worth are adorned by your like. You are the fruit of stainless deeds stored up in many another life, You bear marks declaring the sovereignty of the four oceans, one and all. to be almost in your grasp. By your mere birth my end is attained, I am free from the wish to live. Only deference to the physicians makes me drink their medicines. Furthermore, to such as you, who through the merits of a whole people are born for the protection of all the earth, fathers are a mere expedient to bring you into being. In their people, not in their kin, are kings rich in relatives. Rise therefore, and once more attend to all the needs of life."51

HCCTH., P. 142; आगतमासीनं च शयनान्तिके पिविभव विगतिमिष-निष्यलन चुला व्यलोकसत् । ह० च०, पं० उच्छ०, प० २४।

Prabhākaravardhana's illness proved most serious shock to Harsa's mother. Oueen Vasomati decided to enter the fire in order to die unwidowed. She did not listen to Harsa's request and plunged into fire at Sarasvati's bank. This shock of his mother's separation redoubled his grief and Harsa was weeping because of this deep sorrow. The king, recovering consciousness at the sound of prince's ceaseless weeping tried to pacify him with the following words, "You should not be so. my son. Men of your mould are not infirm of heart. Strength of soul is the people's mainstay, and second to it is royal blood. With you, the vanguard of the stout-hearted, the abode of all pre-eminence, what has weakness to do? To say you are the lamp of your line were almost depreciation of one whose brilliance compares with the god of day. To call you a lion among heroes is like a reproach to one whose prowess is seconded by penetrating insight. To declare this earth yours is almost a vain repetition, when your bodily marks proclaim an universal emperor's dignity. To bid you take to yourself glory is almost contradictory, when glory has herself adopted you. 'Succeed to this world' is a command too mean for an intending conqueror of both worlds. 'Appropriate my treasury' is a grant of little service to one whose sole craving is for the accumulation of fame spotless as moonlight. 'Make prize of the feudatory kings' is almost meaningless, when your virtues have made prize of all beings. 'Support the burden of royalty' is an injunction misbecoming one accustomed to support the burden of the three worlds. 'Protect the people' is but reiteration, when the sky has your long arm for its bar. 'Guard well your dependants' is an incidental duty to a peer of the world's Guardians. 'Practise yourself in arms', to one whose forearm is blackened by the bow-string's callous brand how can this advice be given? 'Check levity' is an utterance without excuse towards one whose senses even in tender years were held in check. 'Annihilate your foes' is a suggestion of your own inborn valour."32

^{52.} HCCTH., Pp. 155-56. पुत्र, ताहंस्यंवं अवितुस्। यवद्विया न ह्यमहासस्ताः। महासस्ता हि प्रयममवज्ञमनं कोकस्य पर्ववाद्वावनीविता। सत्तवता नावणीः, वर्वातिवादावित्वाः वत्त्व वेत्रकव्यम्। 'कुळादीगोऽति' इति दिवसकरसङ्ग्रतिजनसं क्षपूक्तप्रामितः। 'पुरुष विद्वादेवाते कर्मकः क्षपूक्तप्रामितः। 'पुरुष विद्वादेवाते कर्मकः क्षप्राम्व । 'क्षितिपयं तव' इति कार्यपर्वाद्याव्यक्तमः विद्वादेवाते क्षप्राम्व । विद्वादेवाते विद्वादेवाते क्षप्राम्व । विद्वादेवाते विद्यादेवाते विद्वादेवाते विद्यादेवाते विद्यादेवाते

This great piece of advice certainly shows that Harşa was loved more than his elder brother Râjyavardhana. On the basis os these somewhat long citations cited above, we can sum up the following points.

Firstly, Prabhākaravardhana loved Harşa more than he loved Rājyavardhana and when the message of his sudden illness was conveyed it was meant for Harşa alone without any reference to Rājyavardhana.

Secondly, when Harşa returned, the king did not inquire anything about his brother Rājyavardhana who was despatched with an important mission against the Hūnas.

Thirdly, when the king saw his end near he clearly tells Harşa to take up the affairs of the State and the Government.

These circumstances clearly prove that the king Prābhakaravardhana favoured Harşa to his elder brother Rājyavardhana, and, as Sir V. Smith says, a party at court (probably including the king)) inclined to favour the succession of the younger prince.²² But the later part of the opinion of the learned scholar does not appear to be well-founded when he says, "But all intrigues were frustrated by the return of Rājyavardhana.^{21,4} It is to be recalled that speedy return of Rājyavardhana was managed by Harşa himself. As soon as the latter reached the capital following the message of king's illness he, first of all, made arrangements for his brother's quick return. Bāṇa clearly says that Harşa, "In hot haste, dispatched

वित्पसस्य पुनस्कतिमन। 'मृह्यता श्रीः' इति स्वयमेव शिया गृहीतस्य विपरोतिसव। 'अध्यास्य-तामय कोकः' इत्युव्यकोकवित्रविगीधोत्पुक्कशियन। 'स्वीक्रियता कोषः' इति व्यविकरित्तस्यिकः यद्यः संवर्षकार्तिनविद्यानी निक्सयोगीमन। 'आस्वीक्रियतो प्रकारम्' इति गृणगणात्मिष्ठत्वनावते। गतार्थिमन। 'वृह्यता राज्यसारः' इति युवनवयेसारोधितस्यानुवित्तिनयोव इन। 'प्रजाः परिरस्य-लाम्' इति दौर्वदोर्वण्डाणीक्तिदङ्गकास्यानुवाद इन। 'परिजाः परिपात्यताम्' इति कोकपाकोम-मस्यानुवाहुक्किमन। 'शत्वास्यानः कारः' इति वृत्यकृषिकणकक कार्लोहृत्वसकोच्टस्य किमादिव्यते। ' निकाह्यता 'पाल्य' इति नुतनत्वर्याद्य निमृह्यितिस्यस्य निरस्काधेव वाणी। 'तिरव्यव्यता शत्वो तेयाः'। —हु व व, व उच्छ०, प० इ१-३२।

^{53.} EHI., 4th Ed., P. 349.

^{54.} Ibid., P. 349.

couriers and swift camel-riders one after another to procure his brother's coming."

This clearly shows that Harşa was completely innocent about any secret move of the "party at court" and, probably, with a consent of the king. The statements of king Prabhākaravardinana in order to pursuade Harşa to get his consent to accept the throne amount to his clear desire for Harşa's succession in supercession to the legitimate claims of Rājyavardhana, who was the eldest prince and was the legal heir to the throne. The latter was away from the capital and in his absence the king, almost on his death bed, made an unambiguous statement requesting Harşa to accept the crown. There is no mention of Rājyavardhana at all, nor we get any hint that the king was requesting Harşa because his elder brother was away and there was no time to wait for his return.

These circumstances certainly lead us to come to a definite conclusion that the king favoured Hasra's succession to the throne and, the court, probably knowing king's leanings for the younger prince or for his promising career, favoured this idea and they informed Harsa alone of the king's illness. It might have been a deliberate attempt of the court to see Harsa's peaceful succession, and it was, probably, in order to avoid unexpected and ugly controversy, they avoided the presence of the elder prince Rajyavardhana. Obviously for this reason, Harsa alone was informed. But we cannot include Harsa's name in this attempt. He was neither conscious nor was informed of such a move of deliberate attempt at offering the throne to him in preserence to his elder brother and it is proved, beyond doubt, that Harşa was completely innocent about it. He shows his personl concern and anxiety "to procure his brother's coming," and "he could scarce pass the time, waiting with longing heart for his brother's advent,"38 He says, "Pray heaven my brother, when he learns of our father's death, a type of the world's dissolution, may not after a bath of tears assume two robes of bark! or seek a hermitage as a royal sage! or, man-lion as he is, enter a mountain cave ! Though his lotus eyes brim with a flood of tears,

^{55.} HCCTH., P. 145.

^{56.} HCCTH., P. 163. विन्तयन्दर्शनोत्सुकहृदयो आतुरागमनमुदीक्षमाणः।
—हर्षं चरित, पंचम उच्छवास, प्० ३५।

may he yet look upon the lordless earth! Tormented by the poisonous pangs of a first loss, may the best of men yet remember himself! Never may indifference due to the transitoriness of things lead him to slight the advances of sovereign glory! All aflame with the fire of direful pain, may he have recourse to the coronation bath! Once arrived here may he not, when pressed by the kings, display a contrary mind! "True, my noble brother was deeply devoted to his sire. He was for ever singing to me our father's praises."

This clearly exonerates Hara of any possibility of his conscious participation or deliberate involvement in an attempt to secure the kingdom for himself. But the king and the court had a favourable consideration for Haras's succession.

^{57.} Ibid., Pp. 162-3. "अपि नाम तातस्य मरणं सहाप्रक्यसयुवामिदमुमञ्जूष आयाँ बाध्यजनमातो न मृङ्कीयाङ्कले, नायबेद्धा राजधिरासमयदम् न विशेष्ठा पुरुषांसङ्गो गिरिनुहाम् अत्यवंत्रासां पृथियोत्। प्रयम्भवस्तिवस्तृक्षां अत्यवंत्रासां पृथियोत्। प्रयम्भवस्तिवस्तृक्षां अत्यवंत्रासां पृथियोत्। प्रयम्भवस्तिवस्तृक्षां अत्यवंत्रासां पृथियोत्। प्रयम्भवस्तिवस्त्रासां विशेष्ठास्त्रासां विशेष्ठास्त्रास्त्रा विशेष्ठास्त्रास्त्राः अतिव्यतमा अतिवत्त्राम्यां वा नतिष्ठार्थारुष्ठास्त्रास

CHAPTER IV

HARSA'S ACCSESSION TO POWER

We have seen how Prabhākaravardhana, the lion (kssart) was disturbed by the Hūṇa deers (Hūṇakariṇat) and how he despatched Rājyavardhana and Harṣa to quell the Hūṇas. The mission was a brilliant success, but it was unfortunate that the king did not live to welcome his victorious son Rājyavardhana. It was Harṣa alone who could reach the capital and could meet his ailing father. He waited for his brother's return 'with longing anxiety.'

Time is said to be the best healer and we learn from Bāṇa that gradually (kramspa) "the lamentations subsided" (mandeṣvākrandssu) "and the outcries" (vilāpṣṣu) "became rare" (viralibhavatsu).1

RĀJYAVARDHANA RETURNS TO THE CAPITAL

One day Harşa 'saw himself unexpetedly surrounded by a great company of silent downcast nobles headed by whole assemblage of his aged kinzmen. This he rightly sensed as Rājyavardhana's arrival. Harşa welcomed him with utmost affection and the latter also expressed a tremendous gesture of elderly love for his younger brother.

HE REQUESTS HARŞA TO ACCEPT THE CROWN

On his return Rājyavardhana made a formal proposal to Harşa "in the presence of all feudatory cheiß and vassals" that the "situation invited instructions from his elders," with a request "not to revert to the

^{1.} HCCTH., P. 164; হৃ০ খ০, খ০ বস্তুত, বৃ০ ३६।

^{2.} Ibid., P. 165.

^{3.} Ibid., P. 165.

^{4.} Ibid., P. 169.

gaucherie so easy to the nature of the young (navalambantyā bālabhāvarulabhā).* He said, "At sovereignty my eye grows disordered.... my mind seeks to avoid a glory....I cannot endure to abide even a moment in a home which has become a hell."*

RĂJYAVARDHANA PLANS TO GO TO A HERMITAGE

With these words he made it clear to Harşa, "I desire, therefore, in a hermitage to purge with the pure waters of the pellucid streams.... Therefore do you receive from my hands the cares of sovereignty, a gift not high esteemed indeed and reft of the joy fouth, like old age, which Puru welcomed at his father's will. Dismissing all the sports of youth, deliver your bosom like Vişqu to the embraces of Lakşmi. I have abondoned the sword."

HARŞA'S DECISION TO ACCOMPANY RĀJYAVARDHANA

This vow of Rājyavardhana shocked Harṭa and the latter also decided to follow the former to a hermitage.⁸ From what Bāṇa says further, it appears quite clear that Rājyavardhana meant every word of what he said. We learn that soon the bark dress (valkaleşu) had been provided (tamupasthāptiṣṇa) by the keeper of the robes (vastrakamāntikm). This created an alarm at the capital. Everybody including the women of the royal household (rājastraipa), Brāhmaṇas (niprajana), groups of citizens (pawauyande), courtiers (parijane), kinsmen (haidhu-varge), and feudatories (tāmanteṣu) were overwhelmingly shocked and completely bewildered.⁹

^{5.} Ibid., P. 169.

^{6.} Ibid., P. 170.

^{7.} Ibid., P. 170 'तोऽहिंगिच्छानि मनसि... शिक्षरिशिक्षरभ्यवणस्वच्छकोतोऽस्वृतिः कालियुत्ताप्रमारदे । यतस्यमन्तिद्याधैनमुखामनिमनामपि करामिक पुरूराक्षमा
नूर्यमृत्ताम पे राज्याचनाम्। व्यवसाककवाकप्रदेन वीयतामुरो कश्मृते। परित्यक्तं मया
बाहसम्।—ह० ६०, १० उच्छ०, १० ३६।

^{8.} HCCTH , Pp. 172-173.

^{9.} HCCTH., P 173.

UNEXPECTED ASSASSINATION OF GRAHAVARMAN

At this critical stage when both the brothers were planning to go to hermitage (tapovana), Sarhvādaka, personal servant of Rājyaśri "entered with flowing tears in a bewilderment of grief" (pravisya sokaviklavah praksaritanayanasalilo)10 with a message that Rājyaśrī's husband Grahavarman was "murdered by the wicked lord of Mālvā" (Durātmanā Mālaparājena) "on the very day on which the king's death was rumoured" and the princess Raivasri had been "confined like a brigand's wife with a pair of iron fetters kissing her feet (kālāvasanigadavugalachumbitacharanā), and was put into prison (kārāvām) at Kānyakubia." He further informed that he had a report that he (the king of Mālvā) was planning an attack over the kingdom of Thanesvara also,11 This news proved fateful and changed the course of events. This unexpected (anupekṣaṇiya), unimagined (asambhāvitam) and sudden (ākasmikam) disaster (vyatikram) brought to an end the feeling of renunciation caused by sorrow. It was replaced by anger. Rājyavardhana declared before all present there, "This day I go to lay the royal house of Malva low in ruin. The repression of this beyond measure unmannerly foe-this and no other is my assumption....Mālvās to maltreat the race of Puspabhūti l'"19 With this thundering declaration he instructed Harsa and other feudatories to stay at the capital,13 and asked Bhandi14 to follow him with some ten

^{10.} HCCTH., P. 173.

^{11.} HOCTH., P. 173.

^{12.} HCCTH., P. 175.

^{13.} Dr. Tripathi opines that it was probably with a view to guarding the rear against any fresh Hüna upheaval," THK., P. 64; Vaidya also considers it as a matter of convenience and precaution." HMHI., Vol. I., P. 4.

^{14.} According to Bāṇa, Bhaṇḍi was son of Yaśovati's brother. The latter presented Bhaṇḍi when he was about eight years of age (sutamuṭavarṣadeiyam Kumāreyorarapitavān). HCCTH., P. 116; HCK., Canto 4, P. 10. We hardly find anything contincing in the view that Yaśovati's brother was Śliddiya of Mdlod. JRAŚ., 1903, Pp. 559-60; Harsa, p. 12, footnote 1 and pp. 60-62. It appears that Dr. Mooberji has fully and sunhesitatingly followed Dr. Hoernle

thousand horses and ordered the marching drum (Praylingapajahash) instantly to sound,15

Bāṇa tells us that these tidings also inflamed Harşa with a fit of anger. He appears to be very keen to accompany his elder brother against the wicked lord of Mālvā'. With a keen desire he said to Rājyavardhana, "What harm does my lord see in my attending him...if you wish me to guard your rear, valour is your rear-guard; if you argue that the feudatories are uncontrolled they are secured by the bounds of your virtues; if you say a great man must not carry a companion with him, then you count me as distinct from yourself...; if you think it inopportune that two should go, gratify me with the commission...; never before have I been stinted in your favours. Therefore, let my lord be gracious and take me also." So speaking (iiyabhidhāya) he "sank his head to the ground and fell at his brother's feet." Rājyavardhana upraised him and said, "Why thus dear brother, by putting forth too great an effort add importance to a foe too slight for our power? A concourse of lions in the

⁽JRAS., 1903 pp. 559-60). The latter appears to have made Rajatarangni the basis of his observations. Stein has maintained that Kalhaya's chronicle is "absolutely unreliable even about the history of the 6th century unless corroborated by reliable and independent evidence." I., P. 83, Shri Vaidya (HMHI., Vol. 1, pp. 36-47) and Dr. Tripathi (THK., P. 64, footnote. 4) have rejected these views. Bāya is silent about Bhaydi's father's name. He is introduced to us miply as "Yakovatii's bother." HCCTH, P. 116. Dr. Tripathi's comments on Dr. Mooker-ji's view that the name Bhaydi itself is a Huyic rather than a Saaktrit name," are also well made. THK., p. 64-5. footnote 4. Dr. Mookerji's explanation (of Bhaydi's march against his supposed father (Sitädiya of Milva) and the circumstances underlying his march (Haya P. 17, footnote 1) are hardly cominicing.

^{15.} HCCTH., P. 175.

^{16.} Ibid., Pp. 175-76.

^{17.} Ibid., P. 176. तात किमेवमितमहारम्भपरिब्रह्मेन वरिमाणमारोप्यते बलाइ-तिकथीयानपाहित:। हरिणार्थमितिह गणः विह्वस्थारः। तृणानामुपरि कि हववश्यस्याशृक्षस्यकः। अपि च तवाध्यावश्रीपाष्टमञ्जलकमाणिनी मेदित्यस्यवे विकमस्य विषयः।तिष्ठयु भवान्।

^{—-}ह० व०, व० उ**च्छ**०, वृ० ४२

matter of a deer is too degrading....In the unbearable anger which has been aroused in me for our enemies' death, forgive this one unshared mornel of wrath. Be pleased to stay,"18 This statement of Rājyavardhana reflects his inner confidence he gained after crushing the Hūṇas and it was besitting to his courage to go alone "to lay the royal house of Mālvā low in ruin."

Bāṇa does not tell us anything about Rājyavardhana's acceptance of the Puspabhūti crown. It is probably because there was no occasion for any ceremonial installation and formal announcement. But according to Haṣṇa's records, we get some definite information about it. In these records we find that Rājyavardhana is described as "Paramabhaṭṭāraka Mahārajādhirāja" and by ascribing these full imperial titles to Rājyavardhana these records tell us that Rājyavardhana did come to the throne and his was the active control over the state affāirs.

This military expedition of Rajyavardhana was a brilliant success,19

18. HCCTH., P. 176.

19. Vaidya opines that as a result Devagupta was probably killed in the battle. HMHI., Vol. I, P. 4; Madhuban and Banskhera records and Harsacharita support this conclusion of the learned scholar. After this expedition of Raivavardhana. Bana only refers to Gauda chief against whom Harsa made heroic and thunderous utterances. Bana's silence about Malva chief. Devaguota at that stage supports latter's death at the hands of Rajyavardhana. His decisive victory is recorded by Bana at a later stage. During his historic march Harsa met Bhandi with "Malpa king's whole force and royal equipage conquered by the might of Rajyavardhana's arm". Harsa inspected the booty which included "elephants in thousands, horses with gold-bedight chowries, a white umbrella with golden stock, beauteous women...regal paraphernalia such as lion-throne, couches, settees.... and Malva king's adherents with their feet restrained by iron-fetters, the whole of this treasure chest heavy laden with wreath of ornamentswith written records of their contents." HCCTH., P. 225. This expedition against Mala-Gauda Axis, however, was a serious engagement. Though Rajyavardhana won the battle but it appears that he won it after great efforts. Bhandi, who accombanied Raiyavardhana returned and met Harsa with "his breast filled with the points of enemies" errows" and "with his limbs enfeebled." Ibid., Pp. 223-224.

but it ended in disaster. Harşa's records inform us that Rājyawardhana,
"playing his whip in battle," succeeded in quelling his enemies. These enemies, according to these records, were "the king Devagupta and others who resembled wicked horses." "They were all subdued with averted faces." But Rājyavardhana, after uprooting his enemies, after conquering the earth, and (after) doing what was agreeable to his subjects, in consequence of his adherence to his promise gave up his life in the mansion of his foc." "So

This information is further corroborated and supplemented by Bāṇa. He tells us that the message of Rājyavardhana's plight was conveyed by Kuntala, chief officer of the cavalry to Harsa, when, the latter was in the audience chamber. He was informated that his brother, though he had routed the Mālvā army with ridiculous ease, had been allured to confidence by false civilities on the part of Gauda kingal and then weapon-less, confiding and alone, despatched in his own quarters." 18

Ep. Ind., Vol. I, P. 70 and 74. युषि दुष्टवाजिन इव श्रीदेवगुप्तादयः इत्वायेन कवाप्रहारविनुवास्त्रव्यं समं संयता: । उत्वास द्विषती विजित्य वसुषा त्वा प्रवानां प्रियं प्राणानुज्वितवानरातिमवने सत्यानुरोवेन यः।

According to Yuan Chuong, king of Karnasuwarna. Watters, I,
 343; Beal, I, P. 210. One manuscript of Harzacharita refers to him as Narendragupta. Cited by Bühler, Ep. Ind., Vol. I, p. 70.

This tragedy was another great shock to Harsa in succession of shocks caused by his father's death, preceded by his mother's self-immolation (satt), murder of Grahavarman, and imprisonment imposed upon his vounger sister Răivasri. It "made his fiery spirit blaze forth in a storm of sorrow augmented by flaming of furious wrath" (prachandakopabāvakabrasarabarichīyamāna fokāvegaļ sahasaiva prajajvāla) "quivering without cessation" (anavaratasphuriten), "with reddening eves" (lohitāyamānalochana), "with fire of anger" (rosānalena) "his very limbs trembled as if in affright at such unexpected fury" (spāvayavairabyadristapūrvaprakopabhitairiva kambamānairubetah),93 In that state of unprecedented anger combined with sorrow he "represented the first revelation of valour (bijrpagam ina baurusasya), "the frenzy of insolence" (unmāda iva madasya) "the delirium of pride" (āvega iva ivāvalsbasva), "the youthful incarnation of fury" (tārunyāvantāra ina tejasah), "the supreme efforts of hauteur" (sarvedyoga iva darpasya) "the new age of manhood's fire" (yugāma iva yauvanosmanah), "the regal consecration of war-like passion (rājyābhiseka iva ranasya) and "the camp-lustration day of resentment" (nirājanadīvasa ivāsahisnutāvāh)

In such a state of mind Harsa thundered, "Except the Gauda king, what man would by such a murder, abhorred of all the world, lay such a great soul low...in the very moment when, having by his arm's undissembling valour subdued all princes, he had laid the sword aside?.... How could he put forth his hands, regardless of friendly advances, to take my lord's life? What shall be his doorn?....My tongue seems soiled with a smirch of sin as I take the miscreant's very name upon my lips. With what design did this mean remorseless being bring my lord to his death....? By lighting up this evil path this vilest of the Gaudas has

Assin, 1339. But what they write is an attempt to put a heavy mocks-creen on the black deed of the first emperor of Bengal. We cannot agree with the scholars on this point as all our original sources unhesitatingly prove that Safátka committed a cold-blooded and treacherous murder of Rajyacardhana.

^{23.} HCCTH., P. 178; ह0 च0, च0 उच्छ0, पू0 ४३।

^{. 24,} Ibid., Pp. 178-179,

collected only foul shame to the soiling of his own house....Who is not bound to punish such vile jewellers whto deface the most brilliant gems?

What now will be the wretch's fate." ?88

Now, before we proceed further, it is better to analyse the whole situation. We have seen that Sativádaka, Rājyaári's servant, had brought the news of Grahavarman's murder by "the wicked lord of Mālvā and it was to avenge that brutal murder of his brother-in-law, Rājyavawdhana left Thānesvara and "to lay the royal house of Mālvā low in ruin." Thus it is clear that till this stage we hear only of Mālvā king, who treacherously murdered Grahavarman.

This Málvā king was probably Devagupta as reffered to in the Madhubana and Banskhera records. But these epigraphic evidences do not refer to Rājyavardhana's foe in whose "mansion" he "gave up his life" "in consequence of his adherence to his promise."

This "foe", according to Harsacharita, is the lord of Gaudas. This makes it clear that the lord of Mālvā was an ally of the Gauda king. Rājyavardhana successfully avenged the assassination of his brother-in-

^{25.} Ibid., P. 179-180." गीवाधिणावमनवहाय करलावृधं महापुश्यं तलाणं एव तिव्याव्यम्भितित्यस्तरायकं मृत्रतायः करवायोतितित्व क्षण्यस्तायकं मृत्रतायः करवायोतितित्व क्षण्यस्ताप्रतितिवृद्धाः सर्ववीरः किविनावृद्धाः विवादायं मृत्रा वाग्यं च थं मृत्रतायः ग्रावीरणीक्रेणस्वरायकारमृत्तित्वे नृत्युता सम्यवायं मृत्रा वाग्यं च थं मृत्रतायः ग्रावीरणीक्रमस्वरायकारम् इक्ष्मिवास्युत्त्वा स्वत्याः स्वत्याः कराः स्वत्याः कराः कर्ताः कर्ताः कर्ताः विवादायः स्वत्याः कराः कर्ताः कर्

^{26.} Ep. Ind., Vol. I, Pp. 70 and 74, Bid., Vol. IV, Pp. 208-11.

law Grahavarman by killing Devagupta and others, and it was after this brilliant success the Gauda king treacherously killed Rājyavardhana.

His decisive victory is recorded by Bāṇa at a later stage. During his historic march Harşa met Bhaṇdi "with Mālvā king's whole force and royal equipage conquered by the might of Rājyavardhana's arm." Harşa inspected the booty which included "elephants in thousands, horses with lines of gold-bedight chowries, ornaments...wondrous pearl neck-laces, chowries, a white umbrella with golden stock, beauteous women... regal paraphernalia such as lion throne, couches, and settees...and Mālvā king's adherents with their feet restrained by iron fetters, the whole of his treasure-chests, heavy laden with wreath of ornaments...with written records of their contents.

Now we have two major issues to decide. Firstly, who was this Devagupta of Mālvā and secondly, who is meant by the lord of Gauda? At the same time we have also to decide the location and geographical limits of Mālvā. We have seen that Mahāsenagupta shifted from Magadha to Mālvā and after his defeat his two sons Kumāragupta and Mādhavagupta got shelter at Prabhākaravardhana's court. All sources of this period are silent about Devagupta's family. Banakhera and Madhubana inscriptions refer to him and other kings as "wicked horses." Bāṇa also does not mention his name. Devagupta himself has also left no record of his own. We also do not know of any other branch or any independent family of the Guptas in India at that time. But we can, take it for granted that king Devagupta of Madhubana and Banakhera records can safely be identified with Bāṇa's king of Mālvā. ** He appears to have been connected with the family of the Later Guptas. Some scholars have also suggested that Devagupta was the eldest son of Māhāsenagupta and that an omission

^{27.} HCCTH., P. 225.

^{28.} PHAI., 6th Ed., P. 607. Dr. Raychaudhary has ruled out the suggestion that "the M\u00e4lo\u00e4 antagonist of Grahavarman and R\u00e4jyavardhana was Buddhar\u00e4j\u00e4 of the Kalachuri (Kajachchuri) family." I\u00f6vid., P. 607. fn. 3.

of his name in the Aphasad inscription. can be explained with that of Skandagupta from Bhitari records. **

This view cannot be accepted before we get some definite proof to support it. "The events prove that Devagupta conspired with Kalachuri ruler Sankaragana against Mahāsenagupta. Abhonā plates of Sankaragana give us this impression." We hear nothing of Mahāsenagupta except his sons Kumāragupta and Mādhavagupta reaching Sthānesvara. It appears that Devagupta must have been one of the kings who are said to have been "renistalled" by Sankaragana and Mahāsenagupta might have been one of the "up-rooted" kings.

It was, probably, for this act of treason he finds no mention in Bāṇa's Harṣacharita and in the family records of the Later Guptas. It also appears almost certain that after such a miserable plight of Mahāsena-gupta who was maternal uncle to Prabhākaravardhana the latter must have attacked Mālvā in order to anvenge the defeat or death of his maternal uncle.

^{29.} CII., Vol. III, No. 42, Pp. 200f.

Dr. Hoernle was first to suggest it. JRAS., 1903, p. 562. It has been treated by Vaidya as the "ingenious guess." HMHI., Vol. I, P. 35; Dandekar, History of the Guptas, p. 108; Saletore, Life in the Gupta Age, p. 11.

^{31.} This suggestion has been rightly rejected by Dr. Raychaudhary. He says, "Decaguyta may have represented a collateral line of the Mātoā family who continued to pursue a policy hostile to Pushyabhūtis and Maukharis, while Kumāra and Mādhava, the Gupta kulputras who connived at the escape of Rajyaśri from Kusasthala (Kanauj), and Adityasena, son of Mādhava, who gave his daughter in marriags to a Maukhari, may have belonged to a friendly branch." PHAI, 6th Ed., P. 608, fn. 1.

^{32.} Abhona plates record that he "reinstalled families of kings" and "uprooted those that were too proud." Ep. Ind., Vol. IX, Pp. 299f.

^{33.} Vaidya appears to be correct when he says that Hoerale's guess that Devagupta was brother to Mādhanagupha and Kumāragupha "issems to be acceptable that they were on inimical terms and it may be supplemented by the suggestion that Kumāra and Mādhawa were not merely the jounger brothers of Devagupha, but were

A reference has been made above to Hoernle's theory that the king of Mālvā was king Šilāditya, son and successor of Yasodharmana Vikramāditya. According to the learned scholar, Prabhākarvardhana, Grahavarman and Rājyavardhan fought against this king Šilāditya. Devagupta of eastern Mālvā and Sašārka were his allies. 14

This view of Hoernle has hardly anything to commend. Stläditya of (Mo-la-po)²⁶ has been identified by Levi with Stläditya, son and successor of Dharasena II of Valabhi, ²⁶ and this identification has been accepted as correct by Smith²⁷ and others. ³⁸ If we accept Mālvā (mo-la-po) as province under Stläditya I Dharmāditya our difficulty is almost solved, and this acceptance is further corroborated by Valabhi records. ³⁹

Bühler, however, tells us that "Mālvā need not refer here or in the other passage of Śrihārṣacharita to the Mālvā in Central India." He

his half brothers, or sons by another wife of Mahāsenagupta." HMHI., Vol. I, P. 35-6. He says further that "this suggestion" also removes "the difficulty of explaining why the sons of a king were given as companions of another king. Kumāra and Mādhava had no right to the throne being younger sons and their presence in Milod was not very palatable to the eldest son and heir-apparent Devagupta." Ibid., Pp. 35-36.

^{34.} JRAS., 1903, P. 543f; Ibid., 1909, P. 125f.

^{35.} Watters, II, P. 242; Beal, II, Pp. 260-70. AGI., Pp. 489-94. According to Yuan Chuang, he flourished sixty years before his visit. This makes him to have ruled about the last quarter of the 6th century A.D., and Devagupto, according to Harsa's own record, was contemporary of Rajyavardhana and Harsa, and, therefore, we can easily reject Hoernle's opinion on chronological grounds.

^{36.} Journal Des Savants, 1905, Pp. 544-548, cited by Smith EHI., P. 344, footnote 2.

^{37.} Ibid., Pp. 343-45.

^{38.} Dr. K. Virji, Ancient History of Saurastra, Pp. 47f.

^{39.} This can be proved on the basis of Virdi plates (616 A.D.) of his successor Kharagraha I. Proceed. AIOC., (VII session), Pp. 659f.

^{40.} i.s. in the Madhubana grant of Harşa. Ep. Ind., Vol. I, Pp. 67-75.

adds, "There was another Mālvā in Punjab, much near to Thāneśvara, which may be meant." 41

Bûhler's opinion deserves serious consideration, but with an account furnished above in view Mālvā of Madhubana and Banakhera records and that of Harşacharita must be Mālvā of Central India. We must remember that Devagupta of Mālvā was instrumental in forming an alliance with Saśānka of Gauda. If we accept Bühler's suggestion for a time-being we have to explain how an alliance could be formed between Mālvā of Punjab and Gauda, a place really very far from Punjab and, therefore, it does not seem very probable that an alliance could have been concluded between Mālvā king of Punjab, as suggested by Bühler and Saśānka of Gauda against Grahyarman of Kanauj. Alliance could have been possible between Mālvā of Central India and Gauda against Kanauj, a place not very far off.

In fact Mālvā problem has baffled historians, and we have Mālvā not only in Punjab or Central India but elsewhere also. 42

Secondly, we have to note that an area of military operations between Rajiyavardhana and Devagupta was not Mālvā. It must have been Kanauj or its vicinity. Prabhākaravardhana's attack against Mālvā, as referred to by Bāṇa, must have taken place against Mālvā in Central Indian territory. But Rājyavardhana did not reach Mālvā in Central India.

We must also note that the rising power of Puspabhūtis could not have allowed an existence of any such power in Punjab.⁴³ And if any

^{41.} Ibid., P. 70.

AGI., Pp. 489-494; Watters, Vol. II, Pp. 242-248; Beal, Vol. II, Pp. 260-270; Rajiatarangini, Vol. II, P. 66; JRAS., 1903, P. 553; Ibid., 1905, P. 837, Ibid., 1906. P. 95 and P. 220; Ind. Ant., 1905, P. 195; Journal des Savants, 1905, Pp. 544-548; EHI., 4th Ed., P. 344, fn. 2.

^{43.} Bāņa says that Kuntala, who brought the news of Rājyasardhana's death, "had a ſacn, hairy with the long growth of mourning (dushadirepravidharoma). HCTH, P. 178; HCK, Canto 6, P. 43. This shows that Kuntala took many days to reach Thänesteara from the scene of battle between Mālō-Gauḍa axis and Rājyasardhana and his scene of battle, therefore, can not be in Punjab or its immediate an einshourhood.

power existed in Punjab at all and if Bühler's opinion is accepted for a time being, was it possible for that power to take such an offensive step of nurdering Grahavarmana, a strong Maukhari ruler of Kanauj? We have seen that the Kanauj kingdom was at its zenith at that time and the occupant of the Kanauj throne was related to such s great family of the Puspabbūtis of Thānesvara. Both these powers of Kanauj and Thānesvara were at the height of their political glory. The supposition that any small power of Punjab would have taken a step of attacking Kanauj at that time, therefore, is untenable. Devagupta "a wicked" horse was the ruler of Mālvā. And this Mālvā was Mālvā of Central India.⁴⁴

Now the question before us is that who was the ally of Devagupta? Madhubana and Banskhera records speak nothing about him. They refer to "Devagupta and others" who "were all subdued with averted facea."

Harşacharita supplements this information and informs us that those "others" (of Madhubana and Banskhera records) included the Gauda king (Gaudakhipa). We are told by Bāṇa that Rājyavardhana's murderer was the Gauda king. When Harşa thundered in anger his father's old friend and Senāpati Simhanāda, after a very lengthy advice said, "Think not of the Gauda king alone; so deal that for the future no other follow his example. Wave the chowries of the mock conquerors... by the sight of the matrons in their harems. Excise their vicious cravings for the umbrella's shade....Dispel with excudations of tepid blood the

^{44.} Ep. Ind., Vol. I, P. 74.

^{45.} HCCTH., P. 178. Bühler has brought to our notice that the king of Gauda, according to one MSS. of Haryacharita was Narendragupta. Ep. Ind. Vol. 1, P. 70. It is also argued that the king of Gauda who killed Rijyacordhana was named Narendragupta and not Śałānka. The latter was Narendragupta's Mahāsāmanta. Quoted by K. L. Barus, JRAS., I, P. 97; DKM, P. 225, fn. 3. But the Chinese pilgrim's clear reference to Śałānka, the king of Karnasuwerga, the murderer of Rājyacardhana and Bāya's indirect reference to him make it certain that the man who killed Rājyavardhana was Śałānka, the king of Karnasuwerga. Watters, Vol. 1, P. 34.

unhealthy flush of eyes diseased by the side glances of the harlot,....By the letters of stern command in caustic showers ally the itching of cars alert for the cry of "Victory". Remove the unhealthy rigidity of stiff unbending heads....With levies of tribute for nippers extract the splinters of unmannerly forwardness inflamed by the arrogance of wealth.... Pierce the darkness of soldiers....Overcome the complications of vain pride....soften the hardness of the bow-strings callosities by the warmth of clasped hands folded in a perpetual obeisance."46 But he cautioned this advice with following words, "Forsake not the path along which your sire, grandsire, and great grandsire have marched.... Appropriate the royal glory which is your heritage. Now that the king has assumed his godhead and Rajyavardhana had lost his life by the sting of the vile Gauda serpent, you are the only Seia left⁴⁷ to support the earth. Comfort your unprotected people....Set your forehead-burning footsteps upon the heads of kings....You this very day register a resolve, and for the wreck of this meanest of Gauadas' life take up the bow....."48

^{46.} HCCTH., P. 185. "यत: कि गौडाविपावमेनैकेन । तथा कुरु यथा नान्योऽपि कविचवाचरस्येवं भयः । सर्वोर्वीश्रद्धोकामकानामलीकविजिबीवणा सचारय चामराण्यन्तः पुर-पूर्रोधिनिश्वसितैः। उच्छित्व विवरगन्धान्धग्रभण्डलाच्छादनैश्छत्रच्छायाव्यसर्गान्। अपाकुर कद्ण्यभोगितोदकस्वेदैः कुलक्ष्मीकुलटाकटाक्षणकारागरोगान । उपशमय निशितशरशिरावेश-रकार्यशीर्यश्वययथन । उत्मलय लोहनिगडापीडमालामलमहीवर्धः पादपीठदोहददर्ललिनपादपट-मान्यानि । सपय तीक्णामासरकारपार्जयशब्दश्रवणकर्णकण्डुः । अपनय चरणनसमरीविचन्दन्-वर्षाललाटलेपरनमितस्तिमितमस्तकस्तम्भविकारान । उद्धर करदानसन्देशसन्दशद्रविणद-पोष्मायमाणद्रःशीललीलाशस्यानि । भिन्धि मणिपादपीठदीधितप्रदीपिकाभिः शष्कसभटाटोप-भक्टिबन्धान्वकारात्। जय बरणलंबनलाववगिलतिक्षरोगौरवारोग्वींमध्याभिसानमहासिक्ष-पाताम् । मृदय सततसेवाजलिम्कुलितकरसम्प्रटोष्मित्रिरिष्वसनगुणकिणकार्कस्यानि ।

[—]हे बें, वें उच्छें, पें ४६-४**७.**

^{47.} Seśa also stands here for Sesa, the serpent who supports the earth. Ibid., P. 185, fn. 3.

^{48.} Ibid., Pp. 185-186. "येनैव ते गतः पिता पितामहः प्रपितामहो वा तमेव मा हासीस्त्रिभवनस्पृहणीयं पन्यानम्। अपहाय कुपुरुवोचितां शुचं प्रतिपद्यस्य कुलकमागतां कसरीव कुरंगी राजक्षमीम्। देव, देवमूयं गते नरेन्द्रे दुष्टगौडमुजङ्गाज्यजीविते च राज्यवर्षने वृत्तेऽस्मिन्स-हाप्रलय परणीधारणायामुना त्वं शेषः। समास्त्रासय अशरणाः प्रजाः क्मापतीना शिरःयु शरस्यनितेव

With these words reminding Harsa of past heroes the old commander ended his great oration.

In response to this advice Harsa replied, "The advice of your eminence deserves to be acted upon....My hand yearns to clutch the tresses of the very hills that will not bow... Enraged at the title of king, my foot itches to make foot stools of even the kings of beasts. My lip quivers to command.... My mind, brimming with passion, has no rooms for complying with the observances of mounting. Nay, so long as this vile outcast of Gauda king, this world-condemned miscreant... survives, like a cruel thorn in my heart, I am ashamed...until I evoke a storm of rain from the tremulous eyes of the wives of hostile hosts, how can my hands present the oblation of water? But small store of tears have these eyes till they have seen the smoke cloud from this vilest of Gaudas' pyre."48 With these words he took a vow, "By the dust of my honoured lord's Leet I swear that, unless in a limited number of days I clear this earth of Gaudas, and make it resound with fetters on the feet of all kings who are excited to

ललाटंतपान्यपञ्च पादन्यासाम् । बहितानामभिनवसेवादीकायुःकसत्त्वतस्वासम्ममभ्यकंनंबस्पक्षैः
प्रचलितवृद्धामणिषकवालवालवर्षशायाहि कत्मावपादताम् । अपि च हते पितयंकाको तपस्वी
मृगः सह वर्षापतः सहजवाह्यण्यमादवसुकुमारमताः कृतौन्यवस्यपञ्चापवनाटिनटाकारमादनिर्मर्यीकृतदियाणं गुजरुव्याजालजनितवगरुज्यर समप्रमुखतमेकिव्यतिकृत्यः कृत्यवस्यावतनिर्मर्यीकृतदियाणं गुजरुव्याजालजनितवगरुज्यर समप्रमुखतमेकिव्यतिकृत्यः कृत्यवस्यावतनिर्मर्यीकृतदियाणं गुजरुव्याजालजनितवगरुज्यर समप्रमुखतमेकिव्यतिकृत्यः कृत्यवस्यावतवर्षायः कृत्यतिको गृह्णणं गौडावमजीवितावस्यस्ये वीवितसंकलनाकुलकालकाकप्रयानाचिन्तृष्यमं
चन्-। — ह० च०, ०० उच्छ०, ५० ४७।

49. Ibid., Pp. 186-87. "करणीयमेवेदगणिहितं माण्येन। इतरचा हि ने गृहीतमृति मोणिनावेदण बायावदृष्टरीय्यवांकोनुर्वजस्य । उपरि गण्डतीण्डति निष्कहाय सहगणेपि भूकता व्यक्तियुम् । समायदृष्टरीय्यवांकोनुर्वजस्य । उपरि गण्डतीण्डति निष्कहाय सहगणेपि भूकता व्यक्तियुम् । समायदृष्टरीय्यवांकि समायदि । समायदि

⁻⁻⁻ To To. To TWEO, TO YO!

insolence by the elasticity of their bows, then will I hurl my sinful self, like a moth, into an oil-fed flame." 50

After this historic declaration, "he gave instructions to Avanti, the supreme minister of war and peace, 'Let a proolamation be engraved': "As far as the orient hill....as far as Sulevau..., as far as Gandhamādana,....let all kings prepare their hands to give tribute or grasp swords to seize the realms of space or chowries, let them bend their heads or their bows, grace their cars with either my commands or their bowstrings, crown their heads with the dust of my feet or with helmets, join suppliant hands or troops of elephants, let go their lands or arrows, grasp mace-staves or lance-staves, take a good view of themselves in the nails of my feet or the mirrors of their swords.... Like a cripple, how can I rest, so long as my feet are not beamcared with an ointment found in every continent, consisting of the light of precious stones in the diadems of all kings ?"

We are told by Bāṇa that because of extreme sorrow and mournful thoughts Haria could not take a proper sleep and "when the day dawned he gave instructions to the chamberlain" to inform Skandagupta, the

^{50.} Ibid., P. 187. "श्रुपता हे प्रतिक्षा । क्षपान्यार्थस्वेव पारपाशुस्पर्वेन विद परिपणितरेव वासरः सकळ्वापचापञ्चलेलितनरपतिचरणरणरणायमावितगढां नियौदां न करोमि मेदिनी ततस्त्रनृतपाति पीतस्रिषि पतंत्र इव पातको पातयाच्यारणानम्"।

[—]ह॰ च॰, व॰ उच्छ०, प॰ ४७।

^{51.} A mountain in Cepton. Ibid., P. 197, fn. 4.

^{52.} Ibid., P. 188; उदयाचलात्, जा निकृतकटककृष्टुाकट लिखितकाकृतस्वाकृध्वनस्वातिकरासुर्वेकात्, जा बाक्योगसरस्विकरावक्यवरामाराचेनुष्टुत्यमुख्यस्वात्ति है, बा
मुद्यक्वितिशीरस्वात्त्वम्यात्वमात्रातिचातुत्ताम्यात्वम्य नग्वसावनात्, सर्वया जात्रा सक्ववित्य मृद्यक्वितिशीरस्वात्यस्य सम्बद्धम्यात्व वा, मृद्यन्ता वित्यस्वात्मराणि वा, सम्बन्ध् विराति क्वृत्यि वा,
कर्णयुर्विक्यत्यात्मात्रा मौन्यां वा, केवर्षरावन्त्य पादरवादि विरस्त्वात्व वा, पटला चंककरः
करिष्टारसम्ब वा, मृत्यस्या गृत्यस्य इवरो ता, समात्वस्थ्यत्वा वेषस्यस्यः कृत्यस्यक्यो वा, सृष्टुवः
करिष्टारसम्य सम्बन्धस्य स्वर्णनेव्य प्रमान्यस्य स्वर्णनेव्यः।
वाषस्य इतः वर्षविपानरस्य वार्षेद्यस्य विकलपरात्मात्वस्य वाषस्य इतः वर्षविपानरस्य वाष्ट्रस्यः।
वाषस्य इतः वर्षविपानरस्य वाषस्य स्वरक्तारः।

⁻ह० च, च० उच्छ०, पू० ४८।

commandant of the whole elephant troops (gajasādhanādhikṣatanh).*
When Skandagupta reached before Harşa the latter instructed the former that he "must hastily call in the elephant herds out at pasture" and told him that the "hot pain of my brother's defeat forbids even the briefest delay in marching."

Skandagupta heard the command and he also spoke a few words of advice to Harşa, sand then left the palace in order to execute the orders. A day of marching was fixed with careful astronomical calculation. With an approval by astronomers the marching drums (nāndis) were beaten at the starting place fixed at a large temple near the capital.

ALLIANCE WITH BHÄSKARAVARMANA

Bāṇa refers to an alliance between Hanpa and the king Bhāskarna varmana (styled as Kumāra) of Assam (Prāgjoti;a). We are told that while Harṇa was resting at one of his camps during his march, he met Hathsavega, a confidential messanger (dūto-astarangah) sent by the lord of Prāgjyotiṣa, who had reached there with many presents from his master to Harṇa. These presents included an umbrella, "a present worthy of Haṇṇa's status" (anurēpanh). This umbrella was named Abhoga.⁴⁸

^{53.} Ibid., P. 189.

^{54.} Ibid., P. 191.

^{55.} This elderly peacs of advice contains reference to several disastrous ebisodes caused due to mistakes and correlessness.

^{56.} Ibid., P. 196.

^{57.} The learned editors transalate "Kumāraņa" as by the heir-apparent. HCCTH, P. 211. This is wrong. We know that Bhāskarawarmana of Kāmarba was taks known as Kumāra. Bāŋa himself calls him the lord of the Prāgijotija (Prāgajyotijesvara). This shows that he was not the crown prince but the fully conservated sovereign ruler. How can an heir-apparent make an affer of alliance. His very messenger and the powers delegated to him by the ruler of Assam, reveal that Kamāra was the sovereign ruler of Prāgiyotija.

^{58.} Bana gives a mythical origin of this wonderful umbrelia. It was derived from Varuna.... The king, who, like Varuna, is or is to be the sovereign

It was sent specially "to add substance to his (Bhāskaranarmana's): message." In addition to this umbrella, there were many presents comsisting of fine and rare ornaments and various other presentable articles.

Speaking about his master Hamsavoga told that "from childhood upward" it was his master's "firm resolution never to do homage to any king except the lotus fiest of Siva." In Emphasizing the importance of the bonds of friendship Hamsavega told, "The sovereign of Prägjyotisa desires with Your Majesty an imperishable alliance... If Your Majesty's heart too is inclined to friendship... Commission me to say that the sovereign of Prägjyotisa may enjoy Your Majesty's hearty embrace...." The messanger also added, "If your Majesty accepts not his love, command me what to report to my master. ** Harsa readily welcomed this offer of friendship and conveyed his willingness with reciprocal gesture of goodwill. He said to Hamsavega, "How could the mind of one like me possibly even in a dream show aversion, when such a great and noble spirit... bestows his love... The prince's design too is excellent. Stout-armed himself, with me, a devotec of the bow, for his friend, to whom save siva need he pay homage? This resolve of his increases my affection...."

It also appears that Harsa not only welcomed the offer of friendship, but he had the sincere desire to cement these bonds further. Harsa said to Harisavega that the latter must "use his endeavours" so that his "yearning to see the prince may not torment" him for long. And as a gesture of friendship he "sent Hamsavega away with a load of answering gifts in charge of eminent envoys."

Afterwards he resumed his journey and "advanced by ceaseless marches against the foe." During the march he was informed by a letter-carrier that Bhandi "had arrived with the Mālvā king's whole force, conquered by the might of Rājyavardhana's arm." He was encamped in the

of four oceans, was to enjoy its shade. It had many super-natural qualities. HCCTH., Pp. 212f.

Compare such reference to Yasodharamana. CII., Vol. III., No. 33, Pp. 146-48.

^{60.} HCCTH., P. 218.

vicinity of Harpa's camp. Bhandi followed the message and related to Harpa the whole course of events. He showed Harpa the Milvā king's "army and royal equipage, won by the power of Rājyavardhana's arm." When Harpa inquired of him about Rājyaśrī he told that he "learnt from common talk that after His Majesty Rājyavardhana was taken to paradise and Kānyakubja was seized by the man named Gupta, queen Rājyaśrī burst from her confinement, and with her train, entered the Vindhya forest. But not to this day have the numerous searches sent after her returned." He quickly told, "What care I for other seekers?... I myself, abandoning all other calls, will go", and hastened to add, "You (Bhaṣṣtī) must take the army and advance against the Gauda." He set out in search of Rājyaśrī in the Vindhya forests.

During his wandering in the Vindhya region he met Vyäghraketu, son of Sarabhaketu, a tributary chief in the forest, and Nirghāta, son of Shukampa, the lord of all Vindhya range. They took Harsa to a Buddhist muni Diväkaramitra, a follower of Maiträyani šäkhä, the boy friend of Grahavarman. He was "a leading Brähmana teacher" and "had turned his studies to Buddhist doctrine and assumed the red dress." It was at his hermitage Harsa learnt from a mendicant that "a young woman overpowered by heavy misfortune" was preparing to burn herself by "mounting the funeral pile." "44

Harşa, "fearful of his sister", made further inquiries which made it sure that the lady was indeed his sister. He rushed to the site of funeral pile followed by Diväkaramitra and his disciples and "his tributary kings." He soon reached there and heard his lamenting sister who was found "fainting as she prepared to enter the funeral pyre." Harşa pressed her forehead and Rājyaśrī, "at that reviving touch" of her brother, "opened her eves." She was pacified by Harşa and the ladies attending her.

The king then introduced her to Divākaramitra. Rājyaśrī conveyed to Harsa through her betel-bearer, Patralatā, her desire to "be

^{61.} Ibid., Po. 230-232.

^{62.} Ibid., Pp. 232-233.

^{63.} Ibid., P. 240.

allowed to assume the red-robe." Harsa heard her request silently, but Divakaramitra consoled her at length and said that "sorrow had made a wide inroad" in her life and she should have regards for her elder brother who was "as a guru and as a father...." He asked Rājyaśrī, "Were it not for him (Harsa) who would not honour thy resolve to assume the red dress?" And he added. "A holy mendicant life is the surest consolation for every sorrow; this is the best home for the wise. But he now stops thy desire, for thou must only do what he commands;....thou must in any case obey his decree,"64

Harsa does not appear to have liked this idea as we learn from his reply to the Muni. Expressing his deep sense of gratitude and reverence Harşa said that Rājyaśri, "so young and so tired by adversity, must be cherished by me for a while, even if it involves the neglect of all my royal duties...." He also reiterated his vow "to destroy the insolent enemies who had slain my brother; and unable to endure the insult offered, I surrendered my whole soul to righteous vengeance."63

He then requested Divakaramitra to be his guest and expressed his "desire that she should remain at my sice and be comforted with your righteous discourses and your passionless instruction which produces salutary knowledge...." And he hastened to add, "When I have accomplished my design, she and I will assume red (Kāṣyaṇi) garments together."66 Harsa stayed at the hermitage for that night and the next morning he left the forest abode along with his sister and the holy man to "his camp stationed along the bank of the Ganga,"47

^{64.} HCCTH., P. 257. अखिलमनोज्य एप्रशमनकारणं हि भगवती प्रवज्या । ज्यावः क्रात्विदं पदमात्मवताम्। महाभागस्त् मिनत्ति भनोरयमयुना। यदयमाशिशति तदेवानुष्टेयम्। यदि भातेति यदि ज्येष्ट इति यदि वत्सरू इति यदिगणवानितियदि राजेति सर्वया स्थातव्ययस्य नियोगे ।

[—]ह० व०, व० उच्छ०, प० ८५। Ibid., P. 258. इयं नःस्वसा बाला च बहुदुःसखेदिता च सर्वकार्यावधीरणोपरो-षेनापि यावल्लालनीया नित्यम्। अस्मानिश्व भ्रात्ववापकारिरिपुकुलप्रलयकरणोद्यतस्य बाही-विषेयमूर्त्वा सकललोकप्रत्यक्षं प्रतिज्ञा कृता। — ह० च०, अ० उच्छ०, पृ० ८५।

^{66.} HCCTH., P. 258.

^{67.} Ibid., P. 258.

At this point Bana brings his historical romance to an unexpected close and this abrupt ending has deprived us of many other important and valuable informations of political nature.

HARSA AND SASANKA

Neither Bāṇa nor Yuan Chwang makes a record of the result of Harṇa's expedition against Śaśāńka, "the most important of Harṇa's campaigna," What we learn from Bāṇa is that Harṇa entrusted Bhaṇḍi with the command of his royal forces ready to punish Śaśāńka and he himself left in search of his sister Rāivsári.

The learned editors have drawn our attention to a passage in Harsacharita which throws some light on this campaign in an allusive and allegorical manner. This passage runs as follows. "My lord Harsa also bathed and reclined in silence by his side, stretched on a blanket laid upon the ground. Glancing ever and anon upon his affilicted elder brother, he felt his heart almost split into a thousand parts. For the sight of a brother is a rejuvenescence of sorrow. To the people that day was terrible even beyond the day of their king's death. Throughout the city none cooked, none bathed, none took their pleasure; in every quarter there was no man but wept. Not otherwise did the day pass by. At length, hued like flesh moistened by a great flow of blood as if he had just been shaped by Twastri's axe the sun sank, red as madder, in the waters of the western sea. On the (red) lotus ponds the bee tribes buzzed in distress at the closing of the calies. Anguished by the grief of their spouses at their approaching separation, a horizon of ruddy-reese fixed a tearful gaze upon their dear friend, the sun's orb, now hued like a blooming Bandhüka. Musical with bees, graced by kalahamsa beauties, the (night) lotus pools gave forth a sound like the plaited bells upon the jewelled girdle of the roaming Sri. In the firmament the rising clear-flecked moon shone like the pointed hump of Siva's tame bull, when blotted with mud scattered by his broad horns. ****

^{68.} Harsa, P. 29.

^{69.} HCCTH., P. 168 देवोऽपि हुवैस्तवैव स्नारवा वर्षणतक्रमिहितकृषाप्रसारितमृति-

They (the editors) point out that "Sri, the goddess of sovereignty, is reaming, not yet settled with a new king." They rightly observe that the "paragraph contains several significant allusions ('the pathetide falles). The red sunset is a sign of bloody wars; the separation of the ruddy-geese of the separation of the brothers; the buzzing bees of arrows; the rise of the blotted moon of the rising power of the Gauda king." The editors emphasize, "The last is important as the word used for the moon (Saiāāka) confirms the commentator's statement that this was the Gauda king's name." At other places in the Harjacharita we learn of him as the "Gauda king."

We learn that elaborate preparations were made against this Gauda king before Harsa took his historic march. But we do not know anything about his engagement with Śaśānka, except "the red sunset" as

पूर एवाध्य तृष्णीनेव वयवातिच्यत । ह्य्द्वा ह्य्या ह्ययानमानवसवकन्तानं वसस्युटविवास्य सहस्रवा ह्ययम्। जाएकदाने हि वीचनं सोकस्य । कोकस्य वु नरपतिसम्पविवसाविद वाक्यः स वस्त्र विवदः। सर्वेतिसमेव नगरे न केनविद्यापित न केनविद्यापित नामित सर्वेत सर्वेणारिषिः। केवलमनेन क्ष्रेणातिकस्या विवदः। स च प्रत्यन्त च उत्यतन्तुर्तव वहृष्णवहुक्तविदरस्याल-कविदरपर पारावारस्यवि सम्बद्धः । स च प्रत्यन्त च अध्यत्वकृत्वविदरस्याल-कविदरपर पारावारस्यवि सम्बद्धः । स्वत्यादिक्तवान्तिः। मुक्कस्यनन्त्रम्त्रिकोक्षिके वक्षाः चर्षास्य व्यवस्य स्वाचित्र विद्यवस्य स्वाच्यास्य स्वत्यस्य स्वाच्यास्य व्यवस्य स्वाच्यास्य व्यवस्य स्वाच्यास्य स्वत्यस्य स्वाच्यास्य व्यवस्य स्वाच्यास्य स्वाच्यास्य स्वत्यस्य स्वाच्यास्य क्ष्यास्य स्वत्यस्य स्वाच्यास्य स्वाच्यास्

⁻ह0 40, 40 उच्छ0, 40 \$6 !

^{70.} HCCTH., Appendix B, P 275.

^{71.} Ibid., P. 275; Buhler has drawn our attention to one MSS. of Harjacharta wherein Gauda kung it named as Narendragupta. Ep. Ind., Vol. I., P. 70. But it is an established fact bat the name of the Gauda king was Sastabas. It appears that he might have also been known as Narendragupta. Several Indian monarchs assumed more than one name. That the king of Karpanavarpa (Kin-th-on-lu-la-na) was Śaśtabka (Shr-shańg-kia) is known on the basis of weininghan-thale evidences. CII., Vol. III, Pp. 283-84; Cat. Coins. Br. Mass., Nos. 606 to 612, Pp. 147-46; Cat. Co. Ind. Mus., Vol. I, Nas. 1-8, Pp. 121-122; Bool, I, Pp. 230; Watters, Vol. II, Pp. 434.

a symbol of "bloody wars." It makes it clear that Harsa's immediate task was to punish Saśānka, but his deep love for his younger sister forced him to prefer her recovery to the "pursuit of his brother's murderer." The "recovery of his widowed sister," writes Smith, "being the more urgent, was undertaken in all haste, even at the cost of permitting the assassin's escape." To him "it seems clear that he (Saśāńka) escaped with little loss."

Saśānka, according to C. V. Vaidya, "saved himself by another stroke of policy in much the same way as he had saved himself from Rājyavardhana," and "perhaps Harşa, in his Buddhistic tendencies extended forgiveness to Saśānka and did not exact from him the threatened reparation for murder." 14

Shrı Vaidy's contention has some weight as we learn that Harsa was somewhat influenced by Buddhistic spirit of non-voilence in his later years; but the immediate consequences of his mission against Saiānka are to be explained a little more satisfactorily. Shri Vaidya does not make it clear what he wants to convey "by another storke of policy." When we discuss Harsa's campaign against Śaśānka, we must remember that Harsa heard the news of his elder brother's treacherous murder with "flashes of furious wrath," and with "quivering without cessation and with his reddening eyes," he thundered against the Gauda king. The latter was like "a cruel thorn" in Harsa's heart and he was determined to see the "amoke cloud from the vilest of the Gaudas' pyre." His solemn yow that he took "by the dust of his honoured lord's feet"...."to clear the earth of the Gaudas in a limited number of days." If failed he would "hurl his sinful self, like a moth, into an oilfed flame." Later, in the presence of a great Buddhist mendicant Divakaramitra at his hermitage, where Răiviri expressed her "desire to assume red robe," Harsa again reiterated his solemn vow before Rhadanta Divakaramitra that he "made a vow in the presence of all the world....to destroy the insolent enemies who had-

^{72.} EHI., 4th Ed., Pp. 351-52.

^{73.} Ibid., P. 352.

^{74.} HMHI., Vol. I, P. 11.

slain my biother." He was "unable to endure the insult" done to him by
these enemies and had "surrendered his whole soul to offer righteens
vengeance." Harsa told the sage, From this day forth, while I discharge
my vow and console my subjects in their sorrow for my father's death, I
desire that she should remain at my side. At the end, when I have
accomplished my design she and I will assume the red garments together."

This statement of Harsa makes it clear that he did not enjoy any such idea of extending forgiveness to Sasanka." It was in the presence of a Buddhist sage that he repeated his vow time and again and that he was firmly determined to righteous vengeance."

According to Pannikar, Sasanka accepted Harsa's suzerainty and was allowed by him to rule his state as a vassal

N Ray also treats the Rohtaggarh seal to have been inscribed after the defeat of Saśanka by the joint attack of Bhandi and Bhāskara-varman. It is also opined that Sasanka had to surrender some part of his territory to Harsa. Had it not been so the learned scholar opines, it is not possible to account for the continued survival of Saśanka as king of Gauda.

To me it appears that Dr. R. S. Tripathi has offered a more rational and acceptable explanation. He opines that the forces of Harsa and Saíanka did not involve in any active military operation. According to the learned scholar, Saíanka, on the approach of Harsa's army thought discretion was the better part of valour, and instead of facing an open conflict he withdrew from Kanauj, as after the conclusion of treaty between Harsa and Bhaskaravarmana he was exposed to serious danger both from

⁷⁵ HCCTH, Pp 257 8, अध्यम्भृत वावस्य बनो छम्मति प्रतिमानारभासासपति च तातिनासपु विस्तवा प्रजा तावित्तामनम्बद्ध क्यानिस्य सम्प्रीत, कुणस्प्रतिकोमविवासिनिक्यस्वीत्वारजीमि, जीलोनसमस्परिनीमिक्य देखनानिः, स्लेक्सह्मामहेतुम्हैक्स विवासिनिक्यस्वीत्वारजीमि, जीलोनसमस्परिनीमिक्य देखनानिः, स्लेक्सह्मामहेतुम्हैक्स विवासिनिक्यस्वीतिक्यस्य प्रतिकोम्परामिक्यस्य प्रतिकोम्परामानिक्यस्य । इत्य सु वर्षस्थाति समेव सम्ब समारक्रतेन कावासामि।—हर व. व. व. व. व. व. १८ ।

⁷⁶ Srt Harsa of Kanasy, Pp 17f

⁷⁷ Cal Rev., 1923, Pp 207f

^{78.} IHQ., Vol. XII, Pp 142-3

the front and the rear. Bandi had already cut off the support of the Mālvā army after the defeat and death of its leader, and in the face of the new odds arrayed against Saśātika strategy certainly demanded that he should beat a masterily retreat.¹⁷⁸

Dr. Majumdar, ** however, does not agree with Smith, Vaidya and Tripathi. According to him, Dr. Tripathi's attempt "has hardly anything to commend it," and his "graphic picture," he tells us, "is a mere gratuitous assumption." But Dr. Majumdar does not offer any explanation as to why this so-called "first great emperor of Bengal" (Śaśāńka) did not face Harşa in an open battle. Śaśāńka was not only utterly demoralised by his black deed, ** but was also extremely weakened and, therefore, escaped from the scene to save his life. His resort to murder Rājyavardhana with treacherous designs can only be termed as a desperate action and it reflects on his inherent weakness. And we must agree to the view that Śaśāńka "beat a masterly retreat."

But what surprises the impartial researcher more is Dr. Majumdar's attempt at criticizing Dr. Tripathi's hypothesis as "gratuitous assumption" which, according to him, "has hardly anything to commend it", and his putting forward a more gratuitous assumption that "Sašānka might have left the younger brother of Grahavarman on the throne of Kanauj as his own protege, and it was by ousting him that Harpa occupied Kanauj after Sašānka had retired to his kingdom."

On the grounds of numismatic evidence Basaka feels that Saśānka

^{79.} THK., Pp. 73-74.

^{80.} CA., Po. 102-3.

^{81.} Ibid., P. 102.

^{82.} Prabasi, Asvin, 1339, V. S. XXXII, Pp. 742-49.

^{83.} It is also argued that "Rajyovardhana lost his life in the encounter" and was killed by king of Gauda who was probably an ally of Saldaka. IHQ., Vol. XXIII., 1942, Pp. 51f. Such conclusions are not only baseless but also appear as absurd in light of definite knowledge that Rajyovardhana was killed treasherousty.

^{84.} CA., P. 102.

was defeated by Harsa as "the gold coins which were largely debased by a great mixture of silver." 83

we do not rule out the possibility that Avantivarman had more than one son, so but Dr. Majumdar has overlooked the fact that another son (?) of Avantivaraman, known to ussofar, mo st probably, enjoyed titles like Para(mamāhs.foura) (mahā) rājā(dhi) rājā²⁰ whereas Sasāhka was known as Mahāsāmanta, a very insignificant title if considered politically. This title shows that he must have been a chief of a district* or was a mere feudatory. So According to Dr. Ganguli, he was a feudatory of the Maukharis. We admit that the rise of Sasānka was rapid, but we cannot admit that his overlord became his 'protege' so soon.

Secondly, the Maukhari dynasty does not offer any such parallel example of a prince thirsty for his own brother's blood and playing in the hands of \$45āhka and getting the throne of Kanauj after his own brother's treacherous murder and imprisoning his sister-in-law.

Yuan Chwang and Bāṇa do not give any hint of such an event. It we admit that Grahavaraman's brother was living by that time and was rouling over Magadha, he must have been the first victim of Śaśāńka's unscrupulous designs. Moreover, if Grahavarman's brother was living, Rājysāri should have welcomed him for the throne. Both Bāṇa and Yuan Chwang record that neither Harṣa nor Rājysāri had any lust for power.

We must note that the success of Sasāņka against Grahavarman

^{85.} IHQ., Vol. VIII, P. 150.

^{86.} His another son was Sucha or Suva, who appears to have succeeded Avantivarman in Magadha. The problem of succession after Avantivarman is discussed in detail in the present thesis. Supra, Chapter I, footnote 96.

^{87.} Ep. Ind., XXIV., Pp. 284f.

^{88.} CII., Vol. III., No. 78, Pp. 283-84.

^{89.} Ibid., P. 284, footnote 1.

^{90.} DKM., P. 206.

IHQ., XII, Pp. 256f. Dr. Majumdar, however, thinks that he (Saśāńka) was serving Mahāsenagupta. History of Bengal, Vol. I, P. 59.

was more by mischievous plots and bloody designs than by valour. We have seen how 'śsaśnika and his allies were conquered by Rájyavardhana and how the latter was murdered treacherously. On Harşa's arrival, Saśńnika had no other alternative than escaping from the scene of his military operation in order to avoid total annihilation. If we accept Dr. Majumdar's contention for a time being that Śsáśnika "left his protege, and it was by ousting him that Harşa occupied Kanauj," it also proves the weakness of Śsáśnika. Was not the overthrow of his protege a challenge to Ssáśnika? And why did the latter could not meet it? I do not think Dr. Majumdar has any convincing arguments to explain these questions.

The circumstances that forced Harşa to accept the throne of Kanauj prove that there was nobody on the Kanauj throne till that time and that Śaśānka fied away from Kanauj when he expected Harşa's arrival.

Some scholars** have laid much emphasis on a record of Sainyabhita II Mādhavarāja II, of the Śailodbhava dynasty, of the year 619-20 A.D.** which informs us that the Śailodbhava ruler was feudatory of Śaśānka. We have to explain the evidence of this record. It appears quite probable that Śaiśānka might have taken shelter in Orissa after his overthrow from Karņasuvarņa, and he might have succeeded in establishing his overlordship in Orissa. ** But this record does not deserve the importance

^{92.} CA., P. 102.

^{93.} Ibid., Pp. 94.

^{94.} Ep. Ind., Vol. VI, Pp. 143-144.

^{95.} We must remember that the Nidhänpur Copper plates of Bhäskaravarman were issued from Karpaswarpa (Ep. Ind., Vol. XII, Pp. 65f), and on that
ground Barua opines that Harsa-Bhäskaravarman axis proved fatal to Satäkka.
According to him, Bhaydi attacked Satäkka from the west and Bhäskaravarman
attacked from the east and occupied Karpaswarpa. As a result of "being defeated
from two sides Satäkka fled towards Orissa." (Early History of Kāmarūpa, Pp.
65f). R. D. Banerjee also supports such conclusion and says that Satäkka "was
ventually driven out of Karpaswarpa" and it is "quite possible that his overthrow took
place before 619-20 A.D." (History of Orissa, Vol. I., P. 129). Father Heres
lands further support to this view. (JBBRAS (New Serview), I-II, Pp. 116f).

that has been attached to it. We have an evidence to prove that Sasanka's overlordship could not last long as proved by the another record of Sainyabhīta II Mādhavarāja II which does not make any mention of Sasānka's overlordship. It appears almost certain that that record was issued after Satanka lost his hold over Orissa.

THE EVIDENCE OF ARYA MAÑIU ŚRĪ MŪLAKALPA

The only earlier source that throws some light on the results of the expedition against Śaśāńka is Ārya Mañju Śrī Mūlakalpa. It records that king "Ha (Harsa)....the powerful Vaisva king with a large army marched against the eastern country against the capital Pundra of the characterless man. Adopting the duty of Ksatra with the sense of personal injury and indignation, he, though kind, prone to religion and learned, kills many, becomes an oppressor of living creatures for the reason of being engaged in duty of chatisement.... Ha (Harşa) defeated Soma (Sašānka), the pursuer of wicked deeds; Soma was forbidden to move out of his country being ordered to remain therein (henceforth). He (Harsa) returned, having been honoured (?) in that kingdom of barbarians (Mlechchhas). He, as excellent king among followers of 'artha' and 'dharma', was successful in his undertakings,"**

This passage has been variably interpreted by scholars. Dr. Majumdar condemns it outrightly as a "vague and obscure statement in the Medieval Buddhist Chronicle."97 Dr. Sinha does not consider it "safe to rely on the evidence of the Ārya Mañju Śrī Mūlakalpa alone for the alleged battle between Harşa and Śaśāńka.88 Even if the information is assumed to be a fact, "the expedition led to no permanent results," and "Satānka could not be crushed and was left in possession of his kingdom."100 But some scholars do not dismiss the evidence of Ārya Mañju Śrī mūlakalpa as

^{96.} Imp. Hist. of Ind., P. 50; Sans. text., P. 53.

^{97.} CA., P. 107.

^{98.} DKM., P. 256; Chatterjee, Harşavardhana, P. 186.

^{99.} CA., P. 107.

^{100.} DKM., P. 256.

stogether baseless. Dr. Jayaswal appears to treat it as a fact.¹⁰¹ Dr. Sirear also adopts a similar line.¹⁰² He tells us that in the later period of Harşa's reign "the whole of Bengal Lay prostrate at the feet of Harşa."¹⁰³

Records of Yuan Chwang also support the evidence of Ārya Mañju Śri Milakaipa. He tells us that Śaśāńka, "the wicked king of Karņasuvarņa, a prosecutor of Buddhism". treacherously murdered Rājyavardhana. Harṣa was advised by the Bodhisatīva Avolokitesīvara "to raise Buddhism from the ruin into which it had been brought by the king of Karṇasuvarṇa." 108 The pilgrim goes on to say that Harṣa "got together a great army and set out to avenge his brother's murder... Proceeding eastward he invaded the states which had refused allegiance, and waged incessant warfare until in six years he had fought the five Indias." 128 It is also recorded in "Life' that Harṣa was soon able to avenge the injuries received by his brother." 1297 We have already maintained that the accounts of the Ārya Mañju Śrī Mūlakaipa and the records of the pilgrim are our principal

^{101.} Imp. Hist. of Ind., Pp. 50-51.

^{102.} IHO., Vol. XIX, 1944, P. 280.

^{103.} Ibid., P. 280.

^{104.} Watters, I., P. 343. He refers to Saśānka's brutal conduct. "By Saśānka's extermination of Buddhism the groups of Brothern", at several places, "were all broken up..." Ibid., II, P. 92. "In recent times king Saśānka having tried in vain to efface the footprints caused the stone (with Buddha's footprints) to be thrown into the Canges." "But it is said to have returned to its original place." Ibid., Vol. II, P. 43. Referring to him the pilgrim tells us, "In recent times, Saśānka, the enemy and oppressor of Buddhism cut down the Bodhi tree, destroyed its roots down to the water, and burned what remained." The pilgrim informs that a few months afterwards Punyavarman, the last descendant of Asoka brought the tree back to life. Ibid II, P. 115. Saśānka is also said to have "removed the images of Buddha and replaced it by one of Siva." Ibid., II, P. 116.

^{105.} Ibid., I, P. 343.

^{106.} Ibid., I, P. 343. According to another reading, he "had brought the five Indias under allegiance". Ibid., I, P. 343.

^{107.} Beal., Life. P. 83.

Buddhist sources and we can not dismiss them only on the ground that they are Buddhist. Dr. Sinha does not feel that "Saśāńka was thoroughly defeated by Harsa." One may also feel that we have nothing to prove that the accounts of Arya Mañju Sri Mūlakalpa and those of the Chinese pilgrim are baseless. The Pilgrim has recorded many superfluous things, but he can not be dubbed as an unscrupulous narrator. I submit that Saśāńka did not face Harsa's forces at Kanauj and as Dr. Tripathi maintains, he left the field without any contest.

After Harşa consolidated his position, he invaded Saśāñka. The latter, as Ārya Mañju Sri Mūlakaipa records, was defeated and contented himself with his overlordship over Kaliñga about 619-20 A.D.. The pilgrim refers to Saśāńka's misdeeds which are said to have been committed "in recent times." ¹⁹⁰ And we know that the pilgrim toured Magadha about 637 A.D.. This makes it quite certain that Saśāńka died before this date and the narrative clearly points out that his misdeeds and death were in living memory of the people of Magadha.

According to Ārya Mañju Śrī Mūlakalpa he rulod for seventeen years, one month and seven days. 110 Thus his death must have taken place after 619-20 A.D., the date of the Ganjām plates of Mādhavarāja II and before 637 A.D.. This conclusion is further confirmed by undated Khurda plates of Mādhavarāja II which does not refer to Śaśānka as his overlord and thus Mādhavarāja II was the sole ruler of Kaliĥga some time after 619-20 A.D.. Thus if we accept Śaśānka's accession about 603-4 A.D. and assuming that the reign period assigned to Śaśānka in the Ārya Mañju Śrī Mūlakalpa is correct, he must have died about 621-622 A.D..

Political anarchy and chaotic conditions that followed Śaśānka's death'¹¹ prove that Śaśānka did not leave any permanent mark of his personality on administrative set-up. We feel that his political achieve-

^{108.} DKM., P. 257.

^{109.} Watters., II., Pp. 92, 115.

^{110.} Imp. History of India, P. 50.

^{111.} Ibid., P. 51-52,

ments were almost undone with his death and "Bengal lay prostrate at the feet of Harsa." 128 Orissa was also subjugated by Harsa as we shall see later.

HARŞA'S ACCEPTANCE OF THE KANAUJ THRONE

We have seen how Bāṇa ends his narrative abruptly at a stage when Haṇa reached his camp on the bank of the Gaṇgā soon after he found his sister Rājyaśrī. But it is really surprising to note that Bāṇa does not refer to Kānyakubja, a great centre of politics, trade and culture in those days. It becomes all the more puzzling when we go through his description Srīkantha Janapada with its capital at Sthāneśvara with the minutest details. Only two explanations can be offered for it.

Firstly, Bana could only write his patron's biography till Rājyaśri's recovery and ends it at that point, or he, like his another work Kādambart, could not complete this work. His son would have thought it better to complete the unfinished tale of Kādambari, leaving Harsacharita as it was.

Secondly, this can also be explained, in a way, that Bāṇa like many others and, in accordance with the traditions of our country, did not find proper justification for his patron's action of taking over under his active control the kingdom of Kanauj which rightfully belonged to his younger sister. To avoid this embarrassment he would have thought it better to end the story at a point he thought appropriate.

And thirdly, Bāṇa might have not been able to complete his work properly or might have died leaving it unfinished. His son Bhūṣaṇa might have thought Kādambari to be preferred to Harṣacharita. These are purely tentative hypotheses and we cannot say anything definitely.

Yuan Chwang, who tells us much about Hara's later life, does not refer to his association with Thânedvara. He only writes about Kanauj. It is, perhaps, because he visited Kanauj when it was ruled by Hara. He tells us that after Rājyavardhana was "treacherously murdered"

^{112.} IHQ., Vol. XIX, 1943, Pp. 980.

by Šašānka, "the statesmen of Kanaui on the advice of their leading man Bani (or Vani),113 invited Harsavardhana, the younger brother of the murdered king to become their sovereign. The prince modestly made excuses, and seemed unwilling to comply with their request. When the ministers of state pressed Harsavardhana "to succeed his brother and avenge his murder." the narrative goes on to relate, "the prince determined to take the advice of the Bodhisattva Avalokitesvara"...."After due fasting and prayer, he stated his case to the Bodhisattva. An answer was graciously given which told the prince that it was his good Karma to become king, and that he should, accordingly, accept the offered sovereignty and then raise Buddhism from the ruin into which it had been brought by the king of Karnasuvarna, and afterwards make himself a great kingdom. The Bodhisattava promised him secret help, but warned him not to occupy the actual throne and not to use the title mahārāja. There upon Harsavardhana became king of Kanauj with the title Rājputra and the style Śliāditya,"114

Shri Vaidya has examined the statement of Yuan Chwang and has maintained that "the account relates to what happened subsequently at Kanauj" and "does not relate to what had already happened at Thāneśvara." All accounts at our disposal prove Vaidya's conclusions as correct. About Thāneśvara throne we have seen how Harqa was selected for the throne and how the two brothers dealt with the affairs of the state. In fact both of them were preparing to assume the bark-dress of an ascetic. But the sequence of events compelled them to renounce the path of renunciation and they had to take up arms immediately.

We have also seen that Rājyavardhana became the king of Thānesvara and assumed full royal titles. After his death Harya became

According to Hoernle Bani may be identified with Bhandi. JRAS.,
 1903, P. 560. Dr. Mukhrije appears to have taken it for granted. Harza, P.
 17. footnote 1. But Dr. Tripathi says that there is hardly any justification for it.
 THK., p. 75. footnote 1.

^{114.} Watters., Vol. I., P. 343; Beal, Vol. I, p. 211.

^{115.} HMHI., I, p. 7.

king. Harşacharita does not refer to Rājyavardhana's marriage and it indicates that Rājyavardhana was not married till that time. 118 If married, he left no issue to succeed. The events took place in a very rapid succession and it is quite certain that he was not married at all. There was no other claimant also. This we also learn from Harşa's conversation with Divākaramitra. The former says to the latter that he was in utter distress for he had "only one young sister left," who was "the sole link" that kept up his life, "now that I have lost all my loved kindred." We are also told by Bāṇa that Harşa not only accepted the crown but he was also consecrated as the king with complete coronation rites. 118 Therefore, we have no reason to apply Yuan Chwang's description to the Thānesvara throne. The pilgrim's reference, therefore, is certainly to the throne of Kanaui.

Yuan Chwang's accounts, however, indicate to "some anxious deliberations" which were there "to the disposal of that kingdom." The Harsa accepted the offer and took over the kingdom of Kanauj. The "fondest attachment" and "religious sentiment" between Harsa and Rājyaśri formed the basis of "their amicable relations." This is further proved by the testimony of another Chinese record. Fang-chih informs us that Harsa was "daministering the government in conjunction with his widowed sister." This statement has been accepted by many scholars who opine that Harsa acted as "Regent" or "Guardian." It

ŀ

^{116.} HCCTH., P. 253; HMHI., I, P. 7.

^{117.} HCCTH. p. 240; मम हि विनन्दनिक्षिकेप्दनयोजीवितानुबन्धस्य निवन्धनवैक्रव सवीसती स्वतावशेषा। —ह० च०, व० उच्छ०, प० ७५।

^{118.} HCCTH., Pp. 197f.

^{119.} HMHI., I., P. 8.

^{120.} Such information, according to Watters, "is not either in the 'Life' or the 'Records'." Watters, I, P. 345. EHI., 4th Ed., P. 351; THK., P. 76.

^{121.} EHI., 4th Ed., P. 351; HMHI., I, Pp. 8-9; Rap, IHQ., 1927, P. 773; THK., Pp. 76f. But according to Dr. R. C. Majumdar, it is "confused and absurd." He tells us that another son of Avantivarean ruled after Grahaverman's death and it was "by ousting him Harya occupied the throne of Kananj." CA., Pp. 100ff.

was only after he had subdued the enemies fully and consolidated his position both at Thäneśvara and Kanauj, he appears to have transferred his seat of Government from Thäneśvara to Kanauj. 188 But this would not have happened against the interest of his sister. On the other hand, it is possible that Harşa might have done so in deference to her sister's wishes. Both of them lived with "fondest bonds." Rājyaśrī enjoyed a highly esteemed and respectful place in Harşa's court. We learn from Yuan Chwang that she was present as a listener seated behind Harşavardhana when the Chinese pilgrim gave a lecture on Buddhism. 189

This unification of the two powerful kingdoms of Thänesvara and Kanuaj made Harsa the most powerful king of the Northern India. His power and prestige were further enhanced when he enlarged his territorial jurisdiction and sphere of influence by his several wars and conquests which we propose to discuss in the next chapter.

^{122.} THK., P. 77.

^{123.} Watters., Vol. I. P. 345,

CHAPTER V

WARS AND CONOUESTS

Our sources throwing light on Harsa's several other wars and conquests like that of his expedition against Sasānka, are very meagre and inadequate and it is very difficult to ascertain his political and military achievements in a well-established chronological order. We have seen that Bāṇa ends his Harṣacharita abruptly and what Yuan Chwang writes is also full of confusions and many misleading descriptions. Harṣa's inscriptions and other contemporary records are also of a little help to us regarding his political history. We, therefore, propose to examine all these sources and reconstruct the sequence of events of his political and military career as far as possible.

TESTIMONY OF BĀNA

Bāṇa introduces to his readers Kṛiṣṇa as the brother of "Śriharṣa, the king of kings, the lord of four occeans whose toenails are burnished by the crest jems of all other monarchs, the leader of all emperors." To him "no reign has been stainless except that of Harṣa, king of kings, sovereign of all continents."

Puspabhūti, the progenitor of the line of Puspabhūtis, we are told, was blessed by the goddess at the cemetery that he would be "a founder of a mighty line." In that line "shall arise an emperor named Harsa,

HCCTH., p. 40; 'विषस्य चतुःसमुत्राणियते: सकलराजवक्युक्तमणियेणी-सामकोवक्यवानिर्मलीहतवरणनवसमे: सर्ववकर्यातां वौरेयस्य महाराजाविरावपरमेश्वर-बीहर्यवेषस्य।" —ह० च०, त० उच्छ०, प० २३ ।

HCCTH., P. 75; "इत्यं नास्ति राजस्वमयकलक्कृतृते देवदेवादमृतः सर्वेद्वीपमृत्वो हर्वातृ!" —ह० च०, तृ० उच्छ०, पृ० ४० १

governor like Harischandra of all the continents, world-conquering like a second Mandharri."

Bana also records very minutely the details of elaborate preparations of Harsa's forces for the march against enemies. But he does not throw enough light on Harsa's plans for digvijaya. His sudden and abrupt ending of Harsacharita has deprived us of further description. A passage in the Harsacharita, however, is of some singificance to us regarding Harsa's political gains.

If we look into the reference and context of this passage it appears to be an important one. It seems that the passage in question records the achievements of Harşa as known to the common man during his times. When Bāṇa, after visiting Harṣa's court, went back to his Brāhmaṇa settlement (adhirdsam), all his kinsmen and friends assembled to welcome him. They wished to hear from him the accounts of his visit to Harṣa's court. At a signal from them, Syāmala, the youngest of his cousins, respectfully spoke to Bāṇa that "no reign had been stainless except that of Harṣa, the king of kings, sovereign of all continents." He hastened to add that "many marvels (bahīŋā ácharyā ār) are reported (trāyaṣte) concerning him and then he relates these marvels.

Thus it becomes clear that Bāṇa put this entire description of Harṇa's achievements in the mouth of Śyāmala, who narrated them as if they were commonly known to the people in those days. Now we shall see the political significance of these marvels.

 Harşa, according Syāmala, "was known as 'the conqueror by force'," who made the several kings, their allies or supporters being cutoff, immovable," (in their kingdoms).
 Bāṇa was the greatest master of

^{3.} HCCTH., P. 97. यस्मिनुरात्स्यते सर्वद्वीपानां भोकता हरिस्वन्त्र इव हर्वनामा चन्नवर्ती त्रिमुवनविजिगीवृद्धितीयो मान्धातेव । —ह० च०, त० उच्छ०, प० ५४ ।

^{4.} HCCTH., PP. 177ff.

^{5.} HOCTH., P. 74.

^{6.} HCCTH., P. 75.

^{7.} Also 'Indra'. HCCTH., P. 75, footnote 12.

अत्र बलजिता निश्चलीकृतास्चलन्तः कृतपकाः कितिमृतः ।

the Sanakrit language and, therefore, his language is full of puns. This may siso mean that "an Indra (Balqiis) has fixed fast the winged mountains." But the pun does not appear to have been applied here. And, therefore, the reference in question appears to refer to Hara's military exploits and successes in quelling the enemies. Hara's engagements with several rulers and his success in subduing them appear to have been commonly known. This is in consonance with the policy as laid down in the treatises on ancient Indian polity.

2. "He, the lord of all people, pardoned (and allowed) to rule all kings and chieftains." This may justly apply to Harsa. It is in tune with the spirit of Harsa's political ideals. Like Samudragupta, he might have pursued such a course of policy and allowed his conquered adversaries to rule after being satisfied with the recognition of his overlordship.

Ancient Indian History offers many such examples when victorious monarchs allowed the defeated kings to rule over their respective territories. Shri Chatterjee has offered a very good example of king Raghu, the great righteous (Dharmentjayi) king who took away Indra's glory (Śri) but allowed him to rule over the earth. In Indian history is full of such examples.

3. "He, the greatest of all men, having conquered the king of Sindha, made his wealth his own." We know that Harqa's father, Prabhākaryardhana, is said to be "a burning fever to the king of Sindha" (Sindhardjejjaooro), and, probably, the king of Sindhu and Harqa might

I have adopted here the translation as done by Sri C. V. Vaudya. HMHI., I, P. 43; HCCTH., Pp. 75-76.

^{9.} অস মুখাণরিলা ইম্মানিকভ্ততেন্দাবি জ্ञা। or "a Prajāpati has set the earth upon the heads of the surpont Sepa. HCCTH., P. 76, fn. 1.

 [&]quot;गृहीतप्रतिनुक्तस्य स वर्गवियी नृपः श्रिय यह नानावस्य बहार नपु नेदिनीय्।"
 —रष् ०, सर्प ४, क्लोक ४६।

cited in Gaurishanker Chatterjee's Harpmardhana., P. 101.

भग पुण्यासमेग सिन्धुराणं मणस्य सम्पीरास्त्रीकृता । or a Puruștitama has obtained Latșimi by churning the ocean." HCCTH., P. 76. fn. 2.

have also come to a clash sometime after the death of Prabhākaravaşdhana and in that fight Harsa might not only have conquered him but also got enough wealth or booty or indemnity.

- 4. "He, of great physical strength, let off the great elephant¹⁸ after having released from its trunk the king¹⁸ (Ksmåra),"¹⁴ The commentator of the Harşacharita explains this reference to Harşa's feat by narrating a legend that the Kumārarājā was once caught by Darsapāta, the riding elephant of Harşa. The elephant encircled the prince in his trunk. On that occasion Harşa showed great personal physical power and courage and cut off the trunk of the elephant and liberated the prince. The elephant was then let loose into the jungles. ¹⁵ This must have happened when Kumāra¹⁸ visited Harşa. But this incident might have taken place after Bāṇa's visit to Harşa's camp. We find that Bāṇa gives a graphic picture of Darsapāta, but he does not talk of any such incident. Therefore, it appears that the incident might have taken place after Bāṇa left the camp and the elephant Darsapāta might have been let loose into the woods.
- "He, the great emperor, anointed Kumāra a king." According to Vaidya, "the king anointed by him must be the Kumārarājā of Assam,

^{12. &#}x27;Darsapāta' was the name of the elephant. HCCTH., P. 76, fn 4.

^{13.} King Śrikumāra or Kumāra. Harşa is said to have rescued him when the latte "was encircled in the trunk of a mad dephant," which "was let loose" in the forest. HCCTH., P. 76, fn. 3. The editors of the Harşacharita tell us that the hing's name was Śrikumāra or Kumāra Gupta. Id on thow how they refer to Kumāra Gupta. It appears that they have referred to one of the sons of Mahāsmagupta.

^{14.} अत्र बिलना मोचित्वमुद्देश्यो मुक्तो महानागः।—सु व च, तु ः उच्छः, दू ः ४०। Or "Bali has set free the encircled mountain and loasened a great serpent (Vasuki)." HCCTH., P. 76, fn. 3; Gaurishanker Chatterjee, Harqavardhana, P. 101.

HMHI., Vol. I., P. 44.

Kumāra is generally identified with king Bhāsakaravarman of Kāmarūpa.

^{17. &}quot;अत्र देवेनामियक्तः कुमारः।" HCK. Canto 3, P. 40; HCCTH., P. 76.

whom, perhaps, being his first ally and willing friend he raised to a high dignity by crowning him himself." Be further suggests that he might have also given him the kingdom of Saśāńka which he conquered. This appears to be more probable if we take into consideration the circumstances underlying Harsa-Kumāra alliance. It was Saśāńka who was a common enemy to both of them and, probably, after quelling Saśāńka Harşa might have entrusted the administration of the distant territories of the Gaudas to Kumāra (Bhāżkarasranan).

The learned editors of the Harsacharita have also pointed out that Kumāra may also stand for the god of war or for Harsa's young son. **
If we accept that Kumāra stands for the god of war, we get an evidence of Harsa's constant engagement in wars. But we have no evidence to prove that it refers to Harsa's anointing his young son.

- 6. "He, the master, signalised his power by laying low his enemy at one stroke "a! This is a general praise and we cannot say to which of Harşa's campaigns it refers to as Harsa won many battles single-handed. But it can be said quite safely that it is not a mere boast.
- 7. "He, a man-lion, has manifested his might by cutting down his enemy with his own hand." Like the preceding references this also refers to Harsa's success in a conventional manner. But this is not a baseless claim as we know that Harsa led his armies personally and there is no reason to disbelieve it that he killed his enemy with his own hand. In one of the various engagements Harsa might have slain his enemy with his own hand.

^{18.} HMHI. Vol. I, P. 44.

^{19.} Ibid., P. 44.

^{20.} HOCTH., P. 76, fn. 5. This is based on Sankara's commentary on Harsacharita.

^{21.} Ibid., P. 76.

^{22. &}quot;अत्र नरसिंहेन स्वहस्तविशसितारातिना प्रकटीकृतो विकमः।

⁻ह० ४०, तृ० उ०, पृ० ४०।

HCCTH., P. 76. The learned editors draw our attention to a pun here on "Arāti, king of demons, slain by Kumāra." Ibid., P. 76, fn. 6.

- 8. "He, the supreme lord, exacted tribute from the inaccessible. land of the snowy mountains (the Himilayer)." According to Dr. Mookerii, it may refer to Kashmir. M Srl Vaidva opines that it may correspond both to Kashmir or to perhaps Nepal. S Chatteries thinks it better to understand by Bana's reference some inaccessible land in modern Garhväl region. 26
- 9. "He, the protector of all peoples, appointed protectors and governors of peoples in the several directions." Srt Vaidva maintains that the reference to governors or viceroys is significant. Harsa must have appointed these officials throughout the kingdom "to collect tribute and to maintain law and order" in all directions

YUAN CHWANG ON HARSA'S CONQUESTS

Like Bāṇa, Yuan Chwang also deals with Harsa's wars and military expeditions in a vague and general manner,** and sometimes makes the confusion worse confounded. He tells us that "as soon as Silāditya became ruler he got together a great army, and set out to avenge his brother's murder and to reduce the neighbouring countries to subjection. Proceeding eastwards he invaded the states which had refused allegiance, and waged incessant warfare until in six years he had fought the Five Indias. Then having enlarged his territory he increased his

^{23.} HCCTH., P. 76; अत्र परमेस्वरेण तुवारक्षेळमुवो दुर्गाया गृहीतः करः। - 80 40, 40 40, 40 Vo I

^{24.} Harşa, P. 40.

^{25.} HMHI., I., P. 43. This may find some further support from Harşa era being in vogue in Nepāl for which reference will be made later.

^{26.} Gauri Shanker Chatterjee, Harşavardhana, Pp. 102-3.

HCCTH., P. 76. अत्र लोकनावेन दिखां सुद्धेषु परिकल्पिता लोकपालाः ।

^{28.} HMHI., I., p. 44. The French statesman Richtieu maintained such officials who were the eyes of Government. As a mise ruler Harza might have understood the utility of appointing these officers to strengthen his administration.

^{29.} CA., P. 103.

army, bringing the elephant corps up to 60,000 and the cavalry to 100,000 and reigned in peace for thirty years without raising a weapon."²⁰

This passage is also of a little help to us in our study of Harşa's wars and conquests. But our other sources, too, are meagre and poor.

Dr. Mookerjee³¹ and ShrI Chatterjee³² have also drawn our attention to Harqa's dramas wherein we get some information pertaining to political conditions in those times. RatnāvalI records a war between the kings of Kośala and Kauśāmbī and the Priyadaršikā refers to wars between Kauśāmbī and Kalinga and between Kauśāmbī and the Vindhyan king. The dramas centre round the hero, king Udayana of Vatsa and it is probable that Harşa might have attempted to relate his own achievements in these dramas as we learn that almost all these territories were under Harşa's possession which appears to have been acquired by Harşa himself.

With these facts in view I propose to discuss Harşa's military campaigns and achievements as also known from other contemporary sources and shall try to see how far they are corroborated by these evidences of Bana and Yuan Chwang.

HARŞA'S CONQUEST OVER VALABHI

We have seen how the Valabhi kingdom was founded by Bhaṭāraka and how it gradually gained ground under Dharasena I (c.A.D. 493-499), Dropasinha (c.A.D. 499-519), Dhurvasena I (c.A.D. 569-500) and Stlāditya I (c.A.D. 590-615). The latter was the first Valabhi ruler who was contemporary to Haravardhana. He is supposed to have ruled over a great kingdom extending from Western Coast to Eastern Mālvāra and, thus, he appears to be the immediate neighbour of Haras.

This period in Indian History had witnessed one of the most serious diplomatic revolutions, political alignments and realignments. Fortunately for Silāditya, Prabhākaravardhana was busy at several fronts. In the

^{30.} Watters., I., P. 343.

^{31.} Harga., P. 28, footnote 2.

^{32.} Harsavardhana., P. 104.

^{33.} Virji, Anc. Hist. Sau., P. 47; Ind. Ant., LXXII, Pp. 121ff.

closing years of his reign Hūṇas arrested his attention. Harsa, too, during the earlier part of his reign, was busy consolidating his position that was seriously endangered due to Gauda-Gupta axis and he could not find time to spare for other ambitious engagements and therefore, Śilāditya I and his successor, Kharagraha I (c.A.D. 615-621) do not appear to have been disturbed by Harsa. But soon afterwards Harsa turned his attention to Western India and the result was a complete success.

Nausari copper plate records an information that "the illustrious Dadda, over whom, with the grace of white cloud, there hung ceaselessly a canopy of glory, gained by protecting (or rescuing?) the lord of Valabhi, who had been overpowered by the great lord, the illustrious Sri Hassadeva."

Now the question before us is to identify this 'lord of Valabhi' who got refuge at the court of Dadda. Yuan Chwang comes here to our help. He says the "reigning sovereign" of 'Fa-la-pi' (Valabhi) "was of Kşatriya birth, a nephew of Silâditya, the former king of Mālvā and a son-in-law of the Silâditya reigning at Kānyakubja." His name was Tu-lo-p'o-po-t'a, identified with Dhruvabhatta. He The Gurjara king Dadda II, who extended phelter to the Valabhi ruler who had fled after Harşa's attack, came to throne sometime before c. 627-8 A.D. and ruled up to c. A.D. 650 A.D. and ruled up to c. A.D. 650 A.D. and the same that the conflict must have taken place about this time. This makes it clear that the conflict must have taken place between Harşa and Dhruvasena II. But we cannot rule out the possibility of this war being fought between

३४. श्रीहर्षदेवाभिमृती श्रीवलभौपतिपरित्राणोपजातः अमददञ्जवि अमयणोवितानः श्रीवहः Ind. Ant., Vol. XIII, 1884, Pp. 77-81; JBBRAS., Vol. VI, Pp. 1ff; Shri G. V. Acharya, Historical Ins. of Guirat, No. 117. Line 4, P., 40.

Fa-la-p'i is identified with Valabhi. The modern town of Bhd-vanagara represents the ancient site of Valabhi. Ancient Geography of India, P.
 This identification, however, is not accepted conclusively.

^{36.} Watters., Vol. II, Pp. 246-47.

^{37.} Inscription: of Dadda II cover the period from the year 629 A.D. to 641 A.D.. But he must have certainly come to throne a little earlier and must have ruled till about 650 A.D.. This is based on the records of his successors.

Harsa and Dharasena III (c. 621-27 A.D.), elder brother of Dhruvasena II. I can also be argued that the conflict took place between Harsa and Dharasena IV as Dadda II was also contemporary to him. Dadda II was contemporary to Dharasena IV.

The original sources at our disposal do not help us in coming to a definite conclusion. Dr. Virji has argued this issue fairly well¹⁹ and she says that it must have taken place during the reign of Dhruvasena II. She fixed the approximate date of this war about 632 A.D..

Anyhow, this expedition of Harsa "resulted in complete defeat of Dhruvasena II" and "the latter", opines Smith, "was compelled to sue for peace, to accept the hand of the victor's daughter, and to be content with the position of a feudatory vassal,". Late Dr. Mookherji holds the similar views. Dr. Sircar has also maintained that the Valabhī ruler was "a subordinate ally" of Harşa, "B But Dr. Majumdar does not accept it. He says that "it might be wondered how the ruler of the small Gurjara state was in a position to protect the king of Valabhī." Bühler had suggested that Dhruvasena fied to Baroch and it was "from his place of refuge" he negotiated peace with Harşa and "was restored to his throne as a feudatory. The peace was cemented as has happened in similar cases, by a marriage... With this supposition, the magnitude of Dadda's feat is somewhat reduced, but it loses the appearance of absurdity which it has at first sight." This idea of a tiny little principality extending refuge to Valabbī king has also baffled Ettinghausen. I may humbly

^{38.} Shrs Vardya inclines to hold this view, "if the invasion happened during the early years of Harşa's reign." HMHI., I, P. 22.

^{39.} Anc. Hist. of Saurastia, Pp. 72-3.

EHI., 4th Ed., P. 354; Ettinghausen, Harşa-Vardhana, Louvain,
 1906, Pp. 47-49. Cited in EHI., P. 354, fn. 1.

^{41.} Harşa, P. 30.

^{42.} Proceed. All-Ind. Orient. Confs. Vol. XII, P. 525.

^{42.} CA., P. 104.

^{44.} Ind. Ant., Vol. XVII, P. 196.

^{45.} op. cit., P. 40.

suggest that the Valabhi king after his defeat at Harsa's hands had only one alternative to escape death and it was to get immediate and nearest shelter. It, therefore, could not be other than Dadda's court which was the nearest place for him.

Dr. Majumdar has contended that the Gurjaras, Lāṭa and Mālvā were hostile to Prabhākaravardhana and they "formed a group fo buffer state between Harpa and Pulakesin II and could rely upon the protection of the latter against the aggressive designs of the former."

We do not know what was the immediate cause of this conflict between Kanauj and Valabhi, but it appears that Målvå might have been the bone of contention. This fertile province of Målvå was conquered either by Prabhäkaravardhana or by Råjyavardhana, but during the chaotic conditions following the murder of Råjyavardhana, Målvå might have been rewrested by Valabhī rulers. Harşa, therefore, must have turned his attention to regain this lost province when he was free from his worries in the east. According to Dr. Altekar, also, "the cause of conflict between the two powers must have arisen on account of their clashing imperialistic ambitions in Gujrät and Målvå."

Harşa's conquest over Valabhi was a brilliant achievement and it must have enhanced his position in the country. He cast his net further wide and "the matrimonial arrangement," to quote Dr. Tripathi, 'was undoubtedly a masterly stroke of diplomacy as it procured for Harşa the alliance of his quondam foe, who could henceforth be relied upon to restrain the northern ambitions (if any) of his great southern neighbour Pulakesin II." Dr. Tripathi, however, does not agree to the view expressed by Smith and Mookherji that the Valabhi king was reduced to the status of a subordinate or vassal. The learned acholar has rightly argued that Dhruvabhaṭa soon regained his respectful place as an inde-

^{46.} CA., Pp. 104-5.

That the Mālvā was under the possession of Stlāditya and his successors is almost certain. Ind. Ant., Vol. LXII, Pp. 121f; EHI., 4th Ed., P. 344, fn. 2; Beal, II, P. 260; Watters, II, P. 242.

^{48.} THK., P. 111.

pendent ruler. He bases his conclusion on the evidence supplied by Yuan Chwang. But Dr. Majumdar, on the other hand, takes a different time altogether. He admits that "the inscriptions of Gurjaras of Baroch brushingly mention the fact that Dadda II obtained great glory by protecting (or resease) the lord of Valabhi who had been overpowered by the great lord, the illustrious Harshadeva." "But", he tells us that "this proves that a conflict took place" and "Harspa at first gained some successes," but the Valabhi ruler "retrieved the situation with the help of Dadda II, and, perhaps other allies." He sums up the result in the following words, "There is no basis at all for the view that Valabhi was conquered by Harsa and that its ruler became his subordinte Vassal."

Dr. Majumdar quotes (Dr. Tripathi) and tells us that he reached the conclusion that the Valabhl king "became Harşa's subordinate vassal." In fact, this is a view of Dr. Smith¹⁰ and is supported on identical lines by Dr. Mookherji¹⁰ and others. On the contrary, Dr. Tripathi has opposed these views and he opines, as we have already stated above, that the "available evidence does not justify the current assumption that the Valabhl was a feudatory state of Kanauj."¹⁰

The above arguments, in light of the epigraphic evidences and the facts supplied by Yuan Chwang, deserve wide acceptance and I do not find any convincing and valid justification for Dr. Majumdar's conclusion that "there is no basis at all for the view that Valabhi was conquered by

^{49.} Ibid., Pp. 111-112.

^{50.} Life., Pp. 149-50, 185; Watters, II, P. 249; Beal; II, P. 270.

CA., P. 104. He suggests that "a formidable confederacy was formed" and Pulcketin II extended support to it. This "resulted in Harya's complete disconfistere." JBORS., 1923, P. 319. These views are rightly dubbed as "atterly untenable." THK. P. 111.

^{52.} CA., P. 104.

^{53.} EHI., 4th Ed., P. 354.

^{54.} Harja., P. 30.

^{55.} THK., P. 112.

Harpa.".4 The facts and arguments, as stated above, make our conclusions quite tenable that Harpa succeeded against the Valabhi ruler and that the latter concluded "peace with honour" and that he accepted Harpa's daughter as wife and that the Valabhi kingdom enjoyed "a respectful place" in the comity of kingdoms.

HARŞA'S WAR WITH PULAKESIN

Harsa's conquest over the Valabhi king was a brilliant success, but it appears that it proved an immediate cause of conflict between Harsa and Pulakesin. The Aihole inscription of Pulakesin II records that "subdued by his (Pulakesin's) splendour, the Latas, the Malvas and the Gurjaras became as it were teachers of how feudatories, subdued by force ought to behave."17 This statement finds its echo in the description of Yuan Chwng. The latter records that "the benevolent sway of this king (Pulakeśin II) reached far and wide and his vassals served him with perfect loyalty." To some extent this statement of the Aihole inscription is also confirmed by an inscription of Vijavarāja.19 It informs us of the Chālukya feudatory line of Gujrāt. This Frant of 643 A.D. was issued by Rājā Budhavarman, son of Jayasimha, and it has been suggested that Pulakeśin II might have appointed Buddhavarman or his father Javasimha as his viceroy "after his successful campaigu" of Guirāt and Mālvā.60 Significance is also attached to the find-spot of this grant, Kaira, identified with Khetaka, the place associated with Dharasena's grant. 10 That the Maitrakas were the feudatories at this time is further supported by the ract that they did not resume their old title of Mahārāja,62

^{56.} CA., P. 104.

^{57.} Ep. Ind., Vol. VI, Pp. 9-10.

^{58.} Watters., II., P. 239.

Acharya, Historical Ins. of Gujrāta, I, No. 102; Ind. Ant., Vol. VII., Pp. 241ff.

^{60.} Dr. Virji. Ancient Hist. of Saurashtra, p. 68.

^{61.} Ibid., P. 68.

^{62.} Ibid., P. 68.

This view may get further support from the fact that Harpa's father Prabhākaravardhaha was a source of constant worry to these states and that they appear to have suffered at his hands. When Prabhākaravardhana died they might have made attempts at regaining their independence and might have turned to Pulakesin II for help if attacked by Prabhākaravardhana's successors. And it can be safely maintained that with the guarantee of protection from Pulakesin II, they might have acknowledged his suzerainty and did not assume any royal title.

This must have been the cause of animosity between Harsa and these states. The situation must have been further appravated when Dadda II extended shelter to Dhruvasena II. It is also probable, as has been suggested by Dr. Majumdar, that these states of Latas, Malvas, and Gurjaras might have "made a common cause against a common enemy,"44 or Harsa, in order to ensure success against Pulakesin II, might have thought of conquering these kingdoms which "formed a group of buffer states" between the two great rulers, 64 But Dr. Majumdar's opinion that "the hostility between Harsa and Dadda II directly or indirectly precipitated the conflict between Harsayardhana and Pulakesin II,65 does not sound well, as we learn from Yuan Chwang that it was Harşa who made a premeditated war against Pulakesin II.66 He records that "the great king Stladitya at this time was invading east and west; and countries far and near were giving in allegiance to him but Maharastra (Moha-la-ch'a) refused to become subject to him"er, and it was in consonance with the spirit of the people of this province. They, according to Yuan Chwang, 'were proud-spirited and war-like..., and revengeful for wrongs...and sanguinary to death with any who treated them insultingly." Pulakesin, while refusing to accept Harsa's overlordship must have relied upon the "martial heroes who led the van of the

^{63.} CA., P. 105.

^{64.} Anc. Hist. of Saurastra., P. 75.

^{65.} CA., P. 105.

^{66.} Watters., II, P. 239.

^{67.} Ibid., II, P. 239.

army in battle" and who "went into conflict intoxicated, and their warelephants were also made drunk before an engagement." We are told further that, "relying on the strength of his heroes and elephants the king treated neighbouring countries with contempt."

To deal with such an adversary, Harsa, too, appears to have made elaborate preparations. After having collected "troops from five Indies" and "the best generals from all countries." Harsa (Südüiyə), confident of "his skil and invariable success of his generals" and "filled with confidence himself, marched at the head of his troops to contend with this prince (Pulaksian)." 1

Thus it becomes clear, as Smith has opined, that Harşa, "could not willingly endure the existence of so powerful a rival, essayed to overthrow him." Me Yuan Chwang, who has given so many details about the preparations and other descriptions, does not talk of the results of the engagement between Harşa and Pulakesin. But the description of the pilgrim gives us an impression that Harşa was an aggressor and fought an offesive war. He, however, did not succeed fully in crushing the enemy. But the pilgrim does not give any hint of Harşa's defeat in the engagement. Even Dr. Majumdar has accepted it. N

But that the Harşa-Pulakesin war was "a memorable event" is reflected in the post-war records. It has also arrested enough attention of scholars. Several records of the successors of Pulakesin II claim

^{68.} Ibid., II, P. 239.

^{69.} EHI., 4th Ed., P. 353, fn. 2.

^{70.} Ibid., P. 353.

^{71.} Life, P. 147.

^{72.} EHI., 4th Ed., P. 353.

The pilgrim only records that "he has not yet conquered their troops."
 Beal. II., 256-7. It implies that the battle was not decisive.

^{74.} CA., P. 105.

Nirjun grant, Ind. Ant., Vol. IX., Pp. 124-125; Karnul grant,
 Ibid., Vol. XI, P. 68.; Togarcadu Grant, Ibid., Vol. VI., Pp. 84-87; Ibid., Vol.
 VIII, P. 244. A grant of Ywardja Stladijya Srydsraya, Ibid., Vol. XIII, P. 74;

that Pulakesin II attained the title of "Paramesvara" or the "Supreme Lord" by defeating Harşavardhana.**

It appears that Pulaketin's successors had developed a sense of glory over the military achievements of the greatest hero of their dynasty and had looked at the Harpa-Pulaketin war with biased outlook and thus it resulted in utter exaggeration of a simple reference to it in the pratasti of Ravikirti. And this has led several scholars to conclude that Harpa was defeated by Pulaketin II. Smith says, "His (Harps') vided with Harpa in the extent of his conquests.".... Harpa "essayed to overthrow him (Pulaketin II), advancing in person to the attack... But the effort failed. The king of the Deccan guarded the passes on the Narmadā so effectively that Harpa was constrained to retire discomfited, and to accept that river as his frontier."

Dr. Mookerjee has adopted a similar line and tells us that "Harşa, who, after vanquishing Dhruvasena II, king of Valahhl, felt tempted to extend his conquests still further and try conclusions with Pulakeiin II....But little did Harşa realize that on the other side of the Vindhyas there was a foe-man worthy of his steel who,...had become powerful enough to repeal his invasion." Late Dr. Mookerji has ascribed this "military success of Pulakeiin".... "to the character of his people and administration."

Gadoal Plates of Vikramāditya I, Ep. Ind., Vol. X., Pp. 102-5; Vakkaleri Plates of Kiritoarman II., Ep. Ind., Vol. V, Pp. 202f.; Kauthem grant of Vikramāditya II., Ind. Ant., Vol. XVI; P. 22; Jejuri Plates of Vingaditya, Ep. Ind., Vol. XIX., Pp. 63f.; Fleet. Dynatties of the Kamarsen Districts. P. 350.

^{76. &}quot;सकलोत्तरापवेश्वर बीहर्षवर्षनपराजयोगलम्ब परमेश्वरनामधेयस्सरवाश्रय श्रीपृथ्वीवस्लम महाराजाचिराज परमेश्वर।"

The reference is almost identical in all the records and it appears that all the successors, one after another, copied it in similar language.

^{77.} EHI., 4th Ed., P. 353.

^{78.} Harşa, Pp. 33f.

^{79.} Ibid., P. 35.

Dr. Tripathi regards it as "the first great military achievement of the south against a northern power" and this reverse (of Harsa) was perhaps due not only to the proud spirit and war-like character of the Mahrattas, but also to Pulakésin's superior and carefully equipped troops, eavalry and elephants."

Dr. Majumdar, as usual, adopts an extremist opinion and says that "the great southern campaign, or campaigns, in the course of which Harşa fought with Dhruvasena II of Valabhi, Dadda II of Baroch, and the Chālukya king Pulaketin ended in failure." Dr. Altekar*8, Shri Gauri Shanker Chatterjee*s and Dr. Viriji*4 and several others also hold similar views.

These conclusions confirm the view, as expressed above, that the repeated reference to Pulakešin's success in war with Harsa in the inscriptions of his successors is the result of their sense of pried they felt in glorifying their mighty and illustrious ancestor. Even Dr. Majumdar whose hostile views on Harsa are well-known, has realized and accepted it, and he says that "there is no doubt that the result of the battle was magnified in favour of Pulakešin by his successors, and also by those modern historians who hold that the Chālukya king inflicted a crushing defeat on Harsa." ¹⁸⁵

But the only original basis of such result or conclusion is a reference in the Aihole inscription of Pulakesin II composed by his admirer and co-patriot Ravikirti, engraved on the temple of Jinendra, built by the composer himself. Kielhorn, who has edited the inscription in question, tells us that "the poem indubitably places him (Ravikirti) in the very front rank of court-poets and writers of Prasasis.** But, unfortuna-

^{80.} THK., P. 108.

^{81.} CA., P. 106.

^{82.} Gaurishanker Chatterji, Harşavardhana, P. 115.

^{83.} Ibid., Pp. 111ff.

^{84.} Ancient History of Saurastra, Pp. 74ff.

^{85.} CA., P. 105.

^{86.} Ep. Ind., Vol. VI., P. 3.

tely, the successors of Pulakasin, and, to our surprise, several modern historians have accepted him, it appears, as a great historians and margater of facts. We have to agree with Kielhorn that Ravikirti was "thoroughly conversant with the rules of alamkira sistura"; and he appears "unsurpassed in Utpreksis"; but can any one treat Ravikirti seriously when he ranks himself with Kälidäsa and Bhäravi? Does not the absurdity of such claims reflect on the authenticity of Ravikirti who is accepted by many scholars as a historian?

Kielhorn has laboured hard to bring to our notice "a number of parallel passages from the Raghuvania and the Kirātārjunīya" in this inscription. He rightly says that "for the principal part of his poem the description of the exploits of his patron in verses 17-32, RavikIrti clearly has taken as his model the Raghudigvijaya in Raghuvanias IV, and that very probably, he would himself have styled this part (if not the whole) of his praéasti, the Digvijaya of Pulakešin Satyākīya."**

The descriptions of Pulakesin's exploits and various other achievements assigned or ascribed to him give us an impression that Kielhorn's remarks are fully justified and we cannot accept Ravikirti as an impartial narrator of historical facts.

Secondly, this period is invariably marked with such trends in Sanakrit literature. This trend is remarkably noticed in Bāṇa's Harṣacharita in his characteristic style, and I fail to understand as to why the majority of historians do not accept many things Bāṇa says about Harṣa's military achievements except those which are confirmed and corroborated by other evidences. These very scholars have taken for granted all details of Ravikīrti's prafasti as historical evidences.

I most humbly submit that it does not make much difference if some of Bāṇa's phrases are not found on the stone or metal while Raviklīti's poem could have been engraved on such materials. It was composed for being engraved and thus it deserves to be treated

^{87.} Ibid., P. 4.

with same caution and reservation that had been adopted in the study of Bana's Harsacharita.

When one turns to the reference made to Harsa in the Aihole inscription, he is bound to be struck with wonder as to why such great conclusions are drawn on the basis of it that Harsa's defeat was conclusively decisive. The passage runs as follows: ".... Harsa, whose lotus-feet were arrayed with the rays of the iewels of the diadems of hosts of feudatories prosperous with unmeasured might, through him, had his mirth (harsa) melted away by fear, having become loathsome with his rows of lordly elephants fallen in battle."88

We have seen above how the composer of the Aihole inscription should be treated. In the light of that background we cannot treat him seriously. But if we accept Ravikirti, for a time being, as narrator of facts and as one who recorded what had actually happened, we still find it difficult to agree with the learned historians who conclude that Harşa was defeated by Pulakesin. The composer of the inscription only records that Harşa's "mirth (harşa) melted away by fear, having become loathsome with his rows of lordly elephants fallen in battle," and if we accept that every word of the composer is historically correct we can only accept that some of Harsa's elephants might have fallen in the battle and Harşa might have been worried over their fate who had been of great help to him in his conquests against several enemies. This may be due to superiority of the elephant corps of Pulakesin. The latter possessed a very strong contingent of elephants. These elephants were "made drunk before an engagement." According to Yuan Chwang, the king very much relied "on the strength of heroes and elephants." Ravikirti does not tell us that Harşa was defeated as clearly as he records other conquests of Pulakesin. Had it been so the composer of the Aihole inscription would have referred to it in a highly magniloquent style that would have surpassed all other claims. The

अपरिमित्तिभृतिस्कीतसामन्तसेनामुकुटमणिमयुक्षान्कान्तपादारिक्तः। पतितयज (जे) न्द्रानीवकी (बी) भत्समृतो मयविगछितहवों येन वाकारिहर्षः ॥ (२३)

^{89.} Watters, II, P. 239.

conquest against Harsa would have been the conquest of the highest importance as he was universally accepted as the paramount ruler of the North.

At the same time the historians have not paid due attention to the fact that none of the contemporary records refers to this socalled conquest of Pulakeśin against Harşa. It was left for Pulakeśin's successors and present-day historians to record it. If Pulakeśin II had attained the title of "Parameśvara" by defeating Harşa why does Ravikit'i not refer to it in an unambiguous language?

All these arguments are enough to prove that Pulakesin's successors suffered under an inferiority complex and they selt a sense of glorification and enjoyed the claim entitling their illustrious ancestor of a conquest over the paramount lord of the North. It was, in a sense, mainly based on their sense of self-glorification rather than on the bas is of records.

We can, however, understand their sense of glory over Pulaketin's so-called success against Harsa, but we fail to understand as to why some "modern historians" stick to such a baseless conclusion,

To sum up the arguments, in a cautious manner, we can say that Harşa's attack against Pulakciin was not a complete success and Pulakciin continued to enjoy his sway over the south. It is probable, as recorded by Ravikirti, that in this war Harşa might have lost some of his elephants and that loss must have caused Harşa's 'harşa' (mirth) to melt. **

He, after this loss, might not have purusued the war further.

Here I want to record a tradition current at Rāmanagarā, a village near Jabalpur.⁹¹ According to this tradition Harpa crossed Narmadā near Tilawārāghāta⁹² and was engaged in a bitter fight against Pulakciin. They fought for many days but no side could achieve

Harşa's interest in elephants is clear from Bāņa's description of elephants in general and that of Darspāta's in particular at Harşa's camp.

^{91.} I owe this information to Shri B. K. Mishra, an advocate of Jabalbur.

^{92.} A place about 5 miles from Jabalpur.

success against the other, and when both of them were tired of the war they agreed to an understanding, according to which Pulakesin agreed to allow Harsa to build a temple at this village in south of the Nagraada as a token of his conquest of the south. And, as a result, Harşa built this temple in south of the Narmada within Pulakesin's territories.

This is an interesting information based on local traditions and it deserves some attention as Ravikirti's poem also refers to the great river Reva (Narmada) and the Vindhyas in the following words, "While he (Pulakesin II) was ruling the earth with his broad armies the neighbourhood of the Vindhya, by no means destitute of the lustre of the many sandbanks of the Reva, shone even more brightly by his great personal splendour, having to be avoided by his elephants because, as it seemed, they, by their bulk, rivalled the mountains."**

With this reference to Pulakesin's elephants in the vicinity of the Vindhyas and the Reva. Smith's conclusions, that Pulakesin II "guarded the passes on the Narmada so effectively that Harsa was constrained to retire discomfitted, and to accept that river as his frontier."84 . ppear well-founded. Dr. Mookerji also has suggested on the basis of this verse in the Aihole inscription that "the scene of the battle must have been somewhere about the Vindhya and the banks of the Reva (Narmada) forming the northern limits of the empire of Pulakesin, where his broad armies were encamped."85

Ravikirti's reference makes it implicitly clear that the Vindhya was not in Pulakesin's possession and so also the Reva. It appears

सतो यस्य रेवो (वा)-

विविधपिलनशोभावन्व्य विन्व्योपकण्ठः (:) (1)

अधिकतरमराजस्त्वेन तेजोमहिस्सा

शिखरिमिरिभवज्यां (ज्याँ) व (६) मैंणां स्पर्वयेव ॥ (२४)

94. EHI., 4th Ed., P. 353.

Eb. Ind., Vol. VI. Pp. 6, 10.

^{93.} भूवमुक्जिरनीकैस्या-

^{95.} Harga, P. 34; Dynasties of the Kanarese Districts, P. 350; Vaidya, HMHI., I, Pp. 12-13.

that the southern bank of the Narmadā was the scene of encampment of Pulskesin's armies. The local tradition gets further support from the fact hat Ravikirti refers to the sand-banks of the Revă. In the whole mountainous track the Narmadā's bank is also sandy at Tilavārāghāta, and its adjoining areas, a place only a couple of miles away from the village Rāmanagarā where Harşa is said to have built his temple as a mark of his conquest against Pulskeiin, the lord of the south.

Secondly, the long mountainous Vindhya ranges can easily be crossed at this place. M and Harsa, most probably, decided to cross the Narmadā at this place. Dr. Majumdar's view that "the actual battle was fought much further to the north" cannot be accepted in the light of these facts and an information we get from Bāna."

Bāṇa makes it quite clear that the whole of the Vindhya ranges were in the direct possession of Harşa. We learn from the Harşacharita that Harşa reached the Vindhyas in search of his sister Rājyašrī.

^{96.} Even today the road connecting the South and North passes at this point.

^{97.} Dr. R. C. Maiumdar does not agree unth Smith and says, "There is nothing to support V. A. Smith's view" . . . He, relying on the Aihole inscription. and Tuan Chwang, tells us that "Latas, Malvas and Gurjaras were foundatoreis of Pulakesin" and there were "independent kingdoms in Malva and Bundelkhanda" and therefore, "we can hardly regard the empire of Harsa as extending up to the Narmadd on the south and it is not unlikely that the actual battle was fought much further to the north." C.A., Po. 105-6. This view of the learned historian is against the very information we get from the Ashole inscription. If we accept that Latas, Mālvās and Gurjaras were feudatories of Pulakefin, we can only say that Harsa's kingdom did not touch Normada only in the western sector of his embire: but we cannot say that it did not touch Narmada anywhere else. Aihole inscription makes it quite clear that the Vindhyas and the Reva formed the broder of Harsa's empire. Dr. Majumdar's opinion that many independent kingdoms existed in Budelkhanda during Harsa's times is also not well founded. Bana tells us that whole of the Vindhya regions were governed by local chiefs who were fully subservient to Harsa. HOCTH., Pt. 230ff.

There he met Nirghäta, sister's son of Bhükampa, the general of the Sarabhas. He tells Harpa, "According to your command every day a search is carried on by deligent messengers." This shows that Harpa's commads were carried on quite faithfully and loyally in the Vindhya region and none can believe that the region was outside Harpa's territorial jurisdiction.

HARSA'S PENETRATION INTO FURTHER SOUTH

It is also probable that Harsa might have concluded an honourable peace with Pulakesin in order to push his march further south or he might have led this expedition into the south after the death of Pulakesin II. A stone inscription found in the jungle near the village Gaddemane of Sagar Hobli informs us that "while Släditya, the light of the quarters, the most powerful and a thorn in the way of the bravest, ascended the throne of his empire, Pettani Styanka, a brave soldier, capable of destroying enemies in the battle-field, pierced through the thick of the battle with the brave Bedara Raya, so as to cause frightfulness to Mahendra and reached the abode of Svarga."

This inacription, according to the learned editor, "supplies clue to ascertain who the Silāditya and the Mahendra in it were,"

On the palaeographic grounds he is "inclined to indentify the Silāditya of the inscription with Haryavardhana Silāditya and Mahendra with Mahendravarman I of the Pallavas, a contemporary of Pulaketin II."

The learned editor also opines further that "it is not improbable that Harshavardhana's territories extended as far as Shimoga."

183

Shri Nilakantha Sastri has also drawn our attention to a stanze100

^{98..} देवावेशादिदानीमन्वेषणं प्रति प्रतिदिनसनन्यकृत्यैः क्रिमते सत्तः। HCCTH., P. 233; HCK., 8th Canto, P. 71.

Annual Report of the Mysore Archaeological Department, for the year 1923, Banglore, 1924, No. 72, Plate XIII.

^{100.} Ibid.

^{101.} Ibid.

^{102.} JRAS., 1926, P. 487.

by Mayora. 100 supposed to be Bana's father-in-law, making reference "to southern conquest of Harsa of the countries of Kuntala, Chola. Madhyadesa and Kāfichi."104 Many scholars, however, treat this as mere "praise in the conventional and exaggerated style of a poet given to punning and without any reference to historical accuracy."108 But the stanza read along with the information supplied by the Gaddemane inscription should not be taken so lightly as has been done by several historians.

Dr. Majumdar, however, indentifies Siladitya and Mahendra of the Gaddemane inscription with Yuvarāja Sryāśraya Silāditva, son of Pulakesin II and Pallava king Mahendravarman II respectively. 106 About Mayura's reference Dr. Majumdar tells us that it has "nothing to do with geography or history."167

We can summarise the views of scholars on Mayura's stanza in following terms. Firstly, we cannot say definitely that the stanza ascribed to Mavura is a genuine one composed by Mavura. Literary traditions refer to Mayura as Bana's father-in-law and one of the court poets of Harsa. Sometimes we find traditions that Bana was the father-in-law of Mayura. Dr. Keith says that such relationship does not appear to have been existed between them. 108 Dr. Chatteriee, on the other hand, onines that Mayura's reference applies to Pulakesin II rather more than it applies to Harsa. 100 Saletor has attempted to identify Siladitya of Gaddemane inscription with Siläditya, the ruler of Valabhī. 110

^{103.} अपालाः शशिकास्करान्वयभवः के नाम नासादिताः भत्तरिं पुनरेकमेव हि भुवस्त्वां देव मन्यामहे। येनांगं परिमध्य केतलमबाकुष्य व्यवस्थायतं

चोलं प्राप्य च मध्यदेशमधना कांच्या करा पातिसः।। 104. Conquests of Stladitva in South, 7RAS., 1926, P. 487.

^{105.} Ibid., CA., P. 106; Chatterjee, Harşavardhana, Pp. 118ff.

^{106.} IHQ., Vol. V. P. 235; CA., P. 106, fn. I.

^{107.} CA., P. 106, fn. 1.

^{108.} Hist. of Sans, Literature, P. 201; Harsavardhana, Pp. 118ff.

^{109.} Harşavardhana, P. 120.

^{110.} B. A. Saletore, Harzaverdhane in the Karnataka, Journal of the Mythic Society, Vol. XX, Pp. 169ff.

These views are not based on historical evidences. They are mere opinions. Mayura was contemporary to Harsa and it is not appropriate to dismis his praise as conventional and exaggerated one. He must not have made such bold claims without foundations. But in order to adopt a cautious approach we must say that with the available sources at our disposal we cannot say anything definitely and conclusively, and it is better to wait for the ascertaind conclusion until some unimpeachable sources are made available to us.

OTHER CONQUESTS OF HAR\$A

As stated above, we have no detailed information about Harsa's conquests over several other regions and territories which were either under his direct control or were within the sphere of his political suzerainty or influence. Smith has observed that "in the latter years of his reign the sway of Harsa over the whole of the basin of the Ganges (including Nepal) from the Himālayas to the Narmadā, besides Mālvā, Gujrāt and Saurāshtra was undisputed." Me sha'l examine this statement of Smith and shall try to see how far he is correct in referring to these provinces as part of Harsa's empire.

NEPÄL

It appears that Bhagwanlal Indraji¹¹³ and Bühler¹¹⁴ are first to suggest that Nepāl was within the sphere of influence of Harşavardhana. Sylvain Levi and Ettinghausen, on the other hand, opposed this suggestion, ¹¹⁴ Later Fleet and Smith again came forward to advocate the stand taken by Bhagwanlal Indraji and Bühler, and it has, therefore, become necessary for us to discuss this problem at some length in order to ascertain its validity.

Writing about Nepāl, Yuan Chwang tells that the country was

^{111.} EHI., 4th Ed., P. 354.

^{112.} Ind. Ant., Vol. XIII, Pp. 420-21.

^{113.} Ibid., Vol. XIX, P. 40.

^{114.} Harshavardhan, Pp. 147, 184.

"above 4000 li in circuit" and was "situated in the snowy mountaint." It presented "an uninterrupted succession of hill and valley." He, then, tells us that "the kings of Nepāl, were Kṣatriya Licchavis, aṇḍ they were eminent scholars and believing Buddhists." But the pilgrim does not give any name of the monarch contemporary to Haṇṣa. He simply tells us that "a recent king whose name is given as Ang-aḥṣ-fa-ma or Athsuvarman (Arhsuvarman)...had composed a treatise on "Etymology." This makes it probable, as some scholars have also suggested, that the pilgrim did not visit Nepāl personally. His description of that country was based on what he heard about it.

The pilgrim also gives an impression that Amsuvarman was no more on the throne of Nepāl when the pilgrim refers to it. But the description brings to our notice certain similarities in his account of Nepāl and that of Bāṇa. The latter tells us that Harpa "has taken tribute from an inaccessible land of snowy mountains." This may be identified with Nepāl. We shall now examine this question further.

The Vamisavali records that before Amisuvarman came to throne, Vikramāditya reached Nepāl and founded his era in that country. We cannot say definitely whether this reference to Vikramāditya is meant for Harşa alone or not; but we know it for certain that during Harşa's times or immediately before him there was not any ruler who assumed this title. If there may be any ruler with the title of Vikramāditya and who could come to Nepāl to establish his era he must have been Harşa. This is proved on the basis of a number of inacriptions to the time of Amisuvarman. He is known as "Sāmanta" or "Mahāsāmanta" in these inscriptions and it makes it certain that he must have acknowledged the suzerainty of Harşa and consequently adopted his era as a token of the same.

An inscription of samvat 153 informs that Vatsadevi, mother

^{115.} Watters, II, Pp. 83-84.; Beal, II, P. 81.

HCCTH., P. 76.

^{117.} Ind. Ant., Vol. IX., Pp. 168f., Nos. 6 to 8; Kielhorn's Nos. 531.33.

of Jayadeva, was the daughter of Bhogavarman, a Maukhari prince, and the grand-daughter of Adityasena, the illustrious lord of Magadha,"118 and it has been emphatically argued that "the great-grand father and great-grandson used the same era."119 It has also been maintained that there were some Bais Rāipūts in Nepāl and they might have belonged to Harsa's family to whom he might have granted land at the time of his conquest.120 But the conquest of Nepāl has been doubted by Ettinghausen and Sylvain Levi.181 Dr. Tripathi has argued all these points at great length and he concludes that "we have no certain evidence pointing to Harsa's interference in the affairs of the valley" and it is "safer to exclude Nepal from the sphere of the suzerainty of Kanauj.":83 Dr. Majumdar has also followed a similar course,184 but he opines that "it is likely that he (Harsa) conquered some territories at the foot of the Himālayas."125 While it is wise to be cautious, it is unfair to be hesitant, when evidence demands a conclusion. We can, therefore, say that Harşa's empire included Nepāl as one of its vassal states as a consequence of his military campaigns into the Himālayan regions.

ORISSA

We have already discussed Harsa's advance in the East while discussing his expedition against Saśánka. Odra (uus-tu) or Orissa and Kongodha (Kimg-yu (gu or ya) -to) or Ganjam divisions are also said to have been "attacked and subjugated by the king of Kanauji".

^{118.} Ind. Ant., IX, Pp. 178ff.

^{119.} Ibid., Vol. XIII, P. 421.

^{120.} Ibid; Cunningham, Anc. Geog. of Ind., Pp. 432-33; Ep. Ind., Vol. I, P. 68, fn. 4.

^{121.} EHI., 4th Ed., P. 354.

^{122.} THK., Pp. 92-99.

^{123.} Ibid., P. 99.

^{124.} CA., P. 113.

^{125.} Ibid., P. 113.

^{126.} Life, Pp. 159, 172.

That the kingdom of Kalinga was finally annexed by Harşa is further proved by the use of Harşa era in that region as we learn from the Ganjam inscription dated in the Harşa era. It appears that with the death of Sašānka, Harşa, was the undisputed master of the entire eastern India. Dr. R. C. Majumdar concludes that both Utkala and Kongoda were conquered by Harşa. Dr. Tripathi has maintained that "Harşa made this region a strong military outpost of his far-flung empire, probably with a view to preventing any foreign incursions on the borders, threatened as they were by the eastward advance of Pulaketin II." 188
These views of the learned scholars deserve to be endorsed fully in view of the facts stated above.

KASHMIR

The pilgrim does not refer to the ruling king of Kashmir who "hospitably entertained our pilgrim" and who "invited him." to read and expound the scriptures." He also "gave him twenty clerks to copy out manuscripts, and five men to act as attendants." It is really surprising to note that the pilgrim does not tell us who was the king of Kashmir who helped him so much and under whose patronage he lived for nearly two years.

At the very outset we must admit that nothing definite can be said about Kashmir conclusively. Some scholars have maintained that Harşa extended his suzerainty over Kashmir. 100 Their opinions are mainly based on the testimony of 'Life.' It records that when Silāditya heard of a tooth of the Buddha in Kashmir, he reached the frontier of that country in person and "asked permission to worship it." 111 his information is further supplemented by Yuan Chwang's accounts. The latter records that "the tooth, brought from India, was preserved

^{127.} CA., P. 95.

^{128.} THK., P. 106.

^{129.} Watters., I. P. 259.

^{130.} R. K. Mookerjee, Harşa, P. 40; Vaidya, HMHI., Vol. I, P. 17.

^{131.} Life, P. 183.

in the tope" in Kashmir. According to the legends, the tooth was acquisitioned by a persecuted monk of Kashmir "who had gone to India on a pilgrimage." The concluding part of the information of the rife quoted above that Harpa "asked permission to see and worship" the sacred relic is significant. It indicates that the Kashmir valley was fully independent till that visit of Harpa. It may be chiefly because of its inaccessibility. The pilgrim tells us that "the country (Kashmir) had always been impregnable." 128

The course of events that followed this visit of Harsa indicates that he not only got the tooth, but it resulted in extending his hegemony in Kashmir. We are told that "the congregation was unwilling to accede to this request, and concealed the tooth, but the king of Kashmir, fearing the exalted character of Harsa, had the tooth unearthed and presented to him." 124 When Harsa (Sitädips) saw the relic, it is said, he "was overpowered with reverence and excercising force, carried it off to pay it religious offerings." 125

On the basis of this story of 'Life' Dr. Mookerji opines that "Kashmir in a way acknowledged the suzerainty of Herga." If the story, as narrated in 'Life', is correct Dr. Mookerji's opinion appears as well-founded. Dr. Tripathi, however, does not agree to "the interpretation put on it" by Dr. Mookerji and says that "the expression that Siladitya carried off the tooth by 'excercising force' probably means nothing more than that he brought it to Kanauj much against the wish of the people of Kashmir, who were even unwilling to allow Harşa to see and worship the relic." "131 This shows that Dr. Tripathi has relied upon the story of 'Life' that narrates how Harşa carried off the relic by the "excercise of force"; but he does

^{132.} Watters., I. P. 279.

^{133.} Ibid., I., P. 261.

^{134.} Life, P. 183.

^{135.} Ibid., P. 183.

^{136.} Harşa., P. 40.

^{137.} THK., P. 85.

not support Dr. Mookerji's opinion that "Kashmir in a way acknowledged the suzerainty of Harsa."

I submit that Dr. Tripathi did not realize the force of religious sentiments of Kashmiris they attached to the relic. Their deep-roosed entiments are clearly proved by their outright refusal to allow Harsa "to see and worship" the relic. They not only refused its darfan, but also "concealed the tooth in order to avoid its being carried away by Harsa forcibly. Thus it becomes quite clear that they were not prepared to part with the relic. But they were also afraid of Harsa's superior force and were not prepared to offer any resistence. Thus it cannot be accepted, as Dr. Tripathi has suggested to us to accept, that Harsa brought the relic to Kanauj "much against the wish of the people of Kashmir." But we have seen that the people "were even unwilling to allow Harsa to see and worship the relic" and it is unthinkable that they allowed Harsa to take the relic away to Kanauj "much against the wish of the people."

It can be argued that the people can surrender to any superior military power and history offers many such examples, but they cannot do so when their religious sentiments are at stake and there is hardly any example of such surrender. They value the religion more than their independence or anything else and the whole human history is full of such examples. We have seen how sharply Kashmiris reacted to the theft of the sacred hair of the Prophet. We have seen their attachment to the relic of the Prophet in the second half of the twentieth century A.D., when man has become highly enlightened as a result of the tremendous advancement in the domain of science and technology. But that Harşa's period was certainly dominated by intense religious outlook is proved by serious tension at the time of great religious assmebly where participants exhibited a sharp difference of opinions between the Mahāyānists and Hinayānists.

Thus we cannot expect that the people of Kashmir in those days could allow Hara to carry away the relic and they watched this process as peaceful spectators. On the other hand, they must have raised a voice of strong protest against the action of Haras and the latter must have midde

use of force against the popular opposition. Thus the version of Tife' that Harsa took away the relic by "excercising force" is meaningful. The king, "fearing the exalted character of Harsa," might have pacified the people, and, probably, in order to avoid bloodshed and massacre, "unearthed and presented" the relic to Harsa.

Thus the king of Kashmir by presenting the desired relic to Harsa escaped military defeat and the Kanauj ruler not only returned to his capital with the relic but also succeeded in extending his political supremacy over Kashmir. And, therefore, we can say that Kashmiris not only "in a way acknowledged the suzerainty of Harsa." but the latter must have also been accepted as the overlord and suzerain in unambiguous terms. Harsa, on his part, also appears to have made a reciprocal gesture of goodwill and honourable peace and must have allowed Kashmir to its full political autonomy and to a place of respectful existence.

Dr. Ray has drawn our attention to king Harsa as being referred to in the Rajatarangini, and he tries to identify him with king Harsa of Kanaui.178 This is based on a passage in the Rajatarangini.199 It records that "from that period onwards this country, which had suffered from internal dissensions, was, for sometime, subject to Harsa and other kings,"140 The suggestion made by Dr. Ray is feasible and it cannot be dismissed as altogether baseless. Dr. Tripathi, however, has offered to reject this identification.141 Stein has also rejected it on the grounds of "chronological aberration."148 Dr. Tripathi reminds us that "this Harsa had

^{138.} IHQ., 1927, Pp. 780f.

^{139.} Stein, Rajatarangini, P. 56, fn. 7.

^{140. &}quot;इदं स्वभेदविषुरं हर्षांदीना घराभुजां क्ववित् काममृद् भोज्य ततः प्रमृति सण्डलम्" Vol. I., Book II, Verse 7.

^{141.} THK., P. 86.

^{142.} Stein, Rajatarangini, P. 56, fn. 7., cited in THK.,

a son....whereas the Harşa of Kanauj left no successor." 143 At present we cannot say anything conclusively on this proposed identification and it is better to await further researches. But Harşa's march to Kashmir and his success in that country is amply proved on the authority of 'Life',

KÄMARTIPA

Yuan Chwang records a similar episode of Harsa's life and it indicates that Harsa also succeeded in expanding his sphere of influence in the Brahmaputra valley. The pilgrim informs us that Harsa "had been on an expedition to a country called 'Kung-vu-ta', and was on his way back to Kanaui to hold a great Buddhist assembly."14 When he heard of the Chinese pilgrim "at the court of king Kumara," Harsa "sent a summon" to the king of Kamarupa "to repair to him with his foreign guest." Kumāra refused to oblige Harsa and the former sent a message that "the king could have his head but not his guest."145 Harsa retorted that he would "trouble" for his "head." This reply changed Kumāra's attitude and he "became submissive and proceeded with the pilgrim and a grand retinue to join Siladitya."146 This interesting story seems to have been a little exaggerated by the pilgrim. We know that Kumāra was already a great friend of Harsa as revealed by former's friendly gesture during latter's march against Śaśānka. His presence at both the assemblies at Prayaga and Kanyakubja leaves no doubt about his allegiance to Harsa.

With these and several other conquests Harşa became the supreme ruler of the North. His long reign for nearly four decades is marked with many achievements in the domain of art and culture to which we propose to refer later.

^{143.} Ibid., P. 86.

^{144.} Watters, I., P. 349.

^{145.} Ibid.

^{146.} Ibid.

CHRONOLOGY OF HARSA'S WARS AND CAMPAIGNS

Yuan Chwang records that Harşa built "a great army and set out to avenge his brother's murder and to reduce the neighbouring countries to subjection." We have seen how he avenged his brother's murder but it is difficult to give a chronologically ascertained sequence to his campaigns which he undertook in order "to reduce the neighbouring countries to subjection." The pilgrim simply tells us that "proceeding eastwards he invaded the states which had refused allegiance," in the does not tell us the names of these state rulers who "refused allegiance" in Eastern India besides Saśānka and the Orissa ruler. But it appears that besides these rulers and that of Kāmarūpa there were no other mightly kingdoms which could have resisted Harşa's designs. Harşa only fought those states which "refused allegiance" and spared the rest.

It indicates that Haraa had no desire to bring all these kingdoms under his actual control. He was only interested in extending his supremacy over the country and allowed all other kings to rule freely who accepted his overlordship.

The pilgrim further tells us that Harşa "waged incessant warfare until in six years he had fought the five Indias", or "had brought the five Indias under allegiance." If we take into consideration Harşa's whole territorial expansion, Yuan Chwang appears to be correct. The pilgrim further tells us that "then having enlarged his territory he (Harşa) increased his amy, bringing the elephant corps to 60,000 and the cavalry to 100,000 and reigned in peace for thirty years without raising a weapon."

'Life' also records that Harsa himself told Yuan Chwang that he
'had been the lord of India for thirty years and more.' This led Dr. R. K.

^{1.} Watters., I, 343.

They are also known as Pañcha-Gaudas. In Indian traditions
they are Sărawata, Kănyakubja, Gauda, Mithilă and Utkala. Havell, Aryan
Rule in India, P. 191, Note 1; EHI., 4th Ed., P. 353, cited in THK., P. 119.

^{3.} Watters, I, P. 343; Beal, I, 213.

^{4.} Watters, I, P. 343; Beal, I, P. 213.

^{5.} Life, P. 183. This he told the pilgrim about 642 A.D..

Mookerji to "assume that all his conquests were over by about A.D. 612 and that he became king six years earlier in A.D. 606, the year 1 of the Harra era." The learned scholar adds that "this assumption is also strengthened by the fact that the quinquennial assembly held in the spring of A.D. 644 was the sixth held in his reign.... It is thus reasonable to conclude.... that Harpa's war with Valabhi and Pulakesin took place within A.D. 612."

In order to get further support to his assumption the learned historian has also cited the opinion of Dr. Fleet. The latter holds this opinion on the basis of Hyderabad grant of 534 of the Śaka era.* He says that it is "implied by the title which Pulakeśin acquired by his victory him (Harsa), and that the victory had then already been achieved."

Similar opinion is held by Vaidya. He tells us that Harşa "founded his own era in 612 A. D. after he had completed his Digitjaya dating from his accession in 606 A.D." To validate his conclusion the learned scholar observes that "Harşa subjugated almost the whole of Northern India and established a strong and well-ordered empire which lasted till his death. He founded as a momento of his being a Chakravarti, a special era of his own...in imitation of previous emperors"...and "in response to tradition."

Commenting on Dr. Mookerji's assumption, Dr. Tripathi has observed that it "seems gratuitous and open to several objections," Firstly, he does agree with Yuan Chwang's records that his six years begin 606. Secondly, "it would involve a discrepancy" as we know that "his inveterate enemy" Saśaka was ruling over Orissa about 619 A.D. And, thirdly, Pulakeśin begins his rule about 609-10 A.D. and "it would indeed

^{6.} Harşa, P. 36, fn. 1.

^{7.} Ibid., P. 36.

^{8.} This grant was issued in the third reignal year after Pulakesin's abhiseka.

Dynasties of the Kanarese Districts, Pp. 351, 356.; Mookerji, Harşa,
 P. 36, fn. 1.

^{10.} HMHI., I, Pp. 11ff.

^{11.} Ibid., P. 11.

be almost a miracle if at the very start of his career and with his position still unconsolidated at home, the Chālukya monarch inflicted a crushing defeat on Harya."¹²

The first objection is just based on his opinion he held in order to reach certain conclusions. But the second and third reasons are strong enough and we have to reply to them with satisfaction.

That Saśānka continued to flourish as late as 619 A.D. on Orissa is based on epigraphic evidence and is now accepted by everybody. Dr. Majumdar has tried to answer these objections by dividing Harşa's campaigns in to two phases. He tells us that Harşa, according to Yuan Chwang, "fought his battles between A.D. 606 and 611-12 and reigned in peace between A.D. 611-12 and 641-42.33 Explaining "the discrepancy" he tells us that the eastern campaign against Orissa and Kongoda... would fall in the second period of his military activity after A.D. 641."

The third reason as put forth by Dr. Tripathi is hardly convincing. Firstly, we cannot accept, as shown above, that "Chālukya monarch inflicted a crushing defeat on Harsa," and secondly, it was not a war waged by Pulakeśin against Harsa as an offensive war. In fact, the war was forced upon Pulakeśin by Harsa, and it is more likely that Harsa would have thought to conquer Pulakeśin "with his position still unconsolidated at home."

So far as Harşa's campaigns are concerned, it is better to take them up in a phased order to ascertain their chronological sequence. Yuan Chwang appears correct, to a great extent, when he talks of Harşa's wars and tells us that all the wars were fought before 612 A.D.. After the first phase was over he ruled in peace for nearly thirty years, and then after a period of nearly thirty years he again took up another round of wars.

We know that Harşa participated in the march along with his

^{12.} THK., P. 125.

^{13.} CA., P. 108.

^{14.} Ibid., P. 108.

brother Rājyavardhana against the Hūṇas and then after his brother's murder he had to fight a number of enemies including Sašānka, the Chālukyas and the Maitrakas. The scholars appear to have been divided sharply on the dates of his struggles with Pulakeśin II and that with the Maitraka ruler of Valabhi.

Coming back to Fleet's arguments, we find two important points which require satisfactory explanation. Firstly, he pleads that Pulakeśin II assumed the title of 'Parameśvara' "by defeating hostile kings, who had applied themselves or a hostile king who had applied himself to the contest of hundred battles."15 Serveral inscriptions record that he assumed this title "by defeating the glorious Harsavardhana, the warlike lord of all regions of the north."16 Fleet has argued that the conquest that won for Pulakesin II the title of Paramesvara was the one he achieved against Harsa. This, according to the learned scholar, was achieved before the Hyderabad grant of Pulakesin II was issued. This grant was made on the new moon day of Bhadra of Saka year 534. This, according to some scholars, falls on the second August 612 A.D.17. whereas other calculate it on the 23rd July, 613 A.D..18 Fleet, on this basis, opines that Harsa-Pulakesin war might have taken place about 608-9 A.D.10 Several scholars20 have accepted this date which is said to have been corroborated by the accounts of the Chinese pilgrim.

On the other hand, some scholars have opposed this view. Dr. Tripathi has raised serious and forceful objections against this date. He asks, "If this were a fact, would it not be inexplicable why Harsavardhana's name is not mentioned in the earlier Hyderabad grant, and finds specific

^{15.} Fleet, Dynasties of Kanarese Districts, Pp. 351, 356.

^{16.} Ibid.

^{17.} CA., P. 237.

^{18.} Ibid., P. 237.

^{19.} Fleet, Dynasties of Kanarese District, Pp. 351, 356.

Mookerji, Harşa, P. 38, Fn. 1; Saletore, Life in the Gupta Age,
 P. 74.

^{21.} THK., Pp. 126ff.

mention with legitimate pride too—in the Aihole inscription of A. D. 634-35, and other later documents." The learned scholar opines that "this omission goes against Dr. Fleet's theory, for it appears hard to believe that any of Pulakefin's earlier inscriptions would ignore the name of so great and formidable an adversary, and particularly when the victory was achieved just at the start of the Chālukya monarch's career." Explaining Pulaseiin II's title 'Parameévara', he tells us that it "was very commonly assumed by kings in those days after gaining the paramount or imperial status," I's and Pulakefin II, according to him, appears to have "assumed it as a regal title only after certain preliminary successes against his rivals and the consolidation of his power at Badami."

Dr. Majumdar has also put forth similar arguments, and he, in a way, supports Dr. Tripathi fully.* In order to explain the statement of Yuan Chwang, Dr. Tripathi observes that "the text does not appear to be quite clear" and this he proves on the basis of discrepancies in the renderings by Beal¹⁹ and Watters, and the peaceful reign of thirty years cannot be reconciled with the later military activities of Harsa.*

The Chinese encylopaedist, Ma-twan-lin records, "In the years 618 and 628 there were great troubles in the kingdom. The king Silāditya made war and fought such battles as had never been before." somewhat In light of these evidences, Dr. Majumdar's opinion appears somewhat reasonable that "considering the unsettled political conditions of the time it would be unreasonable to expect that Harsa could reign in peace for

^{22.} Ibid., P. 126.

^{23.} Ibid., P. 126.

^{24.} Ibid., P. 126.

^{25.} Ibid., P. 126.

^{26.} CA., P. 237.

^{27.} Beal., Vol. I, P. 213.

^{28.} Watters, I, P. 343.

^{29.} THK., P. 127.

^{30.} JRAS., (N.S.) IV, 1869-70, P. 86; JASB., VI, P. 68; THK., P. 128. fn. 2.

30 years without any struggle." Thus we can hold that Yuan Chwang's statement on the chronology of Harja's campaigns cannot be accepted.

While discussing Harja-Pulakefin struggle we have seen that it was, most probably, the result of the war between Harsa and the Valabhl ruler, and if we can fix a tentative date of this war it will be easy for us to fix the date of Harsa-Pulakefin war.

Dr. Bhagwanlal Indraji was, perhaps, the first scholar to hold that the war between Harsa and the Maitraka ruler of Valabhi must have taken place in the time of Dharasena IV.¹⁸ The basis for such a conclusion is found in Harsa's relationship with Dhruvasena II who is said to be former's son-in-law. We do not find this conclusion as very convincing. If Dhruvasena II was Harsa's son-in-law, Dharasena IV, son and successor of Dhruvasena II, must have been Harsa's grandson and the latter must have been dearer to Harsa than Dhruvasena II.¹⁸ Prof. K. Chattopadhyaya has also taken a similar stand and he has done so with great emphasis on Yuan Chwang's silence about this conflict between the Valabhi ruler and Harsa.¹⁸ Another important argument to prove this conclusion is the absence of any reference to it in all the grants of Dadda II ranging from 629 to 641 A.D.. It is argued that Dharasena assumed imperial titles and Harsa took it as a challenge and was, therefore, compelled to take military expedition against the Valabhi ruler.

At present nothing can be said on this point conclusively. These arguments of the learned scholars are quite forceful and no body can dismiss them as altogether baseless. But several scholars have held that the conflict might have taken place between Harşa and Dhruvasena II.³⁸

^{31.} CA., P. 108-109.

^{32.} Bom. Gaz., Vol. I. P. 116.

^{33.} It is also suggested that Dharasma "might have been born of a queen other than Hara's daughter." Dr. R. C. Majumdar does not agree to accept Dharasma as Hara's adversary, but he wishes to leave this identification "undecided." CA., P. 110.

^{34.} Proceed. Ind. Hist, Cong., Vol. III, Pp. 596-600.

^{35.} Vaidya, HMHI., Vol. I, Altoker, ABORI., Vol. XIII, P. 304;

I have tried to explain that there is nothing improbable in Dadda 11's role as one who gave shelter to Dhruvasena II, and his silence about it in his own records might have been intentional as he was certainly an ordinary feudatory whereas the Maitrakas were a superior power. Even if the Maitrakas were feudatories of the Chālukyas, they certainly enjoyed better political status to that of the Garjaras. Dadda II, therefore, did not refer to this action because of being a humble and modest feudatory. In fact it would have been looked upon as an absured claim, had it been mentioned by himself, and, therefore, it was left to Jayabhatta who recorded it with legitimate pride that his ancestor Dadda II extended protection to the Valabhi ruler when the latter was attacked by Harsa.

Dr. Altekar's suggestion that "it is quite probable that the credit claimed for Dadda II may have really belonged to Pulakeśin whose feudatory he probably was, deserves our attention.* Yuan Chwang's silence can be answered on several grounds. We have seen that he was not a historian on a fact-finding mission and it is quite natural for him to miss many things of political nature as his mission was solely religious. His silence can also be deliberate and intentional because the Valabhi ruler "was a sincere believer in Buddhism," and it may be quite natural for the pilgrim to avoid a reference to his defeat.

Now as Dadda II ruled from c. 629 A.D. to c. 641 A.D., the conflict between Harsa and Dhruvasena II must have taken place between these years, but certainly before the year 634-5 A.D., the date of Alhole Inscription. As the latter records Harsa's struggle with Pulakesin, the war between them must have also taken place earlier to this date.

We have already seen that the Harsa-Pulakesin war was, most probably, the result of the war between Harsa and Valabhi ruler, and as the Lohner grant of Pulakesin II of the year A.D. 630 does not make any

Ray, IHQ., Vol. IV. P. 464; Sankalia. Archaeology of Gujrat, P. 17. Saletore also wishes to leave this identification "undecided." CA., P. 110.

^{36.} ABORI., Vol. XIII, P. 304.

^{37.} Watters., Vol. II, P. 246.

mention of Harja-Pulakesiin struggle, it is quite reasonable to conclude that the war between them must have taken place between A.D. 629 and A.D. 634-5. We have seen that Dadda II's period starts from 629 A.D., and the war between Harja and the Valabhi king, therefore, can be fixed some time after 629 A.D.. And as the war between Harja and Pulakesiin II was fought certainly before A.D. 634 we can fix A.D. 630 as a tentative date of the war between Harja and Dhruvaena II and the war between Harja and Pulakesiin II might have been fought in course of a couple of year, and we can also fix it tentatively in the year 632 A.D..

CHAPTER VI

EXTENT OF HARSA'S EMPIRE

Like his conquests and military campaigns, the problem of determining Harsa's empire and its expansion has also proved to be a knotty one. Several scholars have tried to give their own estimates; but recently some have tried to prove that those estimates were "extravagant" and were based on "insufficient basis." It has, therefore, become quite necessary to reassess the original evidences and form a dispassionate estimate of Harsa's empire.

Smith gives us an impression that Harşa ruled over a great empire during "the latter years of his reign." He ruled "over the whole of the basin of the Ganges (including Nepāl), from the Himālaya to the Narmadā.¹ According to him, Harşa's hold over Mālvā, Gujrāt and Saurāṣṭra "was undisputed," and "even the king of distant Assam (Kāmarūpa) in the east obeyed the orders of his suzerain (Harṣa) whose son-in-law, the king of Valabhl in the extreme west attended in the imperial train." Smith is further supported by Pannikar's and Ettinghausen.

Dr. Mookerji has taken a more cautious stand and he says that Harsa's "sphere of authority is, of course, less extensive than the sphere of influence or suzerainty." He ends his survey of Harsa's empire with a conclusion that it "was undoubtedly much larger than that of any other

^{1.} EHI., 4th Ed., P. 354.

^{2.} Ibid., P. 354.

^{3.} Shri Harşa of Kanauj, P. 22,

Harşa Vardhana, empereur et poete de L'Inde Septentrionale, A.D. 606-648, Louvain, 1906.

Harşa., P. 37. Dr. Mookerji was conscious of this difference in his treatment and he says that in records of the times, direct rule is sometimes confused with the power and authority indirectly assercised." Ibid.

individual state of the times in Northern India" and (it) "comprised practically the whole of the United Provinces (Uttar Practesh), a larger part of Bihar and Bengal (with the exception of only Karnasuvarna), Orissa, and such parts of the Punjāb, Rājpūtānā, Central and Western India, for which Yuan Chwang does not mention other rulers." The learned scholar, "with all the possible reservations," tells us that "Harpa achieved the proud position of being the paramount sovereign of the whole of Northern India" and he tries to find support from references to Harpa in South Indian inscriptions as "the lord of the whole Uttarāpatha." He accepts this as Harva's estimate in the 'public opinion' of contemporary India.

According to Shri Vaidya, Harra's empire, "included probably the whole of Northern India exclusive of Sind, the Punjāb and Kashmir," but he maintains that he (Harra) "established nominal suzerainty over these kingdoms."

Dr. Tripathi has dealt with this "knotty problem" at great length and he concludes that "it is high time to give up all exaggerated notions of Harsa's sovereignty or political jurisdiction extending up to Kashmir and Sindh, Gujrāt and even the far South, Kāmarāpa and Nepāl." The empire, according to the learned scholar, consisted of "portions of Eastern Punjāb, almost the whole of the present United Provinces (Uttar Pradesh) excepting Mathurā and Matipura, Bihar, Bengal and Orissa including Konsodha or the Ganjam region." 19

Shri Chatterjee also holds similar views but adds that Harşa had also his sway over Valabhi, Eastern Mālvā, Western Mālvā and Sindh, 12

N. Ray opines that Harsa's political supremacy extended over

^{6.} Ibid., P. 43.

Ibid. Dr. Tripathi has raised an objection to the title "Sakalottar&pathanātha." THK., Pp. 120-21.

^{8.} HMHI., Vol. I, P. 11.

^{9.} THK., P. 119.

^{10.} Ibid., Pb. 118-19.

^{11.} Harşavardhana., P. 169.

almost whole of Northern India, up to Jalandhara in north-west and touched the Brahmaputra valley in the east. In the south it extended from the kingdom of Valabhi to Ganzam District in Orissa.¹⁸

Dr. R. C. Majumdar has opposed these views vehemently¹⁸ and he opens his discussion on the extent of Hara's empire with a remark that "the treatment of this subject is rendered difficult by the extravagant estimates formed by old scholars on weak and insufficient basis." In order to put before us the so-called "strong" and "sufficient" basis the learned historian wants to explain that "when the study of Indian history was in its infancy, and people were not cirtical of the few contemporary data of ancient Indian history, then known, the scholars readily accepted the vague statements of Hiuen Tsang and Bāṇabhaṭṭa and pictured Harṭa as a great monarch and the last great empire-builder of Hindu India. These erroneous conceptions persisted down to comparatively recent times." He himself claims to be "the first to challenge" the "accuracy" of "erroneous conception."

I submit that the learned scholar has failed to judge Harşa and his political and military achievements dispassionately and impartially. It is, perhaps, because Harşa was not friendly to Saśānka, "the first great king of Bengal," who (Saśānka), in the estimation of the learned historian, "conquered the whole of Magadha and probably even Banaras," and "extended his authority over the whole of southern Bihar and Orissa" and "even made a bold bid for the empire of Northern Inida." § In order to depict Śaśānka as great as Harşa, if not greater to the latter, the learned historian has not tried to make secret of his aim and says that "if he (Saśānka) had a friendly biographer like Bāṇa or Hiuen Tsang, (Twas Chuseng) he would probably have appeared to posterity almost as brilliant as Harşayardhana. Butas it is, his fair name and fame have vanished and

^{12.} IHQ., 1927, Pp. 769-793.

^{13.} JBORS., 1923, Pp. 311ff; CA., P. 110.

^{14.} Ibid., P. 110.

^{15.} Ibid., P. 110.

^{16.} CA., Pp. 78ff.

posterity knows him only as the cowardly murderer of Rājyavardhana and cruel persecutor of Buddhism."17

This long passage of Dr. Majumdar shows his love and sentiments for Saśāña and the latter, according to him, could not occupy a great name in the Indian history because he failed to get praises from persons like Bāṇa and Yuan Chwang. On the other hand, the learned historian gives us an impression that Harşa enjoys a respectful position in the long annals of Indian history only because he could extend patronage to Bāṇa and win the friendship of the Chinese pilgrim Yuan Chwang.

I respectfully submit that such remarks of the learned historian are not in consonance with the great place he occupies in the world of historians. There are always many 'fis' and 'buts' and we must not allow ourselves to be led away by them. Archimedes, a great mathematician and physicist, is said to have opined that "if he could get a place to stand on and a fulcrum to work the lever, he might have moved the earth from its orbit." But that great Archimedes could not find "a place to stand" and "a fulcrum to work the lever" and, therefore, he failed to "move the earth from its orbit." If Sasāñka failed to acquire the services of a biographer of Bāṇa's eminence and did not succeed in winning Yuan Chwang's heart, Dr. Majumdar has tried to compensate that failure. I submit and hope that the learned scholars would agree with me that such an approach to historical studies is bound to give a shock to dispassionate and impartial researchers. It is not only unkind to Harsa alone but also to Bāṇa and Yuan Chwang.

We can admit that Bāṇa has exaggerated Harpa's achievements, but they cannot be dismissed as altogether baseless. Yuan Chwang, too, should be accepted with great caution as his mission was primarily "to know only Buddha and Buddhism," and he "cared little for other things." But we have no reason to treat his accounts as "utterly untenable." The pligrim refers to several kingdoms which he visited, and he, sometimes, also refers to the rulers. In his description of these kingdoms he appears to have treated the local feudatories and semi-independent kings as almost

^{17.} Ibid., P. 81.

independent rulers. Sometimes he does not refer to kings at all. He treats Harşa as the ruler of Kânyakubja, but no one can accept that Harşa ruled over Kânyakubja alone. Harşa certainly governed a vast empire which consisted of many kingdoms, where kings were allowed to rule with certain amount of autonomy.

These conclusions and opinions present conflicting approaches and we have to see how far we can draw a somewhat definite picture of Harşa's empire on the basis of original data.

Harşa's ancestral territories included "portions of southern Punjāb" and "eastern Rājpūtānā," Banskhera inscription makes it almost certain that the province of Ahichchhatrā was included in Harşa's domain. "Madhubana inscription makes him the master of Śrāvasti bhukti. 18

We have also seen that Harşa is said to have been invading "cast and west and countries far and near." The pilgrim records that the people of Mahārāṣṭṛa (Mo-ha-la-ch' a) "refused to become subject to him." He tells us that Harşa's court was attended by several kings and feudatories at several times. His great quinquennial assembly (mahāmokṣa-pariṣad) at Prayšg was attended by the kings of "eighteen kingdoms," and the people of "five Indias had been summoned by an imperial decree." Se Prominent among the participants were king Dhruvabhaṭa¹i (Tu-lu-p'o-po-t'a) of Valabhi, s. and Kumārarājā of Assam.

^{18.} THK., Pp. 79-80; HMHI., Vol. I., Pp. 1ff. Dr. Mookerji assigns him greater limits. Harsa., P. 11.

Ep. Ind., Vol. IV, P. 208; Shri Krisnadatt Bajpai, Ahichchhatrā, Lucknow, 1956, Pp. 1ff.

^{20.} Ep. Ind., Vol. I, P. 67.

^{21.} Watters., II, P. 239.

^{22.} Ibid., P. 239.

^{23.} Life., Pp. 184-85.

^{24.} Identified with Dhruvasena II.

Surprisingly enough, he is referred to herein as the "king of south India." Ibid., P. 185.

^{26.} Ibid., P. 185.

That his imperial decrees were respected in many contemporary kingdoms and that the kings of these kingdoms responded to their suzerain's calls, is further proved by his "order" which he circulated "throughout the different kingdoms." This order was for the great assembly at Känyakubja. This assembly was also attended by king Bhāskaravarman of Kāmarūpa and the kings of eighteen countries and of the five Indias. King of Jalandhar (She-lan-ta-lo) was given "control of matters relating to Buddhism" by "the king of Mid-India." This "king of Mid-India" appears to have been rightly identified with Harsa. **

When the pilgrim was leaving for China, Harşa "commissioned four official guides" with Yuan Chwang. 11 They had with them "letters written by the emperor with ins tructions to present" them "in all the countries." This was done with an idea that "the princes of these countries might provide carriages or modes of conveyance to escort the Master even to borders of China." 23

To understand the political conditions of Northern India during the period under review it is necessary to give a brief account of Kingdoms as referred to in the accounts of Yuan Chwang, 25

LAMPA (LAN-P'O)81

It is suggested that it corresponds to old Sańskrit Lam-

^{27.} Ibid., P. 176.

^{28.} Ibid., P. 177; Beal, I, P. 218.

^{29.} Watters., I. P. 296.

^{30.} Mookerii, Harsa., P. 44.

^{31.} Life., P. 190.

^{32.} Ibid., Harşa. Pp. 44-45.

^{33.} THK., P. 82; P. 111.

^{34.} Watters, I, Pp. 181-2; Beal, I, P. 90. Watters records that "it is important to remember that the countries which he described from "Lan-p'o" to Rajpar both inclusive were not regarded by the people of India as forming part of their territory. It was only by foreigners that these districts were included under the general name India." Ibid., Vol. I, P. 180. This is mischievous and malicious.

pāka.¹⁶ Cunningham opines that it may be identified with Ptolemy's Lambatia.²⁶ This district "has been identified with the modern Laghman (or Lughman).²⁶⁷ During the time of the pilgrim it was "a dependency of Kapis" or Kapiśā.²⁶

NAGARA (NA-KIE (KA) LO-HO)

It corresponds to modern Nungnehar and was "included in the present district of Jelalabad." According to Julien, it may correspond to Nagarahara. Like Lampa, here too, "there was no king and the state was province of Kapišā.*

GĀNDHĀRA (KAN-T' O-LO)

The pilgrim tells us that "the royal family was extinct and the country," like Lampa and Nagar, was subject to Kapisā." He tells us further that "the towns and villages were desolate and the inhabitants were very few." This confirms our earlier conclusion that the Gandhāra king was defeated by king Prabhākaravardhana and that the former was perhaps killed in the battle. "

India's borders not only included these territories but they also included the land much ahead of these countries.

- 35. Ibid., P. 181.
- Anc. Goo. of India, P. 42; Mc Crindle, India from Ptolemy, Pp. 104, 106.
 - 37. Watters, Vol. I, P. 181.
 - 38 Ibid, I, P. 181.
 - 39. Ibid., I, P. 185.
 - 40. Ibid., P. 183.
 - 41. Watters, I, P. 199; Beal, I, P. 98.

42. We have already referred to Bāṇa's testimony according to which Prabhākarawardhama is called "Gāmdhāradhipagam/hadvipakūlepākalo." Kūṭa-pākala is "one of the varieties of elephantine favors and is the deadlists of all the favors of dephants." HCK., Notes on 4th Canto, Pp. 4-5. This indicates quite clearly that the war against the Gāmdhāra king, who is compared with 'Gandhārbagha,'

Thus we have seen that Lampa (Lan-po), Nagar (Na-ka-lo-ho) and Gandhara (Kan-t'o-lo) were dependencies of Kapiśa. It is, therefore, necessary to understand the political conditions at Kapiśa in order to determine its political jurisdiction. The pilgrim tells us, "This country was above 4000 li in circuit with the snowy mountains on its north and having black ranges on its border sides : . . . The king who was of a ksatriva caste, was an intelligent courageous man, and his power extended over more than ten of the neighbouring lands."48 We have seen that Bana refers to Harsa's success in exacting revenue from the lands of "inaccessible snowy mountains." It has been suggested that the land of "snowy mountains" may be identified with either Kashmir or Nepal. It is quite plausible to suggest that it may also cover the land of Kapiśā. The description that the king was a ksatriya and that the people in the kingdom were followers of Buddhism, Jainism and Saivism, shows that the kingdom was definitely within Indian boundaries and it is quite probable that the king of this country might have extended his allegiance to Harsa and, therefore, the latter is said to have "exacted the revenue from the lands of snowy mountains."

UDYĀNA (WU-CHANG-NA)44

The country was "above 5030 li in circuit" The pilgirim does not refer to any ruling dynasty and it appears that it was directly governed by Harşa. Mangkil (Meng-kie (ka)-li) was one of its "strong cities" and it "was chiefly used as the seat of Government." It appears that it was an out-post under Harşa's government to look after the administration of the frontier and border areas.

an elephant of best type, might have been fatal. And the king might have died in the encounter. With his death "the royal family was extinct" as recorded by Yuan Chwang.

^{43.} Watters, I. Po. 122-3.

Cunningham says that it "comprised the present districts of Panghora, Bijawar, Swat, and Runir. Ancient Geography of India, P. 81; JRAS., 1896, P. 655.

^{45.} Watters, I. P. 227.

BOLOR (PO-LU-LO)

This country was also "situated in the great snowy mountain." ⁴

The pilgrim does not refer to any ruler of this country and it was probably directly administered by Harşa or it might have been a dependency under one of his vassals.

TAKSHASILÄ (TA-CHA-SHI-LO")

Takshasilä is one of the few great centres of learning of this country. Alberni records that it was also known as Marikala. Hugel identifies it with modern Råvalpindit¹⁶ whereas Cunningham says that the ancient site of Takshasilä corresponds with Shahdheri¹⁶ and the latter has been accepted as the correct identification.

The pilgrim tells us that "the chiefs were in a state of open feud, the royal family being extinguished, the country had formerly been subject to Kapifa but now it was a dependency of Kashmir." This statement makes it quite certain that the country was not an autonomous unit. His reference to the dynasty "being extinguished" is important. It appears quite probable that Prabhākaravardhana might have come into clash with the king of Takshašilā and the latter might have been killed in the battle and probably he left no issue. Prabhākaravardhana might not have got an opportunity to consolidate his gains, and, therefore, "the chiefs were in a state of open feud." But with Harşa's conquest of Kashmir the dependency must have automatically come under the zone of the Harşa's influence.

^{46.} Watters, I, P. 240.

^{47.} Both Fa-hien and Yuan Chwang "treat Takshasild as a district separate from Gändhära." But in Buddhist literature "it appears as a part of city" of Gändhära. Ibid., I, Pp. 240-41.

^{48.} Alberuni, Vol. I, P. 302.

^{49.} Travels in Kashmir And Punjab, P. 230.

^{50.} Anc. Geog. of India, Pp. 104, 144.

^{51.} Watters, Vol. I, P. 240; Beal, Vol. I, P. 136.

SINHAPURA (SENG-HA-PU-LO)

Like Takshafilā "there was no king" in this country and it "was a dependency of Kashmir." It might also have been within Harşa's sphere of influence along with Kashmir.

URASA (WU-LA-SHIH)

According to Cunningham, this country may correspond to Varsa Regio of Ptolemy and it may be identified with the modern district of Rash in Dhantiawar, to the west of Muzafaraba." Manother writer says that this country "corresponded pretty nearly to that of modern Hazara." Manother writer has a dependency of Kashmir." So

It appears that these several "dependencies" of Kashmir were actually governed by the ruler of the kingdom of Kashmir. At some of these dependencies he found the rulers whereas at others he did not find any such ruler and from this description it appears that the pilgrim could not differentiate between the local rulers and governors or divisional administrators. These dependencies of Kashmir must have fallen within the zone of influence of Harsa when the latter conquered the Kashmir kingdom.

KASHMÎR (KIA-SHI-MI-LO)⁵⁶

We have already referred to Kashmīr and have maintained that Harşa's march to Kashmīr definitely marked his success in conquering it.

PUNACH OR PUNATS (PAN-NU-TS'O)

After vising the country of Kashmir the pilgrim left for Punach or

^{52.} Watters, I, P. 248; Beal, I, P. 143.

^{53.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 103.

^{54.} Ind. Ant., Vol. XX, P. 336.

^{55.} Watters, Vol. I, P. 256; Beal, Vol. I, P. 147.

^{56.} Watters, I, Pp. 258ff.

Punats (Pan-nu-ts'o). The pilgrim tells us that "the country was a dependency of Kashmir." ***

RĀJAPURA (HO-LO-SHI-PU-LO)

We are told that like Punach this country "had no sovereign of its own and was subject to Kashmir." Thus it appears quite probable that these dependencies of Punach and Rājapura, like other dependencies of Kashmir, might have been under Harsa's overlordship.

TEKKA? (CHEH-KA)

From Rājapura the pilgrim reached the Cheh-ka country. He does not refer to the king of this country and it appears that this province was directly governed by Harsa. 88

CHINABHUKTI OR CHINAPATI (CHI-NA-P'UH-TI)

The pilgrim tells us that the inhabitants had settled occupations and the national revenue was abundant." But it is surprising to note that the pilgrim does not talk of the king or ruler who collected this revenue, He, however, explains the circumstances leading to name this country as Chinabhukti. According to him, a Chinese wassal "being in fear...sent his son as the hostage to the court of Kanishka. The latter is said to have "treated the hostage with great kindness and consideration, allowing him a separate residence for each of three seasons." We are told that "this district was assigned as the winter residence to the hostage and hence it was called Chinabhukti." We Watters remarks that according to later authorities, "the whole story of the hostage is possibily an invention." "4

^{57.} Ibid., IV, 283.

^{58.} Ibid., I, 284.

^{59.} Ibid., I, Pp. 286ff. Dr. Tripathi, however, opines that Cheh-ka was "outside the pale of Harva's jurisdiction. THK., Pp. 86-7. But Watters has made some baseless observations that this country "was not in India, but was one of the foreign states which lay between Lampa and India." Watters, I, P. 291.

^{60.} Ibid., I, P. 292.

^{61.} Ibid., I, P. 293.

IÃLANDHARA (SHE-LAN-TA-LO)

The pilgrim tells us of "a former king of this country" who "had been a patron of non-Buddhistic systems. Afterwards the king met an arhat and learning Buddhism from him became a zeolous believer. Thereupon the king of "Mid-India" appreciating his sincere faith gave him sole control of matters relating to Buddhism in all India. In this capacity (as Protector of the faith) the king of Jälandhara rewarded and punished the monks without distinctions of persons and without private feeling. He also travelled through all India and erected topes or monasteries at all sacred places." ***

We cannot say definitely anything about this king. The Life records that the king of "North India" was "Wu-ti" or Wu-ti-to" (Udito) who ruled with his capital at Jālandhara, si and who was entrusted by Harşa "to escort the pilgrim in safety to the frontiers." Like the problem of identifying the king of Jālandhara we have similar difficulties in identifying the king of Mid-India. If the king of Jālandhara, who is said to be "a former king," was contemporary to Harsa during his early years, the king of "Mid-India" must be Harşa alone. Dr. Tripathi does not decide anything but he feels that "the king of Mid-India may or may not be identified with Harsa, but it is certain that the latter did exercise some measure of influence over this kingdom."41

KULUTA OR KULU (KU-LU-TO)

After his visit to Jālandhara the pilgrim "travelled north-east across mountains and ravines" and reached the country of Kuluta (Kulu-to). On the basis of "the distance and bearing of the district from Jālandhara," Cunningham opines that it may correspond "exactly with the position of Kuliu, in the upper valley of the Byas river." Yuan

^{62.} Ibid., I, 296; Beal, I, P. 176.

^{63.} Life, Pp. 189-190.

^{64.} THK., P. 87.

^{65.} Watters, I. P. 298.

^{66.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 163.

Chwang does not tell us of the government of this district and it is quite probable that the district was directly ruled by Harşa.

SATADRU (SHE-TO-T'U-LU)

We cannot tell anything definitely about the identification of this country, 4** According to Cunningham, this country may correspond to modern Sirhind. 4** The pilgrim does not tell us anything about the ruler of this country and it must have come within the direct zone of Hara's government.

PÄRYÄTRA (P'O-LI-YE-TA-LO)

In the south-west of Satadru at a distance of over 800 li was this country. "The king", according to our pilgrim, "who was of Vaisya stock (Fei-she), was a man of courage and military skill." It is quite probable that the family must have some connection with that of Harşa, who is also said to have belonged to "Fei-she" stock and we have seen that "Fei-she" denotes the Bais Rājpūts and not the Vaisyas. It is also not unlikely that the pilgrim might have referred to Harşa who might have ruled this district directly. According to Cunningham, this kingdom corresponds to Pāryātra or Bairāt."

MATHURĂ (MO(OR MEI) T'U-LO)

The pilgrim does not refer to the ruling monarch of Mathurā. He only tells us that "the king and his statemen devote themselves to good works." This may indicate that the kingdom of Mathurā was ruled by some vassal who was subservient to the Puspabhūtis. We have already referred to Puspabhūti, the founder of the line, who is said to be the conqueror of Sūrasena.

^{67.} Watters, I, P. 300.

^{68.} Anc. Geog. Ind., P. 166.

^{69.} Watters, I, P. 300; Beal, I, P. 179.

^{70.} Anc. Geo. of India, P. 337.

^{71.} Watters, I, P. 302; Beal., I, P. 181.

STHĀNVĪŠVARA (SA-T° A-NI-SSU-FA-LO)**

We have already referred to the kingdom of Sthänesvara. It was ruled by Haraa. The pilgrim, however, does not refer to the Puspabhütis.

SRUGHNA (SU-LU-K'IN-NA)78

Cunningham³⁴ identifies it with the modern village Sugh. The pilgrim is also silent about the ruler of this principality and it is almost certain that it was also under the direct control of Harşa. This is further confirmed by the statement of the pilgrim who tells us that the capital of this country "was in a ruinous condition." It is quite probable that the pilgrim refers to the capital city of the former ruler of the country which was in "ruinous condition" after the country was subjugated by the Puspabilitie of Schänesvara.

MATIPURA (MA-TI-PU-LO)

Saint-Martin and Cunningham identify this country "with Madäwar or Mandäwar, a large town in western Rohilkhanda, near Bijnor." The king of this country is said to have belonged to "the Sūdra stock." He "did not believe in Buddhism and worshipped the Devas." We cannot say definitely how this Sūdra king was allowed to rule in the country where Sūdra rule was never tolerated for long. It is also quite probable that the pilgrim might not have been happy with this king who "did not believe in Buddhism," and, therefore, dubbed him as Sūdra. This kingdom must have been under Harsa.

BRAHMAPURA (P'O-LO-HIM-MO-PU-LO)

The problem of identification of Brahmapura is knotty one.

- 72. Watters, I, Pp. 314ff.
- 73. Ibid., I, P. 317.
- 74. Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 396.
- 75. Watters, I, P. 318; Beal, I, P. 187.
- 76. Anc. Goog. Ind., P. 348.
- 77. Watters, Vol. I., P. 322.

According to Brihat-Samhitä, Brahmapura was the city in the north-eastern region; but the pilgrim tells us that it was a country "more than 4000 li in circuit." Cunningham opines that the country may correspond to "the districts of Garhwäl and Kumaon." Yuan Chwang does not refer to any ruler of this country, and, it is almost certain that the country must have been under Haria's direct control.

SUVARNAGOTRA COUNTRY

The pilgrim records that "to the north of this country (Brahmapura), and in the great Snowy Mountains, was the Suvarnagotra country. The superior gold which it produced gave the country its name. This was the "Eastern Woman's country." Referring to this name of the country the pilgrim tells us that it was "so called because it was ruled by a succession of women. The husband of the queen was king, but he did not administer the government. The men attended only to the supression of revolts and the cultivation of the fields."41 Watters remarks that this country may correspond to the "Suvarnabhů" in the north-cast division of the Brihat-Sarihitā. 18 According to Kern, this country, "in all likelihood" may correspond to "a mythical land."48

Several peculiar customs which the pilgrim ascribes to this country, make the latter's identification difficult. These peculiar customs are found even today in some parts of Assam. But the pilgrim tells us that "the country reached on the east to T'u-fan (Tibet), on the north to Khoten, and on the west to San-p'o-ha (Malasa),"**1 This description leads to conclude that the Suvarpagotra country may correspond to mythical Sumera.

^{78.} Ind., Vol. XXII, P. 172.

^{79.} Watters, I, P. 329.

^{80.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 355.

^{81.} Watters, I, P. 330; Beal, I, P. 199.

^{82.} Watters, I, P. 330; Beal, I, P. 199.

^{83.} Ind. Ant., Vol. XXII, P. 190.

^{84.} Watters, I, P. 330.

GOVIŠANA (KU-P'I-SANG-NA)

Its location makes it a territory of Uttar Pradesh. Cunningham also tells us that the country "must have corresponded very nearly to the modern districts of Käshipur, Rämpur, and Pilibhit," and he identifies its capital with the site of "the old fort near the village of Ufain which is just one mile to the east of modern Käshipur." It is said to be "a natural stronghold." The pilgrim does not refer to any ruling family and the country must have been directly governed by Harga.

AHICHHATRĀ (NGO(OR O)-HI-CH'I-TA-LO)

According to Cunningham, the district of Ahichhatrā "occupied the eastern part of Rohilkhand." The capital of this country is said to be "in a strong position." Smith records that Ahichhatrā was the capital of Northern Pañchāla and he identifies it with "the modern Rāmanagar in the Bareli (Bareilly) District" of Uttar Pradesh.* The pilgrim does not tell us of any king of this country; but we know it for certain that Ahichhatrā formed part of Harşa's empire. It was known as a "Bhukti' in the Banskhera inscription of Harşa.*

This confirms our stand that the kingdoms and countries where the pilgrim does not refer to the rulers or the ruling dynasties, were, generally speaking, either directly governed by Harsa or were within his sphere of influence.

VILASĀNA OR BHILASANA (PI-LO-SHAN-NA)

Scholars have not finally identified this country. It has been variably restored as Vīrašāna or Vilašāna or Bhilasana or Bhilasana or Bhiladana.

^{85.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 357.

^{86.} Ibid., P. 357.

^{87.} Watters, I, P. 330; Beal, I, P. 199.

^{88.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 359.

^{89.} EHI., 4th Ed., Pp. 391-92.

^{90.} Ep. Ind., Vol. IV, Pp. 210-11,

^{91.} Watters, I, Pp. 332-33.

So far as its capital is concerned Cunningham identifies it with "the great mound of ruins called Atranji-khera which is situated on the right or west bank of the Käli Nadi, four miles to the south of Karsāna, and eight miles to the north of Eyta, on the Grand Trunk Road." The pilgrim does not mention any ruler of this country and it is almost certain that the country "must have been under Harsa."

KAPITHA OR SÄMKÄŚYA (KAH-PI-T'A)™

It is identified with modern Sankisā.** The pilgrim does not refer to the ruling monarch of this district; but its location makes it almost certain that the country was directly governed by Harşa. Dr. Tripathi also opines that it was included in the Kanauj Kingdom.**

KÄNYAKUBJA (KA-NO-KU-SHE)

From the description of the pilgrim it appears that Kanauj was the most important city of northern India. This capital city of Harşa's great empire "was very strongly defended and had lofty structures every where." The pilgrim adds, "There were beautiful gardens and tanks of clear water, and in it rarities from strange lands were collected. The inhabitants were well off and there were families with great wealth." The people are also praised by the pilgrim for their refined cultural taste and polished temperament. He tells us that "the people had a refine appearance and dressed in glossy alik attire; they were given to learning

^{92.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 365.

^{93.} THK., P. 89.

Kapitha is generally identified with Kapisthala of the Britat-Sanhitā.
 The latter locates it in Madhyadeśa. Ind. Ant., Vol. XXII, P. 180; Alberuni, I,
 P. 800.

^{95.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 368.

^{96.} THK., P. 89.

^{97.} Watters, I, P. 340.

^{98.} Ibid., ;, P. 340.

and the arts." The pilgrim clearly refers to Harsa as the sovereign of Kanauj. 100

NAVADEVAKULA (NA-FO-T'I-P'O-KU-LO)101

This city was about 100 li from Kānyakubja and was on the way leading to Ayodhyā. It was either included in the district of Kanauj or in Ayodhyā. In any case it was included in Harşa's empire as the district of Ayodhyā was also included in the empire.

AYODHYÄ (A-YU-T'E)

The pilgrim does not appear to be accurate in matters of direction and distance pertaining to Ayodhyā from Navadevakula. On this basis Cunningham "proposes a different site for Yuan Chwang's Ayodhyā."

But according to Watters, it is "better to adhere to Ayodhyā, and to regard Yuan Chwang's Ganges here as a mistake for a large affluent of the great river."

Alberuni tells that Ayodhyā was "about 150 miles south-east from Kanauj."

from Kanauj."

Ancient Saketa of the Brihat-Sathhitā."

The pilgrim does not refer to the ruler of this country. But this district must have been under Harsa. Burn¹os has also drawn our attention to some coins attributable to "Pratāpasila" and "Silāditya," generally identified with Prabhākaravardhana and Harsa respectively. It is not possible for us to say anything on this point conclusively; but several scholars treat these coins of Prabhākaravardhana and Harsa as genuine

^{99.} Ibid., I, P. 340.

^{100.} Ibid., I, 343.

^{101.} Ibid., I, P. 352.

^{102.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 385.

^{103.} Watters, I, P. 354.

^{104.} Alberuni, I. P. 200.

^{105.} Ind. Ant., Vol. XXII, Pp. 174, 189.

^{106.} JRAS., 1906, Pp. 843-50.

soiss, and, on that basis, Ayodhyā must have been included in Harşa's empire. 107

HAYAMUKHA OR ÄYAMUKHA (A-YE-MU-K'A)

This province was adjoining to that of Ayodhyā, and, according to Cunningham, it "may be represented by Daundia-khera on northern bank of the Gangea." 1100 Watters, however, does not agree to Cunningham's identifications of Ayodhyā and Ayamukha, and opines that "these identifications are mere conjectures and are of little use." 1100 The pligrim does not refer to the ruling monarch of this district of Hayamukh or Ayamukha and it is almost certain that it was under Harsa's direct control. 110

PRAYĂGA (PO-LO-YA-KA)

The pilgrim does not tell us anything about the ruling monarch and that makes it quite certain that the district was directly governed by Harsa. The latter "went in state from Kanauj to this place for his customary quinquennial great distribution of grits, and alms, and offerings," 11 This shows that the place enjoyed enough importance as a great centre of religious merit in the empire for Harsa.

KOŚĀMBĪ (KIAO-SHANG-MI)

The identification of Kośāmbī has raised many problems. Cunningham identifies the city of Kośāmbī with modern Kośam, nearly 38 miles "south-west from Allhabad." ¹¹³ St. Martin, on the other hand, tells us that "it lay to the north-west of Prayāga. ¹¹⁴ Smith propounds a new theory and tells us that the town was "twice visited by Yuan Chwang is

^{107.} THK., Pp. 89-90.

^{108.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 387.

^{109.} Watters, I, P. 360.

^{110.} THK., P. 90.

^{111.} Watters., 1. P. 364.

^{112.} Anc. Geog. of India, P. 391.

^{113.} Cited in Watters, P. 366.

to be looked for, and, when looked for, will be found, in one of the Native
States of the Bagheiakhand Agency, in the valley of the Tons River, and
not very far from the Fast Indian Railway, which connects Allahabad
with Jabalpur." He concludes that "the Satna railway station marks the
approximate position of Kausambi." Smith's identification is also full
of errors. The recent excavations at Kosam have conclusively proved
that Cunningham's identification was correct.

The pilgrim does not refer to any independent or semi-independent ruler of this district and it is certiain that the district was directly administered by Harşa. Dr. Tripathi also opines that "its destinies were bound up with Prayāga."

VIŚOKA (PI(OR PING, OR PI OR FI)-SHO-KA)

This district was between Kośāmbī and Śrāvastī. Cunningham tries to prove that "Pi-sho-ka" of our pilgrim is Sha-kī. (Sha-tī) of Fahien, "and he identifies it with Sāketa or Ayodhyā." ¹¹⁸ Though the identification appears well worked out, nothing definite can be said conclusively on this point. ¹¹⁷ The pilgrim does not refer to any ruler of this district and it must have been included in Harsa's empire.

ŚRĀVASTĪ (SHIH-LO-FA-SI-T°I)

According to Cunningham, the town must correspond to "the great ruined city on the south bank of the Rāptī, called Sahet-Mahet."

Smith has raised several objections to this identification and he, "after careful study and personal e-cavations of the district, has come to the conclusion that the site of Srāvastī is in the district of Kh dūrā in Nerail."

1.31

^{114.} Ibid., P. 366.

^{115.} THK., P. 90.

^{116.} Anc. Geog. of India, P. 401.

^{117.} Watters., I, Pp. 373-76.

^{118.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 409.

^{119.} JRAS., 1898, P. 527; Ibid., 1900, Art. 1.

There are many other conflicting views 120 which can hardly reconcile to each other. But the approach of Cunningham appears to have better foundations. The pilgrim does not tell us of any ruling monarch, but we know it for certain on the basis of an epigraphic evidence that the region was within Harya's domain. 121 Itwas a province (blukth) of Harya's empire.

KAPILAVASTU (KIE-PI-LO-FA-SU-TU)128

The pilgrim tells us that "the 'royal city' was such a complete waste its area could not de ascertained."

He adds that "the district had been left desolate for a very long time" and "it was very sparsely inhabited." "The country," according to the pilgrim, "was without a sovereign, each city having its own chief."

This shows that the district must have been included in Harsa's empire and the city chiefs must be local chiefs with some amount of autonomy.

RĀMA OR RĀMAGRĀMA (LAN-MO)

This was almost deserted as we know from the pilgrim. He tells us that the region "had been waste and wild for a long time, and its area was not defined; its towns were heaps of ruins and there was a very scanty population." The Life and other Buddhist works make Rämagräma some 100 miles east from Kapilavastu. 188 The district must have been included in the empire of Harşa.

KUŚINAGARA (KOU-SHIH-NA-KA-LO)

It is rightly identified with modern Kasia in Gorakhpur

^{120.} JASB., Vol. LXI (Extra Number); Ibid., Vol. LXVII, P. 274; ASI., Vol. I, P. 330; Ibid., Vol. XI, P. 78,

^{121.} Ep. Ind., I, P. 72, 74.

^{122.} For the identification of Kapilavastu, N.L. Dey, Geographical Dictionary of Anc. and Med. Ind., Pp. 90-91.

^{123.} Watters, II, P. 1.

^{124.} Ibid., II, P. 1.

^{125.} Watters, II, P. 20; Beal, II, P. 26.

^{126.} Watters, II, P. 20.

District.¹³⁷ This was the place where Buddha attained Parinirvāṇa and the aite, therefore, is very important in Buddhist literature. When the pillgrim visited the city its "walls were in ruins and the towns and villages were deserted...There were very few inhabitants the interior or the city being a wild waste." The region must have been within Harşa's territorial jurisdiction.

VĀRĀŅASĪ (PO-LO-NA-SE)

After visiting the deserted sites of Rāma or Rāmagrāma and Kusinagara the pilgrim reached Vārāṇasi, one of the most ancient cities of our country. The pilgrim tells us that "the inhabitants were very numerous and had boundless wealth, their houses being full of rare valuables." The pilgrim does not refer to any ruler of the district and we have no doubt that it formed part of the Harsa's empire.

GHĀZĪPUR? (CHAN-CHU)

At a distance of nearly 300 li to the east of Vārāṇasi was this country which "had a dense and flourishing population." ¹⁸⁸ This country is not properly identified. According to Cunningham, this country is identified with the modern Ghazipur in Uttar Pradesh. ¹²⁰ No reference is made to the ruler of this country. It must have been directly ruled by Harsa.

VAIŚĀLĪ (FEI-SHE-LI)131

The pilgrim does not tell us anything with regard to its government but it must have been directly governed by Harşa.

^{127.} ASIR., Vol. XVIII, Pp. 55f.

^{128.} Watters, II, Pp. 25-26.

^{129.} Watters, II, P. 59.

^{130.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 438; Beal, II, P. 61.

^{131.} Cunningham identifies Vailāli "with the site of the modern village of Beserh to the east of the river Gandaka." Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 443.

VRIII (FU-LI-CHIH) sas

During the Buddhistic period the Vriji, generally known as Vajii in the Buddhist literature, was a confederacy of eight or nine republican clans of which the Vajjis were the most important people. Vaisălt was the capital city of the Lichchhavis and was the headquarters of the confederacy of the Vajiis. The Chinese pilgrim refers to Vaisăli and Vajii (Vriji) as two separate political and geographical units. Vajji of the Buddhist literature included the republic of Vaisāli also but in Yuan Chwang's description Vaisāli is not included in the district. This, according to Watters, "is peculiar, and it is apparently incorrect" and It is quite likely that during the period under review both these districts were separate geographical units and were also governed as separate administrative districts of Harsa's empire. The pilgrim does not refer to the ruler of the district and it must have been directly governed by Harsa.

NEPÄL (NI-P'O-LO)

The reference has already been made to the kingdom of Nepāl and we have seen that the valley was undoubtedly within Harşa's sphere of influence.

MAGADHA (MO-KIE-TO)

We have already seen that the province of Magadha was included in the empire of Harsa. The latter's Nalanda seals make it certain that the region was within his territorial jurisdiction. Yuan Chwang refers to "a Bronze (t'u-ahi) temple in course of construction by king Śilāditya,124 The latter was no other than king Harşa of Kanauj.

MONGHYR (I-LAN-NA-PO-I A-TO)

St. Martin and Cunningham identify this region with modern territories of Monghyr district. 185 This identification is generally accepted

^{132.} The Country was also called Samvajji (San-fa-chih). Watters, II, P. 81.

^{133.} Watters, 11, P. 81.

^{134.} Ibid., II, P. 171.

^{135.} Ancient Geography of India, P. 476.

as correct. 248 Referring to its political status the pilgrim informs us,
"In recent times the king of a neighbouring state had deposed the ruler and
given the capital to the Buddhist Brethren, erecting in the city two monassteries each of which had about 1000 Brethren of the Sarvästivädin school." 127
This description, most probably, refers to the march of Harsa against
Sašážka. The Monghyr region must have been under the active control
of Sašáňka before the latter was conquered by Harsa who must have given
the capital to the Buddhist Brethren. There was no other "king of a
neighbouring state" capable of deposing the ruler of Monghyr and who
made a gesture of generosity by donating the capital to the Buddhists.
The remaining territories of the region must have been incorporated with
the empire of Harsa. Dr. Tripathi also holds similar views. 248

CHAMPĂ (CHAN-P'O)

According to Cunningham, the city of Champā might correspond to "modern Bhagalpur"¹³⁸ and "this identification has been accepted" as correct.¹⁴⁰ The pilgrim does not refer to its political status but we must accept it as an integral part of Harsa's empire.

KAJANGALA¹⁴¹ (KA-CHU-WEN (?)-KI-LO)

According to Cunningham, Kajangala may correspond to Kankjol, now known as Rajamahal, ¹⁶³ whereas Fergusson opines that "the place must be sought for either at Sicligully or Rajamahal, or somewhere between these places." ¹⁶⁴

^{136.} Watters, II, P. 179.

^{137.} Ibid., I, P. 178.

^{138.} THK., P. 101.

^{139.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 477.

^{140.} Watters, II, P. 182; Fergusson, JRAS., Vol. VI, 1873. c, P. 235.

This name is so restored on the basis of Buddhists Pāli texts. JRAS.,
 1904, Pp. 86-8.

^{142.} Anc. Geog. of India, P. 478.

^{143.} JRAS., Vol. IV, 1873, P. 238.

Referring to its political status the pilgrim tells us that "the native dynasty had been extinguished some centuries before the time of the pilgrim's visit, and the country had come under a neighbouring state, so the capital was deserted and the people lived in towns and villages. Hence when king Staditya in his progress to "East India" held his court here, he cut grass to make huts, and burnt these when leaving." We have already discussed Harşa's march to "Eastern India." It was during this march he must have stayed here. This region was definitely within Harşa's empire. Dr. R. C. Majumdar also holds similar opinion. 14

PUNDRAVARDHANA (PUN-NA-FA-TAN-NA)

Cunningham identifies it with "the modern district of Pubna (Pabna)" ¹⁴⁸ whereas Fergusson opines that it may correspond to modern Rungpur (Rangpur). ¹⁴⁸

SAMATAȚA (SAN-MO-TA-TA)

According to Cunningham, this district corresponds to "the Delta of the Ganges and its chief city which occupied the site of the modern Jessore." But Fergusson identifies it with the district of Dacca with its capital at Sonargaon. "B Watters places i to the "south of Dacca," and in the district of the modern Faridpur,

TÄMRALIPTI (TAN-MO-LIH-TI)

This is identified with modern Tumluk. 149 Fergusson does not agree to this identification and opines that Satgaon must be accepted as an ancient site of Tāmralipti. 149 But further researches have proved that Tumluk represents the real site of Tāmralipti. 152 Tāmralipti was an

^{144.} JBROS., 1929, P. 314.

^{145.} Anc. Geog. of India, P. 480.

JRAS., Vol. IV, 1873, P. 238.
 Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 501.

^{148.} JRAS., Vol. IV, 1873, P. 242.

^{149.} Watters, II, P. 190.

^{150.} JRAS., Vol. IV, 1873, P. 243.

^{151.} Buddhist Text society Vol. V, Part II, P. 4.

important centre "where land and water communications met," 152 It was visited by Fa-hien and I-Tsing.

KARŅASUVARŅA (KIE (KA)-LO-NA-SU-LA-NA)

According to Cumningham, the country may correspond to the districts of Singhbūm and Birabhūm¹⁵⁰ whereas Ferguson opines that the kingdoms of Karņasuvarņa must include "the northern parts of Burwan, the whole of Birabhūm, and the province of Murshidabad, including all those parts of the districts of Kishnaghur and Jesore which were thea sufficiently raised above the waters of the Ganges to be habitable." 1546

These four districts of pundravardhana, Samataṭa, Tāmralipti and Karṇasuvarṇa were included in the kingdom of Śaśānka, and we have seen that Harsa was successful in defeating Śaśānka. After his conquest against Śaśānka Harsa incorporated these territories in his empire and that is why the pilgrim does not refer to the ruling kings of these districts.

KĀMARŪPA (KA-MO-LO-P'O)

It corresponds to the western part of Assam.¹⁵⁶ We have already seen that the ruler of this kingdom accepted Harsa as his overlord.

ODRA (WU-T'U)

This country is identified with modern Orissa. 136 We have already seen that it was conquered and annexed by Harşa.

KONGODHA (KUNG-YU) (GU OR YA)-T'O)

Both Cunningham¹⁵⁷ and Fergusson¹⁵⁸ identify this district with

^{152.} Watters, II, P. 190.

^{153.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 505.

^{154.} JRAS., Vol. IV, 1873, P. 248.

^{155.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 500; JRAS., Vol. IV, 1873, P. 238.

^{156.} Watters, II, Pp. 193f.

^{157.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 513.

^{158.} JRAS., Vol. IV, 1873, P. 250.

the region about Chilkā Lake. Watters opines that it "may perhaps be the Kūṇḍya of the Hemakūṇḍya (also called Hemakūṭya) in the southeastern division of the Bṛihat-Sanhitā's topography."

The pilgrim informs us that the people of this country were "valorous" and "as the towns were naturally strong there was a gallant army which kept the neighbouring countries in awe, and so there was no powerful enemy."

We have already seen that Harsa conquered and subjugated this country and that Harsa "made this region a strong military out-post of his far-flung empire, probably with a view to prevent any foreign incursion on the borders, threatened as they were by the eastward advance of Pulakeśin. II."

181

THE SOUTHERN KOŚALA

According to Cunningham, it corresponds to "the ancient province of Vidarbha," 198 whereas Fergusson and Grant identify it with Chhattisgarh region. 183 The ruling chief of this district was Sha-to-p'o-ha who is not satisfactorily identified. 181

After visiting the Southern Kośala the pilgrim is said to have visited Åndhra (An-to-lo), Dhanakaṭaka ¡ ["e-na-ka-che-ka] Malakūṭa (Mo-lo-ku-t'a), Koṇkaṇapur or Koṇkon (Kung-kın or (Kan-na-pu-lo) and Mahārāṣṭra (Mo-ha-la-ch'a). These territories were outside Harsa's empire. From Mahārāṣṭra the pilgrim again entered the zone of Harsa's sphere of influence.

BHRIGUKACHCHA OR BHRIKACHCHAPA OR BHAROCH (PO-LU-KA-CHE-P'O)

We have already seen that the kingdom of Bharoch was ruled by Dadda II and the latter was defeated by Harsa.

^{159.} Watters, II, P. 197; Ind. Ant., Vol. XXII, Pp. 171, 179.

^{160.} Watters, II, P. 197.

^{161.} THK., P. 106.

^{162.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 520.

^{163.} JRAS., 1875, P. 260.

^{164.} Watters, II, Pp. 206-208.

WESTERN MĀLVĀ (MO-LA-P'O)

The Pilgrim refers to "the local records" which enabled him to learn that "a king, by name Siladitya, who had reigned over the country 60 years before the pilgrim's arrival, a monarch of great administrative ability, and of rare kindness and compassion." He is said to have "built a Buddhist temple, extremely artistic in structure and ornament,"188 Scholars generally identify this king with Siladitya Dharmaditya of Valabhi. His nephew was Dhruvabhatta who was ruling over Valabhi during the times of pilerim's visit. 188 On this basis, Dr. Tripathi has inferred that "Silāditva Dharmāditva was the original ruler of Valabhi, to which he annexed Western Mālvā (Mo-la-p'o); and that his nephew Dhruvabhatta II. a contemporary of Yuan Chwang, was also in possession of Mälvä with its three dependencies." 47 We can agree to Dr. Tripathi's first inference that Śliāditya Dharmāditya "annexed Western Mālvā"; but we do not find any positive support to infer that "his nephew Dhruvabhatta II was also in possession of Mālvā." We have already seen that Prabhākaravardhana is called "an axe to the creeper of Malva's glory"; and that he must have conquered it along with the Latas. Guriaras and others. But it appears that during the troublous period that followed the death of Prabhākaravardhana, Mālvā was reconquered and reannexed by the Valabhi ruler. We can, therefore, safely infer that Harsa must have reimposed his authority over Mälvä after his conquest of Valabhi.

AȚLI (A-T' A-LI)

The country is not satisfactorily identified. It must have been within Harşa's sphere of influence as it lay to the north-west of Mālvā and we have already seen that Harşa was the paramount ruler of the Northern India.

KACHCHHA OR KHEDA ? (K'I-T'A (OR CH'A)

According to Cunningham, 'K' i-t'a' may correspond to Kheda,

^{165.} Watters, II, P. 242.

^{166.} Ibid., II, P. 246.

^{167.} THK., P. 109.

"the true Sańskrit form of Kaira, a large town of Gujrāt, situated between Ahmadabad and Cambay." Fergusson locates "K'-t'a" "about Cambay." Watters does not agree to any of these two identifications and he suggests that it may, as suggested by Julien and St. Martin, correspond to Kachha or Cutch (Kachchha). * This view is further supported by the Brihat-Samhitā. * The pligrim informs us that "it was a rich district subject to Mālvā to which it bore a resemblance," * 179 and thus it must fall within the sphere of influence of Harsa.

VALABHÎ (FA-LA-P'I)

We have already referred to Harsa's conquest of Valabhl. This conquest resulted in friendship and it was further cemented by a matrimonial alliance between the two families.

ÄNANDAPURA (A-NAN-T'O-PU-LO)

Cunningham identifies this district with "the triangular tract lying between the mouth of the Banas river on the west and the Sabaramati river on the east." The pilgrim tells us that "it was a dependency of Mālvā," 1742 and, as such, it must have been within Harṣa's sphere of influence.

SÜRAT (SU-LA-CH'A)

This "was subject to Mālvā," 275 and we can safely conclude that like other dependencies of Mālvā, it was also within Harşa's zone of influence.

^{168.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 492.

^{169.} JRAS., 1873, P. 272.

^{170.} Watters, II, P. 245.

^{171.} Ibid., II, P. 245.

^{172.} Ibid., II, P. 245.

^{173.} Anc. Geog. of India, P. 494.

^{174.} Watters, II, P. 247.

^{175.} Ibid., II, P. 248.

GURJARA KINGDOM (KU-CHE-LO)

The pilgrim refers to "the king, who was a Kastriya by birth, was a young man celebrated for his wisdom and valour, and he was a profound believer in Buddhism, and a patron of exceptional abilities." This Gurjara kingdom was in north of Valabht and its capital Bhilamala (Pi-lo-mo-lo) was "exactly 300 miles to the north of the ruins of Valabht."

UJJAIN (WU-SHE-YEN-NA)

The pilgrim tells us that "the king was of the Brāhmana caste; he was well learned in heterodox lore, but was not a Buddhist." He must have been Harşa's feudatory.

JAJHOTI (CHIH-CHI-T'O)

Watters opines that "Chih-chi-t'o' may correspond to Chitore; but, according to Cunningham, it may be identified with Jajhoti kingdom with its capital at Khajurāha or Kajūra or Khajūravāhaka, corresponding to "the district of Bundelakhanda." "The king," says the pilgrim, "who was a Brāhmana, was a firm believer in Buddhism, and encouraged men of merit, and learned scholars of other lands collected here in numbers."

MAHEŜVARAPURA (MO-HI-SSU-FA-LO-PU-LO)

We are told that "the king was a Brāhmaṇa, and was not a believer in Buddhism." ¹⁹¹ According to Cunningham, the city is said to have been identified with Mandla, "the original capital of the country on the

^{176.} Ibid., II, P. 249.

^{177.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 312.

^{178.} Watters, II, P. 250.

^{179.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 481; Watters, II, P. 251.

^{180.} Ibid., II, P. 251.

^{181.} Ibid., II, P. 251.

upper Narbadā." 185 It is difficult to agree to Cunningham's theory. The recent excavations at Maheśvara have proved conclusively that the Maheśvarapura of the pligrim and the modern Maheśvara, identified with the ancient Māhiṣmati, are one and the same place. Its reference with Ujjain and Jajhoti also make it topographically certain that our view is more plausible than the one put forward by Cunningham.

These kingdoms of Gurjaras, Ujjain, Jajhoti and Maheśvarapura were ruled by local kings but they must have acknowledged the suzerainty of Harsa as their overlord.

SINDH (SIN-TU)

The pilgrim tells us that "the king, who was of the Sūdra caste, was a sincere man and a believer in Buddhism." We have already seen that Prabhākaravardhana was the first ruler of the Puspabhūti dynasty who was "a fever to the king of Sindha." Harsa is also said to have "pounded the ruler of Sindha and appropriated the fortune of that king." Dr. Tripathi believes that "sometime during his reign Harsa came into collision with the king of Sindha, and it resulted in the defeat of the latter." 124 The leanned scholar feels that it was "a brilliant conclusion of hostilities." but Harsa could not occupy Sindh permanently. It may be suggested that Harsa might have allowed the defeated ruler to enjoy some amount of autonomy and the pilgrim, on that grounds, refers to him as the king of Sindh.

MÜLASTHÄNIPURA ? (MOU-LO-SAN-PU-LU)

"It was a dependency of the Che-ka (Tekka) country."186

PARVATA? (PO-FA-TO)

This was another dependency of the Tekka country.

^{182.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 488.

^{183.} Watters, II, P. 252.

^{184.} THK., P. 114.

^{185.} Watters, II, P. 254.

The destinies of these two dependencies must have been linked up with that of the Tekka kingdom itself which we have already referred to in the beginning of this chapter.

AUDUMBATĪRA (A-TIEN-P'O-CHIH-LO)

This country is not properly identified. According to Cunningham, it corresponded to "the fourth province of the Sindh kingdom which in the seventh century A.D. was Kachha," The pilgrim tells us that "the country had latterly been without a sovereign and was under Sindha." Two other dependencies of Sindh were Pāṭāśila (Pi-to-shih-lo)*** and Vichala (A-fan-t'u).***

These two dependencies along with Audumbatira must have also been within the sphere of influence of Harşa.

On the basis of above description we can say that Yuan Chwang had surveyed almost all kingdoms of his times. But we have to treat him with caution. On one hand he makes Harşa "the lord of five Indias" and on the other, Harşa was the king of Kanauj, without any dependencies being referred to in the accounts of the pilgrim. This does not mean that Harşa was the ruler of only one kingdom of Kanauj. We have seen that the pilgrim observes silence about the rulers of several kingdoms. These kingdoms were certainly within the direct control of Harşa. Explaining the pilgrim's silence, Dr. Tripathi has rightly observed that "he thought that Harşa's dominions were too well known to need any explicit mention." 1986 During the descriptions of various kingdoms above I have tried to explain that several of them which are said to have deen ruled by their respective rulers, were also within Harşa's sphere of influence.

Like Yuan Chwang, Bana has also shown us time and again that

^{186.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 302.

^{187.} Watters, II, P. 256.

^{188.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., Pp. 278ff.

^{189.} Cunningham identifies it with Middle Sindh with its capital at Brahmanabad. Ibid., P. 270.

^{190.} THK., P. 115,

Harşa was the universal sovereign. We have to admit that Bāṇa has given exaggerated account of Harşa's power and pelf and, as usual, we have to remain cautions in depending on Bāṇa.

Bana tells us that the court of Prabhakaravardhana and Harsa was attended by several feudatories and subservient kings. At Harsa's birth we find "the wives of neighbouring kings" who "could be observed in thousands approaching the palace from every side."181 There were "old feudatories" lodged "in one place."188 We find many feudatories who had assembled at the occasion of Rajyaśri's marriage. Rajyavardhana is said to have been accompanied by "ancient advisers and devoted feudatories" when he went "towards the north to attack the Hūnas."188 At the time of Prabhākaravardhana's illness we find several kings "seated in the courtvard, distressed in mind at failing to obtain a sight of their sovereign: bathing, eating and sleeping had become mere names to them, and their clothes were foul from neglect of the toilet, while they passed day and night motionless as though pictured, awaiting bulletins from the king's personal attendants..." Harsa's mother recalls to her memory the coronation ceremony when "the subservient wives of countless feudatories poured coronation water" upon her head.165 Prabhākaravardhana's funeral was attended by several feudatories. 186 who remained at the capital for many days. They also took part in military expeditions led by Rajyavardhana and Harsa against 'the wicked lord of Mālvā' and Śaśāńka, When Harşa was busy in the search of his sister Rājyasi i, we learn that "the search was carried on by deligent messengers every day" as commanded

HCCTH., P. 111; राजकुलमागच्छन्ति समन्तात्सामन्तान्तः पुरसङ्ख्यद्-ध्यन्तः। —ह० च०, च० उच्छ०, प० ७।

^{192.} Ibid., P. 112.

^{193.} Ibid., P. 132.

^{194.} Ibid., P. 137. "রালি रोगिक्टरेशनासावितस्याभिवसंगद्गमानानसैरम्य-न्तरनिष्यतितनिकटर्यातपरिजननिवेद्यमानवार्तवातीमूतस्यानभोजनद्यपनरिक्रतारमसंस्कारमाजन-वेक्तिक्रितरिक्ष।" —ह० थ०, पं० उच्छ०, प० २१।

^{195.} Ibid., P. 153.

^{196.} Ibid., P. 158.

by the emperor.¹⁹⁷ When Bāṇa visited Harṇa's camp, he saw many subjectkings attending Harṇa. These kings and feudatories also participated in the festivities on the occasions such as Vasantotsava or 'Saradotsava or Kaumadimahotava.¹⁹⁸

Thus it becomes quite clear that Harsa's sphere of influence was spread over quite wide and his supremacy was acknowledged in the entire Northern India. In our survey of Harsa's expeditions we have seen that Kashmir acknowledged his suzerainty. Nepāl was also included within the zone of his influence. Kāmarūpa was governed by king Bhāskaravarman who was very keen to win Harsa's friendship. His hold over Magadha, Bengal, and Orissa is accepted by almost all. We can say that Harsa followed the policy of Samudragupta and felt satisfied with the loyal subservience of many of his contemporary kings whom he allowed a tremendous amount of autonomy. In the south-western sector his influence cannot be doubted in Valabhi and Bharoach where the empire must have touched the Arabian sea and in south-eastern sector it touched the Bay of Bengal. And we can say that Bana is not wholly incorrect when he refers to Harsa as "the king of kings, the lord of four oceans and the leader of all emperors,"199 For achieving such political glory Harsa is known as "sakalottarapathanātha" or "sakalottarāpathesvara" in many south Indian inscriptions. Dr. Mookerii, on this basis, onines that Harsa "achieved the porud position of being the paramount sovereign of the whole of Northern India."200 Dr. Tripathi, however, does not agree to such conclusions and has raised an objection to it.201 He says that "the epithet does not bear any geographical significance," and "the expression 'sakalottarapathnatha' was used in a

^{197.} Ibid., P. 233.

^{198.} This we learn from S\u00e4tradh\u00e4ra in all three dramas of Harşa.

^{199.} HCCTH., P. 40; चतुःसमृत्राचिपतैः सकलराजचकवृदामणि...... सर्वचकविता वौरेयस्य महाराजाचिराजपरमेश्वरश्रीहवैदेवस्य।

[—]ह० च०, द्वि० उच्छ०, पू० २३।

^{200.} Harsa., P. 43.

^{201.} THK., Pp. 120-21.

vague and loose way." I most respectfully submit that the 'expression' is meither in Băṇa's Harşacharita nor in Yuan Chwang's account; but if a found in the south Indian inscriptions which are not very kind to the paramount ruler of the north and, therefore, it may, on the other hand, be cited emphatically in Harşa's favour. With the description in the pages above I find that Harşa was certainly the paramount ruler of the entire north who ruled over the greatest empire of his times. His empire included the territories of Kashmir and Nepāl in north, and it touched the Narmadā as its southern border. In the north-west it included major portions of Punjab and Sindh and in west it touched the Bharoach coast and the Arabian sea. In north-east his suscerainty was acknowledged as far as the Brahmaputrā Valley and in the east the empire included Bengal. In the south-east the whole of Orissa was within the limits of his domain and it touched the Bay of Bengal. And thus his claim to the status of an emperor having sway over the entire Northern India appears well-founded.

^{202.} Ibid., P. 121.

CHAPTER VII

ADMINISTRATION

It is difficult to agree with Dr. Beni Prasad who says that the "literary, epigraphic and numismatic evidence on ancient Indian History does not suffice to give an idea of the details of the system of administration of a given epoch."1 Had it been so, it would not have been possible for several scholars, including Dr. Beni Prasad, to work on this subject. Not only administration but the entire historical study of Ancient India is based on the "literary, epigraphic and numismatic evidence." We have at our disposal the wonderful accounts of the system of administration of the Mauryas, the Guptas and the Puspabhūtis. Harsavardhana enjoyed a long reign of nearly four decades and ruled over a vast empire right from the Himälayas to the Narmada and from Bharoach Saurastra region to Kāmarūpa, and we can say that it was largely due to his efficient administrative machinery. The sources at our disposal, literary, epigraphic and numismatic, combined with the Chinese pilgrim's accounts, give us a fine picture of the State as it was governed during the period under review, and one can hardly accept that "not much evidence is available regarding the administrative system which could leave the country free for pursuits of peace and religion."12

The State in ancient Indian polity was mainfested in the seven organs or constituents,² which strikingly correspond to the four main

^{1.} The Theory of Government in Ancient India, Allahabad, 1927, Pp. 335-6.

Mookerji, Harza, P. 84. Dr. Tripathi also opines that "our authorities on Harza unfortunately yield us meagre data for the then existing system of Government." THE., P. 185.

Arthaiāštra, VI, 1, tr. Samasastry, P. 257; MS., IV. 249; YS.,
 1. 353; VDS., III. 33. M. Bh. Sāntī Parva, 54-69; Also Kane, Hist. of Dharma-Sastres, Vol. III, P. 17.

constituents of the State of modern political thinkers. These seven constituents or the prakrits, according to our ancient theoreticians of State and government, are given in the works on ancient Indian polity.⁴ They are: 1. The King or the Sovereign; 2. The Council of Ministers or the Amâtyas; 3. Janapada or the Territory; 4. The Durga or the Fort; 5. The Koşa or the Treasury; 6. The Bala or the Army, and 7. The Mitra or the Allies.⁵ These constituents of the State in Ancient India have close correspondence with the four main constituents of the State of modern times. The Haryacharita and the Kādambarī and Harsa's dramas contain enough evidence to prove that great emphasis was laid upon all these constituents of the State to which we shall refer in course of our discussion.

THE KING

HARSA'S ADMINISTRATIVE IDEALS

Harsa was a benevolent ruler and his administrative ideal was the attainment of happiness for his people. The ideal kingship is well described by Harsa in his Nāgānanda. He puts the following words in the mouth of Jimūtavāhana, the hero of the drama.

"The subjects have been put to the right path; the good people have been well placed; relatives have been equal to me; security in the kingdom is guaranteed; the desire-fulfilling tree (Kalpa-druma) has been given to the needy i.e., all helps to those who are in need."

^{4.} That the saptānga theory was popular during the period under review is proved by the Chammaka Copper Plate of Mahārāja Pravarasena II. The grant refers to hus kingdom consisting of seven constituents. CII., III, No. 55, Pp. 239, lines, 40-41.

Ibid., III, P. 17; Bhandarkar, Some Aspects of Ancient Hindu Polity,
 P. 65.
 न्यास्ये वर्सनि योजिताः प्रकृतयः सन्तः सस्तं स्वापिता।

नीतो बन्युजनस्त्वाऽप्रसम्रमता राज्ये च रक्षा कृता।। वत्तो बत्तमनोरमाधिकफलः कल्यद्वमोऽप्यधिने। कि कर्तव्यमतः परं वद सबे बत्ते स्थितं चेतसि।। —नामसंबस्य १००

Similarly the king has been described as the protector of his subjects in the Kādambarī and the Harşacharita. King Sūdraka combined in himself all the noble qualities of a king, dutiful, benevolent and noble ruler who did his best to please his subjects. King Tārāpīda is described as "the incarnation of justice and destroyer of the sorrows of his people."

The king was supposed to have attained divinity and was thought to have combined in himself all the noble qualities of several gods. Bånefers to Puspabhöti, Prabhäkaravardhana and Harşa in a very magniloquent tone. He tells us that Püspabhüti was like "Indra incarnate, Meru-like in the attribute of a golden nature, Mandara-like in attracting glory, ocean-like in observing proper bounds, moon-like in his receptivity for arts, Veda-like in truthful speech, earth-like in supporting all mankind, wind-like in sweeping away the bad passions of all kings, a Guru in speech, a Prithu in breast, a Viśāla in intellect, a Janaka in asceticism, a Suyatra in splendour, a Sumantra in secret council, a Budha in station, an Arjuna in brillinace, a Bhīşma with a bow, a Niṣadha in frame, a Satrughna in battle, a Sūra in vanquishing armies of heroes, a Dakta in fecundity." In his "line were born kings free from the stain of violating Dharma, as living beings came from the commencement of the golden age; . . . embracing all beings, like the variations of existence sprung from Visqu." "

Prabhākaravardhana is also said to have possessed similar noble qualities of gods and men. Coming to Harsa, Bāṇa's pen so portrays him that he possessed qualities superior to those possessed by famous heroes and gods. We are told that he was "more truly the lord of the Vâhini than Santanu," more illustrious for victory than Bhīṣma, more delighting in the bow than Dropa, more unerring with the arrow than Aśvatthāman, dearer to Mitra than Karṇa, more forbearing than Yudhiṣṭhira, possessing the might of more elephants than Bhīma, more worthy of figuring in the war

^{7.} HCCTH., P. 84; HCK., P. 44.

^{8.} HCCTH., P. 101; HCK., 4th canto, P. 1.

The learned editors have drawn our attention to puns here. Vāhini
means an army as well as the Gangā who is said to be wife of Santanu. Vişņu
Purāņa, IV. 20; HGCTH., P. 63, fn. 3 and 4.

of the Mahabharata than Arjuna." He was "as it were the cause of the Golden Age, the source of the creation of gods, the native land of pride, the home of compassion, the close neighbour of Purusottam, 10 the minemountain of valour, the assembly-room for all sciences to Sarasyati.... the grave and gracious, the awe-inspiring and affable, at the same moment. a holiday and a holy day, the universal monarch."11 We are told further that he was the 'union of separate glories, noble in birth and of well-chosen name, the lord of the field bounded by four oceans, the enjoyer of all the fruits of Brahma's pillar, the world, the surpasser of all the victories won by all kings of ancient times. Through him the earth does indeed possess a true King....; his freaks of power cause no offence to the man of refinement as did those of Siva; his boasts lead to no destruction of families as did those of Indra to that of the cowpens; unlike Yama, he is not too fond of weilding the rod of punishment; unlike Varuna, his treasure-houses are not guarded by thousands of pitiless sea-monsters; unlike Kuvera, seeking an interview with him is never fruitless; unlike Jina, the sight of him is never without solid result; unlike the moon, his glories do not wane. Wonderful is his royalty, surpassing the gods. His liberality cannot find range in suppliants, nor his knowledge in doctires to be learned; his poetical skill finds words fail, as his valour lacks opportunities to exercise it; his energy wants scope and his fame sighs for a wider horizon: his kindly nature seeks in vain more hearts to win, his virtues exhaust the power of number

^{10.} The learned editors have drawn our attention to a pun here. It also means "possessing more land." HCCTH., P. 69, fn. 9. But here the author must have meant the meaning we adopted in the thesis above.

^{11.} HCCTH., P. 63-4. बालगोमँहाषाहिनीपतिम् त्रीष्माज्ञितकाशितम् होषा-ण्यापकालवस्, युवनुपादमाण्यापंत्रम्, कर्णान्यित्रस्य युविज्ञित्वस्यम्, भीमावनेक-गागासुतवस्य, वनंबयामहासारतरण्योषस्, कारणीमः कृत्युपस्स, श्रीविषः विवृत्यस्यंत्र, उत्तरिक्षिपित्रव वर्षस्य, एकागारिनिव करणायाः, प्रातिवशिक्षमेन दुव्योतस्य, जितपर्वतिमिव पराकास्य, सविवासंगीतकतृत्यित्र तरस्वरायः..... वर्षप्रवापतिमाम्, तम्भीरं च प्रवश्नं च शास्त्रवनं च रक्षणीयं च कौतुक्रजननं व पुष्यं च वक्षत्रस्वि इत्यवस्याति ।

and all the fine arts are too narrow a field for his genius."13 To crown all he is described as "Dharma incarnate."38

Thus we find that Bāṇa not only compares his patron Harṣa with Indra, Varuṇa, Kuvera, Yama etc. but he tries to portrary his accomplishments as if he (Harṣa) excelled all these heroes and gods. This is, no doubt, an exaggerated account, but it throws enough light on the nature of kingship. The king was regarded a rare combination of all the noble, supernatural and superhuman qualities of several heroes and gods in order to be an ideal king and the history of Harṣa proves that he was an ideal ruler who combined in himself piety and compassion, love and fraternity. He certainly punished the enemies but showed charity to the mankind. He entertained all the noble ideals of a true Hindu sovereign.

His ideals of government, as it was administered by him, are best represented in his dramas. The king Vatsarāja Udayana, the hero of Harşa in his two dramas, the Priyadaršikā and the Ratnāvalī, says in the Ratnāvalī:

"The kingdom has all its enemies vanquished; the entire burden of administration has been entrusted to a suitable (prime)minister; the subjects, with all disturbances entirely removed, are fondled with proper protection."¹³

^{12.} HCCTH., Pp. 64-65; सोऽमं सुजन्मा सुगृहीतनामा तेजसां पाचिः चतुक्दवि-क्षेत्र पुरुम्मी प्रोमता बद्धारमम्भक्तस्य सक्कादिराज्ञचरित्रज्ञवराज्ञकात्रेवः परमेश्वरो हुवाँ। एतेन च कतु पाजनति पूर्णा, नास्य हिरित्व कृषिवरोणीन बाक्यसिता, न पण्यप्रीस्मात्र कसोद्वेनकारीम्पैरवर्षतिकानितानि, न शाजकारीयि गोजनिताक्षणियुनाः प्रवासाः न सम्सम्बेणाति-बल्काति प्रथमहणानि, न वश्यप्रवेची निर्मत्यकाहसद्वस्यविता स्ताक्याः, न वनस्येव निष्फकाः सविधिकासाः, न विनस्येवार्षवाद्युन्यानि दर्णानाि, न चन्त्रमस्य व्य बहुकदोषोष्ट्रस्य व्यवः। विक्रायस्यस्यानि, प्रत्याहस्य वापाराः, कौर्वीर्त्ञुक्वानि, क्यास्यः व्याप्तानि, प्रस्तावस्य वापाराः, कौर्वीर्त्ञुक्वानि, वृत्तवस्य माहसस्यानािन, उत्त्याहस्य व्यापाराः, कौर्वीर्त्ञुक्वानि, वर्णान्यस्य केष्ट्रस्यवानि,

^{13.} HCCTH., P. 208. 'बर्ग: प्रत्यक्षी देव:'। -ह० च०, स० उ०, प्० ५९।

^{14.} Ratnāvalī, I. 9.

राज्यं निजितशत्रु योग्य न्यस्तः समस्तो मरः। सम्यक्षपासम् सालिताः प्रशमिताशेषोपसर्गाः प्रजाः॥

Similarly he tells us in the Priyadarsikā:

"I am convinced of the constancy of my servitors, I have seen the wisdom of my councilors, I have also proved my friends and know full well the devotion of my people." 16

These references show that the matters relating to the subjects and the State, the protection of the people, their welfare and good administration mattered the king first, and the personal pleasures and ambitions were of secondary importance to him.

In the Năgănanda also the king's duties are well defined. On being pursuaded and being convinced to leave the forest and to come back to attend to the affairs of the State and Government, Jimūtavāhana says that he had done all that was to be done in his capacity as a king.¹⁸ The king must have the strength to defend his subjects from all dangers. Harsa describes his hero Vatsarāja Udayana as "one who was able to defend the whole world."¹⁷

These various references in the literary sources prove that, first of all, the king was the upholder of Danda and Dharma. Secondly, it was his main task to maintain law and order in the State; to protect the country from internal disorder and external dangers; to protect the people

Priyadariskā, 1.6. Pp. 10-11.
 मृत्यानामिककारता परिणता इष्टा मित्रमिक्षणा।
 मित्राण्या-पुपलिकानि विदितः पौरानुरागोऽधिकम्।। प्रियविकाः, १.६।

^{16.} Nāgānandam, 1.7. The chamberlain further affirms to the duties of a king. He says:

[&]quot;Afflicted by the old age attending to the functions of the harem and guarding myself against stamblings at every step, I, under the guidance of this staff, now imitate every action of a king who establishes order in the cities and avoids lapses at every step by following the policy of punishment."

अन्तः पुराणां विहितव्यवस्यः पदेऽहं स्स्रलितानि रक्षन्। जयतुरः संप्रति दण्डनीत्या सर्वं नृपस्यानुकरीमि वत्तम।।

नामानंदम् ४.१।

^{17. &#}x27;सकलपृथ्वी परित्राण (समर्थेन क्तसराजेन) समर्थः क्तसराजः।'

against all dangers, and to do everything within his powers for the material, moral and spiritual uplift of the people. Thirdly, he had to guarantee just and efficient administration and administer justice to all irrespective of status, sex and creed.

THREE REGAL POWERS OF THE STATE

To fulfil these aims and objects the king depended on three great regal powers of the State. They are: the sovereignty or the majesty of the king himself (Prabhu śakti), the wise counsel (Mantra śakti), and the energy, power or strength (Utsāha śakti). These three powers thus correspond to the three major limbs of the Government, the Sovereign, the Council of Ministers, and the Army. These powers are not only essential, but they are indispensable and irresistible powers. Harsa knew fully the importance of these three constituents or elements of the regal power and he worked out the details of his administrative scheme in such an order that equal emphasis was laid on all these elements. This is clear from his drama, the Priyadaršikā, wherein he tells us that king Drýhava rmana, the ruler of Anga country, was "the possessor of three irresistible powers" (śakitryanye). 18

Bāṇa also refers to these constituents of the regal power in a very true sense of the term. Referring to the king Tārāpiḍa as "an incarnation of justice and destroyer of the sorrows of the people;" he tells us that he (Tārāpiḍa) "reaped the fruits of these three powers." A

That these constituents of regal power were regarded very important and indispensable during the age of Harsa, is further proved by their reference in the Aihole inscription of Pulakesin II.³⁶ They were not merely

^{18.} Priyadarsikā, Act I, Pp. 8-9; Monier-williams, Pp. 1044-5.

^{19.} Kādambari, tr. Ridding, P. 48.

^{20.} Ep. Ind., Vol. VI, Pp. 1-12.

उरसाहमस्मंगवानितसहितं यस्मिन्समस्ता विधो जिल्या भूमिपतीन्त्रबुज्य महितानाराज्य वेवडिजान् (।) वातापीक्षमरीम् प्रविच्य नगरीयेकामिबोळ्य (औ) मिमाम् कंबकीरवी (क्षि) नीकनीरवरिरवां वस्याध्यव बासिह ॥ (३२)

political ideals, but were a living reality acted upon practically in the

That Harra made sincere attempts to give good and efficient government and ensure justice, is further corroborated by the Chinese pilgrim, Yuan Chwang. He tells us: "He (Harra) was just in his administration, and punctilious in the discharge of his duties." To achieve it, "he forgot sleep and food in his devotion to good work."

DAILY ROUTINE

In order to make the best efforts for the fullest fulfilment of his administrative aims and ideals he followed a strict daily routine like Aśoka.** We are told by the pilgrim that "the king's days were divided into three periods, of which one was given up to affairs of government, and two were devoted to religious works. He was indefatigable, and the day was too short for him."

The literary and epigraphic sources also corroborate what the pilgrim says about the routine of the king. They do not make a particular reference to the three-fold division of the king's time-table but they certainly reveal that the king was always busy in dealing with the matters related to his government and other benevolent activities.

EMPEROR'S VISITS

As the king was the central and most important figure in the administration the accomplishment of his administrative ideals and succesful implementation of his State policies and decrees solely depended on his personal supervision.⁸⁴ It was, therefore, necessary for Harsa to devote

^{21.} Watters, I, Pp. 343-44.

^{22.} Ibid., I, P. 344. Like Afoka he is also said to have "caused the use of animal food to cease throughout the Five Indias, and he prohibited the taking of life under severe penalities."

^{23.} Ibid., I, P. 344.

^{24.} Dr. Mookerji rightly observed that seperal religious activities and peaceful pursuits in the reign of Harza testify to the administrative "efficiency in maintaining peace and order and abolishing all sources of political troubles..."

nearly one-third of a day "to the affairs of the Government." In order to have personal knowledge of the conditions of the State and affairs of the government he travelled the entire empire and made on-the-spot study.

The Chinese pilgrim informs us, "The king also made visits of inspection throughout his domittide, not residing long at any place but having temporary buildings erected for his residence at each place of sojourn," and he did not go abroad during the three months of the Rainseason Retreat."38

This was, most probably, due to the difficulty in movements during rains. These tours of the emperor were not merely the formal and joyful trips. Harşa was sincere in his motives and used these opportunities to listen to difficulties of his people. We are told, "If there was any irregularity in the manners of the people of the cities, he went amongst them," and took effective steps "to punish the evil doers and reward the good."

That the people could talk to him about their miseries and sorrows, is further corroborated by Bāṇa. The latter tells us that during Harşa's march against Saśāñaka, the people came to Harşa with several presents. They also utilized this opportunity to complain against the wrongs done to them. The importance of the reference is further emphasised if we keep it in mind that the primary task of that visit of Harşa was to go to punish the Gauda king, but he also utilized the opportunity to listen to the grievances of his people.

[&]quot;Its success was inevitably due, very largely, to the king himself, to his realization of, and capacity to carry out, the duties and responsibilities attaching to his position as the head of the administration in charge of extensive empire." Harsa, P. 84. Similarly Dr. Tripathi opines that "in an oriental despotism the sovereign being the centre of the State much of success in administration necessarily depends on his benevolent example... and laborious attention to details in order to infuse life into the governmental machinery and to check the corruption and laxily of officers placed in authority over distant areas." THK., P. 132. Also see HMHI., I, Pp. 150-151; G. S. Chatterjee, Hargawardhana, Pp. 258ff.

^{25.} Watters, I, 344.

^{26.} Beal, I, P. 215.

We are told, that "the whole country-side had come in eager haste from both directions out of curiosity to see the king, and fools of grant-holders, issuing from the villages on the route and headed by the aged elders with uplifted water-pots, pressed furiously near in crowds with presents of curds, molasses, candied sugar, and flowers in baskets."

Coming to their problems and grievances we learn that some demanded "protection of the crops" whereas others approached their beloved monarch "with cries of 'the Labour is ours but when pay-time comes some other rascals will appear." We are told of the "village servants, set to scare on the feeble oxen tripping at every step." The people could speak frankly, freely and fearlessly ignoring the "terror of trate and savage chamberlains;" they could talk to their sovereign "in spite of distance, tripping, and falling" and "kept their eyes fixed upon the king, bringing to light imaginary wrongs of former governors, lauding hundreds of past officials, reporting ancient misdeeds of knaves."98 But the whole crowed was not merely a gathering of disgruntled, aggricult and frustrated people. it also included a large number of persons who were satisfied with Harşa's government. That the people consisted of both types of people, some satisfied with the state of affairs of Harsa's government and others unhappy for certain wrongs done to them, will be very clear from the passage quoted below .

The people, "contented with the appointed overseers, were bawling their eulogies:—"The king is Dharma incarnate? others, despondent at the plunder of their ripe grain, had come forth wives and all to bemoan their estates, and to the imminent risk of their lives, grief dismissing fear, had begun to censure their sovereign, crying 'Where's the king?' 'What right has he to be king?' 'What a king?' '1988

This passage from the Harsacharita shows that all the people could

^{27.} HCCTH., P. 208.

^{28.} Ibid., P. 208.

^{29.} Ibid., Pp. 208-209, ''वर्बः प्रत्वता देवः" इति स्तुतीयतन्त्रदिष्ठरहरूकं वमाननिष्यत्रसरस्यकटितविषदेः क्षेत्रज्ञुचा सकुटुम्बरव निगंतीः प्रक्तप्रमाण्डवेदः परितापरसाचित- मर्थैः "बर यात्र कृतो राजा कीद्वियो वा राजा।" —ह० च०, स० उ०, प० ५८।

express their grievances without any hesitation, and the emperor, on his part, allowed his people utmost liberty so that they could talk to him of their joys and sorrows with a hope that the sovereign would do his best to undo the wrongs done to them and would guarantee peace, prosperity, righteousness, and justice.

Harsa's personal interest in making tours of the country and acquiring first-hand information about his people must have started with his first excursion which he had undertaken along with his elder brother while marching to fight the Hünas. At that time he must have come into touch with the people and must have thought the necessity of frequent tours of the country. He had several other occasions of touring the country in course of his marches against the enemies. These marches included his expeditions against the rulers of Mālvā, Gauda, Bādāmi, Valabhī, Orissā, Kashmir, Nepāl and other places. After these military expeditions he must have started peaceful journeyings to which both Yuan Chwang and Bana refer to in detail. When the pilgrim was in India, "the emperor was visiting the different parts of his empire." Harsa is said to have first heard of the pilgrim while he was "on an expedition to a country called 'Kung-yü-ta', and was on his way back to Kanauj to hold a great Buddhist assembly there. Hearing of the arrival of the Chinese pilgrim at the court of king Kumāra, he sent a summons to the latter to repair to him with his foreign guest."38 The pilgrim tells us that Harsa "in his progress to "East India" visited Kie (ka)-chu-wen (?)-k'i-lo country and "held his court there."81 For these halts he got constructed "temporary buildings erected for his residence at each place of sojourn."38 Similarly, we are told that during his visit to Ka-chu-wen (?) "country he cut grass to make huts," but we do not understand as to why he "burned these (huts) when leaving." 28

Bana supplements to what Yuan Chwang says in his description of these tours. Harsa undertook these visits with great pomp and show.

^{30.} Watters, I, P. 349.

^{31.} Ibid. II Pp. 182-3.

^{32.} Ibid., I, P. 344.

^{33.} Ibid., II, P. 182.

When Bana visited the emperor Harsa, the latter was staying at his royal camp "pitched near Manitara along the Aiiravati river." Bana draws a graphic and vivid picture of the royal camp.34 He "proceeded leisurely with Mekhalaka, the royal messenger, to the royal gate, one by one observing the many camps of the renowned subject kings."88 As soon as he reached the royal gate he found it "all dark with crowds of elephants" and "the place seemed in waves with the plunging horses" and "troops of camels." Other parts were full of "white umbrellas" and "thousands of stirring chowries." Bana tells us further that "the camp was filled on every side with conquered hostile vassal-chiefs, some who could not find admission hung down their heads" and others felt "honoured even in being conquered, and destitute of every other refuge, continually asking the servants of the different domestic porters who at intervals made their exits and their entrances." There were also "other kings" who had "come from the desire of seeing his (Harsa's) glory and natives of various countries, who were waiting for the time when he would be visible."

As Harşa was a liberal patron of art and culture he also extended his generosity to the followers of various sects at his court. They were also present at the camp. Among them were the "Jains, Ārhatas, Pāśupatas, mendicants of the School of Parāšarya and the Brāhmapa students." The camp was also full of natives of every land, and savages from every forest. At such camps Harşa also appears to have met the diplomatic envoys. We are told that there were also "ambassadors from every foreign country." We Wen Bāṇa reached the gate, he was asked by the messenger

^{34.} HCCTH, Pp. 46-64. These camps were of two types. Firstly, the general camps for king's stay while he was on tow. They were known as Skandhäväras. Second category was of the victory camps known Jayaskandhäväras. Haria's two grants were issued from his victory cambs.

^{35.} HCCTH., P. 46. "भूमुजि प्रक्यातानां क्षितिमुजां बहुन्सिविरसंनिवेशान्त्री-क्षमाणः सनैः सनैः" —ह० च०, द्वि० च०, ५० २७।

^{36.} That Haria "maintained diplomatic intercourse" with foreign countries, is a fact. Smith has drawn our attention to Harja's ambassadorial relations with China. Harja dispatched "a Brahmana envey to the emperor of China in 641.

to wait for a short while and he returned with "the chief of all the doorkeepers, the king's special favourite Pariyātra. The latter welcomed. Bāṇa, saluted him and "addressed him respectfully in a gentle voice." He told Bāṇa that His Highness Harṣa was willing to see him. This makes it clear that, like a palace, the camp was also not open to all. The visiters to the camp were regularised by rules.⁵⁷

Bāṇa "next beheld a stable filled with the king's favourite horses from Vanāyu, Āraṭṭa, Kamboja, Bharadvāja, Sindha, and Persia." This shows that Harṣa's favourite horses were lodged in a special stable near the gate of the camp. Soon after, "on his left hand," he saw, "an elephant stable" along with "a special pavilion" for Darpašāta, Harṣa's "favourite elephant, his external heart." Bāṇa was so much interested in seeing the elephant that he forgot his primary aim and was told by the door-keeper that he would "have another opportunity of seeing him." Bāṇa, in response to this request and, "following the path indicated by the door-keeper,

He is said to have returned in 643, accompanied by a Chinese mission bearing a reply to Harya's dispatch." In 646 the ambassador was succeeded by Wang-hisen-tse, the second in command of the earlier Embassy. But after Harya's death about the end of the year 646 or the beginning of the year 647 A.D., the country faced political chaos and disorder. His Minister "usurped the throne, and took the field with 'barbarian' troops against the Chinese mission. The members of the escort were massacred, or taken prisoners, and the property of the mission, including the articles presented by Indian kings, were plundered, but the envoys, Wang-hisen-tse and his colleagues, were fortunate enough to escape into Nepāl by night." EHI., 4th Ed., P. 366, THK., P. 132.

^{37.} Both Băṇa and Harşa offer innumerable examples of regularised palace-ntry. None could enter the palace without permission. This was also applicable to the entry to the camp. HOCTH., Pp. 111ff; Kādambari, tr. Ridding, Pp. 122ff.

^{38.} The Bankhera and Madhubana inscriptions corroborate what Bāṇa tops about the presence of horses and elephants. These inscriptions also refer to boats in addition to horses and elephants. This proves that Harça also maintained a flest along with Infantry, Cawalry, Elephant and Camel corpts.

passed through three courts crowded with subject-kings, and in the fourth he saw king Harsa, in an open space in front of a pavilion where he used to give audience after eating." The emperor "was sitting on a throne made of a stone clear like a pearl, washed with sandal-wood water." Such was the grandeur of the royal camp of Harsa.

This description is further corroborated by the pilgrim who terms these royal camps as "travelling palaces" or "pavilions of travel"80 with all amenities and luxuries of the palaces along with the entire royal paraphernalia. Similar camps were also stationed at Pravaga where Harsa held his quinquennial distributions every five years. "The king", we are told, "went in state from Kanaui to this place."40 This "customary quinquennial great distribution of gifts, and alms, and offerings" was attended by "the kings of eighteen kingdoms", and "a huge concourse of people amounting to about 500,000." They included "the Sramanas, Heretics, Nirgranthas, the poor, the orphans, and the solitary (breaved) of the Five Indias." We are told that "many scores of thatched buildings," and "several hundred storehouses" were constructed in addition to several "pavilions for refreshments" and "some hundred or so long buildings" to accommodate "the thousands of visitors." The camps of the emperor Harsa and his royal guests and allies were in the vicinity of this temporary but grand and well-planned township. Harsa's inscriptions further prove that the emperor was on constant tour of his empire. Both the Banskhera and Madhubana grants were issued from the royal camps at Vardhamanakoți and Kaptthikă respectively. Several grants of the period under review are known to have been issued from such camps pitched either on the occasions of military expeditions or general tours.

HIS TITLES

The king assumed several high-sounding titles, such as Paramabhaṭṭāraka, Rājā, Nripa, Mahārāja, Mahārājādhirāja, Chakravartin,

^{39.} Life, P. 173.

^{40.} Watters, I. P. 364.

^{41.} Life, P. 185.

Parametvara or Deva, Paramadaivatā, Samsīt, Aikādhirāja Mahādhirāja and Sārvabhaum etc.. Of all these titles Rājā or Nṛipa or Mahārāja was used by Harṣa's ancestors up to the times of Prabhākaravardhana. They indicate that their political status was not completely independent. Other titles were assumed by Prabhākaravardhana, Harṣa and their contemporaries, Bāṇa assigns him (Prabhākaravardhana) the title of rājādhirāja whereas he is known as Mahārājādhirāja in the epigraphic records of Harṣa; but Bāṇa gives the title of Mahārājādhirāja to Susthiravarman of the Varman dynasty of Kāmarūpa and this shows that these titles were not used in a very strict and significant sense. Harṣa assumed such titles as Deva, Paramesvara, Chakravartin, a Paramabhaṭṭāraka and Mahārājā-dhirāja. Paramesvara¹¹ was also a common title assumed along with

^{42.} They figure quite prominently in Bāṇa's Harṣacharita. Harṣa himself assigns this title to Vidyādhara prince Jimiliaoāhana, a hero of his drama Nīgā-anadam, Pp. 28-9. This shows its importance. The reference further suggests that the title of Chakranartin was bestowed upon Tworrāja after he wore the crown. On the basis of epigraphic evidence it appears that during the period under review only one ruler assumed this title. He was Dharsena IV, (c.A.D. 641-650), son of Dhrwasena II and grand-son of Harṣa who is given this title in the Alina Copperbate of Silāditya VII of the year A.D. 766-67. CII., III, No. 39, P. 183. Referring to this Flect opines that the title of "Chakraoartin, which, not being assumed by any of his successors, may perhaps indicate that his power was more extensive than theirs ever was." Ibid., III, P. 134, fin. 1., also P. 183, fin. 4. The word literally means "a ruler, the wheels (chakra) of whose chartor roll every where without obstruction, emperor, soversign of the world, ruler of a Chakra (or Country) described as extending from sea to sea." Monie-williams, P. 381.

^{43.} These titles are assigned to Prabhākaravardhana, Rājyavardhana II and Harşa in the Banskhera and Madhubana inscritpions.

^{44.} This title "is almost always coupled with two others." CII., III, P. 10, fn. 3. Fleet has drawn our attention to one Rewa grant of Trailohymmella, addated 1297. The donor considered it unnecessary to give all three titles in full, and full satisfied with "paramathhalfaraketyädär Zoalitrappheta," "possssard of the

two other titles of Paramabhatṭāraka and Mahārājādhirāja. Though it is not found in Hara's inscriptions, it is assumed by two important commemoraries of Hara, namely Pulakeśin II and Dharasena IV. Paramadaivatā occurs in the Dāmodarapur copper-plate inscription of Kumāragupta I.43 The title of Samrāt is assigned to Yaśodharmana in his Mandaur stone pillar inscription.49 Alkādhirāja is attributed to king Chandra in the MaharaulI posthumous iron pillar inscription of king Chandra.49 Harṣa uses the title of Sārvabhauma in his drama RatnāvalI. Yaugadharāyaṇa informs the king that a prophet made a forecast about the princess of Ceylon that "whoever would accept her hand (in marriage) he would be a sovereign king."48

EDUCATION IMPARTED TO PRINCES

In order to train the princes for the task ahead they were given proper education and training. Rājyavardhana and Harşa were trained in the affairs of the state and craft of war. The education which is said to have been imparted to prince Chandrapida covered almost all branches of learning necessary for an ideal king. The princes were always taught and advised to pay respect to seniors, to honour the Brāhmaṇas and to protect the people. The advice which Sukanāsa gave to Chandrapida, on the eve of his anointation ceremony, is one of the most valuable pieces of Bāṇa's works. It included the duties of a king which he must fulfil as

three kingly titles (lit. succession) commencing with Paramabhattāraka. Ibid., III, P. 10, fn. 3.

^{45.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XV, P. 113.

^{46.} CII., III, No. 33, Pp. 146-7. One "who rules over kings and performs the Rājasūya sacrifice," is entitled to this title.

^{47.} Ibid., III, No. 32, Pp. 141-2.

^{48. &#}x27;इयं सिहलेश्वरदुहिता सिद्धेनादिष्टा यथा यास्याः पाणि गृहीच्यति स सार्वभौमो राजा मिष्यति।'

अानंदय बन्धुवर्गम् पूजय द्विजातीन्, परिपालय प्रजाम्। कादम्बरी, संपा० परब,
 पु० १७२।

a ruler. It also included a warning against the evils which would creep into king's life if proper care is not taken at proper time. **

CORONATION CEREMONY

Coronation ceremony is a time-honoured ceremony associated with the institution of kingship from the days of the early Vedic period. Gradually it became "elaborate, ritualistic and very technical," and "every Hindu sovereign crowned in India has observed them, for according to the orthodox view of both law and ritual, no one could attain kingship without them." ⁵¹

That the ceremony was also observed during the period under review, is proved by the evidences at our disposal. In almost all the Maitraka inscriptions, Dronasimha (c. A.D. 499-519) is referred to as "one whose coronation ceremony was performed in the presence of the paramount sovereign himself."42 Bana makes it clear that Prabhakaravardhana was duly coronated at a ceremony. The queen Yasomati tells Harsa that "upon her head the subservient wives of countless feudatories poured coronation water from golden evers,"63 The importance attached to the ceremony is amply proved by the example of Harsa's own coronation. There was no time to perform the ceremony with pomp and show; but he is said to have performed it before he marched against the enemies. When all the preparations for the expedition were over, "an hour of marching suitable for the subjugation of all the four quarters" was fixed on an auspicious day "calculated and approved by a troop of astronomers numbering hundreds." On that day Harşa got up in the morning and "with deep devotion offered worship" to Siva; offered several gifts to the Brahmanas and then "set upon the throne with a coverlet of tiger skin: duly anointed first his bow and then his body down to the feet with sandal:" "....put on two seemly robes of bark silk marked with pairs of flamingos; formed about his head a chaplet of white flowers" and other paraphernalia.

^{50.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, Pp. 76-84; cf. Arthaśāstra, Pp. 36-41.

^{11.} K. P. Jayaswal, Hindu Polity, P. 192,

^{52. &#}x27;अखिलभ्वनमण्डलैकस्वामिना परमस्वामिना स्वयमोपहित राज्याभिषेकः।'

^{53.} HOCTH., P. 153.

Then started the sprinkling ceremony. "After being sprinkled on the head with a spray of lustral water scattered by the hand of the highly honoured and delighted Purohita, he had sent away valuable equipages. and divided among the kings the ornaments, . . . had loosened the prisoners, and bestowed suitable gifts of favour upon distressed pilgrims and nobles."M This shows that the ceremony was fully, ritualistically and technically performed in which the chief queen also participated. The several other details of the coronation ceremony are also preserved in the Kādambari of Bāna to which a brief reference is necessary. Tārāpīda performed the coronation ceremony of his son Chandrapida. On an auspicious day fixed for the ceremony, the king surrounded by thousands of guests and feudatory chiefs, raised aloft the vessel of consecration water and himself anointed his son. The rest of the rites and rituals were performed by the family priest. The consecration water was brought from all holy places, rivers and oceans. After the sprinkling of coronation water the prince was anointed by his mother from head to foot. Then he went to the assembly-hall where he mounted the royal throne and received the due homages and tributes from the feudatories. These proceedings, we are told, were accompanied by the sound of auspicious drums 55

^{54.} HCCTH., Pp. 197-8. केवृविद्वित्तं वृ गोहृतिकमण्यकेन शतवाः सुर्याणितं सुप्रवास्ते हिन दलं बत्तृवासितं स्वित्यं विकर्यायोयं उष्ण्यात्राकानं सिक्त्वांक्षाविश्वारतेः वारदिरिवास्मोवरेः कारवित्यास्मोवरेः कारवित्यास्मोवरेः कारवित्यास्मोवरेः कारवित्यास्मोवरेः कारवित्यास्मोवरे कारवित्यास्म विवादाः विकर्णाः विकर्णाः विवादाः विवादाः विकर्णाः विकर्णाः विवादाः विवादाः विवादाः विवादाः विवादाः विवादाः विवादाः समुप्रविद्यं विवादाः विवाद

^{55.} Kādambart, tr. Ridding, Pp. 83-5.

KING AND HIS PALACE LIFE

Some idea of the palace-life can be had from the life at the royal camps to which a reference has already been made. To quote the Chinese pilgrim they were the "travelling palaces," In fact the palaces must have excelled the luxury and comforts which could be provided at the camps, and the palace life must have been extraordinarily grand and luxurious.

PALACE RETINUES

The king and the queen had their separate personal retinues. It included several domestic servants and maid-servants. They included the chief-door-keeper (mahāpratihārī), other attendants (pratihārījana), chowry-bearers (chāmaragrāhinīs), betel-bearers (Tāmbulakarankavāhinīs) and various other servants including a large number of dwarfs, hunchbacks and deaf persons. ¹⁸ Both males and females were recruited to work at the palaces but the majority was that of women attendants.

INSIGNIAS OF ROYALTY

The assignments of bearing the chowry and offering betels were very important in the court-life, and were generally entrusted to women, some-times to women of higher status. The chowry-learers and umbrellabearers were treated with respect as they were entrusted to bear the chowry and the umbrella, the insignias of royalty.⁵⁷ We are told in the Harşacharita that Bhāskaravarman, the king of Kāmarūpa, had presented to Harşa an umbrella, named Ābhoga. The latter was sent to Harşa "to add substance to his message." It was "derived from Varuṇa, a family heirloom." The umbrella is said to have possessed "many wonder-moving miracles and Harşa is said to have been "delighted at the sight of the umbrella as a fair omen on his first march." ¹⁵⁸ But these insignias of royalty were put off on sad occasions. Harşa is said to have come to the royal

^{56.} HCCTH., Pp. 111ff; Kādambari, tr. Ridding, Pp. 122ff.

^{57.} HCCTH., Pp. 61ff.; Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, Pp. 28-29.

^{8.} HCCTH., Pp. 212-6.

palace without an umbrella and with none to clear his path when he returned after the funeral bath.

PALACE GUARD

Palace was well-guarded and the entry to it was strictly controlled. It was limited to a very few and trusted people. These rules were also observed at the royal camps. We are told in the Harşacharita that when Prabhäkaravardhana fell ill, only physicians, advisers, family priests, Brāhmaṇas, chowry-bearers and the chief body-guard were allowed access to him. So Baṇa further makes it clear that the palace door was shut to all except a few loving friends. But we must remember that it was the time when the king was seriously ill and the atmosphere must have been tense and gloomy. On the festive occasions and other celebrations we do not find such restrictions. At the birth-celebrations of Rājyavardhana and Harṇa we find frequent and unrestricted movement in the palace. Similarly the festivals, as we find in the dramas of Harṣa, were celebrated with great merry-making and the people freely participated in those festivals at the palaces.

KING'S DAILY ROUTINE

We have already seen that the time-table of the king was chalked out and the day was divided into three equal parts. Here we propose to refer to his routine as we find in our literary sources. The daily routine of the king was inaugurated with the sound of conch in the early morning at the porch of the royal palace. This was followed by the sound of various musical instruments, beating of the drums and songs of troubadours. Skilled musicians and reciters of verses were employed at the courts.⁸¹ Thus the king was used to leave the bed with the sound of music and started his day with pleasant and light exercise in the royal gymnasium with

^{59.} Ibid., P. 138.

^{60.} Ibid., P. 138.

^{61.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 152; HCCTH., P. 135.

the persons of his age. Soon after the exercise was over the king took his bath. The literature of this period gives us a beautiful account of king's bath which had also caught the attention of the Chinese pilgrim. The latter says, "when the king goes to his bath there is the music of drums and stringed instruments and songs." This was followed by worship. In his description the pilgrim is fully corroborated by Bāṇas and Harşa himself. After the worship was over, we are further told, the limbs of the king were anointed with sandal perfumed with the fragrance of saffron, camphor and musk. Then he changed his dress and took the work of the day.

2. THE COUNCIL OF MINISTERS

Almost all the treatises and law books on ancient Indian law and constitution make it clear that the king constitutionally never enjoyed absolute powers. He depended for his day-to-day administration and vital governmental policies and programme on the advice and assistance of the Council of Ministers. We are told in the Mahābhārata that "the king is as vitally dependent upon ministers as animals are upon clouds, Brāhmaṇas on the Vedas and women upon their bushands." The king, who would "carry on administration by himself," is called by Manu as "foolish" and "unfit." Referring to the importance of the ministers he makes it clear that "even an ordinary business appears difficult if attempted by one man individually, why then make efforts to administer the government without the assistance and cooperation of the ministers."

^{62.} Ibid., P. 13.

^{63.} Watters, I, P. 152.

^{64.} Ibid., I, P. 152.

^{65.} Kädambari, tr. Ridding, Pp. 13, 74.

^{66.} M. Bh., V. 37-38.

^{67.} MS., VII. 30-31.

^{68.} Ibid., VII. 53;

अपि यस्तुकरं कर्म तदप्येकेन कुष्करम्। विशेषतो सहा कनु राज्यं महोवसम्।।

Kautilya warns that "as one wheel cannot move the chariot, the State cannot be administered without the help of others; the king can, therefore, only succeed if he acts in accordance with the wise counsel of ministers."49 He tells that these ministers are his eyes through which he rules the State. Indra is called "thousand-eyed (Sahasrāksa) because he had one thousand ministers."70 Yāiñvalkva also holds that the administration is possible through wise counsel." Brahaspati advises the king that "even in righteous conduct (dharma) he should only act on the advice of wise councillors," and "should give up the smallest undertaking if there is popular clamour against it."78 The king is ordained that he "should not decide even a law suit by himself" and that they should do it with the advice and assistance of his advisers and ministers. Such pious king alone is entitled to svarga.74 Sukra desires that "without the Mantrins matters of State should never be considered by the king alone, be he an expert in all the sciences and versed in policy. A wise king must always follow the opinion of the members of the council of Adhikarins or ministers"...."He must never follow his own opinion." "When the sovereign becomes independent (of his council) he plans for ruin. In time he loses the State and loses the subjects,"78

The importance of the ministers, purchits and other advisers has almost remained so throughout the ages and no king could afford to act as an autocrat. We have several evidences to prove that the king had to

^{69.} Arthasastra, सहावसाध्यं राजस्वं वक्रमेकं न बतंते।

कूर्वीत समिवांस्तस्मालयां च ऋणयान्यतम्।। 70. Ibid., 1, 15.

^{71.} YS., L. 311.

^{72.} Br. Sūtra, 1. 4-5. वर्ममिम लोकविक्ट न कुर्यात्। करोति चेदाचास्यैनं बुद्धिमतिः॥

^{73.} Ibid., I. 95.

^{74.} सप्राड्विवाकः सामात्यः सन्नाह्मणपुरोहितः। ससम्यः प्रेक्षको राजा स्वगं तिष्ठति वर्गतः॥

Viramitrodaya, P. 14, cited in Hindu Polity, P. 277, fn. 16.

^{75.} Sukranitisāra, II. 2-4.

respect the advice of his ministers and wishes of the people, and when he started acting otherwise the people did not tolerate his rule and went to the extent of beheading him.

The rulers were responsible to their subjects and they had to obey the Dharma. They, therefore, never transgressed the laws of the State as prescribed in our law-books. No law-giver including Kautilya, one of the greatest champions of kingship and centralized administrative set-up, allows the king to act according to his sweet will.

In view of the above we can say that the age of Guptas and that of Harşa are samous for the rule of law, state-benevolence and charity to all-needy, poor and destitute and saints, savants and teachers.

We do not possess much information in order to make a thorough study of the nature, composition, powers and functions of the Mantriparisada during the period under review. But the sources at our disposal help us to conclude that the king had his team of advisers and ministers. The word "parisada" appears at several places in our sources of the period under review. It is used by king Harsa himself in his dramas. Though the reference to it does not make it sure that it was sort of a council, but it certainly refers to "the assembly of kings." The importance attached to the amätyas and their duties may indicate that they held the office of ministers. In all the dramas the Pradhānāmātya and the amātyas appear to have close correspondence with the Chief Minister or Prime Minister and the Ministers. This can be corroborated by several authorities on political and administrative institutions. They use the word amatya in the same sense. The epigraphic evidences of the period under review also give us the same impression.78 In the Nagananda great importance is attached to the Pradhānāmātva who was next to the king and Yuvarāja in the administrative set-up.77 In the Ratnāvali we come across several references to the chief minister and other ministers. Känchamälä addresses to

^{76.} CII., III, No. 21, P. 100; No. 26, P. 120, No. 27, P. 124; No. 40, P. 190.

^{77.} Nägänandah, Pp. 10-11. Similar importance is attached to Vasubhäti, chief minister (Pradhänämätya) or Vikramabähu. Ratnävali, Pp. 85f.

Vasantaka as amātya who is said to have "excelled amātya Yaugandharāyaṇa in planning treaty (sandhi) and war (vigraha)." It may quite safely suggest that amātya Yaugandharāyaṇa was the minister of war and peace. The incumbent of this office was teachnically called sāmdhivigrahaka?" of Mahāsāmdhivigrahaka." The latter title suggests that the Mahāsām-dhivigrahaka must have held a superior office but the powers and responsibilities are almost the same. The same officer in the Allahabad pillar inscription is known as Sāmdhivigrahaka whereas his counterpart is known as Mahāsāmdhivigrahaka in the Khoh and Majhagawan copper plate inscriptions of Mahārāja Hastin⁶⁰ and Khoh copper plate inscription of the Mahārāja Sarvanātha.⁸¹

Thus our epigraphic evidences conclusively prove that both the titles are almost one and the same. We know it for certain that Harisena was not only Sāmdhivigrahaka but he also occupied the positions of Kumārāmātya (councellor of the prince) and Mahādandanāyaka under Samudragupta whereas his counterparts under ordinary feudatory chiefs like Hastin and Sarvanātha enjoyed superior titles. This reflects that the tendency of assuming high-sounding titles had taken deep roots in political and administrative heirarchy. The other titles assigned to the minister of war and peace are Mahāsārhdhivigrahādhikārādhipati⁸² and Mahāsārhd

^{78.} It wased in this sense in the Allahabad pillar inscription of Samudragupta and other inscriptions. CII., III. No. 1, P. 16, In. 6; Ibrd., III, No. 31, P. 1939; Ibrd., III, No. 38, P. 171. These epigraphic evidences also suggest that the responsibility of drafting the royal charters was also entrusted to Sämdhvigrahaba. Fleet suggests that "other symonymous titles were Sämdhvigrahabdhkrita (Ind., Vol. VII, P. 70, Line 17f.). Sämddhvingrahädhkritan pildid, Ant. Vol. IV, P. 175, line 18 and Sämdhvingrahin. CII., III, P. 16 fn. 6.

Fleet opines that it was the "next grade above" that of the Sāmdhivi-grahaka. Ibid., III, P. 16, fn. 6.

^{80.} Ibid., III, No. 22, P. 105. fn. 5. and No. 23, P. 109.

^{81.} Ibid., III, No. 28, P. 129, line 29 and No. 30, P. 134, line 10.

^{82.} Ind. Ant., Vol. XI, P. 127; CII., III, P. 105, Line 28, also fn. 5.

dhivigrahadhikrita.** The latter was the designation of Avanti, Harsa's supreme minister of war and peace. That he was one of the very important ministers of Harra's povernment is further proved from the fact that he was standing near Harsa when the latter made his famous vow and "gave instructions" to him "to engrave a proclamation."84 From Harsa's dramas it also appears that king gave due importance to his minister of war and peace for consultation pertaining to matters of peace and war. Harsa's hero in the drama is reported to have asked Vidusaka to summon amatva Rumanvant.48 The latter, in his capacity as amatya, appears to have enjoyed higher status than the General. We gather this impression from the ettiquate observed at the court. When Rumanyant, the amātya, entered the palace along with general Vijayasena, the king asked the amatva to take the seat whereas Vijavasena remained standing. When the minister introduced the general, the latter offered a salute to the king and then he was offered the seat. 86 Bana offers another example which proves that the status of the Prime Minister was supreme and he ranked after the king. He was a muchrespected figure in the court and his opinion and advice were respectfully heard and were acted upon. This we gather from the place Sukanāsa enjoyed at Tārāpīda's court. On the eve of consecration ceremony of Prince Chandrapida the prime minister Sukanāsa is said to have delivered a lecture to the prince. This discourse is a fine piece of advice to a crown-prince which he gave in order to acquaint him with the task ahead and the duties and responsibilities he was destined to fulfil as a ruler. 87 This discourse also included a warning against several evils and dangers likely to creep into if proper care was not taken. This importance Sukanāsa enjoyed was largely due to his success as a great statesman and administrator.

^{83.} HCK., Canto 6, P. 47.

^{84.} HOCTH., P. 187; HCK., Canto 6, P. 47.

^{85.} He figures as a minister in the Priyadarsikā whereas in the Ratnāvalš he occupies the position of a general.

^{86.} Priyadarlikā, Pp. 12-13.

^{87.} Kādambari, tr. Ridding, Pp. 76-84, cf. Arthafastra, Pp. 36-41.

He is said to be well-versed in the affairs of the kingdom and art of the government. This was due to his thorough knowledge of Scutis, Smritis and Dharmafaistras. His knowledge of the political institutions and ideals was wide and his understanding was deep and statesman-like.

Similar respectful regards were shown to other senior ministers and officers of the State. We learn from the Harşacharita that Senāpati Simhanāda was very much respected by the emperor himself,** and his advice was respectfully listened to and was acted upon.

These literary evidences are also corroborated by the Chinese pilgrim. Yuan Chwang informs us that after the treacherous murder of Răjyavardhana "the statesmen of Kanauj, on the advice of their leading man Bâni (or Văni), ³⁰ invited Harşavardhana "to become their sovereign. ³⁰¹ He spoke to the ministers who had assembled to decide the succession issue: "The destiny of the nation is to be fixed today. The old king's son is dead; the brother of the prince, however, is humane and affectionate, and his disposition, heaven-conferred, is duitful and obedient. Because he is

^{88.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, Pp. 48-49.

^{89.} IICCTH., Pp. 180ff.

^{90.} Some scholars identify Bāni with Harşa's cousin Bhandi. JRAS., 1903, P. 560, Harşa, P. 17, fn. 1. Dr. Tripathi, however, does not agree to this identification. THK., P. 75. We may have genuine difference over the problem of identification but what the pilgrim says about political and administrative set-up is of great significance.

^{91.} Watters, I, P. 343; Beal, I, P. 211. On this basis, Dr. R. C. Majumdar appears correct when he says that Harya owed his throne to his people (or more correctly, to his ministers). R. C. Majumdar, Corporate Life in Ancient India, P. 112. Dr. Altekar, however, does not agree to this conclusion. Dr. A. S. Altekar, State and Government in Ancient India, P. 78. His analysis appears better when he concluded that "after the death of Grahvarman, there was no competent heir left for the Maukhart throne. The Maukhari ministers, therefore, decided to offer the crown to the brother of their widewed queen. The instance shows that when there was a failure of heirs, the ministers and other high dignitaries used to elect. a wistable successor from among the relations of the deceased king." Ibid., P. 78, fts. 5.

strongly attached to his family, the poople will trust (in) him. I propose that he assume the royal authority: let each one give his opinion on this matter, whatever he thinks. We are further told that "they were all agreed on this point, and acknowledged his conspicuous qualities. On this the chief ministers and the magistrates approached Harsa and entreated him to assume the royal authority." These proceedings and deliberations throw enough light on the powers and functions of the prime minister and other ministers of his council. Thus, they appear to have enjoyed great power and had the supreme choice in determining the succession issue. The statement further proves that every minister was allowed to express his opinion freely, frankly and fearlessly and the decisions were generally taken unanimously.

3. THE ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISIONS

We have several technical territorial terms which cannot exactly and uniformly be determined and made applicable to all periods and to the entire country, generally known as desa, ** rājya** and rāṣṭṭa. For the

^{92.} Beal. I, P. 211.

^{93.} Even in the ordinary course the king consulted his council in nominating his successor and when he acted otherwise, the council, and even the ordinary citizens could object to it and could ask for an explanation. When Santanu and Puru were nominated the successors by Pratipa and Yaydii respectively in supercession to the claims of their elder brothers, the people are said to have assembled in front of the royal palace and asked for an explanation. They returned only after satisfactory explanations were offered. Even the nomination of Râma was formally approved by the neighbouring kings, feudatories and citizens.

The stage-manager in all the dramas of Harsa introduces to the audience the circle of kings coming from different countries and regions (nanddigdesat).

^{95.} In the dramas Harza also uses réjya as a territorial term for à country. Rathault, 1. 9; Nêgêmanda, 1.7. In the Priyadaršikā it is substituted by "Paura." Priyadaršikā, 1.7. Bāpa uses the word, vory clearly in the sense of a country. He terms "Pérastikade'a" for the country of Persia. His terms 'Janapada and 'Janapadanisza' used for Srikaphu and Sthängutiuma suppostusely, may

administrative purposes the country was divided into the bhuktis, visayas, paṭhaks and grāmas. While the country was directly governed by the emperor and his council of ministers, the bhuktis were placed under the charge of provincial governors who were directly responsible to the emperor. That Harpa's empire was divided into several bhuktis, is proved by his own inscriptions. Ahichchhatrā and Śrāvasti were two of the several bhuktis of Harpa's empire.

The bhukti was further divided into the visayas. Dr. Tripathi appears to have rightly observed that the visaya may correspond to a district,"**a and it was placed under the administrative control of visayapati.**?

The next and the smallest territorial unit was the village. In Indian administrative set-up the village has worked as an autonomous unit and the governments, throughout the ages, allowed it to settle its own affairs. The State control was confined to revenue and taxation and other allied matters. But in day-to-day life the village councils had their own functions and responsibilities. Sāñchi stone inscription of the times of Chandragupta II refers to a Pañcha-manḍali. This is the same as the village Pañchāyat. It is not necessary, as Fleet has observed, that the manḍali should have five members. The sovereign nature and character of the manḍali is clear from the fact that Āmrakārdava is referred to in the grant as "having prostrated himself in the pañchmanḍali" (pañchamanḍaliyām pranipatya). The man in village directly responsible to government was the village chief, generally known as grāmika. Reference

suggest that the country as a whole was known as Desa and a particular region was known as Janapada and the handquarters of the Janapada was probably known as Janapadavites. Fleet has drawn ower attention to the fact that "desa is sometimes used as a synonym of mapidala and other territorial terms. CII., P. 32, fn. 7. But this does not apply to the period mader reviews in northern India at least.

^{96.} THK., P. 143; CH., III, P. 32, fn. 7, also Pp. 52ff.

This official title is referred to not only in Harya's inscriptions but also in several other inscriptions of the period under veniene. GII., III, P. 32, fn. 7, also No. 16, P. 69, fn. 4.

^{98.} CII., III, P. 32, also fa. 5.

to grāmika in the Bhumra stone pillar inscription of the Mahārājas Hastin and Sarvanātha further corroborate our conclusion.**

Other officers, who are referred to in the works of Bāṇa and other literary works and epigraphic records, had also their place in bureaucratic heirarchy and we propose to refer to them separately. These officers included the Mahāsāmantas (feudatory chiefs with some amount of autonomy), 100 Sāmantas¹⁰¹ with titles of Mahārājas, Daussādhasādhanikas (porters or superintendents of villages), 100 Dūtas (envoys and ambassadors), 100 Rājasthāniyas (officers in charge of king's abode or foreign service chiefs or viceroys), 100 Kumārāmātyas, 100 Duparika (provincial governors in charge of bhukis), 100 Mahātatras (village chiefs), 100 Bhogikas (revenue collectors), 100 Grāmakṣapaṭalika or akṣapaṭalika or mahattaras were the village officers. Pustakakṛitas 100 were perhaps the same as pustālas as referred to in the

^{99.} Ibid., III, No. 24, Pp. 111-112, also fn. 2.

¹⁰⁰ They were responsible for the proper functioning of their principalities and were responsible to the emperor for maintaining law and order and general supervision and control. CII., III, Pp. 41ff.

^{101.} Ibid., III, Pp. 41ff.

^{102.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XII, Pp. 43, 141.

^{103.} HCCTH., Pp. 211ff.

^{104.} CH., III, P. 157, fn. 1; Ind. Ant., Vol. V, P. 207. Bom. Gaz., I, Part 1, P. 80.

^{105.} This title is frequently referred to in the Gupta inscriptions and those of Harza. According to Fleet, it means "consulter of the prince." CII., III, No. 1, P. 16, fn. 7. It is also suggested by Dr. Bloch that it may correspond to "an officer in the service of the king from the time when he was a boy." Ep. Ind., Vol. X, P. 50. Most probably, they were the state dignitaries with the rank of analyses and were recruited from amongst the princes.

^{106.} They appear to have been entitled to assume the titles of mahārājas.

^{107.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XV, P. 136, They are, probably, also known as gramikas.

^{108.} THK., P. 140.

^{109.} HCCTH., P. 33. Cowell and Thomas refer to him as a scribe, Ibid., P. 33, fn. 2.

Dămodarapur copper plates. Basaka tells us that they "were made aware of the title to all lands." 110 Mahāpratihāras and mahāpratihāris were the chief door-keepers at the royal palaces and camps. As the terms suggest both males and femals were recruited to these posts. Below them were the pratihāras and pratihāris. Similar were the assignments of Dirghā-dhvaga ("express couriers"), "11 Lekhahāraka (letter-carrier")," 12 westers" (sarvagatās). 11 Bāpa also throws some light on the arrangement Harpa made for night patrolling by regular police. The police force recruited for night patrolling also consisted of the females. We are told in the Harpacharits that "loving pairs were aroused from sleep by the tramp of the women of the watch." 118

DEPARTMENTAL HEADS

Bāṇa also refers to adhyakṣaswho were probably the departmental heads and they must have been directly responsible for their respective departments. Dr. Tripathi, however, opines that they were "the subordinate officials" and were "under their departmental superintendents," 118

There are several other official titles in the inscriptions of the period under review. But, as we have already referred to it in the beginning, we have no basis to accept them on uniform basis. Sometimes we do not find complete uniformity in one and the same kingdom also. The main reason is that the bureaucratic machinery was not so complex as it is today, and secondly, there were no written constitutions and codes. The State and Government were governed in accordance with the laws and rules as laid down in the fruits, smritis, dharmasästras and several

^{110.} Ep. Ind., XV, P. 128.

^{111.} HCCTH., P. 145; Beal, I, P. 215; THK., P. 141.

^{112.} HCCTH., P. 223.

^{113.} They were appointed "to take charge of the booty." Ibid., P. 225.

^{114.} They were "officers of the secret service." THK., P. 141.

^{115.} HCCTH., P. 199. "वामचेटीचरणचलनोत्वाप्यमानका मिमिधुने।"

^{116,} THK., P. 143.

other works on polity. The king governed the State as an upholder of dharma and it was his religious and moral duty to make his people happy and to encourage them to righteous conduct. The entire administrative set-up was aimed at the fulfilment of this goal.

4. TUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION

We have also some glimpses of judicial system. Yuan Chwang informs us that "as the government is honestly administered and the people live together on good terms, the criminal class is small. The Statute law is sometimes violated and plots made against the sovereign; when the crime is brought to the light the offender is imprisoned for life; he does not suffer any corporal punishment, but alive and dead he is not treated as a member of the community. For offences against social morality and disloyal and unfilial conduct, the punishment is to cut off the nose or an ear or a hand or a foot or to banish the offender to another country or into the wilderness. Other offences can be atoned for by a money payment,"117
Harsa is said to have "prohibited the taking of life under severe penalities."118 Literary and epigraphic evidences also throw some light on the judicial machinery. Pramătri is said to be an officer "entrusted with judicie."119 He is also said to be "a spiritual councillor."1180 Other officers who dealt with offenders and acted as judges were known as mimāmsakas, 118

IAILS

The State also maintained jails to lodge the offenders and criminals.

Sometimes they were also handcuffed. Bana informs us that general amnesty was also granted to prisoners on festive occasions. On the birthday

^{117.} Watters, I, Pp. 171-172.

^{118.} Ibid., I, P. 343.

^{119.} THK., P. 140.

^{120.} Ep. Ind., I, P. 118.

^{121.} THK., P. 141; Ep. Ind., XIV, P. 325.

Ratnāvalī, 3.19, P. 121, also Pp. 124ff. Many interesting details are known about the jails in the Priyadaršikā.

of Harsa, 123 and later on, at the time of coronation day when the emperor was marching for digvijaya, the prisoners are said to have been set free. 184

Referring to the procedure of determining innocence or guilt of an offender, Yuan Chwang tells us about the four ordeals. "These four ordeals," were "by water, by fire, by weighing, and by poison."

Firstly, according to the pilgrim, "in the water ordeal the accused was put in one sack and a stone in another, then the two sacks were connected and were thrown into a deep stream; if the sack containing the stone floated, and the other sank, the man's guilt was proved." Secondly, "the fire ordeal required the accused to kneel and tread on hot iron, to take it in his hand and lick it; if he was innocent, he was not hurt; but he was burnt if he was proved guilty." Thirdly, "in the weighing ordeal the accused was weighed against a particular stone, and if the latter was lighter the charge was false." Fourthly, "the poision ordeal required that the right hind leg of a ram was cut off, and according to the portion assigned to the accused to cat; poisons were put into the leg, and if the man was innocent he survived and if not the pioson took effect 125. This account of the pilgrim finds no corroboration to it. Nother in the contemporary literary works nor in the inscriptions we find even a trace of any of these four ordeals. Watters has rightly observed that Yuan Chwang's "account of these trials by ordeal in India differs both as to the actual ordeals, and the mode of procedure with them, from the descriptions to be found in other works."128 But these are also mentioned by Albertani,127

5. REVENUE AND FINANCE

Harşa's liberal patronage to art and culture and his generous distribution of wealth at quinquennial assemblies held at Prayaga amply prove that Harşa followed a sound fiscal policy. This does not mean that

^{123.} HCCTH., P. 111.

^{124.} Ibid., P. 198.

^{125.} Watters, I, P. 172.

^{126.} Ibid, P. 172.

^{127.} Alberuni, II, P. 159.

he accumulated wealth by heavy taxation. This was largely due to his enlightened government. The Chinese pilgrim informs us that "the official requirements" were not many because of the enlightened character of his government. We are told that "of royal land there is a four-fold division; one part was for the expenses of the Government and state-worship, one for the endowment of great public servants, one to reward high intellectual eminence and one for acquiring religious merit by gifts to the various sects." "Seferring to the financial resources the pilgrim informs that "the taxation being light and forced service being sparingly used, every one kept his hereditary occupation and attended to his patrimony." "158"

Of the several sources of revenue the main item was the share of produce. We are informed that "the king's tenants paid one-sixth of the produce as rent." ¹³⁸ This share is the same as prescribed by ancient Indian Law-givers. ¹³¹ Other taxes were paid by tradeamen who went "to and fro bartering their merchandize after paying light duties at ferries and barrier stations." ¹³⁵

These accounts of the Chinese pilgrim are further supplemented by the inscriptions of the period under review. They enlist several sources of the State revenue levied during the period. They are tulya, meya, bhāga, bhoga kara, uparikara, udranga, bhūta, hiranya or suvarna, ādeya and various types of fines imposed on offenders.

6. MILITARY ADMINISTRATION

All sources at our disposal prove that the king was the supreme head of the army and as such he always took personal interest in maintaining the army.

Referring to the composition of the army the Chinese pilgrim tells us, "The army is composed of Foot, Horse, Chariot, and Elephant

^{128.} Watters, I, P. 176.

^{129.} Ibid., I. P. 176.

^{130.} Ibid., I. P. 176.

^{131.} MS., VII. 130-31, VIII. 308; Smritiratnäkar, P. 62.

^{132.} Watters, I. P. 176.

Soldiers. The war-elephant is covered with coat-of-mail, and his funks are provided with sharp barbs. On him rides the commander-in-chief; who has a soldier on each side to manage the elephant. The chariot in which an officer sits is drawn by four horses whilst infantry guard it on both sides. The infantry go lightly into action and are choice men of valour; they bear a large shield and carry a long spear; some are armed with a sword or sabre and dash to the front of the advancing line of battle. They are perfect experts with all the implements of war such as spear, shield, bow and arrow, sword, sabre etc. having been drilled in them for generations."

Thus it appears clearly from his descriptions that he talks of four traditional limbs (chaturaiigabala) of the army and was not speaking of the actual composition of the army under Harsa. Harsa himself refers to three limbs of the army in his dramas. They are "the irresistible forces of many elephants, horses and foot soldiers" (anckagajaturagapadātidurvisahabala).184 While describing actual military forces of Harşa and other rulers the pilgrim also refers to the three limbs. Referring to Harsa's army he tells us that soon after Harsa became the king "he increased his army, bringing the elephant corps up to 60,000 and the cavalry to 100,000,"135 The number of the foot soldiers must have been much larger. Coming to the description of Mahārāṣṭṛa (Mo-ha-la-ch'a) under Pulakeśin II, the pilgrim informs of the "martial heroes who led the van of the army in battle" and "their war-elephants" are also highly appreciated. We are further told, "Relying on the strength of his herose and elephants the king treated neighbouring countires with contempt."136 Thus the two great rulers of the period under review had three-fold forces. None of them

^{133.} Watters, I, P. 171. That Harya gave top priority to military matters is further proved by the fact that while he "gave away in religious alims everything," "material of war" was excluded from these alms. Ibid., I, P. 344.

^{134.} Priyadaršikā, Pp. 74-5; also IV. 5; Ratnāvali, Act. IV, P. 136.

Like 'šaktitrayam', these three limbs of the army were known as "balamtriyam,"

^{135.} Watters, I, 343; Beal, I. 213.

^{136.} Ibid., II, P. 239.

had the chariots. Bana also refers to "troops of camels" at the royal camp of Hara. 137

In addition to these corps we are also told of "the National Guard." It consisted of "the heroes of choice valour, and, as the profession was hereditary, they became adepts in military tactics. In peace they guarded the sovereign's residence and in war they became the intrepid vanguard." 1938

THE SENAPATI

We have already seen that the king was the Supreme Commander of all armed forces but for day-to-day military administration he appointed an army chief known as the Senanati. The latter enjoyed great respect at the royal court and only a man of great military vision, and one who was well-versed in the art of war and other allied matters was appointed on this high military office in the State. Bana refers to Senapati Simhanada who appears to have occupied a very high place at the royal court. He was the Senapati under Harsa's father Prabhakaravardhana, and the latter treated him as a friend. 189 The Senapati was not only the head of the armed forces but he led the forces in active military operations. Simhanada had been "a man foremost in every fight" (Samagravigrahapragraharo), with "his broad chest" which was "rough with great gashes of (vivritavadandirbrihadbhirvranavidarairvisamitaviśālavaksah) and "all across it ran in lines the writings of many great scars graven by the axe edge of sharp swords" (nišitašastratankakotikuttitabahubrihadvaranāksarapańktinirantaratavā). He was "the family priest of martial companies," "the performer of proud speeches," "the sustainer of the routed," "the executor of pledges," "the authority on openings in

^{137.} HOCTH., P. 46; cf. Kaufilya's Arthaéastra, P. 167.

^{138.} Watters, I, 171.

^{139.} HCCTH., P. 180; HCK., Canto 6, P. 44. It appears that the general was the army chief under Frabhäkerwurdhana and the latter treated him as his friend. Its status as a retired general process that great respect was shown to structure officers.

great wars" and "his very voice inspired the warriors with lust for

This description is corroborated by other evidences. In Harşa's Priyadarilkă General Vijayasena is said to have "led the army of Udayana to invade the Kalingas." The king, satisfied at his general's ability and achievements. savs to his anxious queen:

"Led by Vijayasena, our armies invaded the frontier region

And destroyed the glory of the accursed Kalinga,

Who suddenly withdrew to his fortress

And, with a rampart as his sole defense, has been made defenseless."
"And, he being in such a plight,

Crippled by the invasion described, and the activity of his slavish warriors decreasing day by day,

With ruin impending, with his elephants, horses and men being destroyed, and his entire army depleted,

When his fortress there shall have been breached on all sides in a twinkling, today or tomorrow, by my forces,

You will hear before long, my Lady, that Kalinga has been captured or slain in battle."141

Similar is the description of the military expedition led by General Rumpavant against Kalinga. 148 These graphic descriptions prove that the generals led the armies and the king was personally interested in their military achievements. In major wars the king himself practicipated. The epigraphic evidences also give the same impression. 148 It is not

^{140.} HOCTH., 180-2; HCK., Canto 6, Pp. 44-5.

^{141.} Priyadarfikā, IV. 4-5, Pp. 78-79.

^{142.} Ratnävalt, Pp. 9-10. These two examples also prove that the elephants, horses and foot soldiers formed the three-fold army.

^{143.} CII., III, No. 38, P. 167, fn. 10. I find it difficult to agree with Fleet that "the next grade above this was Mahdsendpati." The learned scholar has drawn our attention to this title in the Bijgraggadh inscription of the Yaudheyas. Bid., II;, No. 58, Pp. 251-2. Firstly the inscription is not dated and it appears, that the inscription in question must have belonged to quite early period of Indian history.

understood as to why Bāṇa does not refer to Harşa's Senāpati whereas he tells so much about Senāpati Simhanāda. It is quite likely that the latter must have been allowed to continue on his post. As he was quite old he could not actively participate in military operations but the emperor could have the benefit of his matured ideas and past military experiences. The duties of the Senāpati appear to have been performed by "the supreme minister of war and peace (Mahāsaindhivigrahādhikṛita).

MAHĀBALĀDHIKRITA

Under the Senāpati or the Commander-in-Chief were several military commanders. Next to the Senāpati was the army commander (Mahābalādhikṛita). 148 He must have been in-charge of a particular corp. 148

BALÄDHIKRITA¹⁴⁷

He must have been a commander under Mahābalādhikrita. Bāṇa gives us an impression that he was chief of the Barrack Superintendents¹⁴⁸ (pāṭpati). This title is also used in similar sense in the Shahpur stone image inscription of Ādityasena, dated in 66th year of the Harsa era (c. 672-3 A.D.).¹⁴⁹

We have references to several other military officers of other divisions.

Secondly, the title is attributed to the leader of the Taudheyas. It is, therefore, quite probable that the title was assumed by king or the head of the State himself. This title was assigned to him for his role as the supreme commander of the armed forces.

^{145.} The title was also known Mahābalādhyakşa. CII., III, App. IV, P. 179. This military title is referred to in an inscription of Amsuvarman, dated in the year 34 of the Harsa Sanvat. Ibid., P. 179.

^{146.} Fleet also opines that he was "a great officer placed at the head of the forces." CH., III, No. 23. P. 109, fn. 2.

^{147.} Like Mahabal adhyaksa he must have also been known as Bal adhyaksa.

^{148.} HCCTH., P. 199; HCK., Canto 7, P. 54.

^{149.} CII., III, No. 43, P. 210, fn. 2. Literally it means "one who is appointed to (a command of) the troops."

BRIHADAŚVAVĀRA

He is introduced to us as "a chief officer of the cavalry." ¹⁸⁰ It apears that he was also known as "aśvapati" ¹⁸¹ or aśvapala. ¹⁸² We are told by Bāṇa that Harṣa had in his royal stable the horses from Vanāyu, Āratta. Kamboja, Bharadvāja, Sindh and Persia.

GAJASĀDHANĀDHIKŖITA

He was "the Commandant of the whole elephant troops." Like that of the horses Harşa's elephant stable was also full of several types of elephants. Some of them were "newly bound, others acquired as tributes or as presents, some sent by the rangers of the elephant's district's (NegarithipAlar). 324 As the elephants were the most vital to the army Harşa appears to have taken special care of the elephant corp. Bana refers to "the chief elephant doctors" who were placed in charge of elephants and were required to report about their elephants at a very short notice.

BHATA-CHĀTA

Most probably they were "regular and irregular soldiers."146
Bāṇa also refers to "groups of elephant men, bachelors, knaves, donkey
boys, camp followers, thieves, serving men, rogues and grooms" 146
were present at Harṣa's camp when he was marching against the Gauda
king Śaśānka. They must have been employed in the army temporarily
to assist the regular army. It is quite likely that these persons and the
regular soldiers might have been known as Bhaṭa-Chāta.

^{150.} HOCTH., P. 177; HCK., P. 43. Kuntala was Harşa's cavalry chief.

^{151.} CII., III, No. 61, P. 260, fn. 2.

^{152.} Raināvali, II. 2, P. 44.

^{153.} HCCTH., P. 189; HCK., Canto 6, P. 49. Like Asvapāla and Asvapati, there must have also been the titles of Gajapati and Gajapāla.

^{154.} HCCTH., P. 46.

They are referred to in several inscriptions including those of Harşa.
 III, P. 98. fn. 2.

^{156.} HCCTH., P. 207.

CHAPTER VIII

HARŞA AS AN AUTHOR AND PATRON OF AUTHORS SECTION A.

AUTHENTICITY OF HARSA'S AUTHORSHIP

Besides the profoundly fascinating panorma of events, the history of India provides us with a number of examples of rulers whose claim to rememberance rests not only on their conquests and political achievements, but, rather more, on their contribution and patronage they liberally extended to the domain of literature, art and culture. Many monarchs of ancient India¹ wielded pen as well as the sceptre and the sword, with equal distinction and success. While the sword shattered the pride of enemies, the pen disseminated the message of love, peace and fraternity. Among such monarchs Harşa's claims as an author and patron of authors have been universally accepted.¹

India's history, traditions, legends and literature contain references to six Harşas, including Śri Harşavardhana of Kānyakubja, who ruled over Northern India from 606 to 647 A.D., and who has been accredited with the authorship of the three Sanskrit dramas.²

Paper of the present author on 'Kings As Authors in Ancient India', contributed to the Twenty-Second Session of the Indian History Congress, Gauhati, 1959, Proceedings of the Gauhati Session of the Indian History Congress, Pp. 179-180.

THK., Pp. 175-187; Dr. Radha Kumud Mookerji, Harşa, Pp. 152-9; JBHU., Vol. I, No. 2, 1937, Pp. 231-242; Pannikar, Sri Harşa of Kanauj, P. 65; Gauri Shankar Chattopadhyaya, Harşavardhana, Pp. 231-247.

Dr. S. N. Dasgupta and Dr. S. K. De, A History of Sanutrit Literature, Vol. I, P. 255. The dramas assigned to Harsa are the Priyadarsikā, the Ratnāveli and the Nāvānamdatis.

The first among these Harpas is the author of the Kāvyapradīţas. The second is Sri Harpa, the author of the Naisadhiyacharita, the Khapdanakhanqākhādya and other seven great works. The third is the tyrannical king of Kashmir, who, according to the Rājatarangiņi ruled from c. 1690 A.D. to c. 1101 A.D. It is said that Somadeva wrote the Kathāsaritasāgara to win and seduce the beautiful queen of this tyrant ruler of Kashmir. The fourth is the father of Muñja and the grand-father of King Bhoja of the Parmāra dynasty of Dhāra. And the fifth was Harpa-Vikramāditya of Ujjayant. At his court Māṭrjagupta received patronage. This king is also identified with Yasodharman of Mālvā.

The first two of these were not kings and, therefore, they do not concern us with regard to the problem of the authorship of the three plays, the Ratināvali, the Priyadaršikā and the Nāgānandarh. Among the remaining Harşas, who were the king-poets and authors, the grand-father of Bhoja lived in the tenth century A.D. and the tyrannical King of Kashmir ruled in the closing decade of the eleventh century A.D. and his reign came to an end in 1101, A.D.. Thus these two kings also can be set aside from our discussion on the simple ground of chronological posteriority. Now there remains the king Harşa-Vikramāditya of

Sir Aurel Stein, Kalhana's Rajatarahgini, London, 1900, Book VII,
 P. 333f; K. M. Pannikar, Śri Herşa of Kanauj, P. 65; Nāgānandam, Ed. and tr. Sadharam. P. IX: THK., P. 180.

^{5.} Cited in introduction to Negdnandash, ed. Karamarkar, Poona, 3rd. Ed., P. IX.

^{6.} Stein, Rajatarangini, Book III; The., P. 180.

Pannikar, Srt Harja of Kanauj, P. 65; Stein, Rājataratgiņt,
 P. 83.

^{8.} JRAS., 1909, P. 446; THK., P. 180.

^{9.} Dâmodaragupta, who lived under Jayapida of Kashmir (779-810 A.D.), refers in his book 'Kuijanimasun', the story and enactment of Ratustacili which he regarded as a work composed by a king. It must, however, be noted that the author of 'Kuijanimasun' does not give the name of that king who composed Ratustacil. The celebrated poet Magha, who lived in the 8th century A.D., also

Ujjayinī. The Rājataranginī does not give any account of his learning and literary achivements. Kalhaṇa only speaks that Mātrjgupta fived at his court. Let Dr. R. S. Tripathi rightly observed that his title alone decides the controversy. The learned scholar has pointed out that Harşa was only his secondary name and Vikramāditya was his title and it is "improbable that if this Harşa had been the author of these plays, he would have omitted to mention the prized title of 'Vikramāditya' in the Prastāvanā." It has been again observed that Harşa-Vikramāditya was not a Buddhist and so he could not be assigned the authorship of "an almost Buddhist play as the Nāgānandam." Thus Harşavardhana of "an almost Buddhist play as the Nāgānandam." Thus Harşavardhana of Kānyakubja alone is left to enjoy the credit of the authoriship of these three plays. Apart from these negative arguments we have other innumerable positive evidences which help us to prove conclusively that Harşa was a man of letters and that he was the author of the three dramas. 18

In order to come to a definite conclusion we have to re-examine and revaluate these proofs in light of recent researches and to justify the claims of Harşa as an author of great repute who wrote the Priyadarsikā, the Ratukvall and the Nāgānandarin.

TESTIMONY OF BANA

Bana, the famous court-poet of Harsa, acknowledges the poetic genius and the literary crassmanship of his master on more than one occasion in the Harsacharita. In the very beginning of the first chapter of this

refers to Nāgānandam in his Kāvya. Keith, Classical Sanskrit Literature, Pp. 54-55.

^{10.} Stein, Rajatarangini, P. 83.

^{11.} THK., Pp. 180-81.

^{12.} Ibid., P. 181.

Boid., P. 181. The authorship of these plays has now been conclustively determined by the authors of an authentic work on the history of Sanskrit literature. Dr. S. N. Dasgupta and Dr. S. K. De, A History of Sanskrit Literature, Vol. 1, P. 255.

Kāvya he relates a long line of poets and their respective creations. We are told that the power of Āḍhyarāja's utsāha was very great. Bāṇa further says that his tongue seemed checked and drawn within his mouth by Āḍhyarāja's utsāha."

Ettinghausen and Pischel¹⁶ have identified Adhyarāja with Harşa. Adhyarāja here in this vene is portrayed for Harsa alone as it is quite clear from the concluding verse where Baṇa makes a direct reference to Harşa. If Later, in the long and decorated list of his account of Harşa's achievements Baṇa gives a definite evidence of his poetic skill. He says that Harşa's "masterful gift in poetry could hardly find expression in words just as his valout lacked sufficient range of its existence." At another place Baṇa describes that he (Harsa) "in poetical contest poured out a nectar of his own which he had not received from any foreign source." Nevertheless, Bāṇa's account must be accepted only with some reservation as it was a prevalent tendency among the court-poets and authors to praise their patrons attributing all sorts of superhuman and meritorious achievements to them.

OTHER HISTORICAL EVIDENCES

We are really puzzled when we find that Yuan Chuang, who

- 14. आब्यराजकनोल्माहेह्रं दयन्यः स्मृतैरपि ॥ जिल्लान्त कृष्यमाणेव न कवित्वे प्रवर्तते ॥१८॥ तथापि नृपतेर्मृत्तयामीतो निवंहणाकुलः ।
- करोध्याच्याधिकारभोषी जिल्लात्मवनचापलम् ॥१९॥ —ह० च०, प्र० उ०, प्०२। 15. Maurce. L. Ettinghausen, Harsavardhana, P. 98; R. Pischel, Adhsaráia. Pb. 485.487
 - 16. जबनि ज्वलंत्प्रनापज्वलनप्राकारकृतजगद्वसः।
 - सकलप्रवाधिमनोरयसिडिश्रीपर्वतो हवै: ॥२१॥ —ह० व०, प्र० उच्छ०, प्० २।
- HCCTH., P. 65. अपि चास्य त्यागस्वाचिन, प्रज्ञावाः चारणाणि, कविश्वस्य वाच , तन्वस्य साहनम्यानानि, उत्पाहस्य व्यापाराः, कीर्तोदेकपुकानि, अनुरावस्य कोक्कवानि, गुणगणस्य सन्या, काशलस्य कला, न पर्याच्नो विषयः। —ह० च०, वि ० उच्छठ, प् ० ३५।
 - 18. HCCTH., P. 58; काव्यकवास्त्रपीतमध्यमृतमुद्रमस्तम्।

received warm welcome at Harpa's court and lived there for a pretty long time, is completely silent about Harpa's literary craftmanship. But I-Tsing, another Chinese itinerant scholar, who visited this country after the death of Harpa, authoritatively writes that the king Süäditya was exceedingly fond of literature and he himself composed the story of the Bodhisattva Jimūtavāhana, who sacrificed his own life to save that of a serpent. This version was "performed by a band accompanied by dancing and acting," and thus it became very popular during his age.¹⁹

Records of I-Tsing are generally accepted by many scholars as the "most important and reliable testimony." **20

LITERARY EVIDENCE

Soddhala, who flourished in the eleventh century A.D., places Harsa's name among the kings, like Vikramāditya, Muñja, Bhoja and others who were poets and patrons of men of letters. In another passage Soddhala refers to Harsa as the illustrious king by whom Bāṇa was honoured with the highest esteem and abundant wealth (a hundred crores of gold). In Jayadeva, who lived in the twelfth century A.D., I ranks Harsa with Bhāsa, Kālidāsa, Bāṇa, Mayūra and Chora in a stanza of his work Pra-

I-Tsing, A Record of Buddhist Religion in India and Malay Archipelago, Tr. by Takakusu, Oxford, 1896, Pp. 163-64.

^{20.} THK., P. 184.

^{21.} कवीन्त्रैयव विकमादित्यश्रीहर्षमुजभीजदेवादिभूपालै:।

Sodáhala's Udayasundarikathā, Ed. by C. D. Dalal and Krishnamacharya, Baroda, 1920. P. 150.

श्रीहर्ष इत्यवनिर्वातवु पाषिवेषु नाम्नैव केवलमजायत वस्तुतस्तु, गीर्ह्य एव निजसंसित वेन राजा सम्यूजितः कनककोटियातेन बानः,

^{23.} THK., P. 183.

sannarāghava.³⁴ The famous seventeenth-century philosopher Madhusūdana writes in his Bhāvabodhini that "two eastern poets, called Bāṇa and Mayūra lived at the court of Mahārāja Sri-Harṣa, the chief of poets, the composer of the Nāṭlāā called the Ratnāvalī and who was the lord of Mālīvā and whose capital was Ujiain."²⁵ The association of Harṣa with Mālīvā and Ujiain is undoubtedly wrong but "the contemporaneity and literary gifts of Bāṇa, Mayūra and Harṣa seem to be the substratum of truth in this etroneous statement."³⁸

We must conclude our account of literary evidences with the reference found in Subhāṣtiaratnabhāṣdāṣāra. This elaborate anthology of Sanskrit verses contains a stanza which includes the name of Śri Harţa in a galaxy of distinguished poets, dramatists and writers, who "pleased the world by the composition of their masterpieces." The writers enumerated therein are Māgha, Chora, Mayūra, Murāri, Bhāravi, Harsa, Kālidāsa, Bhavabhūti, Bhojarāja, Dandin, Bhallaṭa Bāṇabhaṭṭa and Subandhu.

Dhananjaya, the court-poet of Munja, in his Dasarūpa, refers to the dramas of Harsa, the Priyadarsikā, the Ratnāvali and the Nāgānandam.²⁸ The Nāgānandam and the Ratnāvali are also referred to in Dhyanyāloka

बन्धास्त्रीरिषकुर्गनिकरः कर्णपूरो मयुरो आलो हामः कविकुलगुरु:कालियासो विलामः । ह्यों ह्यः हृदयवमित पचनाणस्य बाणः केषा नैपा कथय कविताकामिती कौतुकाय । Prasannarāghava, Act I. Stanza 22, P. 10.

 [&]quot;मालवराजस्योज्जयिनीराजयानीकस्य कविजनमूर्कन्यस्य रत्नावत्यास्यनाटिका-कनुमहाराजपीहर्षस्य।

Ind. Ant., Vol. II, 1873, Pp. 127-128.

^{26.} TIIK., P. 184.

मापरचारो मयूरो मुरारियुरपरो मार्राक: मार्राक्क:। शीहर्यः कान्निदासः कविरस्मवनुत्या यो भोकराकः।। शीदण्डः डिण्डमाय्वः श्रृतिमुकुटगुरुर्भस्तरो सहुवाकः। स्थातम्बार्ये मुबल्कायय इह कृतिभिविष्यवाङ्गाद्वात्यांनाः।

Subhāşitaratna-Bhāndāgāra, Ed. by Parab, 5th Ed., Bom., 1911, Stanza 68, P. 38.

^{28.} Karmarkar, Naganand, Intro., P. ix.

written by an author who was contemporary to Avantivarman of Kashmir.²⁰

EPIGRAPHIC EVIDENCE

Besides these literary evidences and references also possess the epigraphic records to validate Harsa's claim to authorship and calligraphy. The Banskhera Copper Plate of the year 22 of the Harsa Era and the Madhubana Copper Plate of the year 25 H. E. are of manifold value to us. The first is signed by Harsa himself while the other bears no signature. On the basis of this royal signature and the language therein, it can be stated that these records of the plates "were manifestly dictated by the stating." "I There are many stanzas, common to both these inscriptions which create "apparantly good ground" for the identity of the composer of these records as well as "for recognizing Harsa's gift for writing." If not all "some lines in the Madhubana inscription bear the stamp of Harpa's authoriship." ²³ It is clear from his signature in the Banskhera grant that he was also a skilled calligraphist. ⁴⁴

OTHER VERSES ATTRIBUTED TO HARŞA

There are some occasional verses in the Sauskrit anthologies which are attributed to Harsa. These verses are quoted in the Kavindravacha-nasamuchchaya, the Saduktikarnāmṛita and the Subhāsitāvali.²⁵ We also find two Sanskrit poems of Harsa which "bear the stamp of autheni-

^{29.} Ibid., P. ix.

^{30.} THK., P. 185; Harsa puts his signature in the following style. Ep. Ind., Vol. IV. P. 210.

^{31.} Ep. Ind., Vol. IV, Pp. 208-211.

The same view is held by learned editors of the Priyadarsikā of Harşa, Ed. and tr. by Naviman, Jackson and Ogden, Columbia University Series, 1923, P. xliv.

^{33.} Bühler, Ep. Ind., Vol. I, P. 71; THK., P. 185.

^{34.} Ibid., P. 185.

F. W. Thomas, Kavindravachanasamuchchaya, A Sanskrit Anthology of Vorses, P. 117-120.

ticity, particularly because they harmonise with his later Buddhistic tendencies. ***** The first of these two is the Suprabhätastotra, a poem of twentyfour stanzas, each of four lines. This poem speaks of Buddha in a very high tone and with great esteem. The poem mentions Haras's name in the colophon. *** The other poem 'Aştamahäśrichaityasamskritastotra' consists of five stanzas and it is preserved in a Chinese transliteration from the original Sanskrit and is attributed to an Indian king called in Chinese, "the Sun of Virtues," a synonym for Siläditya. *** We know that in the Buddhist works Haras is generally known as Siläditya.

SOME INTERNAL REFERENCE TO HIS AUTHORSHIP

The works of Harşa themselves contain many proofs which can be cited to validate Harşa's claim to authorship. It is, indeed, surprising to note that these proofs have not been examined by scholars so far. The three dramas, the Ratnāvali, the Priyadaršikā and the Nāgānandam contain claims to Harşa's authorship. Firstly, the stage-manager (Sūtradhāra) gives an introduction in each drama before the assembly. This introductory speech is also the one and the same in each play. The stage-manager says, "We have heard it by a series of rumour that our lord, His Majesty, king Harşa has composed a play called "Ratnāvalī (or 'Nāgānandam' or 'Priyadaršīkā')"."

Secondly, there is a stanza in each of the three dramas which again claims Harsa to be the author. In this stanza the stage-manager

^{36.} Priyadaršikā of Harşa, Ed. and Tr. by Nariman, Jackson and Ogden, Columbia University Series, P. xliv-xlv; Dr. Keith, History of Sanskrit Literature, P. 215.

JRAS., 1903, Pp. 703. In the opinion of Dr. F. W. Thomas
the author of these two poems in question may be the King Harsa of Kashmir. Ibid.,
P. 704.

^{38.} Priyadar sikā, P. xiv.

 [&]quot;अस्परस्वामिना श्रीकृषंदेवेनापूर्ववस्तुरचनालंकता रत्नावली नाम नाटिका!"
 In case of Naganandam or Priyadarsika the same introduction is found with words," नागानचं नाम नाटकं वा प्रियद्धिका नाम नाटिका।

tells us, "Sri Hara is the gifted master of poetry; this assembly is also an appreciator of merits; the stories of Bodhisatrya (or Vattarāja) catch the attraction of all; we, too, are skilled in the art of dramatic performance; even each one of these facts would (by itself), be enough to produce the desired effect; but how much more than all this assemblage of excellences combined through the abundance of my good fortune." It also deserves our attention that this stanza is repeated by the stage-manager before the audience. It seems that Hara assured his claim to authorship with this device of announcing the same through the stage-manager.

Thirdly, the benedictory stanza (Bharatanākyath) of the Priyadaršikā is identical with its counterpart of the Ratnāvalī, except for very slight variations in the two closing lines. But the expression of solemn and benedictional invocation is the same. In both the stanzas Indra is solemnly implored to pour down the wished-for rain and to provide the earth with abundant crops. The Brāhmaṇas are prayed to gratify the gods through the performance of the sacrifices. And an earnest wish for unity among good men and the extinction of the wicked persons is expressed. The

श्रीहर्षो निपुणः कविः परिषदप्येषा गुणप्राहिणी लोके हारि च बोधिसस्वचरितं ताट्ये च दक्ता वयम् ॥ बस्त्वेककमपिह वांक्षितफलप्राप्तेः पद कि पन-

संद्वाच्योपस्याद्यं समुद्धितः सर्यो गुणानां यणः।।३।। — नामानंदम्, अंक १। Nāgānand by Harṣa, Act I, Verse. 3, Ed. Karmarkar, P. 3. In the Priyadarikā and Ratnāveli we find Vastsarājacharisam in place of 'Bodhistvacharitam'. Priyadarikā of Harṣa, Ed. and tr. by Nariman, Jackson, and Ogdan, Columbia University Series, Act I, Verse 3, P. 6. and Ratnāvali, Act I, Verse 6, P. 18. Such devices to assure the claim to authorship are also found in Greek Anthologies, in Persian Oder and in Angle-Saxon Poems. Priyadarikā, P. niv. Is must also be remembered that such devices are more common in the songs of Tulsidās, Mirābāi, Sāradāsa, Raishān and almost ali Indian poets of the Bhakti age.

वर्षमृद्दीमालस्यां चनवदु विगुचन वासनी विच्छिन्छ। सिन्द्रैश्रीकछराना विद्यन्तु शीमानं विष्ममुख्याः॥ बाक्तमानयंच मृत्यात् समुर्गयत्युकः सङ्गमः वण्यनानाम्। मिन्येषं मान्यु वालि विष्यनकानियो दुवेदा वक्तमाः॥८६॥ राजावकी, मृत्युक्ति।

Bharatavākyam of the Nāgānandam, though a little different from those of the Rataāvalā and the Priyadarukā, also contains the same sense and purpose. In the two concluding stanzas of the Nāgānandam, the same message is conveyed, 'May the clouds send down the timely showers of rains stimulating the peacooks to dance madly and delightfully and making the earth clothed up with growing, continuous and luxurious green harvest. May people, living together and freed from calamities, assimbling together with their friends and kinsmen in intimate gatherings and feasts, rejoice with their hearts free from realousy and malice.' ¹²

Fourthly, there are two other instances of the identical stanzas in the Priyadarska and the Nagmandam. The third stanza of the third Act of the Priyadarska is the same as the first stanza of the fourth Act of the Niganandim. I Similarly the tenth stanza of the third Act of the Privadarska and the fourteenth stanza of the first Act of the Naganandim are identical to each other.

The two clotting lines of Privadan il a differ 1 (१९१९ They are आकरपान्तव भयान स्थिर समुप्रवित्त गर्मान सण्डनानाम निशय बालु शान्ति पिशनकन बिरा द नहा बळलेपा ११०२॥ प्रिणदिशका ।

42 बुटिट राटिस्वण्डताण्डवहृतो सुबन्तु बार पना दुबना अनिर पान्न रिच्छत्यातराया कितिस्। चित्रता सुद्वानि बीनिवपदा निस्स्पर निस्से मोरता सना व बा स्वयुद्दगोष्टीप्रसास प्रजा ॥३ ॥ अपि व विस्मार सबजाता परिस्तिनिरणाश्रव सन्त्रमा।

दाश प्रयान्तु नाश सबन भवनु लान ॥४०॥ नागानन्दम् । १३ अन्त पुराणा बिहिनव्यवस्य पदं पदः स्मिनिनानि रक्षन् । जरातुर सम्प्रति दण्टनात्या सर्वे नगुम्यानुकशीय बुत्तम् ॥

Stanza I, Act 4 Nasa indam of Haria L.l., and tr by Sadhuram, Dellin, and Stanza 3, 1ct 3, Prisadarsila of Haria, Ld and tr by Natiman, Jackson, and Oodan Columbia University Series, Act 4, Stanza 1

41 व्यक्तिवर्णजनतातुन। दशविषेनात्मत्र लम्बामुगा। विस्पाठो हनमध्यण्यिनपरिच्छित्रान्त्रिषाय लघ ॥ गापुच्छाप्रमुखा त्रमेण यत्यस्तिकार्रिप सपादिता-सन्त्रीयान्यतास्त्र वाद्यविषय सम्यन् त्रया र्वाष्ट्रता ॥

Priyadarsika of Harsa, Act III, Stanza 10, Naganandam of Harsa, Act 1, Stanza 14

One can argue that such identical stanzas are also possible on the simple ground that one of the dramas might have been written earlier than the other and the writer of the drama which would have been written later, might have borrowed the verses from the drama written earlier. Such an argument cannot be easily set aside, but with other factors and proofs in view, these identical stanzas are helpful in determining Harşa's authorship.

Besides these identical citations some scholars and literary critics have endeavoured to prove the identity of authorabip on the basis of phraseological expressions, structural similarities, parallel situations, some common characters and above all the very common theme of the dramas. They hold that on these grounds no one can validly challenge the fact that the author of these works must be one and the same person.

Lastly, we may note that Harsa and his ancestors are definitely known to have been greatly devoted to the Lord Siva and the Sun. This devotion on the part of Harya is also found in his dramas. The opening verses of the Priyadarsikā and the Ratnāvalī praise the Lord Siva and the Goddess Gaurī or Girijā. ⁴⁵ In Nagānandam Gaurī plays an important role and the reference to her is significant. In some verses the Sun's (daipys') conduct is described in a highly externed manner. The hero says, "The Sun who always removes from the fotus-bud the close-clinging seal of sleep fastened (on it), who pleases the whole world by his rays intent on the sole duty of fulfilling the desires of the people and who is seen by the Siddhas with their mouths vocal with continuous hymns of praise is alone praiseworthy, as his effort is only for the good of others." ¹³⁴ At another occassion

^{45.} पादाधस्थितया मुद्वः न्तनभरेणानीतया नश्रताम् शंभोः सस्यृहलोचनवयचं यास्या तदारामने । ह्वीमत्या विरतीहितः सपुलकस्वेदोद्गमोतकस्यया विरिलय्यन् कुमुमाविल विरिवया क्रिफोल्नरेपातु वः॥१॥ रत्नावती, प्रथम अंक, रलोक १।

Similarly in the two Nandt Verses of the Priyadarsika Hara (Siva), Gauri and the Brahmanas are implored to protect the world.

नित्रामुद्रावबन्धव्यतिकरप्रनिष्यं पद्मनकोशादपान्य-काकापरककर्मप्रवणनिषक छोणिताकेपविषदः।

he again tells us about the might of the Sun. He says, "Why do you, O my left eye, throb again and again to foretell me about some evil? Ah, wretched eye, here, this Sun will destroy (the evil effect of) your throbbing."48

This devotion of the author of the dramas to Siva and the Sun (Aditya) and his sympathetic outlook towards Buddhism rightly validate Harsa's claims to the authorship of the three dramas.

On the basis of the above-cited evidences it can be safely and conclusively proved that the author of the three dramas, the Priyadariikā, the Ratnāvali and the Nāgānandam was one and the same person and that he was no body other than the emperor Harsa of Kānyakubia.

SOME DOUBTS WITH REGARDS TO HARSA'S AUTHORSHIP

Notwithstanding the above-discussed points and facts, the question of Haras's authorship has been a bitterly debated one. The issue has been thoroughly discussed by several scholars. In the foregoing paragraphs it has been discussed that the three plays must be undisputably and conclusively assigned to Haras. The trend of the opinions of scholars, too, has been steadily growing in Haras's favour. Even then, there are some strong arguments raised against this view which deserve our attention, and an attempt should be made to refute them.

The controversy first arose in the eleventh century A.D. when a Kashmici writer Mammata wrote Kāvyaprakās. In Kāvyaprakāsa Mammata speaks about the gains and achievements by means of an art of writing poetry. The author first gives an example of Kālidāsa as one

दृष्टः सिबैः प्रसत्करतुतिमुखरमुखरस्तमप्येष गण्छ-

भेक: श्लाच्यो विवस्वान्परहितकारणायैव यस्य प्रयास:॥१८॥

नागानन्दम्, तृतीयोऽकः ।
47' स्फूरित किवनिणे क्षण मुहुर्गुट्टः कथित् समानिष्टम् ।

हन बनुराहतं ते स्कृरितामिहाय करिष्यते मानुः।।४।। नापानन्यम्, पंचमीऽकः । 48. Kāvyahrakāia, Ed. by Jhalkikar, Pp. 6-7; English tr. by Dr. Ganganah Jha, Pp. 1-2.

काष्यं यशसेऽर्मकृते व्यवहारिवदे शिवेतरस्ततये।
 सदः परिवर्षृत्तये कांतासिम्मतयोगवेसयुगे॥ काष्यप्रकाश, उ० १, क्लोक २।

who got fame and then he says that Dhāvaka and other poets got wealth from Sri-Harpa and other kings. In is generally understood that it was, most probably, because of the composition of the three dramas that Dhāvaka received enormous wealth from Harpa, and in return permitted Harpa to be known as their author.

At the very outset we should remember that reference to Dhāvaka is derived due to some unauthentic manuscripts of the Kavvaprakasa. Almost all the manuscripts of Käyvaprakäia, discovered in Kashmir, to which region Mammata belonged, read Banasi instead Dhavaka. This fact of the wealth being given by Harsa to Bana is further recorded by Soddhala who says "that Harsa honoured Bana with a gift of hundred crores of gold". 88 and on this basis. Dr. Hall onines that Bana was the author of the three dramas and sold them to Harsa for money.88 But such an assumption is highly unwarranted and entirely untennable as has been rightly observed by Dr. R.S. Tripathi. He says that Bana's authorship of these dramas is "out of question." It has been emphatically pointed out that a comparative study of the style and language of Harsacharita and Kädambari and the dramas assigned to Harsa, puts Bana out of the controversy. Such presumptions are not generally well-founded. Moreover, if Bana needed money, he could have sold Kadambarl to Harsa for more amount than that he has been alleged to have received. At the same time it also seems doubtful that Harsa might have purchased these dramas which are in no way the works of extraordinary brilliance as Kādambarī is generally claimed to be. As a matter of fact, majority of our ancient poets and writers never desired fame and money. That is why we do not find their autobiographies and records of their material achievements.

Känyaprakäsa Ed. by B. V. Jhalkikar, Pp. 6-7.
 काखिदासादीनामिव वक्: श्रीहचदिर्घावकादीनामिव चनम्।

^{51.} श्रीहषविविभावीनामिव मनम।

^{52.} Cited in THK., Pp. 185-186.

Dr. Fitzedward Hall, Vāsavadattā, Col., 1859, Preface, Pp. 15-17, also P. 51.

^{54.} THK., P. 185.

Truely speaking, the authors of the ancient India wrote devotedly in order to satisfy their own 'Self.' Like the philosophers, saints and sages of this holy land they never longed for money. No doubt, the imperial courts granted liberal patronage to many of the great authors and poets and they are known to have repaid the obligation with liberal praise of their natrons. It may be said that these poets and writers might have been of some assistance in giving finishing touches to the works of their patrons who were also interested in literary creations. The citation in Kavyaprakāśa, as we find it, that "Śrī-Harsāder-Dhāvakā (Bāna) dināmiva dhanam," simply revalidates that Harsa was a generous patron of poets. There is nothing substantial in the sentence to prove that monetary considerations compelled the poets to put their works under the names of their patrons. Any such misinterpretation may merely be regarded as an attempt to disprove Harşa's claim to authorship. At the same time the brilliant record of his life and his achievements do not allow us to accept that he would have cared to win such cheap and inglorious popularity. It is ungenerous on the part of scholiasts to come to such an interpretation. Some of the greatest authorities of the east as well as of the west have agreed to rejecting such "assertions of the scholiasts as fictitious and lacking foundation" and they accept the authority of Harsa's claim as an author of the three dramas " 55

Some of the scholiasts have also made unsuccessful attempts to prove that the author of the Nāgānandarh, which appraises and imparts the lesson of Ahimisā, the cardinal point in the doctrine of Buddhist philosophy, could not have written Ratnāvali and Priyadaršikā, which express devotion to Siva and Gauri in the induction. Such a conclusion is not very convincing one. It is not the Buddhism alone that preaches Ahimisā. The doctrine of Ashimsā has also been penetratingly and thoroughly discussed in the enormous literature of the Jains and the Brāhmanas.

On the other hand, we can say that the harmonious blending was perhaps a noble attempt of synthesising the best in the two cults and it

^{55.} Priyadarsikā, Intro., Part II. P. xlvii.

^{56.} Karmarkar, Naganand, P. XV.

represents the author's earnest attepmpts to reconcile the Hindu and the Buddhistic mythologies and creeds. Unlike many crusaders, the monarchs of ancient India were not rigid in the process of implementation of their religious policies and propagation of moral principles. At the same time we have definite proofs of their sympathetic and tolerant outlook towards all sects. Most of the Gupta emperors called themselves as the Parama-Bhägawatas (the wornhippers of Visyuu); but it was their tolerant attitude towards all the religions which paved the way for the true revival of Hinduism. In the same way king Harşa also entertained reverential feelings for other faiths and sects. He inherited the devotion to Siva from his ancestors and it continued even after he had definite inclination towards Buddhism. We can, therefore, conclude that the authorship of the Nägänandarin can also be assigned to Harşa, the composer of the Ratnávali and the Privadariikā.

KAVIVIMARŚA OF RĀJAŚEKHARA

On the basis of Kavivimaria of Rājašekhara, ⁸⁷ a work which has not yet been discovered, further doubts have been raised with regards to Hara's authorship and some critics find it naturally convenient to dis-

approve the claims of Harşa as the author of the Priyadaráikā, the Ramāvalī and the Nāgānandarā. Such assumption, as the citation enables the critics to conclude, is really convincing and trustworthy if the genuine character of the citation itself is beyond question; but the Kavivimarās of Rājašckhara itself has been a subject of literary controversy. It has not yet been traced out. In its absence, this attempt of disapproving Harşa's authorship seems to be ill-conceived or forged one. It can never enjoy solid foundations except a hearsay evidence.

LATER CRITICISM

Many critics of the seventeenth century also participated in this controversy and it was attempted to prove that Dhāvaka wrote the plays in Harṣa's name. In his Kāvyapradīpoddyota, Nagoji says that Dhāvaka composed the Ratnāvali and gained enough money by assigning it to Haṣṣa, ³⁶ Another commentator Parmananda also repeats the same charge. ³⁶ He says , "A poet known as Dhāvaka got enormous wealth by selling his work Ratnāvalī to Śri-Harṣa," ³⁶

These charges have been completely avalidated by historians. It may be pointed out that the very historicity of Dhāvaka as a Sanskrit poet has also been challenged. It has been maintained that Dhāvaka as a poet is unknown to Sanskrit Literature and that these "doubting authors belong to the 16th or 17th century A.D.; and this distance in time from Harya considerably lessens the weight of their authority."

Mr. S. M. Paranjape has raised another objection to the claims of Harşa's authorship. On the basis of some internal evidences and

^{58.} चावकः कविः सं हि श्रीहचनामा रत्नावाकी कृत्वा बहुचनं स्व्यवान् इति प्रसिद्धम्। Kavyaprakāla, Ed. by D. Chanderkar, P. 5.

^{59.} वावकनामा कविः स्वकृतिं रत्नावर्णे नाम नाटिकां विकीय श्रीहवैनाम्नो राज्ञः सकावाद् बहुवनमवापेति पुरावृक्षम् ।

Bhandarkar's Report on Sanskrit Manuscripts (1882), No. 208.

^{60.} Priyadarsikā, P. xlmi.

^{61.} THK., P. 186.

^{62.} Ibid., Pp. 186-187.

analogical references he concludes that the author of the three plays must have belonged to the fifth century A.D. and that he must be Bhāsa.*8

But such a conclusion, based upon the close correspondence and similar references, can not claim any unchallengeable infallibility. Mr. Paranjape's conclusion has no substantial basis in the absence of strong and unimpeachable grounds. Mr. Karmarkar has rightly observed that such analogy would lead us to conclude that Harsa was most intimately acquainted with the works of Bhūsa. Mt. Parallels are often found in many literary works and we cannot conclude justifiably that all of them are of one and the same author. Moreover, almost all the scholars and orientalists have come to a common and final conclusion that the author of the Priyadar-fikit, the Ratnāvalī and the Nāgānandain must be placed later than the sixth century A.D. and they are certainly the works of Harss.

SECTION B.

LITERARY ESTIMATE OF HARSA

We have seen that Harşa is credited with the authorship of the three dramas, the Priyadarsikā, the Ratnāvali, and the Nāgānandari. He is also assigned the authorship of two short Sanskrit poems, the 'Suprabhāta-Stotra' 1 bearing Harşa's name as an author and 'Aṣṭamahāśrichaitya-Sanskrit Stotra' 2

^{63.} Sähityasaringraha (Marāļhī), ciled in introductory chapter of the Naganandan. Edited by Karmarkar. P. xix.

^{64.} Ibid., P. xx.

JRAS., 1903, Pp. 703 ff. But one important point raised here is that Lats Dr. Thomas identified the author (Harya) with king Harya of Kashmir. Bidi., P. 704; Ettinghausen, Haryaverdhana, Pp. 168-175. As noted above the poem bears the name of Harya in the schohom.

^{2.} As the name suggests, this encomium of Eight Buddhist Shrines was rendered into Chinese transitionation from its original Sanchrit. Estinghausen, Harsverdhaus, Pp. 176ff, Lovi, Orientalis Sunkompresse, X. II., Pp. 165ff, cited in the History of Sanchrit Literature by A. B. Keith, P. 215; ascribes the authorship of this religious poem to an Indian King who was called the "Sant of Virtus", i. a. Stiddling, another name signs to Horse in the Buddhist world.

Of the plays ascribed to Harsa, the Priyadarálkā appears to be his first work.\(^3\) It is a four-act drama (nāṭikā)\(^4\) in real sense of the term as we shall see later. It receives its title from the name of its heroine, Priyadarálkā, who is called Āranyakā throughout the play up to the time of her identity was disclosed. The drama is claimed to have been graced (alankritā) by the treatment (vasturachanā) of a novel subject (aptīrva).\(^4\)
This claim is recurringly expressed in the introduction of the two other dramas.\(^6\)

1. THE PRITADARSIKA

The Priyadaršikā is a romantic comedy of a highly fascinating character. It beautifully narrates the story of courtship between the king and a princess in disguise, secret meetings between them, natural and womanly jealousy of the chief queen and latter's sudden change of heart when the heroine is revealed to be her lost cousin. The story of the Priyadaršikā runs as follows:

"Dṛḍhavarman, the king of the country of Aṅṇa had made a promise to marry his daughter Priyadarśikā to king Vatsarāja Udayana, the ruler of the country of Vatsa with his capital at Kauśāmbl. He is the hero of the play.

"This move for a matrimonial alliance between the two royal houses of Anga and Vatsa enraged the king of Kalinga who was keen to marry

^{3.} I propose to deal with the order of the composition of these works at a later stage.

^{4.} A nāţikā has, generally speaking, four Acts whereas a nāṭaka contains five or more Acts and deals with a comparatively wider scope of theme and treatment of the subject. Dhamājieya, Dafwipa. 3, 46-52. Edited and translated by Dr. Hass, Columbia University Indo-frantan Series, Vol. 7, New York, 1912, Pp. 95-98.

^{5.} Priyadarśikā, Act I, Pp. 4-7.

Raināvali, tr. and ed. by Prof. V. K. Joshi and Prof. G. M. Watve, P. 3; Nāgānandam, tr. and ed. by Prof. Asha Toraskar and Prof. A. N. Deshpande, p. 6.

the Afga princess Priyadaršikā. Consequently he marched against Drdhavarman and devastated his kingdom. Drdhavarman was defeated and was made prisoner. But his daughter Priyadaršikā was fortunately saved by her father's chamberlain named Vinayavasu. The latter took her to the Vindhya region and placed he under the protection and guardianship of the king Vindhyaketu, the ruler of that region. He, then, left the place on a pilgrimage.

"As the fate would have it, Priyadarsikā could not enjoy the peaceful stay for long here also. While the chamberlain was out on the pilgrimage, Vindhyaketu, too, was attacked and killed in a battle. When
the chamberlain returned he could not get any trace of the princess. Later,
we are told in the drama that Vindhyaketu lost his life fighting against
Vijayasena, the senāpati of Vatsarāja. Vijayasena led an expedition
against Vindhyaketu for the reasons not known from the drama. The
result was stail to Vindhyaketu who lost the war and was ultimately killed
in the battle fighting against Vijayasena. In addition to the spoils of the
war, Vijayasena also brought with him a young maiden. She was Priyadarsikā, but as she did not disclose her identity she was supposed to be
the daughter of Vindhyaketu.

"In accordance with the instructions from the king Udayana himself she was placed in charge of the chief queen Väsavadattä with instructions for her princely education and training in all womanly accomplishments such as dance and music. He also directed to be informed when the reached a marriageable age.

"One day, after a year or so, king Vatsa, while walking in the garden of the royal palace saw Āranyakā (called so for having been found in the āranya or forest), the supposed daughter of king Vindhyaketu. She was sent there by the queen Vāsavadattā to collect lotuses required to be offered in religious worship. There in the garden she was suddenly attacked by the bees buzzing over the lotuses. She cried Indivārikā for help; but, as the latter was at some distance, the king, after being requested by the Vidtṣaka, rushed to help her and, apparently, found an opportunity to praise her lotus-like beauty. Āranyakā was alarmed at the sudden appearance of a man and again cried Indivārikā for help. When she

learnt that her rescuer was the king Vatsarāja himself who was chosen as her husband by her father, she felt infatuated with passionate love and earnest desire to meet him again. Similar was the response from the king who was also very much attracted by her rare charm and exceptional beauty.

After a short interval after this meeting, Sāinkṛityāyanī, the queen's intimate friend, composed a drama representing an incident of the love-affair of the king Udayana and his queen Vāṣavadattā. It was just incidentally that in this dramatic performance Āraṇyakā was to play the role of the queen and Manoramā, the attendant of the queen, was entrusted with the role of the king. It was at that time the king hinself entered secretly to perform his own role and thus got an opportunity to express his love to Āraṇyakā. This secret plot could not work successfully and the queen soon learnt the truth. She got very angry and ordered immediate arrest of both the Vidūṣaka and Āraṇyakā. Even king's personal expression of apology could not pacify the queen and all attempts to bring reconciliation were in vain. Āraṇyakā was sent to the prison.

In the mean-time, queen Vasavadatta received a message from her mother with the contents that king Drdhavarman, the husband of her mother's sister was in captivity of the king of Kalinga. She was very sorry for his (Drdhavarman's) plight, but the news of the triumphant expedition under Vijavasena, a general of king Udavana, gladdens her heart. He was immediately followed by the chamberlain of Drdhavarman. The chamberlain had brought the grateful homage of his master, but his account of the disappearance of the princess Priyadarsika moved the queen deeply. She had no knowledge till that time that Āranyakā and Privadaršikā were one and the same girl. She had, however, ordered her release, but, at that very time, we learn that Āraṇyakā had taken poison. This news was conveyed to the queen by Manoramä. The queen was very much upset and ordered to bring Āranyakā before her. She was in a very serious condition. The chamberlain of Drdhavarman immediately recognised her as his master's lost daughter. The king Udayana practised his antipoison formula which he had learnt in the Naga world and restored Priyadarsikā to life. Queen Vāsavadattā was overwhelmed with joy to find

her lost cousin Priyadarsikā. The latter became the lawful wife of king Vatsarāja Udayana as she was already pledged by her father. Thus the drama comes to a happy end.

2. THE RATNAVALI

Like Priyadarsikā, it is also a four-Act drama. There is the closest structural similarity between the Priyadarsikā and the Ratnāvalī. We find the likeness in theme, ideas, manners, method of treatment and corresponding situations. This will be clear from its story. It runs as follows:

"Ratnāvalī, the daughter of the king Vikramabāhu, the ruler of Crylon, was betrothed to be married to the king Udayana of Kausāmbī. His chief queen was Vāsavadaltā. It was during a voyage Ratnāvalī was shipwrecked; but was, fortunately, saved and brought to the court of king Udayana. The latter's Chief Minister placed her under the guardianship of the queen Vāsavadattā without disclosing that she was the princess of Ceylon aiready betrothed to her husband Udayana. Throughout the play she is known as Sāgarikā. She was so named because she was saved from the sea after the shipwreck.

"One day the king saw Săgarikă în the palace garden. Both of them fell in love with each other at the very first sight. The courtainje continued, but the chief queen Văsavadattă soon learnt of it, and, as a result of her natural jealousy, she imprisoned Săgarikă along with the Vidūşaka. One day, when the king's palace was on artificial fire caused by a feat of a magician, the king promptly saved Săgarikă. It was at that very moment Vasubbūti, the Prime Minister of her father, who, like the princess, also escaped death in the shipwreck in which the princess was allegedly supposed to have been drowned, appeared on the scene. His sudden appearance resulted in revealing an identity of Săgarikă with Ratnāvali. The queen Văsavadattă, who was related to her as a cousin,

According to A. Berriedale Keith it "has served to illustrate the technical rules" of a natikal. The Sanskrit Drawa in its Origin Development Theory and Fractice, Oxford University Press, Sec. Reprint, 1959, P. 171.

accepted her (Ratnāvali) as a co-wife. This drama also comes to a happy

3. THE NĂGĂNANDAM

Literary critics are fully justified in regarding the Någånandarh as one of the best plays of the Sanskrit literature.⁸ The central theme is that of a great sacrifice of a man who gives up his life to save that of a serpent. Unlike the Priyadarsika and the Ratnavall, it is a five-Act play.⁹ The story is simple and "Buddhistic in tone and theme." The story is as follows:

"Jīmūtavāhana, the Vidyādhara prince, who is the hero of the drama, left his kingdom in the hands of his ministers and went to the forest in order to wait upon and to serve his parents who had already left the worldly life. He was accompanied by his friend Vidūṣaka during his march to the forest.

The hero and his friend went to the Malaya mountain to find out some suitable site for their residence. Jimitavihana was very much pleased with the beautiful scenery at the mountain and determined to make it his abode. In the meantime he heard some song and followed the sound of it. When he reached there, he saw a beautiful maiden named Malayavati at the temple of the goddess Gauri. Both of them fell in love with each other at the very first sight. At this moment a sage arrived and called Malayavati back to her residence. The hero and his friend also retired to their abode.

"When Malayavati and her maid Chaturikā were in the sandalbower, Jīmūtavāhana and Vidūṣaka reached there. Malayavatī and Chaturikā remained concealed. In the meantime Mitravasu, Malayavatī's

^{8.} A. B. Keith, The Sanskrit Drama, Pp. 176-180; S. K. De, History of Sanskrit Literature, Pp. 255-262,

Năgănandani is a nătaka în real sense of the term as understood by Indians. For the study of origin, object, scope, method and general characterists of drama a reference be made to the History of Sanskrit Literature by Sushii Kumar De, Pp. 42-68.

brother, offered his sister to the hero. Jimutavahana rejected the offer as he did not know that Malayavati and his beloved were one and the same girl. When Mitravasu had been away to negotiate with Jimutavahana's father, Malayavati attempted to commit suicide. Chaturika, who remained concealed, just close by, raised a cry for help. Jimutavahana rushed forward and saved Malayavati. At this moment another maid informed that Jimutavahana's father had consented to accept Malayavati as his daughter-in-law, and the marriage was celebrated the same day.

"After the marriage was over the hero and heroine lived happily. During this period Mitravasu brought the news of the invasion by Mātańga, and expressed his readiness to fight against Mātaṅga. Jimūtavāhana reiected war to avoid the inhuman slaughter of the people.

"Once Jiniütavahana went to the sea-shore and saw the heaps of the bones of the serpents. Mitravasu gave an account as to how the bones of the serpents came to be piled up there. He also informed about an agreement concluded between Garuda, the serpent-killer, and the serpent king Vāsuki. The latter had promised to send each day one serpent for the former. Jimiuavahana determined to save the life of one serpent, at least, by offering himself to Garuda. By this time Mitravasu retired from the scene as he was called by his father. Then came Sankhachtda, the serpent, with his old mother who was weeping severely as his son was to be swallowed by Garuda. Jimiūtavahana offered his own life for that of the serpent.

"As soon as this news reached the parents of Jimūtavāhana and Malayavatī, they were overwhelmed with grief and went to the peak of the Malaya mountain with the ascrificial fire with which they intended to kill themselves. When Garuḍa came to know his mistake that he had eaten a noble prince instead of the serpent, he at once flew up to bring Amrita from Indra to give back the life of Jimūtavāhana. The parents of Jimūtavāhana and Malayavatī had prepared to throw themselves into the fire. At this moment the Goddess Gaurī appeared before them and revived Jimūtavāhana. At the same time Amrita was showered by Garuḍa and all the dead serpents got back their lives. Gaurī installed Jimūtavāhana

as the Emperor of the Vidyādharas. Mātanga and other adversaries accepted his sovereignty. At this point the drama comes to an end."

HARŞA'S MERITS AS A DRAMATIST HARSA'S SUCCESS IN WRITING THE NĀŢIKĀS

As noted above, both the Priyadarsikā and the Ratnāvali are the nāṭikās and Harşa has very successfully dealt with them in accordance with the rules laid down in the works on dramaturgy. The two dramas display the closest resemblance between themselves. Commenting on this point, Prof. Sushilkumar De has rightly observed that "these dramas are practically variations of a single theme in almost identical form." According to him, "the striking similarity of structures and situations is more than merely accidental." A detailed study of these points is made in the pages that follow.

Reference has already been to the repeated induction of the each play and the benedictory stanzas at the close of the plays, and now more details pertaining to repeated and identical phases, situations, thoughts and similarities in the structure will now be brought to light. It may, however, be remembered that such evidences are some of the general and familiar features of the Sanskrit drama. But these similarities, to such a great extent, are certainly more than were generalities. They exist nowhere to such an extent as we notice them between the Priyadaršikā and the Ratnāvali.

We find the closest identity in many matters such as style, plot, story, theme, situations, treatment of the subject and ideals of these two dramas. It We also find many common characters. King Vatsarāja Udayana figures as the hero of these dramas. His chief queen Vāsavadattā also figures very prominently. Another important common character Vasavadattā, the Vidūṣaka and an intimate friend of the hero. Similatly we find queen Vāsavadattā's principal maid-servant Kāfichanamālā.

^{10.} S. K. De, History of Sanskrit Literature, P. 256.

^{11.} A detailed analysis of these is made in the section A of the present chapter.

Among other characters reference may also be made to Rumanyant. He appears in the Priyadarfika in the role of a minister, but in the Rannauli he comes before us as a great military general who led successful military expeditions. These expeditions are described in details in the play.

Some difference, however, is noticed in the role of Yaugandharāyaṇa. In the Rantavall he appears as a distinguished minister whereas in the Priyadaršikā he finds mention, not in a very significant manner, in the Mimic Play.

Female characters are almost the same, but they appear with different names. Kāñchanamālā alone finds an identical place in both the dramas.

The striking parallels are marked in the roles of Āraŋyakā and Sāgarikā, the heroines of the nāṭikās. The main story of both these plays centres round there lost princesses. As soon as the prastāvanā is over, in the Priyadariikā, we learn that Vinayavasu, the chamberlain (Kaāchuki) comes in the explanatory scene (Viyakarhbhaka)¹³ to tell us the sad and unfortunate plight of Priyadariikā after her father lost the battle against Kalinga. The princess was placed in charge of the king Vindhyaketu of the Vindhya forest regions. Here, too, the princess could not enjoy peace for long. Her guardian, king Vindhyaketu, was also attacked and killed in the battle. The old chamberlain had no knowledge of the whereabouts of the princess.

Similar situation is found in the Ratnävalt where we find that Sāgarikā, the princess of Ceylon, who escaped death in a shipwreck and was resuced by a merchant of Kauśāmbī, was placed under the guardianship of king Vatsarāja Udayana. Thus both the princesses are placed in charge of queen Vāsavadattā.

The situations of the first meeting of king Vatsa and the heroine are also almost the same. It happens in the garden where Āraṇyakā and

^{12.} Vişakambhaka is one of the five Intermediate scenes (arthopaksphaka) davised in order to comop an intimation. According to Dhanañjaya it is "presented by middling characters and is for the purpose of condensing" and explaining the "parts of the story that have habbened or are about to habben."

Sāgarikā, the heroines, had been with their confidants, Indivārikā and Susameztā, respectively.

Many points of similarities in situations and styles are marked in the garden scene of the plays. The part played by the Vidüşaka is almost similar in both of them. In both the dramas similar schemes have been devised to arrange the meetings of the hero and the heroine. The Vidüşaka and the maid-servant play an important part in preparing ground for these meetings. These secretly-planned schemes are disclosed to the chief queen Vāsavadattā who plays the role of a dominant wife. The latter puts the Vidüşaka and the heroine in the jail. Their release from the imprisonment is also similar in both the dramas and was ordered by the queen under similar circumstances. The heroine in both the plays comes to the point of death and is saved by the king himself. She was ultimately recognized as the lost princes related to the chief queen Vāsavadattā. The latter, therefore, willingly accepts her as a co-wife. This happy occasion is immediately followed by an announcement of the military triumphs of the armies of kine Udayana.

In addition to these striking similarities and parallel situations we must also refer to other parallels pertaining to the style, thought and general description observed throughout the plays.

We find an identical expression of king Vatsarāja's satisfaction over the affairs of the State. In the Priyadaršikā he says, "I am convinced of the constancy of the servitors; I have the wisdom of my councilius; I have also proved my friends and know full well the devotion of my people; I have satisfied my passion for the dangers of battle; I have won the pearl of women (Vasawadatiā) what, indeed, have I not gained by my captivity, as though by piety unfcigned?"14

मृत्यानामविकारिका परिणता दृष्टा मितमिनिकणा

मित्राच्यप्युपलिकानि विदितः पौरानुरागोऽधिकम्।

निव्यादा रणसाहसब्धसनिता स्त्रीरत्नसासादिनं

निव्याजादिव धर्मतः किमिन न प्राप्तं मया बन्यनात ॥६॥

⁻⁻⁻ प्रियदधिका, अंक १।

The translation adopted above is from the English translation of the Pri-

In the Ratnāvali we find the same sentiments with some slight variations. The king says, "The kingdom has all (its) enemies vanquished. The entire burden (of administration) has been entrusted to the suitable minister. The people are happy with all protections. Pradyota's daughter (Vāsavadattā), the spring season and you (friend Vidūṣaka) are there. Let Cupid, therefore, derive as much as satisfaction of mind as he desires, from the (mere) name (of the festival); but, all the same, I consider this great Cupid festival to be mine."

The next parallels between the two are found in the reports of the generals about their successful military expeditions. In the Priyadarsikâ, we learn that General Vijayasena was dispatched against Vindhyaketu. When he returned after his victories over the forest king Vindhyaketu, he reports to the king of his successful mission. Similarly in the Ratnāvali, the general, who was dispatched against Kośala, gives an almost identical account of his expedition.

There are striking similarities in the descriptions of the battles also. When the king expressed his desire to litten to an account of the battle in detail, ¹⁸ the Generals give their respective accounts. In the Priyadaršikā we find Vijayasena saying, "Your Majesty, hear. With an army of elephants, cavalry and infantry, as directed by Your Majesty's command, we traversed the road from here, though long, in three days.

yadar sikå, edited and translated by Nariman, Jackson, and Ogden, Columbia University Indo-Iranian Series, Vol. X, Pp. 10-11.

राज्यं निवित्तसन् योग्यनिषये न्यस्तः समस्तो भरः सम्यक्षालनलालिताः प्रशामताश्रेषोपनर्गाः प्रधाः। प्रधोतस्य सुता वसन्तसमयस्यं चैति नाम्ना पृतिः,

कामः काममुपत्वयं नम पूनर्मन्ये महानुत्सवः।।९।। --रत्नावली, अंक १।

Ratnävali, ed. and tr. Prof. V. K. Joshi and Prof. G. M. Watve. In the Ratnavali's edition by Godbole and Parab, however the verse under question is the tenth stanza of Act I.

^{15. &#}x27;विस्तरतः श्रोतुमिच्छामि', प्रियदक्षिका, प्रथमोऽकूः, प्० १३।

Priyadarsikā, Eng. tr. Act I, Pp. 14-15. Exactly similar words are used in the Ratudvalt. Ratudvalt, Act IV, P. 136.

At daybreak, while our presence was wholly unsuspected, we fell upon Vindhyaketu."14

Similarly in the Ratnävali we find Vijayasena, 18 the victorious general, saying, "Your Majesty, listen. At your command, in only some (few) days, having marched (lit gone) with a large collection of army, irresistible, on account of numerous elephants, horses and foot soldiers; and having blocked the gates of the king of Kośala who had taken resort in a fort on Vindhya mountain, we began to arrange the formation of our army." In response to this description the king again expresses his anxiety with indentical words "then, then." If Such common details of descriptions, parallels in phrases, style and thought are so many that it is difficult to refer to them all.

Svastivāchana rites (soļfhioāno)²⁰ and gifts to Brāhmaṇas on the occasions of worship and religious performances figure identically in the dramas. The Vidūṣaka, a Brāhmaṇa, also expresses the same anxiety and eagerness for the gifts.

The heroines of these plays, while looking at the hero, say in almost similar expression that they were pledged to be married to him (king Udayana) by their respective fathers, ⁵¹

^{16.} Priyadarsikā, Act I, Pp. 14-15 देव अनुवताम्। इतो वर्ष देवपादादेशसमा-विच्टेन करितुरापचातिसैन्येन महान्तमप्यप्यानं दिवसनयेणीलक्क्ष्यं प्रभातवेलायामत्र्यिकता एव विज्यकेतीस्परि निपतितास्मः।" प्रिय०, च० अ०, प० १३।

^{17.} There is a slight variation in the name of the generals.

देव श्रृंथताम् । वयमितो देवादेशात्कति पयरेवाहोभिरतेककरियुरलपित्तपद्वांनवारेण सहता बलसमृहेन गरश विन्ययुर्गावस्थितस्य कोशालाभिषतेद्वरिमवष्टस्य सेनाः समावेकायितुमारब्याः ।

⁻Ratnāvalī, Act IV, Ed. and tr. Joshi and Watve, P. 136.

^{19. &#}x27;ततस्तः'-प्रियवर्शिका, अंक १, पू० १३; रत्नावली, अंक ४, पू० १३६।

^{20.} Priyadarsikā, Act II, Pp. 20 ff; प्रियः, हिन्दी संस्करण, पृ० २०-२२ और आमे रत्नावकी, अंक १, पृ० १९ और आमे।

^{21. &#}x27;अर्थ सकु स महाराजो यस्याहं तातेन दत्ता'—प्रिय०, द्वि० अ०, पृ० ३७।

In the Ratnavalt we find it with a slight variation. It reads as 'क्समयं स राजा उदयनो यस्याहं तातेन वता'।

⁻Ratnāvali, Act I, P. 31.

The description of the Makaranda garden in the Ratnāvali²² reminds us of a beautiful account of the garden attached to the dhārāgṛiha in the identical language in the Priyadarfikā.²³

The expressions of disgust and despair by the heroines also appear in identical tone. When Sāgarikā found that her love for the king would hardly succeed, she says to hereself with a sigh, "Be calm, my heart, be calm. What use is this resistence on yearning for a person difficult to obtain." At the time of similar state of mind Priyadaršikā says, "O my heart, why does thou make me so unhappy by longing for one that hardly be thine?" The remedies for pacifying the agonizing passion for the hero are also the same. When it became unbearable to resist the passion we find that lotus leaves (nalmipatrāṇi) were applied to the heart of the heroire."

Similarly the king's intensified excitement for meeting the heroine, his expressions of love, queen's anger when she learnt of her husband's love-affairs with Āraņyakā and Sāgarikā respectively, and king's persuasive attempts at pacifying the queen with apologetic tone, description of queen's anger with frowning brows and ultimately king's falling at her feet display a close similarity and identity of expression and phraseology. The scenes depicting the story of recognition of the heroines and Vāsavadattā's expression of overwhelming joy when she learnt of her relationship with the lost princesses also exibit the same identical approach.³⁸

^{22.} Ratnávali, I. Pp. 20.

^{23.} Privadaršikā. II. Pp. 22-25; प्रिय0 प् 0 २२-२४1

^{24.} Similarity in the action and state of mind of Sagarika and Aranyaka is remarkable. Ratnavali, P. 37. ततः प्रविश्वति सदनावस्यां नाटयन्ती सायरिका।

Almost similar description is found in the Priyadaršikā. P. 42, ततः प्रविद्यासानस्या कामावस्या नाट्यास्यारम्यका।

^{25. &#}x27;बुदबमसीय प्रसीव । किमनेनावासमाचफलेन दुर्लभजनप्रार्थनानुबन्धेन।' Ratmäpali, II. P. 37.

^{26. &#}x27;हृदय, दुलंभजनं प्रार्वयमानं त्वं कस्मान्यां दुःखितां करोषि'--प्रियवशिका, पृ० ४२।
Eng. Tr. III, Pp. 38-39.

^{27.} Priyadaršikā, III, Pp. 43 ff; Ratnāvali, II, Pp. 43 ff.

^{28.} Prof. Sushilkumar De, History of Sanskrit Literature, Pp. 256-258.

These are some of the examples and space does not permit me to refer to them all. Dr. S. K. De has rightly observed that "the extraordinary similarity of plot development, however, neatly conducted, as well as the close resemblance of the characters, makes the one play almost a repetition or recast of the other." 18

Such similarities and parallels in minor details, style and thought, identical phraseology are also found Letween the Ratnävall and the Nägänandam and the Priyadarsikä and the Nägänandam. These are not, however, to that extent as we have noticed between the Priyadarsikä and the Ratnävall. Nägänandam is a drama with a different aim and purpose and, therefore, these similarities and parallels are not so conspicuous as we have just noted. It may also be remembered that parallels and identical references are not uncommon in Sanskrit dramas. This may be because of a common approach to a drama and its creation with a common purpose.

VALIDITY OF HARSA'S CLAIM TO ORIGINALITY

In his dramas Harşa repeatedly and unmistakably refers to in the Induction that "the dramas are graced" (aleinkritā) by the completely new (apāma) treatment of subject (Vastmachanā). This claim of Harşa does not sound well for we know it for certain that the stories of these dramas are borrowed from earlier literary works, and, therefore, any claim to originality is to be confined to the recasting of old stories and legends and proper ordering of the details of the plays in accordance with the rules laid down in the works on dramaturgy. In the Priyadaršikā and the Ratnāvali the story centres round the hero, king Udayanaso or Vastsarāja, ¹⁴

^{29.} Ibid., P. 258.

^{30.} In Pali works he is known as Udena whereas in Sanskrit works he is referred to as Udayana,

^{31.} This name simply denotes that he was the king of the country of Vatsa or the Vatsas, i.e. the people of Vatsa country. This name or such epithet is not found in earlier texts.

of the country of Vatsas*2 with his capital at Kaušāmbi.*8 He enjoys an eminent place in our traditions, legends and literature and, therefore, we

33. One of the most important centres of trade and culture. Kauśāmbi blaved an important role in the political history of India. It is righty identified with modern Kosham near Allahabad. This identification was first established by Major-General Cunningham. Archaeological Survey of India Reports, Vol. J. Pp. 301-12: Ibid., Vol. X, Pp. 1-3; Ibid., XXI, Pp. 1-3. This view is also held by Fuhrer. Archaeological Survey Reports, New Imperial Series, Vol. XII, Pp. 140-143; Sir V. A. Smith was first to doubt this theory of identification in his article in the Journal of Royal Assatic Society, 1898, Po. 503-19. His reasonning is that it is not in conformity with the evidences supplied by Yuan Chuang. Thomas Watters supports South in his 'On Yuan Chuang's Travels in India', Vol. I. Pp. 365-72, Reference may also be made to Buddhist Records of the Western World, tr. by Samuel Beal, Vol. I, Pp. 234-39; Life of Hiuen-Tsiang, tr. Beal, Pp. 90ff. In the light of modern revearches Smith's stand of identifying Kausambi with Satna is untenable. Watters also does not agree with him. Watters, I, Pp. 365-7. Literary evidences support the identification of Kausambi with Kosham. Dhammabala Theragatha Commentary Its Summary by Mrs. Rhys Davids, "Psalms of the Brethern, London, 1913, Pp. 159-60, Sutta-Nipāta Comm., edited by H. Smith, Lond., 1917, II. 514; Chullavagga, 11.1.12; Samvutta-Nikāva, edited by Feer, London, 1894. 5. 179; Byihatkathā's lokusamgraha, 4.14. Epigraphic evidences, too, support this view. Ep. Ind., Vol. II, Pp. 234-44, Journal of Asiatic Society of Bengal, V. P. 73. Reference may also be made to Cambridge History of India, Vol. I. Ph. 524-26. The recent executations at Kausambi conducted under the guidance of Prof. G. R. Sharma, Head of the Departement of Ancient Indian History, Culture and Archaeology, University of Allahabad, have brought Kausambi on the archaeological map of India and now there can not be two opinions about this identification of Kausambi with modern Kosham.

^{32.} Vatuas are also mentioned as Vanksas among the Sodasmahājanapadas. Rhys Danids, Buddhist India, New york, 1903, P. 3ff; Cambridge History of India, Vol. I, Pp. 187-188; History and Culture of the Indian people, Vol. II (Age of Imperial Unity), Bhartiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay, ed. by Dr. R. C. Mayumdar, Pp. 1-15.

must try to ascertain his place historically and I propose to discuss this problem in detail.

According to the Purāṇic evidences Udayana belonged to the famous line of the Pāṇḍavas. We are told that Yudhiṣthira, the eldest of the five Pāṇḍavas, was the first to be installed as an emperor after the conclusion of the Bhārata War. He was succeeded by Parikṣita, grandson of Arjuna and son of Abhimanyu by his wife Uttarā. It was mainly because of his efforts, and more so due to the achievements of his son Janamejaya, the Pāṇḍavas could become the masters of a great kingdom. The total number of rulers in this dynasty varies from twentysix to thirty. It was during the reign of the sixth ruler in the line, named Nichaksu (Vivakṣu according to Matyya Purāṇa, Kṛiṣṇa according to Garuḍa and Nemichaksu according to Bhāgavata Purāṇa), the kingdom of the Kurus had to ace many natural devastating calamities. There was complete destruction of crops in that land of the Kurus. Their capital, the great city of Hastināpura, was washed away in floods. These circumstances forced a mass migration of the Kurus to the Vatsa country. The

It was probably due to their old connexions with the country of Vatsa they preferred that land. We learn that the people of the Vatsa country had fought as an ally of the Pāṇḍavas in their struggle with the Kauravas for political supremacy.³⁸

Our knowledge of the history after this migration under Nichaksu

^{34.} According to Caruqa Purāņa, 26 rulers are said to have ruled in this dynasty. Viņu Pu. refers to 29 kings, Matyva Pu. to 28, Bhāganata to 27 and Vajus Pu to 30. But they all agree to say that the first and the last kings were Abhimanyu and Kyemaka respectively.

^{35.} It was probably due to locust menace or heavy hailstorms. Chhâmdogyopanisad, I, 10.1.

^{36.} Pargiter, Dynasties of the Kali Age, P. 5.

^{37.} Pargiter, on the other hand, opines that the immediate cause of this migration was the pressure from Punjab. Ancient Indiam Historical Traditions, P. 285.

^{38.} Ibid., Pp. 269ff.

is meagre. We have just the names of rulers who are said to have ruled over Vatsa from Nichakşu to Kşemaka. It was only king Udayana who won much glory and renown for his dynasty and the capital. We learn from the Purāņas that he was the fifth king from the last king Kşemaka. He succeeded his father Saiānika III⁸⁰ and was succeeded by Ahinara.

The king Udayana was a very powerful ruler and has been assigned a significant role in many legends, traditions and literary work, ⁴¹ He was contemporary to Buddha, ⁴² and is said to have outlived the Great Master. ⁴² Other contemporary rulers to him were king Pradyota of Avanti and king Ajātaśatru of the kingdom of Magadha. But none of them arrested the attention of Sanskrit authors so much as Udayana has done. It was probably because of his romantic bent of mind and heroic deeds that he succeeded in winning some of the famous princesses of hit times. We have to accept that the literary traditions cannot be dismissed as altogether baseless. They contain the elements of truth. Dr. Bhandarkar appears to have relied upon the historical value of these literary traditions. ⁴⁴ It will not be out of place to refer to some of them.

- 39. Durdaman according to Bhagapata Purana.
- 40. Matsva Purāna refers to him as Vahinara.
- 41. If the Purăņic Texts are believed he extended his suzerainty over the kingdom of Avanti, and, probably, also over the kingdom of Magadha. But this claim does not appear to be historically correct. Udayana might have extended his subremacs: temborarity.
- 42. He is said to have been born on the same day on which Buddha was born.
- 43. We are told that Buddha's closest disciple Ananda, persnally visited Kautāmbi, the capital of Vestras, and discussed with king Udeyana some of the proceedings of the First Buddhist Sangtis that was convened at Rajagriha soon after Buddha's death. It was at this Council many thonges were levelled against Ananda. Vineya Texis, translated and edited by Rhys Davids and Oldenberg, published in the Sacred Book of the East series, Oxford, 1895, Vol. XX, Part III, Pp. 381f.
- 44. Dr. Bhandarkar, Lecturers on Ancient Indian History and Culture, Calcutta, 1919. Pb. 58sf.

LITERARY TRADITIONS ASSOCIATED WITH UDAYANA

Many Buddhist⁴⁵ and Jain traditions⁴⁶ contain references to Udayana and his adventures. Before Harsa chose him as his hero of his dramas, the Ratnāvali and the Priyadaršikā, he (Udayana) was assigned that role in the famous works of Bhāsa, namely the Svapnavāsavadatā and the Pratijhāyaugandharāyana.⁴⁷ Kauṭilya also makes a reference to Udayana.⁴⁸ Udayana and Vāsavadattā were also known to Pataḥjali.⁴⁸ Sūdraka refers to Udayana's success of coming out of captivity with the help of Yaugandharayana.⁴⁸ Kālidāsa also refers to him in his Meghadūta refering to village elders of Avantī who are said to have been conversant with the story of Udayana.⁸¹

- Dhammapada Commentary, ed by H. C. Norman, London, 1909,
 1.2, 161-231: E. W. Burlingame, Buddhist Legends, Harvard Oriental Series,
 Vol. XXVIII, Part I, Pp. 247-293; Majjihn Nikāya commentary centitled, Pañ-chastâdáni, 3. 300-302; Dalhadhamma Jätaka, ed. by V. Fausboll, Lond.,
 1883, 3. 384-387; Vinaya Texts, Rhys Davids and Oldenberg, II, Pp. 186-191.
- 46. Like Buddhist traditions many Jain traditions also deserve mention. Kumärapälapratibudha of Somaprabha, ed. by Mini Jimpigyaji, Geakwad Oriental Series, Baroda, No. 14, Pp. 76-83; Triustustäkäpurusa charita of Hemprabha and Mirigiwati charita of Maldhäri contain Udayama legendi.
- 47 Both these dramas are edited by Pandsta T. Ganapati Šāstrī in his famous Trwandrum Vauskrit Seites, Vols. XV and XVI. The Problem of authorship of these dramas has arrested the attention of famous Orientalists and Indologists Ind. Ant., 1916, Pp. 188-195; JRAS, 1919, Pp. 233-4, Ibid., 1921, Pp. 587-9; Ibid., 1922, Pp. 79-83.
- 48. दृष्टा हि जीवित. 9ुनरावृत्ति थया सुमात्रोदयनाम्याम् । Book. IX, chapter VII, tr. and ed. by R. Samasastri, Mysore, 1919, P. 360.
 - 49. Mahābhāsya, 2.313.
 - 50. ज्ञातीन् . उत्तेजवामि सुद्धः परिजोक्षणाय । योगन्वरायण दवोदयनस्य राजः॥ — गुण्डकटिक, जतुर्व अंक, कठोक २६। Ed. by Godbolc and Parab, Bombay, 1896, P. 190; Another edition by
- K. P. Parab, Bombay, 1900, P. 113.
 - 51. 'अवन्तीन् उदयनकथाकोविदशासमृहान्।' Meghadüta, Part I, Stanza

STORY OF UDAYANA IN KASHMIRI TRADITIONS

Two famous works of Kashmiri authors depict a graphic picture of the story of Udayana and Vāsavadattā. They are the Bṛihatkathāmaā-jarī of Kṣemendra^{sa} and Kathāsaritasāgara of Somadeva.^{sa} Both these works refer to Udayana legends with almost identical details.^{sa} To understand Harṣa's plots and his method of borrowing the story from the Udayana legends, we must know the story as told in these works.

According to them Udayana belonged to the famous line of the Pāṇḍavas. Udayana was son of Saharānīka. His mother was queen Mṛigāvati, who, while in prepnancy, was carried away by a bird and was left alone on the Udaya Parvata where she gave birth to Udayana at the hermitage of sage Jamadagni. One day, this boy freed a snake from the snake-charmer for a bracelet. The serpent, who was Vasunemi, the eldest brother of Vāsuki, the snake-chief, presented, as a token of his obligation, a lute called Ghoṣavati. The latter possessed many supernatural

- Brihatkathāmañjari by Pandit Shivadatta and Parab, Bombay,
 1901, has been consulted in this article.
- Kathāsaritasāgara, edited by Durga Prasad and Parab, Bombay, 1889, Translation by Tawney, Calcutta, 1884.
- 54. A slight variation is noticed in Kramendra's Brihatkathāmañjarī. It tells us of king Udayana being taken to Pātāla by a srepent. There he married a naga mainen and received his famous Lute Choquaeti. This story is related in Harpa's Priyadarfikā along with Udayana's tate Choquaeti and his advanturous trip to Pātāla where he learnt an art of counteracting the effect of posion. It may be recalled here that both Brihatkathāmañjarī and Kathāvaritatāgara cove their wrigin to Brihatkathā of Guņāfhya who is supposed have to flourished about the third century A.D.
- 55. We note one important difference in the story here. According to Purānic evidences Udayana was son of Satānika II whereas Kathāsaritasāgara refers to him as son of Sabassānika.

ed. by Godbole and Parab, Second edition, p. 24. About his other adventures
Kälidäsa also refers to in the Meghadüta, 1.31; Ibid., ed. and tr. Godbole and
Parab, Ph. 26 and 49.

and magical powers. In course of time the bracelet reached the king Sahasrānika. As his name was inscribed on it, the king recognised it immediately and could get the knowledge of his wife and son. He left in search of them and found them at the āframa. They all returned to Kauśāmbi. King Sahasrānika consecrated Udayana as the crown prince. Vasantaka, Rumanyanta and Yaugandharāyana were appointed as his counsellors. After some time, the king abdicated the throne in the favour of his son and the latter became the king of Kauśāmbi.

Udayana, soon after coming to throne, started his career as a pleasure-loving king. He was very much interested in wild animals, especially the elephants. They were tuned and trained by him with the help of his lute Ghosavati.

He is said to be very keen to marry princess Vasavadatta, the beautiful daughter of Chandamahāsena,36 the king of Avantī, by his queen Angravatt. This was not easy to be materialized because of existing rivalries between the two royal houses. The Avanti ruler was determined to crush the rising power of Kausambi under U layana; but wanted to avoid an open conflict. He knew Udayana's passion for hunting and successfully planned to exploit this weakness of Udayana. An artificial elephant of wood was constructed and was fixed up in the Vindhya forest bordering Udayana's territorial jurisdiction. This wooden elephant was also filled with soldiers. Chandamahäsena's strategy worked well and Udayana, who had come to tame the elephant with his Ghosavati, was caught in this trap. He was captured and was taken to Ujjayini where he was treated well by the king. The latter appointed him as a music teacher to teach his daughter Vāsavadattā in the music-room of the palace. When the news of Udayana's arrest reached Kausambi, Yaugandharāyaṇa and Vasantaka left for Ujjayini in order to work out the scheme to free their master. They reached there and entered the palace in disguise. They, however, revealed their identity to Udayana.

^{56.} Chandamahāsena is also knowm as Mahāsena or also as Pradpota in the Britatkathāslokasangraha. (5.89-174) and almost all Buddhist logends tefer to him by this name.

As the princess Vāsavadattā had already fallen in love with Udayana, the plan of escaping from the palace was made easy. Yaugantharāyana worked out the details of the escape and Udayana filed with Vāsavadattā on her favourite elephant Bhadrāvatī. Vasantaka and Kāñchanamālā also left with them. As soon as the news disclosed, Chandmahāsena's son Pālaka immediately followed them on the elephant Nadāgiri. As the latter did not attack his friend Bhadrāvatī, Pālaka felt helpless and was pursuaded by his brother Gopālaka to return.

Udayana and Väsavadattä reached the Vindhya region where they met their trusted general Rumanyanta. They reached Kausambi and the formal celebrations were made at the capital. Kathäsaritasägara afterwards records two other love affairs of Udayana. One was with Virachitä, the female attendant of the palace and the other was with Bandhumati, the princess in the captivity. It was the timely intervention of Sämkṛriyāyani that proved helpful in bringing about the reconciliation between Udayana and Väsavadattä. This work then tells us of Udayana's marriage with Padmävatt.

SOME INCIDENTS OF UDAYANA'S LIFE AS FOUND IN THE BRIHATKATHĀŚLOKASAMGRAHA*

The story of Udayana and his achievements is not found in so many details in the Brihatkathäßlokasarigraha as we find it in the works referred to above. But the former gives some information about some incidents and characters connected with them. An account of Udayana's birth and early life, his visit to Pätäla and his success in getting

^{57.} Her part in the story reminds us of Āranyakā in the Priyadaršikā of Harşa or Sāgarikā in the Ratnāvali.

^{58.} Sämkrityäyani also plays a similar role in the Priyadarsikä.

^{59.} A reference is also made to Padmävati and other queens in the Privadar filed.

^{60.} This is another rendering of Brihatkathā of Gunādhya. According to Winternitz, its author Buddhawshan is an idependent author of the work and wrote this work without borrowing any thing from Gunādhya. But some scholars fael that he owes his story to Gunādhya.

Ghoşavati and acquiring the knowledge of taming elephants are given in almost identical ways. References to his two queens, namely Vāsavadattā and Padmāvati and three other characters, namely Vasantaka, Yaugandharāyana and Rumanyanta are also found in this work. We also learn about the Avanti king Mahāsena, his wife Aṅgrāvatī and elephants Bhadrāvatī and Nadāgiri.

STORY AS ADOPTED BY BHĀSA

It has been already pointed out that the celebrated poet Bhāsa bases his two famous plays namely the Svapnavāsavadattā and Pratijānyaugandharāyaņa on the basis of details as known from the popular traditions. The first work of Bhāsa relates the strategy as it was worked out by king Mahāsena of Avanti. Some accounts therein are different from those we find in the Kashmiri traditions.

Bhāsa does not say that Udayana was already keen to win Vāsavadatā's hand. Udayana was brought to Ujjayinī in wounded condition. He was kept as a captive. He acts as tutor to Vāsavadattā to teach her music. He tamed the elephant Naḍāgiri. Yaugandharāyaṇa also plays an important role in the story. The second drama, namely the Svapnavāsavadattā deals with the later period of Udayana's life.

THE STORY AS ADOPTED BY HARSA

From the references to the story of Vatsarāja Udayana as found in various literary sources discussed above we get a clear picture of Harşa's plots of the Priyadaršíkā and the Ratnāvalī and their characters.

Vatsarāja Udayana as the hero and Vasantaka as the Vidūṣaka are given the main male roles. Similarly the queen Vāsavadattā is identically accompanied by her intimate maid Kāñchanamālā. Yaugandharāyaṇa and Rumaṇvanta are presented in both the dramas with a significant change. We have seen that literary sources refer to Yaugandharāyaṇa as an important and aincere minister who played a successful part in liberating his master; but he is referred to in the Ratnāvalī as a general. Rumaṇvanta, however, is assigned the role of a minister in the Priyadartikā. In this play Yaugandharāyaṇa is only mentioned in the Minic Play.

Among other characters Sāthkrityāyanī has a brief but an important place. Chandamahāsena, father of Vāsavadattā, is always referred to as Pradyota.

11 It is only in the Mimic Play he is called Mahāsena. Queen Angarāvatī is brought to our notice when her letter proved helpful in disclosing the identity of Āranyakā. There is also a reference to Padmāvatī in the Priyadaršikā.

Thus, the main characters are almost the same as we find in the literary traditions and legends and, therefore, Harşa's claim for originality can find some justification in his attempt to recast the story on movel plan. In both the plays he acts independently, to a very great extent, in making the heroine the main centre of the plot. The Mimic Play in the Priyadarśikā, of course, has a close resemblance with the account found in the Kathāsaritasāgara. References to Ghoṣavatī and Vatsarāja's assignment as a music teacher are other points of resemblance. Vatsarāja's visit to Nāgaloka and his knowledge of poisons and an ability to counteract their effects also came from the original source.

With so many legends and literary traditions associated with the personality of Vatsarāja Udayana and his adventures, we cannot determine, with a definite degree, the main source of Harsa on which the latter based his dramas. It appears that he might have read all legends and traditions or only a few of them and borrowed some points and could produce a better recast.

From these literary traditions, it appears that an original story of Udayana, as told in the Brihatkathâ of Gunädhya, who is supposed to have lived about the third century A.D., had been freely rendered by later writers. They reshaped it according to their own adoptations. Udayana might have been as popular in those days as Vikramāditya of Ujjayinī or Bhoja of Dhāra. It is, probably, therefore, because of this fact, Harşa says in the Priyadarfikā and the Ratnāvalī that the story of Vatsarājā was a popular subject.

^{61.} Bhāsa also refers to him as Pradyota.

^{62.} कोकेहारि च बस्सराजचरितम्, प्रियवशिका, जंक १, पृ० ६-७। Raināvali, Act. 1. P. 4.

INFLUENCE OF KĀLIDĀSA® AND BHĀSA ON HARSA'S WORKS

There are many instances available in the dramas of Harsa which prove Kālidāsa's influence on Harsa's work. Harsa, who himself was an author, must have read Kālidāsa and because of this familiarity, it is natural for him to be influenced by Kālidāsa. The latter's drama Mālavikāgnimitta has cast its clear shadow upon the Priyadarsikā of Harsa. Shri R. V. Krishnamachāriar has made a detailed study of the points of similarity and has dealt at these points in detail in his introduction to his edition of the Privadarsika.64 The palace intrigues, heroine's participation in singing and dancing in the picksagriha65 present close similarities in both these dramas. The garden scene in the Mālavikāgnimitta⁶⁶ where the king and his friend Vidūsaka look at Mālavikā and her maid-servant concealingly finds many points of resemblance with the garden scene of the Ratnavali,67 The consequent development of the story after king's love for Malavika was sensed by his queen, who angrily put Mālavikā into prison.48 It finds its echo in the similar development of the plot in the Priyadarsika.60 The part played

^{63.} Many scholars make K\(\textit{a}\)iidasa contemporary to Chandrogupta II; Vikram\(\textit{a}\)titya. But these views have been emphatically refuted by Dr. R. B. Pandey. The learned scholar has proved, with great justification, that K\(\textit{a}\)tidasa flourished in the 1st century B. C. and was contemporary to Vikrama, the founder of the Vikrama Era, Dr. R. B. Pandey, Vikrama, The Founder of Vikrama Era, (Hindi Version), Pb. 60-67.

^{64.} R. V. Krısnamāchariar, Priyadaršikā, Šrīrangam, 1906, Pp. xlii-xlviii.

^{65.} Both kālidāsa and Harya select an identical term, in tis Prākrit i.e. Pekkhāgāram or Pekkhāgarma, Priyadaršikā, Act. 3; Mālavikāgnimitra, Acts I and 3.

^{66.} Mālavikāgnimitra, Act II.

^{67.} Priyadarsikā, Act II.

^{68.} Mālvikāgnumstra, Acts III and IV.

^{69.} Priyadar sikā, Act III.

by Kauśaki, a parivrājikā, who was intimately associated with the queen in the Mālavikāgnimitra reminds us of the similar role of a respectable lady named Sānhṣriyāyanī in the Priyadarsīkā. As Agnimitra's love-affair with Mālavikā and their secret meetings were innocently brought to the notice of the queen by the Vidūṣaka in his talk while asleep, it was under similar circumstances that Udayana's love intrigues were made known to the queen Vāsavadattā by the Vidūṣaka. These closely corresponding points make it clear that Harṣa was well acquainted with Kālidāsas's Mālavikāgnimitra.

Another drama of Kālidāsa that also presents some point of resemblance with that of Harşa's Priyadarsíkā is Vikramorvasī, though not so close as those between the Priyadarsíkā and the Mālavikāgnimitra.

The description of stone-seat in the garden-scene in the Virkamorvasi, as narrated by the Vidüşaka, appears closely similar to that of the Priyadarsikā.⁷⁰ The picture of the mid-day scene and its effect as felt by the peacocks and the bees in the Vikramorvasi⁷¹ remind us of the similar scene in the Priyadarsikă.⁷⁰

The Third act of Vikramorvasi⁷⁸ opens with a description of the state of mind of the heroine. It was her mistake that created difficulties by her faulty role she played due to an abnormal state of mind. In the Priyadaršikā, too, we find Āranyakā's poor performance in a role assigned to her. It was also due to her mental state of absentmindedness. The role of the king both in the Priyadaršikā's and the Vikramorvasi, in tendering his apologies to angry and jealous queen offers identical expressions. Both these dramas define the duties of the chamberlain in a similar manner. The

^{70.} Vikramorvaši, Act II: Privadaršikā, Act II.

^{71.} Last stanza o Act II.

^{72.} Last stanza, Act I.

^{73.} Vikramorvast, Act III, Explanatory Scene.

^{74.} Priyadarsikā, Act III.

^{75.} Priyadarsikā, Act IV, Stanzas 2 and 3.

^{76.} Act 3, Stanza 13.

^{77.} Priyadaršikā, Act 3, Stanza 3; Vikramorvaši, Act 3, Stanza 1.

Like the Vikramorvasi and the Mālavikāgnimitra Kālidāsa's famous work Sākuntala also offers some examples of identical contents and descriptions. In the Priyadarsikā, we find an episode where Aranyakā was continuously tormented by the bees. In Sākuntala, too, we find the heroine similarly being disturbed by the bees. 79 Harsa's reference to Saphara fish? in the water of the pool reminds us of an identical example in the Meghadūta. 89

Like Kālidāsa, Bhāsa, who was the first dramatist to select the Udayana legend for his dramas, must have also influenced the story and plot of Harsa's works. Some incidents in Bhāsa's dramas lead us to come to such conclusion. As he himself was a dramatist, it is likely that he might have studied the dramas of Bhāsa, Kālidāsa and many of his other predecessors.

Unlike the Priyadaršikā and the Ratnāvalt, Harşa's third drama, the Nāgānanda, is not claimed to have been based upon an original theme. The Nāgānanda is said to have been adorned with (alamkṛitā) an original arrangement (apārva Vastwachanā) of the plot. But Harşa does not make such a claim about the story of this drama. On the other hand, he says that it dealt with the story of the Vidyādhara Jātaka (Vīdyādhara/jātakapratnisbadham). Like the story of his two other dramas, the story of Jimūtavāhan is also found in the Bṛihatkathā of Guṇāḍhya. Later, it was incorporated in the Bṛihatkathāmañjarī of Ksemendra and Kathāsaritasāgara of Somadeva. It is also found in the Vetālapafichavinsati,⁸¹

CHRONOLOGY OF HARŞA'S PLAYS

By chronology, I mean here the order of the composition of the dramas assigned to Harya. At the very outset we must confess that we

^{78.} Priyadaršikā, Act 2, Pp. 30-31, Sākuntala, Act 1.

^{79.} Priyadaršikā, Act 1, Last Stanza, Last line. आभारयकीशुताय-

^{80.} Meghadūta, 1.40.

^{81.} A. B. Keith, The Sanskrit Drama, P. 174.

cannot say anything definitely and conclusively on this point, but some working arrangement can be obtained on the basis of a comparative study of the plot, style and theme of the dramas. With its simple style, plot and general set-up the Privadarsika appears to be the first work of Harsa. The question of determining the order of the two other works, however, is not so easy and simple. Both the works are superior to the first work and, therefore, opinions are at variance about their order of composition. Of these two the Ratnavali surpasses the Naganandam in literary beauty and elaborate plot. Some scholars, on assign second place to the Naganandam. But such a conclusion does not reconcile with the personal history of Harsa's life. We know it for certain that Harsa entertained Buddhist ideals in the later part of his life and as the Naganandam presents its author's Buddhistic inclinations, it must have been his last work. Thus the Ratnavall is to be assigned the second place and the Nägänandam, therefore, should be treated as his third work.

HARŞA'S LANGUAGE

We have seen in these dramas that Harşa's characters do not speak one and the same language. The sütradhära (stage-managr) and the male characters, except a few ones, use fine and literary form of Sanskrit. Other characters speak Präkrit. The latter is Sürasent with Mahäräştri in verses. Sa An example of the use of Mäghadhi is also found in the Nägänandarin. A servant speaks this language. Literary critics opine that Sanskrit used by Harsa is of "the usual classical type" and his knowledge of Präkrit is "commendable." The variation of forms in the Northern and Southern editions is also noteworthy.

^{82.} Nāgānandarh, ed. Brahme and Paranjape, P. X.

^{83.} Keith, Sanskrit Drama, P. 181; Priyadarsikā, Pp. xcl-xcv.

^{84.} I owe this information to A. B. Keith. Ibid., P. 181.

^{85.} Ibid., P. 181.

^{86.} JRAS., 1921, P. 589, cited in Sanskrit Drama, P. 181.

SECTION C

THE LITERARY CIRCLE AT THE COURT OF HARSA

The history of India in ancient and medieval periods offers several examples of the poets and writers who received liberal royal patronage. It was regarded as one of the royal duties to offer generous patronage to the men of letters and art. Kings and rulers were always desirous of the association with authors and writers. Kälidäsa, Bhavabhūti, Bhāsa, Dhāvaka, Dh inaājaya, Bāṇa, Mayūra and others are among those literary figures who received the royal patronage. There are many such examples of royal patronage extended to the men of letters at European courts also. We find ministrels, trouvers and gleemen who were professional poets and received continuous royal patronage. Queen Elizabeth I of England was the patron of Shakespeare, Spenser and Sir Philip Sidney. Lious the XIV of France offered his generous patronage to Acine Corneille and Moliere. Tennyson and others won the recognition and encouragement at the court of queen Victoria.

It is universally accepted that Harsa was a liberal patron of the men of letters and art. He donated one fourth of his revenue for "rewarding high intellectual eminence." On the basis of undisputable evidences we know that Bāṇa, Mayūra and Mataiga, Divikara and others adonned his court. In addition to this distinguished circle there were many other gufted authors, thinkers and philosophers who flourished during the age of Harsa and received the imperial favours. Bāna informs us about the presence of court-poets at the palace of Harsa's father also. He says that "the court-poets had laid aside their glee" during the period of Prabhākara-vaidhana's sickness. We shall now propose to discuss the lives and achievements of some of these men of letters.

^{1.} Watters, Vol. I, p. 176; THK., P. 175.

^{2.} THK., Pp. 178-179.

^{3.} THK., Pp. 175-178.

^{4.} HCCTH., P. 138.

BĀNA

Bāṇa is one of the brightest stars in the firmament of the Sanakrit world. He ranks among a few great Sanskrit authors and his place as the master of Sanakrit language is remarkably unique. To quote late Mr. F. W. Thomas, he was "the greatest master of Sanakrit language." Sonly those, who have gone through the pages of Harşacharita and Kādambarī in original, can estimate his unrivalled mastery over Sanskrit language and literature and his knowledge about Indian history, culture, philosophy, religious and social institutions is also remarkable.

Our sources of information regarding the personal lives and works of Sanskrn authors are very meagre. We do not know anything "definate" and "historic", based on unimpeachable facts, even about Kâlidāsa, except some fanciful and confusing accounts. There are a few Sanskrit authors who have left for posterity the details of their lives and works. But we are fortunate enough to possers a good deal of autobiographical accounts of the life of Bāṇa. Both in the Kādambarī and the Harşacharita we find the complete history of Bāṇa's ancestors and that of his own life. On the basis of these sources we are in a position to construct the genealogy of Bāṇa's family.

The progenitor of Bāṇa's family was Vatsa who lived in the village called Pritikiṇa on the western bank of the river Soṇa. This is why his family came to be known as Vātsāyana. In this family there was born a learned Paṇḍita, named Kubera, who was a scholar of great repute and was well-versed in the Vedas. He had four sons. Among these four sons, Paṣtupati won distinctions. He had a son, named Arthapati, who was the father of eleven sons. The eighth of them was Chitrabhānu, who was Bāṇa's fathet. Bāṇa's mother Rājadevi died when he was an infant, but Chitrabhānu brought up Bāṇa as affectionately and lovingly as his (Bāṇa's)

^{5.} Legacy of India, p. 206.

According to some scholars Băṇa's home was near modern Sonabhadra in the Gayé District and nearly fourten miles west from Rafigany. Dr. V. S. Agroud, Harşacharita: Eka Sāthskrikika Addhyayana, Patna, 1953, Pp. 18-19; Mādhuri, Vol. VIII, No. 96, V. S. 1987, Pp. 722-727.

mother could have done. His father's tender affection and dutiful guardianship are picturesquely depicted in the Harjacharita. His father educated him and "performed all the necessary infantine samsakāras" according to the Dharmašāstras. But this tender affection was snatched away from his life when Bāṇa was only fourteen. When his father died, Bāṇa was left in his tender teens with none to look after him. In the absence of any proper guardianship, at such an impressionable age of his life, Bāṇa's character was spoiled and he led an itinerant and way-ward life. During his wanderings he came into contact with various types of people and gained wide experience, knowledge and wisdom. After these wanderings were over, he returned homewards and began to live at Pritikūṭa.

After enjoying the court life he came back to his native place where he won the warmest reception from his kinsmen and friends. The youngest cousion of Bāṇa, named Syāmala, requested Bāṇa to relate the story of the life and achievements of Harsavardhana. Here at this point we come to an end of the autobiographical record of Bāṇa. Unfortunately we find no further details about his later life. We know, however, from the pen of his son, Bhūṣaṇabhaṭṭa in his introduction to the later part (Uttarabhāṇa) of the Kādambart that his father Bāṇa died leaving his kāyva unfinished.

THE DATE OF BANA

Though Bāṇa himself left no chronological record of his life, we are on some surer grounds about his times. He is the only one of the few great Sanakrit authors about whom we can tell something historic and definite. We possess contemporaneous records of Yuan Chuang and Bāṇa about Harṣa, which, though not strictly synchronous, enable us to conclude that Harṣa of Sthāṇvišwara as referred to in the Harṣacharita of Bāṇa and Harṣavardhana of Kanauj as referred to in the accounts of Yuan Chuang are one and the same person. It is, however, strange to note that the pilgrim and the poet are silent about each other. It seems that either Bāṇa might have retired to his native home or might have died before the visit of Yuan Chuang. From Bāṇa's accounts of Haṛṣa's early life it can safely be concluded that Bāṇa must have flourished between the later half of the sixth and the first balf of the seventh century A.D..

BĀNA'S WORKS

' It is well known that Harşacharita and Kādambari are the two creations of Bāṇa. Of the prose works they are the most outstanding masterpieces. In addition to these some other works are also assigned to him. The Chandistatka, a collection of one hundred verses in honour of goddess Chandi or Durgā is the third work attributed to Bāṇa's authorship.' Arjunavarmadeva also ascribes the authorship of this work to Bāṇa in his commentary on Amaruśataka.

But some scholars "hesitate to assert that Bāṇa was the author of Chandišataka.* In Kādambarī we find a powerful and picturesque description of the temple of Chandikā and her praise by Bāṇa.¹0 He mentions the goddess as Durgā. This similarity of descriptions and almost identical appreciation lead us to conclude that Bāṇa must have written. Chandišataka.

The Parvatiparinaya, a drama of ordinary skill, is also ascribed to Bāṇa, who in the prelude claims to be its author. It is said therein that the drama was composed by Bāṇa of the line (gotra) of Vatsa.¹¹

The subject of this drama has close similarity with that of Kumār-sambhava of Kālidāsa, and there are also some remarkable coincidences in the theme and some linguistic similarities. On this ground some scholars maintain that Pārvatīpariņaya is a plagiarised creation ascribing this plagiarism to Bāṇa. This presumption has no substantial basis as we know from the Harşacharita that Bāṇa was the admirer and appreciator of the fine verses of Kālidāsa. ¹³ And when the subject is the same, it is quite

Peterson, Kd., Pp. 96-98; The Subhāṣitāvalī, Bombay, 1896, Pp. 62-66.

The Harşacharita of Bāŋabhaṭṭa, Ed. P. V. Kane, First Ed., Bombay,
 Intro. P. XVII.

Those who hesitate to assign Changlisataka to Bāña, they do so on the ground that some versus from Changlisataka occur in the Sarasvatikanhābharana in the Kovaprakāša. Mr. Kane does not approve these arguments. Ibid., 1918, P. XVIII.

^{10.} Kādambarī by Bāņa, Ed. Parab, Bombay, Pp. 455-59.

^{11.} Păroatiparinaya, 1.4.

^{12.} Harşacharita, Can. I, Verse 16.

natural that Bana would have imitated his favourite writer in theme and expression. In the works of Kālidāsa many expressions from the Mahābhārata and the Bhagvadgitā are taken.18 But on that basis we can not assign the works of Kälidäsa to Vyäsa or Lord Krisna. When one makes a thorough study of a particular author it is quite natural for him to imitate unconsciously or consciously the style and phraseology of his favourite author. To my humble mind it seems that this drama was written by Bana in the beginning of his career as an author. It was during his later life that Bana attained the mastery and maturity as the greatest master of Sanskrit language. At the same time Bana does not excel as much in verse as he does in prose. There is one more drama 'Mukutataditaka' which is also assigned to Bana, but like Parvatinarinava it also faces the controversy about its authorship: Chandanala and Gunavinavagani, the commentators on the Nalachampu of Trivikramabhatta inform us that Bana wrote a drama entitled 'Mukutatāditaka.'14 We, however, do not possess any further evidence to corroborate Bana's authorship of this drama. Prof. Peterson also draws our attention to a fact that Ksemendra quoted a verse of Bāna's Subhāsitāvalī in his Auchitvavichāracharchā with a statement that it was part of the description of Kādambarī's sorrow in the absence of Chandrapida. The learned scholar adds in his supposition that Bana wrote the story of Kādambarī in verse as well as in prose and he quotes some verses which might have been taken from such a writing.16 Before analysing Bana's personality and his literary beauty we must note two salient qualities of his personality.

Firstly, he was a man of sharp intelligence and had the capacity

^{13.} The Harşacharita of Bāṇabhaṭṭa, Ed. P. V. Kane, First Ed., Bombay, 1918. P. XVII

^{14. &}quot;यदाह मुकुटताजितकनाटके "बाणः आसाः प्रोसितदिष्णका इव गृहाः प्रथम्तर-सित् व प्रोप्णः करमहाह्मा इव मृतः प्रोत्सातचीना इव । विभागाःस्वयकास्वरिक्तस्वकन्तर-लोक्यकर्यः वयां जाताः श्लीणगहारणः कुक्पतेवेवस्य सुन्याः समाः॥" HCK.. Into. P. xxiii

Peterson, Kādambari, Pp. 96-98; The Subhāsitāvali, Ed. Peterson, Bombay, 1886, Pp. 62-66.

to learn everything quickly. His knowledge was wide and his vision broad.

Secondly, his appetite for learning was extraordinary. He was always anxious to learn and whatever he learnt he remembered it for ever.

These two qualities combined with wide personal experience of the world made him a man of encylopaedic learning.

He visited many towns and cities and lived in the company of all sorts of people. He minutely observed the etiquettes of the court and lived both at the huts and the palaces; he learnt at the Gurukulas as well as at the houses of gamblers, dancers and all sorts of men and women who came in his contact.

LITERARY ESTIMATE OF BĂNA'S WORKS

The Harşacharita is the first work to win distinction to its creator. Our appreciation of it is deepened when we remember that it is the first attempt at writing a prose Kāvya based on historical background. If he author selects his own patron as his hero and constructs the story out of some actual events of his early life. This work is the most important work to throw light on Indian society and culture during the age of Harşa. But we have to confess that there is limited scope for historical survey in this "lavishly embellished" and "gorgeously descriptive" account. The Harşacharita begins with the mythological genealogy of Bāṇa's family until we come to his own birth and education. He spent some early years of his life in travelling around the various parts of the country. Afterwards he returned to his native village. After a few days he was summoned to the court of Harşa where he lived for a long time. He relates the story of Harşacharita to his cousins. The brief summarry of the story runs as follows.

Prabhākaravardhana was the king of Sthāṇavīśvara. He was renowned for his valour and several glorious achievements. By his queen Yaśomati, he had two sons, namely Rājyavardhana and Harṣavardhana and a daughter named Rājyaśrī. In due course Rājyaśrī was given in marriage to king Grahavarman of Kāṇyakubja.

Dr. S. N. Dasgupta and S. K. De, A History of Sanskrit Literature, Calcutta, 1947, Vol. I. Pp. 227-8.

Prabhākaravardhana died while Rājyavardhana had been on an expedition against the Hūṇas. He returned and resolved to become an ascetic. At this juncture a messenger from Kānyakubja informed about the treacherous murder of Grahavarman and Rājyaśri's imprisonment. Rājyavardhana marched with his cousin Bhandi to avenge the death of Grahavarman. He conquered the Mālvā army, but was deceifully assassinated by the king of Gauḍa. Now it was left for Harşa to punish these enemies. He marched immediately with his army to Kānyakubja.

On his way he met Bhandi who informed that Rajyaśri had escaped from the prison and had fled to the Vindhya forest. Thercupon Harya deputed Bhandi against the Gauda king and went himself in search of his sister. With the help of Divåkaramitra, a Buddhist mendicant, he found his sister and brought her back to the camp. Here at this point Bāṇa closes his work abruptly depriving us of some of the most valuable informations. But we must remember that Bāṇa was not writing history. One must agree with Dr. Dasgupta who manatains that Bāṇa wrote his Haryacharita "more as a romantic story than as a sober history" of the life of his patron and stopped when he felt that "his muse had taken a sufficiently long flight."

Bāṇa's other work Kādambarī is an entirely imaginative creation. Like the Harşacharita it was also left incomplete. It was, however, his death which barred the completion of the work. The later part of Kādambarī was completed by Bāṇa's son, Bhūṣaṇabhaṭṭa. We cannot determine in what way Bāṇa would have completed his work; but the comparative inferiority of the later part is universally accepted. A reader is bound to be impressed by the introductory complexities of the plot. The son possessed some of the excellent qualities of his father, but he failed to complete the remaining portion with the same skill and grandeur. Bāṇa begins his story of the Kādambarī introducing a chāṇḍāla maiden at the court of king Sūdraka. She had come to the court with a parrot named Vaisampāyana in whose mouth the entire narrative is put. The story is

^{17.} Ibid., I. P. 228.

fantastically complex and long and space does not permit me to narrate here that lengthy tale.

Bāṇa is famous for his complexity of plot and for his effectiveness of constructive power. But the learned authors of the History of Sanskrit literature are justified when they say that "like Spenser, Bāṇa conceived of too large a plan and never lived to finish it." 18 He is often criticized for "his weakness for elaborating the tales by dwelling too much on details in a style which draws prose and poetry together in an unnatural alliance." But his power of observation and picturesque description, his richness for fancy and wealth of words and his command over Sanskrit language are unquestionably and universally appreciated." 18

MAYTIRA

Our knowledge about Mayûra and his works is insufficient and meagre. Legends and traditions associate him with Bāṇabhaṭṭa as a literary rival. He is also said to have been related to Bāṇa as brother-in-law, or father-in-law. This matrimonial alliance of Mayūra with Bāṇa, however, is not accepted as a fact based on historical evidences and has been challenged by Dr. A. Venkatasubbiah who regards such legends assertions of the commentators and various traditions recorded by a number of anthologists as untrustworthy and unacceptable.²⁰

Mr. Peterson says that the reference to Mayûra in Bāṇa's Harṣacharita seems to be erroneous; for Mayûra, who is mentioned as Bāṇa's contemporary and friend, was a Jāṇgūlika i. e. one who cured make-bites. 11 t appears that Mr. Peterson has confused Mayūraka with Mayūra. 12 We learn from the Harṣacharita that the Jāṇgūlika was named Mayūraka. Even if we accept Peterson's opinion that Mayūra, the poet, was a Jāṇgūlika we have no reason to disapprove his claim of being a poet.

^{18.} Ibid., P. 230.

^{19.} Ibid., Pb. 232-33.

^{20.} JORM., Vol. IX, Pp. 81-82.

^{21.} Peterson's Intro. to the Subhasitavali., P. 86.

^{22.} HOCTH., P. 33; HCK., P. 19.

It is said that Mayura had been afflicted with leprosy by the curse of Bana's wife, who was either Mavura's sister or daughter. She cursed him because of her anger caused by the description of her beauty by Mayura in his work Mayurasataka.38 The traditions and legends further record that he was cured from this serious disease by the grace of the god Sun in whose honour and glorifying commendation he composed Süryasataka, an anthology of one hundred verses.44 This Stotrasataka of Mavura consists of praise and plorification of the Sun-incarnate. Therein we find a vivid picture of his chariot, the horses, the charioteer and other belongings. The legend of the cure of his leprosy seems to have been originated from the sixth stanza wherein the Sun is said to have possessed the power of curing ills. Critics onine that Sürvasataka "possesses compound words, difficult construction, constant alliteration, jingling of syllables and other rhetorical devices with an elaborate diction."25 This creation of Süryasataka caused jealousy in Bāṇa's mind who is said to have composed Chandisataka in praise of Durga to prove his greater excellence than Mayura.26

Besides Mayūrasataka and Sūryasataka, a Khanidaprasasti of Mayūra is cited by Ruyyaka in his "Alaiskārasarvasva" The Kośa is also attributed to him which is mentioned by Burnell in his "Classified Index to the Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Palace Library at Tanijore." A work on prosody by Mayūra is alluded to him by the Kannada author Nāgavarman (c. 1040 A.D.) in his Chandombudhi and also in his Abhidahan-

G. P. Quackenbos, The Sanskris Poems of Mayüra, Columbia University Series, Vol. 1X, New York, 1917, Pp. 72-79. JAOS., Vol. XXX, Pp. 343-54; THK., P. 179; HSL., I, P., 168.

^{24.} Some scholars maintain that the "Süryalataka and Mayüralataka are not two reparate works but they are simply two different names of one and the same text". THK., P. 179; JBHU., Vol. I, No. 2, P. 235; but this view is now admittedly wrong.

^{25.} HSL., I, Pp. 169-170.

^{26.} Ibid., P. 170; G. S. Chatterjee, Harşavardhana, P. 232.

^{27.} JORM., Vol. IX, P. 82.

Vastu-Kośa.²⁰ Āryamuktāmālā is also assigned to Mayūra of which a copy is known to have existed in a private library of Sūrat.²⁰

DIVÄKARA

Another contemporary poet to Bāṇa and Mayūra was Mātanga-Divākara. As stated by Rājašekhara, this poet had become a member of the literary circle of Srī-Harṣa "on equal terms with Bāṇa and Mayūra." ¹⁸⁰ Dr. Kane refers to the commentators of the Bhaktāmarastotra of Jain Māṇatungāchārya who maintain that Māṇatunga (Mātanga) "lived at the court of Bhoja at Ujjayini and was a contemporary of Bāṇa and Mayūra." ¹⁸¹ But these accounts are chronologically baseless and have no historic basis at all. According to Dr. Keith, some of his poems are still preserved, ⁸² and he must have been a renowned poet. Dr. R. S. Tripathi rightly concludes that "his literary excellences and achievements must have sufficed to win him royal recognition and favour." ¹⁸⁴

^{28.} Ibid., Vol. IX, P. 82.

Bühler's Catalogue of Sanskrit Manuscripts in Gujrat, Vol. II, P. 72.
 Cited in Panniker's Shri Harsa of Kanaui, P. 75.

अही प्रभावी वाग्वेच्या बन्नातंविवाकरः।
 श्रीहर्वस्याअवस्यम्यः समो वाणमय्ययोः॥

cited in THK., P. 179.

^{31.} HCK., Intro., P. V.

^{32.} Keith, Classical Sanskrit Literature, P. 120., quoted by Dr. R. S. Tripathi, THK., P. 180.

^{33.} Ibid., Pp. 179-80.

CHAPTER IX THE SOCIETY RACKGROUND

The Varṇāśramavyavasthā has worked as an indispensable and inseparable corner-stone in the maguificient edifice of the Hindu social structure. It is universally accepted as one of the most distinctive and outstanding characteristics of the Hindu Society, and is, perhaps, without any adequate parallel in the annals of whole human history.\(^1\) The very expression of the 'Varṇāśranadharma' is a significant and meaningfully true synonym for Hinduism and it has worked as a basic factor in the systematization of the Hindu way of life. It is the strongest basis of our

^{1.} Ludwing and Senart maintain that Iranian Society was also divided into four classes (Pistras) which significantly correspond to our Varnas, According to them Athrasoas (Priests), the Rathaesthas (Warriors), the Vastriyas Fshouyants (family chiefs) and the Huitis (labourers) of the earliest Iranian society respectively correspond to the Brahmanas, the Ksatrivas, the VaiSvas and the Sudras of the Hindu Society. R. C. Majumdar, Corporate Life in Ancient India, Pp. 143-144. Dr. Majumday regards this correspondence correct; but the conclusion of the learned scholar is not based on conclusive and satisfactory arguments. The varnas of our society are based on the unique and special character. They, in fact, cannot be identihad with any other social structure of the world. Certain scholars and sociologists have also pointed out that the social classes similar to the varyas were in existence in European Society. L. F. Ward's article : "Social Classes and Socielogical Theory" in American Tournal of Sociology, Vol. VII. Pp. 617-627. of. Ralph Lincolon. The Study of Men, Pp. 127-28. To me it seems that these statements are not scholly correct. The natable uniqueness of our social order as based on Varya and Aframa makes it originally and fully Indian. Comparisons and Parallels are always there, but our Varnäframavyavasthä is typically ours.

social organisation and has played a notable role in the preservation, exposition, continuation and popularization of our social, cultural, philosophical, moral, aesthetical, religious and spiritual values of life. From Kashmir to Kanyākumāri and from Kāmrūpa to Gujrāt the predominance of the Varpāframadharma has remained, generally speaking, undisturbed and unchanged throughout the ages.

COMPOSITION OF THE SOCIETY DURING THE AGE OF HARŞA VARŅĀŠHRAMA: THE BASIS OF SOCIAL ORDER

The period under review witnessed the ascendancy of Varņāśramadharma. The revival of Hinduism, which had taken place under the Imperial Guptas, was completely accomplished in the sixth and seventh centuries A.D.. Another outstanding feature of this period is that Hinduism gradually and steadily displaced Buddhism, which could never regain the predominance it enjoyed under Asoka and Kanişka. Thus the strongest challenge to Varŋāśramadharma was silenced completely.

EPIGRAPHIC EVIDENCE

All the epigraphic sources that have been discovered so far provide with enough evidence which clearly proves that the Varņāśramadharma was founded on solid grounds. Paramabhaṭṭāraka Mahārājādhirāja Prabhākaravardhana* is said to be "the strong supporter of Varņāśrama order." Several other grants of this period refer to the kings and rulers who are invariably said to have been "constantly busy in regulating proper functioning of all the varṇas and the āśramas." It is in accordance with

Panniker wrongly tells us that the spithet is ascribed to Harşa's grand-father (Sri Harşa of Konauj, P. 38). 'Varyāśramanyawashāḥampranyiattaḥ' stands for Prabhākarawardhama and not for Adispawadham. Sonaḥal Copper Seal, CII., Vol. III, No. 52, Plate XXXIIB, P. 232; Nēlandā Seals, Ep. Ind., Vol. XXI, 1931-32, P. 74, Line 5; Madhubama Geant, Ibid., Vol. I, Pp. 67ff; Bāns-khērā Grant, Ibid., Vol. IV. Pp. 208ff.

^{3.} In all the family records this epithet is assigned to Prabhākaravardhana.

^{4.} As almost all the inscriptions of this period record such information, it is not possible to refer to them all.

the rules laid down by the writers on ancient Indian social and cultural institutions. It was regarded as one of the main duties of a Hindu monarch to look after the observance of the duties and obligations of the people of all varpas according to laws and customs of the varpas and the åfsramas. He was never expected to allow the people to swerve from their duties.

TESTIMONY OF YUAN CHUANG

The Chinese pilgrim Yuan Chuang who visited India and stayed here for nearly fifteen years made on-the-sopt survey of social and political conditions. He writes, "There are four orders of hereditary class distinctions." These four orders consisted of the Brāhmaṇas, or "purely living people," the Kṣatriyas, "the race of the kings," the Vaisyas or "a class of traders" and the Sūdras.

LITERARY EVIDENCE

Bāṇa în his Harṣacharita characterises Harṣa as one who "carried out all the rules for the Varṇas and the Āśramas lik." Manu.."

Mille speaking about the social and cultural life and the prosperity of the laud of Śrikaṇtha Janapada, he says that "the laws of the Varṇas were for ever unconfounded."

Throughout the pages of Bāṇa's works, 16 Harṣa's dramas and the works of his other contemporary authors we get the picture of society which was definitely divided into the four Varnas.

Kauţilya's Arthaiâstra, tr. R. Shamasastry, Fourth Ed., 1951, Mysore, Book I, Chap. III.

Watters, Vol. I, P. 168. According to Watters, the particulars given by Yuan Chuang about the division of the people in the four classes are "rendered loosely." Ibid., I, P. 168.

^{7.} Ibid., I, P. 168.

^{8.} HCCTH., P. 66 मनाविव करोरि वणिश्रमव्यवस्थाना-ह०च०, द्वि० उ०, प्० ३६ ।

^{9.} HCCTH., P. 79. 'सततमसंकीणवर्षेव्यवहारस्थिति:'-ह०व०, त० उ०, प० ४२।

^{10.} The Harzacharita and Kādambari are commonly known to be the works of Bāṇa. But there are other works also about which the reference will be made later.

THE BRÄHMANAS

The Brāhmanas enjoyed a very high and respectful position in the society. They were universally honoured for their high standard of purity, learning and religiously enhanced social status. They were the most exalted citizens among all.

This period is marked with two main currents. Firstly, the glorification of gifts to the Brähmanas by other three Varpas became a distinct feature of Hinduism. This was largely the contribution of this period.³² By gifts Manu unequivocally means gifts to the Brähmanas. He says that it was the supreme duty of man in the Kali age.¹³ Almost all inscriptions and literary works of this period testify to the fact that it was not a mere wish of the Brähmanas, but a living reality acted upon by the contemporary men and women.¹³ The people in those times firmly believed that feeding the Brähmanas was one of the acknowledged means of gaining godly favours and religious merit.³⁴ They performed the Svastivāchana³⁵ rite and received gifts. Harpa's drama and Bāṇa's works contain several such examples.³⁴ The Brähmanas received both gifts and respect at the royal courts.³⁷

Several grants were made to the Brāhmanas and their number is so large that it is not possible to refer to them. Harsa's two grants were also made to Brāhmanas. Harsa is said to have donated to the Brāhmanas a

^{11.} Dr. G. S. Churve, Caste and Class in India, Bombay, 1950, Pp. 91-2.

^{12.} Manusmriti. 86.

R. G. Bhandarkar, A Peop Into the Early Hist. of India, P. 53;
 Chakaldar, Studies in Vätsyävan's Kömasütra, Ph. 98-99.

^{14.} HCCTH., P. 164.

Soastivächana denotes the enchantment of benedictory hymns by Bréhmanas at religious coremonies and rites. The Brähmanas got gifts and other complimentary presents at such occasions. Monier William's Dictionary, P. 1283.

Priyadaršikā, Act II, P. 21; Ratnāvali, Act 2; HCCTH., P. 65;
 HCK., Can. 2, P. 35; Kādambari, tr. Ridding, P. 55.

Ibid., P. 55; Priyadaršikā, Act II, P. 21; Ratnāvali, Act II;
 HOCTH., Pp. 65ff; HCK., Can. 2, P. 35; Kādambarī, P. 55.

hundred villages, 'delimited by a themand ploughs' on the eve of his departure for the Digvijaya (world-conquest). All the personal belongings of the deceased king Prabhākaravardhana were given to the Brāhmaṇas. They also 'consumed the departed spirit's first oblation. To Their place in society was indispensable as priests, purohitas and preceptors. All important religious ceremonies of all types beginning from the cradle to grave were performed by a purohita who received gifts and donations for his religious performances. Literary and epigraphic sources at our disposal provide us with a number of instances of these ceremonial rites.

But this was not merely by virtue of their being Brāhmaṇas. Their social status was based on their high learning and religious life. All the sources of this period lead us to the conclusion that the supremacy of the Brāhmaṇas was based on their deep learning and rightcous conduct.

According to Yuan Chuang, they were "purely living" people. All The Chinese pilgrim tells us that "they (Brāhmaṇas) keep their principles and live continently, strictly observing ceremonial purity." At another place he writes, "Among the various castes and classes of the country the Brāhmaṇas were the purest," and they were "highly esteemed." It was for their excellent reputation that the name "Brāhmaṇa-country" (P'o-lomen-kuo) became a "popular one for India" among the Chinese people. Brāhmaṇa who was singhly impressed by their devotion to learning. He met one Brāhmaṇa who was "super-abundant in reasoning and eminent in

НССТН., р. 199 महानिमित्त तत्सीरसहस्रसंमितसीम्नां झामाणं सत्स्रवा-वृद्धिजेम्यः। —-ह० च०, स० उ०, प० ५४।

Ibid., p. 164. दीयमाने द्विजेम्यः शयना-ननंचायरातंपत्रमत्रपत्रधस्त्रादिके-नपनिकटोपकरणकलापे, —ह० च०, ७० उ०, प० ३६ ।

^{20.} HCCTH., p. 164; प्रथमप्रेतपिण्डमृजि मुक्ते द्विजन्मति ।

⁻⁻ह० च०, व० उ०, प० ३६ ।

^{21.} Watters, I., P. 168.

^{22.} Ibid., I, F. 168.

Ibid., I. P. 140. This name was a foreign designation and used by the Chinese specially. For Watter's remark, Vol. I, P. 140.

the Vedas and other sästras." With this account of the Chinese pilgrim the views of Bāṇa do not appear to have been exaggersted when he uses the epithet "Brāhmanukhāḥ" for the Brāhmanas "who had the Vedas on their lips." For the Brāhmanas it was absolutely necessary to learn the Vedas by heart. In the Nāgānandam the Vidūṣaka is asked by Cheṭt to repeat the Vedic hymns to prove that he was a Brāhmaṇa. In the Priyadarsīkā the king says to the Vidūṣaka that the qualities of a Brāhmana are known by the number of the Vedas he knows.

Sometimes the Brāhmaṇas were known after the particular Veda on which they attained the mastery. The Banskbera grant was issued to Bhaṭṭa Biladchandra and Bhaṭṭa Bhadrasvāmī.²⁰ The former was a Rigvedin Brāhmaṇa whereas the latter was a Sāmavedin Brāhmaṇa.²⁰ Similar epithets are also ascribed to the donees of the Madhubana grant.²¹ These epithets in these and several other grants, most probably, denote their mastery of the respective Vedas. The faces of the cousins of Bāṇa are said to have been "made pure by the study of the Vedas." Bāṇa tells us that he had "studied the vedas with the six Ahgas." He informs

^{24.} Life., Pp. 74-75.

^{25.} HCCTH., P. 111; Harşacharita, Canto. 4., P. 7.

^{26.} Here 'Brāhmanukhāḥ' is punningly used. It has two senses. (1) Brahmavedāḥ mukhe yeṣāmɨ, i.a. 'who had the Vedaz on their itps' (2) Brahmā mukham yeṣāmɨ i. a. 'who had god Brahmā at their heads'. Kanè: notes, P.135; HCCTH., P. 111, fn 1. The former sense has been accepted as proper application. M. Williams, houveer, giuss a different meaning. P. 739.

^{27.} Nagananda, Ed. and tr. Sadhuram, Act 3, Pp. 82-83.

^{28. &#}x27;बेद संख्या वा बेदितं बाह्याच्यानं Priyadarsikā, Act II, P. 22.

^{29.} Ep. Ind., Vol. IV, Pp. 208-11,

^{30.} Ibid., IV., Pp. 208ff.

^{31.} Ibid., Vol. I. P.

^{92.} HOCTH., P. 73. 'बेबाम्बासपवित्रितपृतंबः'

⁻⁻ह० च०, तु० उ०, वृ० ३९।

^{33.} HCCTH., P. 66; सम्बन्धपठितः सांगो बेदः।

⁻⁻ह० प०, वि० छ०, पृ० ३६।

us that after Prabhākaravardhana's death Harşa was "closely attended by old Brāhmanas who were well-versed in the Śruti, Smṛiti and Itihāsa."

The Brāhmaṇas were the teachers and preceptors of the people and as such they enjoyed a place of honour among the people. The houses of Bāṇa's kinsmen are described to have been "filled with the students and disciples who were making noise by continual recitations." ¹⁸

THEIR HOUSES

Bāṇa starts his second canto of the Harşacharita with a beautiful description of the houses of his kinsmen. They (houses) were "tesonant with the sounds of continual recitations, filled with young students attracted by the sacrifice." There were "great terraces in front of the doors which were green with the heaps of Soma plants." They were "tilled with the rice and panicum for the 'sacrificial cakes' laid out to be dried, srattered on the skins of the black antelope." There was an abundance of fuel (for Homa), leaves and "bundles of green Kuśa-grass, brought by hundreds of pure disciples." Oblations of rice were offreed by young maidens. There were heaps of cowdung and fuel which "covered their terraces in their courts marked by the round hoofs of the cow." A large number of ascetics was busy "in pounding the clay for making pots (Kamangdalas)."

Altars were made for sacrificial fires, with the ground white with the lines of offerings to the Deväh. There were "young spotted goats" which were brought for the purpose of sacrifice.¹⁵

^{34.} HCCTH., P. 35; जनवरताध्ययनध्वनिमसराणि.

[—]ह० च०, द्वि० उ०, पू० २१।

The Brāhmaņas put on the Yajñopavita or Brahmasūtra.

Brahmasūtra was also put on by Brāhmaņa females. While describing the costume of Sarasvatī Bāṇa says that "her body was purified by the Brahmasūtra." In the Kādambarī Mahāšvetā is also said to have put on the Brahmasūtra.

Though the Brāhmaṇas were respected for their learning and high moral conduct, but their place in the society was also treated higher to other varṇas on the basis of being mere Brāhmaṇa. In the Harşacharita we are told that "respect was due" even to the Brāhmaṇa "by birth merely and uninitiated by ceremonies." Prince Chandrapiḍa was advised to pay respect to the Brāhmaṇas and throughout his life he was respectful towards them."

THE KSATRIVAS**

The second Varpa in the social structure of the Hindus during the period under review was that of the Kṣatriyas. According to Yuan Chuang, this class was "the race of kings" and "has held sovereignty for many generations." They are also praised for their "benevolence and mercy." We know that the Kṣatriyas were the rulers all over the country when the Chinese pilgrim had paid his visit. But there were a few exceptions also. Yuan Chuang himself has recorded these exceptions. He says that the king of Matipura was of the Sūdra community.* According to him, the

^{36.} HCCTH., Pp. 5ff; Kādambari, Pp. 105; There are several references to Yaiñobavita in Harsa's dramas also.

^{37.} HCCTH., P. 5; बहासूत्रेण पवित्रीकृतकाया,--ह० व०, प्र० उ०, प्० ३1

^{38.} HCCTH., P. 7; बसंस्कृतमतयोऽपि जात्यैव द्विजन्मानो मानीयाः

⁻⁻ह० **प**०, प्र० उ०, पृ० ४ ।

^{39.} Kadambari, Pp. 62ff. पूजब द्विजातीन्, काव , सम्पा व्यव्ह, १७२।

^{40.} We do not possess as much material as we have for the study of the Brāhmanas. Yet some light is thrown by Yuan Chuang and Bāṇa.

^{41.} Watters, 1., P. 168.

^{42.} Ibid., I, Pp. 168ff.

^{43.} Watters, Vol. I, P. 322; Life., P. 79.

king Kumāra of Kāmarūpa was a Brāhmaṇa."44 Another Brāhmaṇa king was ruling over Chitore (Chin-chi-t'o).45 There were also several such examples; but their insignificant number cannot lead us to any generalization and we can conclude that the Kşatriya kings were in overwhelming majority. But it would not mean that all the Ksatriyas were ruling over the country. It appears that the pilgrim, generally speaking, came into contact with the ruling Kṣatriya people and, therefore, he recorded that the Kṣatriyas belonged to the race of kings.

Bana describes two famous races of the Kşatriyas. They are the Lunar and the Solar races. We do not find the direct references in the Harpacharita about the existence of these races as the separate communities having their relation with the Moon and the Sun, but the inscriptions of the immediate post-Harsa period help us, to a great extent, in reaching to such conclusions.

We find several examples of many Kṣatriyas who are depicted as respecting the Brāhmapas. They gave them *everal gifts and granted land donations. Yuan Chuang speaks of Harsa's charitable deeds for the Brāhmanas along with other people.

The Kşatriyas were noted for their patriotism, valour, courage and heroism. They were great warriors and fought many great battles. The Janapada of Sthāṇyiśvara was regarded as "the land of heroes by the

^{44.} Watters., Vol. II., P. 186. He also tells us that the reigning king was "a desent of Nārāyana Deca." This information appears to have been based on the traditions current in those days. We have seen that Bāṇa also traces the origin of the family of the king Bhātkaravarman to Nārāyana in His Boer incarnation. But we cannot rely upon the statement of the Pilgrim that "the sovereignty had been transmitted in the family for 1000 generations." Ibid., Vol. II, P. 186.

^{45.} Ibid., II, P. 251. Cunningham suggests that it should be "identified with the kingdom of Jajhoti, the capital of which was Khajūra which corresponds to the modern district of Bundelakhand." Anc. Geog. of India, P. 481. This, however is not correct.

^{46.} HCCTH., P. 128; HCK., Can. I, P. 16; THK., Pp. 29-30.

sons of swords," i. e. the Kṣatriyas." They worshipped their arms, and, it seems that it was customary among the heroes of the Kṣatriya community. Bāṇa informs us that Prabhākaravardhana "worshipped his sword named Aṭṭahāsa with perfumes, scents, frankincense and wreaths."

THE VAISVAS

The third Varņa in the society was that of the Vaisyas who are said to form "a class of traders." According to Yuan Chuang, they "bartered commodities and pursued gains far and near." Harsa's dramas contain several examples of business and trade. They are said to have gone as far as Ceylon. Bāṇa also tells of this community while describing the richness and prosperity of Srikantha Janapada. The community of the Vaisyas was the second most powerful class which wielded power from the very beginning of the Gupta rule. The traders in fact have formed a powerful community throughout the ages and have influenced the life of the people and political atmosphere with the might of their wealth. But it will also be wrong to say that all the Vaisyas were traders. As all the Kṣatriyas were not the kings, all the Vaisyas were not traders. The majority of them must have pursued trade. Others must have taken up agriculture and other vocations also.

THE SUDRAS

The fourth class of the people was that of the Sūdras. According to Yuan Chuang they were 'agriculturists.' Here again our pilgrim is not accurate in his description. The majority of the people formed the class of agriculturists and the Sūdras never formed the majority. There

^{47.} HOCTH., P. 82; बीरलेत्रमिति शस्त्रोपजीविभिः,

⁻⁻ह० ब०, त्० उ०, प० ४३-४४।

^{48.} HCCTH., P. 91. सम्पादितगन्त्रवूपवास्यादिपूर्व खंगमट्टहासमकरोत्।

⁻⁻ह० व०, तृ० उ०, वृ० ५०।

^{49.} Watters, I., P. 168.

^{50.} Ibid., I, P. 168.

^{51.} Ibid., I, P. 168.

were no strict rules about following this occupation. ** Yuan Chuang himself met a Brähmana who is said to have been ploughing the land. ** Some of them were rulers. **

THE OUTCASTES

The general condition of these people was not good and satisfactory. They were segregated and were not allowed to mix with the people of the three higher Varyas. Yuan Chuang mentions butchers, fishermen, public performers, executioners and scavengers who "had their habitations marked by a distinguishing sign." If hey were living "outside the cities and were required to sneak along on the left when going about in hamlets." This description is confirmed by Bāṇa who informs us that "the Châṇḍāla maiden had a bamboo stick with its end jagged, with which she made a stroke on the floor to rouse the attention of the king." Bāṇa treats her as "one of Mātaṇga (Chaṇḍāla or lowborn) birth unworthy of heing touched." We

OTHER MIXED AND OCCUPATIONAL CLASSES

Both Yuan Chuang and Baṇa describe the mixed and occupational groups of people. The former writes, "There are also the mixed castes; numerous clans formed by groups of people according to their kinds and these cannot be described." "B" Yuan Chuang is evidently very brief in his description of these mixed castes, but he is amply supplemented by Baṇa

Vāiṣyāyana prescribed the three approved means of subsistence for the Vaiṣya, viz. trade, cattle-rearing and agriculture. Studies in Vāṭṣyāyana's Kāmīūtra, by Chakalder, P. 99.

^{53.} life P. 73.

^{54.} Watters, I, P. 322; Life., P. 79.

^{55.} Watters., Vol. I, P. 147.

^{56.} Ibid., I, P. 147.

^{57.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 8; सा दूरस्थितेव...पाणिना... नरपतिप्रवीयनार्थं। कादं०, संपा० परव, प० २०।

^{58.} Kādambari, tr. Ridding, Pp. 8-9; नातंत्रकुलदृषितां अनुतामिन त्यांत्रजिताम् । काद०, संपा॰ परव, प॰ २५ ।

^{59.} Watters, I, Pp. 147 and 168.

who draws an elaborate picture of such groups in the contemporary society.

He tells us about a large number of occupational and mixed castes. His knowledge of these people was very wide and he knew about these people from his personal experience. He had a large circle of friends who belonged to these classes. § Among whom the following persons deserve mention as they denote occupational groups and classes.

- (1) Two cousins of Bāṇa are called "Pāraśavau." We cannot determine with certainty what particular caste the word 'Pāraśavaḥ' denoted. According to Manu'a, 'Pāraśava' means "the son of a Brāhmaṇa from the mother of the Sūdra caste," and such a son was so designated because he was no better than a corpse (iavāḥ) for conferring religious and spiritual benefits which a son was expected to confer. Chandraṣeṇa and Mātraṣeṇa were the sons of Bāṇa's uncles. Such people, most probably, formed a debased class of Pāraśavāḥ or degraded Brāhmaṇas.
- (2) Bhāṣākavi Īśāna belonged to the class of vernacular poets or that of the composers of songs.⁸²
- (3) Venibhārata was another poet in the company of Bāṇa who belonged to the calss of 'bards or panegyrists.' This class, most probably, consisted of the poets singing the songs of praise of several families at ceremonial occasions such as marriages and births.
 - (4) Bandis definitely formed one class.
- (5) A class of dealers in antidotes. Băṇa mentions one such man named Mayūraka. The word Jāngulika means a physician expert in removing the effects of poison.
 - (6) A class of betel-bearers (tāmbūladāyakāḥ).
 - (7) A class of readers (Pustakavāchakāḥ).
- We cannot exactly determine the occupation of Pustakavächaka. He was, perhaps, employed to read some religious and literary works before

^{60.} HOCTH., P. 32f; HCK., Cant. I, P. 19.

वं बाह्यभस्यु सूत्रायां कामानुत्पाववेत्सुतम् ।
 सं पारवानेय शावस्तात्मात्पारकायः स्मतः ॥ — अगस्मति ९, १७८ ।

^{62.} HCCTH., P. 33; HCK., Notes, canto I, P. 89; Isana ssems to be a great vernacular poet. N. R. Promi, Jaim Sähitya our Itihāsa, Pp. 325, 371.

the people. Băṇa mentions one pustakavāchaka named Sudṛṣiṭhi who came to him to bid farewell when he was leaving for the royal camp. He read some pages of Vāyupurāṇa^{sa} at that time.

- (8) A class of goldsmiths. Bāṇa refers to the goldsmith as 'Kalādaḥ⁶⁴ or Svarṇakāraḥ or hemakāraḥ.'⁴⁶ All of them appear to have been engaged in making gold ornaments.
- (9) The word 'lairikah' is translated in various ways by different scholars. Thomas and Cowell⁶⁰ mean by it "the supervisor" whereas commentator Sankara" tells us that he would mean a supervisor entrusted with the supervision of the works of goldsmiths. It is also suggested that the word may indicate a 'gem-cutter.' Most probably, it donotes the class of people who were experts in gemmology.
 - (10) A class of painters (Chitrakritāh).
- A class of model-makers or manufacturers of dolls (Pustakritāb). According to the commentator, it may mean lipyakāra.
 - (12) A class of drummers (Mārdangikāḥ).
 - (13) A class of pipers or flute-play vs (Vānsikāh).
 - (14) A class of narrators or story-tellers (Kathakāḥ).
 - (15) A class of leather-workers (Charmakārāḥ).69
 - (16) A class of carpenters.70
 - (17) A class of blacksmiths.

Bana also refers to many other persons who appear to have belonged to one occupational group or other; but, at present, it is not possible to say

^{63.} HCCTH., P. 72.

^{64.} Ibid., P. 33.

^{65.} Ibid., P. 124.

^{66.} Ibid., P. 33.

^{67.} HCK., Notes, P. 90.

^{68.} HCESA., P. 28.

Leather-workers are also said to have played on drums on festive occatsions. HCCTH, P. 123.

Bana used the word 'Sütradhara' for a carpenter. HCCTH., Pp. 123-4. This word was not in vogue in earlier times.

anything conclusively whether they formed separate social classes as based on their occupations or were only interested in particular trades and their vocations had nothing to do with their castes.

Besides these, Yuan Chuang²¹ also mentions certain classes of people such as butchers, fishermen, public performers, executioners and scavengers.

These social groups or sub-castes were not new to the Indian society and to the people of the age of Harşa. We find many occupational classes even in the Rigvedic age 23 and they are found even to-day. These various sub-castes or social groups are the products of several trades and occupations. It was also due to social violations in the codes of marriages and general ethics. When society attached much emphasis on the purity of Varnas and did not encourage even the analoma marriages, we find many new social groups coming out of such matrimonial alliances which were not socially recognised. The children born out of such unrecognized unions were outcasted and they formed their own separate social class. But it did not result in disturbing the social structure and the importance of the Varna continued to enjoy a high place in the social set-up of the Indian society throughout the ares.

Thus we have seen that society was well-composed. Brāhmaņas enjoyed an exalted and respectable position. The Kytriyas and the Vaiiyas were also influential classes in the society. The lot of the Sūdras, however, was not very happy and they did not enjoy all the rights and social privileges which the other three higher Varnas enjoyed. Yet there was complete social harmony and peace. The people obeyed the social code and the laws of society and, generally speaking, we find no evidence of any transgression of the social laws, morals and customs.

Here also we find a remarkable unity in diversity. The people of all the Varpas and social groups lived well and harmoniously. In spite of all social and racial distinctions each class was regarded as an integral and inseparable part of the society. Each Varpa or group performed

^{71.} Watters, Vol. I, P. 147.

^{72.} Iyenger, Life in Ancient India in the Age of Mantrus, P. 34.

happily and ungrudgingly all the duties and functions assigned to it. There was no desire for social supremacy and social enhancement of status. Even the Sudras were satisfied with their lives and occupation. There were no agitations and mass movements to sow the seeds of discontent and disaffection. There was complete class understanding and social harmony. All the classes believed in the proper application of the rules of social conduct. Social obligations, general customs and traditions and duties of the people were maintained. And the moral values and spiritual ideals were treated as of primary importance. All differentiations and distinctions resulted in social readiustment and general harmony. It was generally looked upon as a common goal of all individuals who constituted the society. The society assigned to each individual his due postition in the social structure and it regularised his relations with other people of the other communities. It provided all possible help for one's material attainments and moral development and brought harmony and understanding between man and man. Taken as a whole the entire social structure was certainly unique and well-organised. All the social groups lived with the one common culture, common traditions and common heritage.

THE INSTITUTION OF THE ASRAMA78

The true picture of Indian life cannot be properly realized without the study of the four orders of life popularly known as the Åśramas. With the gradual development of the Varna system there grew side by side a deep-rooted system of worship and religious practices; there grew a method of self-sacrifice and deep-rooted love for spiritual attainments and philosophical penetration in the mysterious and inexplicable existence of the Universe and the Supreme Being.

The life of an individual during the Vedic and Upanisadic times was influenced by these currents and cross-currents and accordingly there

^{73.} The word 'Airama' is originally derived from the Sanskrit root 'Seam'
i.e. 'to exert oneself.' Therefore it may correspond, by derivation, to (1) a place
where exertions are performed and (2) the way of performing such exertions. Encyclopandia of Religion and Ethics. On 'Airama' by P. Doussen.

developed the practice of the Āśramas. The life of an individual came to be divided into the four periods of equal durations and it was maintained that one should proceed from one Āśrama to the other.

The Aframas are regarded to be divinely ordained by the Smriti writers, and it was the sacred duty of the individual to lead one's life accordingly. Manu says, "Having spent the first fourth part of his life in the house of his Guru, the second fourth in his own house with his wife, the third part in the forest, one should take Saanyäss in the fourth part, casting away every worldly tie." Härtta? and Daksa? regard this fourfold division of life as unalterable and inviolable. It was to be practised with earnestness and devotion by those who desired the imperishable world. In fact, it formed the basis of the whole super-structure of the Hindu social institutions. But we must note one important point that these four phases of life were practised by individuals in order to obtain salvation (Mokia), and, therefore, they were practised voluntarily. Society never imposed this four-fold division on individuals forcibly.

THE ĀŚRAMAVYAVASTHĀ IN THE TIMES OF HARŞA

Besides the epigraphic evidences which we have already discussed in connection with Varnavyavasthā, there are numerous references in the literature about the practice and application of the Aframa system during the age of Harşavardhana. This will be amply clear from the description that follows.

BRAHMACHARYĀŚRAMA

After the Upanayana ceremony was performed, the young boy

^{74.} चतुर्वमायुवी मार्ग विस्तवाधं नृरी: कुछे। क्रितीवमायुवार याणं इतवारी वृद्धे वतेत्।। करेषु च विद्युलयं तृतीयं पाक्वपारी वृद्धे वतेत्।। वत्ययावयो मार्ग त्यव्यता संगानित्यवेत्।। अनस्मति ४. १. २।

^{75.} Sashskära Mayükha, P. 64.

^{76.} Daksa Smriti. 1.12.

^{77.} MS., III. 79.

^{78.} Prabhu, Hindu Social Organization, P. 75.

was to start his Brahmacharyārama. Bāṇa gives a vivid picture of the Brahmachāris who got education at the Gurukulas and at the houses of the learned Brāhmaṇas. We are told that the houses of the kinamen of Bāṇa were filled with the students and disciples who "were making noise by continual rectation." We are also sure about the Upanayana ceremony of a boy. Bāṇa informs us about his own life and tells us that in his case all the samkāras were duly performed. He says that before he reached the age of fourteen he "had passed through initiation (Upanayana) and other samkāras, including that of Samāvartana." There was a fixed code of conduct for the young students which they observed with complete devotion and strictness.

The forcheads of these students are said to have been made "white with sectarial marks made of ashes," *** They powerd "the fuel, leaves and bundles of green Kuśa grass," *** We have a beautiful description of a youthful ascetic Pundarika who is described by Mahāśvetā "with his locks" (Pingalajatām) and "with the lines of ashes (bharma) and sandal on his forchead "** The Brahmachāris are generally described carrying the pitches (Kamandalām) in their hand. ** They observed strict celibacy which is very clear from the statement of 'Mahāśvetā, who had failen in love with Pundarika. She reflects that "if he (Pundarika) would see the effect of love, he would not approve that folly and would curse in wrath." **

^{79.} HCCTH., P. 35; अनवरताध्ययनव्यतिमृत्यराणि, -इ०च०, हि० उ०, पू० २१।

^{80.} HCCTII. P. 32; कृतीपनयनादिकियाकनापस्य समावसस्य

[—]हे॰ च॰, प्र॰ ड॰, पृ० १९।

^{81.} HCCTH.. P. 35; अस्मपुष्ड्कपाष्डरललाटै: —ह० व०, व० व०, पू० २१। कादस्वरी, सपा० परव, प० ८३।

^{82.} HCCTII., Pp. 35-36; हरितकुश्चपुनीपनाश समिन्ति

^{-- 80} Wo, fa 30, 40 281

^{83.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, Pp. 104.129ff; राजिताचंदनसमाट त्रिपुण्डकम्। काद०, सपा०परव, प्० ३५० ।

^{84.} Kādambari, tr. Ridding, P. 108; HCCTH., P. 36.

^{85.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 107; कवाज्यवज्ञिमतस्यविकारवर्षांनकुपितोऽम सापामिज्ञा करोतिमाम् । कादम्बरी, पृ० ३०७ ।

This strict life of a Brahmachārī is in conformity with the rules which have been laid down in our treatises on social conduct.**

THE GRIHASTHÄSRAMA

This was the second phase of life. Of all the Āśramas the Grihasthādrama is given a very highly respectable and indispensable place in our social structure. The smritis and dharmaśāstras praise highly the life of a householder and regard it as the pivot of the whole social order. Manū says, "Just as all creatures exist depending on air, so do all the Āśramas depend upon the householder." The Mahābhārata has also emphasised the importance of the Grihasthādrama. Vātsyāyan says that after getting one's education one should enter into the life of the householder. His Kāmasūtra is concerned entirely with this part of life.

During the age of Harşa it was the well-established and deep-rooted social institution. Bapa recalls with satisfaction that he had been a deligent householder since his marriage. The householders performed their social and religious duties and fulfilled all the duties and obligations assigned to them with devotion and earnestness. The principal duties of a householder are to offer sacrifices and to live in accordance with the rules as laid down in our codes of conduct and which are our sacred Texts. His duties are to worship the gods and the ancestral detites, to entertain the guests, to show mercy to the poor and the distressed and to live according to the precepts of the Smritis and the Srutis. We find that these duties were earnestly performed by Harsa and the people of his times.

^{86.} Manusmriti, III. 2. and II. 173-222; Yajñavalkya, J. 52; Kāmandaka, II. 25. 26.

^{87.} Manusmriti, III. 77.

Mahābhārata, Shāntiparva, Adhyāya 11. Yudhiştharam Prati gārhasthyazya Sieşthayopapadānan i. e. Expasition on the superiority of Grihasthāsrama to Yudhisthira.

^{89.} HCCTH., P. 66: दारपरिग्रहावस्थगारिकोऽस्मि ।

[—]ह॰ ४०, दि० उ०, प० १६। 90. Kämandakiya Nitisära., tr. M. N. Dutta, II. 25-26. P. 21.

⁴⁴

THE VĀNAPRASTHĀŠRAMA

During this phase of life of an individual one gave up all his pursuits of 'artha' and 'Kāma' and left his near and dear ones and abandoned all his worldly belongings and material possessions with a view to attaining the spirit of complete detachment in life. He used to go to the forest where he tried to train himself for the final āframa by constant meditation.

During Harsa's age we find that people in their old age developed a sense of indifference to their worldly belongings and affairs. They used to retine to penance groves. In the Nāgānandam the Nați tells the stage-manager that her father-and-mother-in-law had retured to the forest. Na Sometimes the people after some severe shocks also used to retire to the forest even in tender age. Harsa is saud to have "mused" after his father's death, "Pray heaven, my brother, when he learns of our father's death, may not assume two robes of bark or seek a hermitage as a royal sage," and we know that the later course of events proved that what Harsa suspected was correct. Rājyavardhana decided to leave the palace for hermitage and his decision was changed only 's'hen the news of Grahavarman's treacherous assassination reached him.

The life in this period was full of detachment and people lived on what was available in the forests. After Pupdarika's death, Mahāśveta began to live on water and the roots and fruits of the forests. Bapa further informs that sages, neglecting marriage and dispensing with domestic life took refuge in desolate forests.

THE SANNYĀSA

This last phase of life provided the fullest opportunities for the self-expression and self-realization. This was the state of complete

^{91.} Nag., Act. I, P. 3.

^{92.} HCCTH., P. 62. अपि नाम तातस्य मरणं...आयोबाध्यजलस्तातो न गृहणीयादल्कले, नाश्रयेद्वा राजियराश्यमयदम्। —ह० व०, प० द०, प० ३५ ।

^{93.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 135.

^{94.} HCCTH., P. 122; एतद्भ्यावकृतवारपरिष्रहाः परिवृह्वसतयः सूत्यास्यर-म्यान्यविद्येते मृतयः, ——हः चंः चः उः, पः २३३

renunciation and detachment from the material world. At this stage one surrendered all that was near and dear to him in the world in order to realize the real self, the Atman. During the Harsa's age we have innumerable examples of such sages who left the worldly pleasures and went to the forests to live a life of renunciation in order to attain the fullest detachment from the material world. Diväkaramitra was a sage of such type. Bana speaks in a very reverential tone to express his respect and devotion to Divakaramitra.86 He also informs about other sages and saints who were indifferent to worldly pain and pleasure. saint and trained themselves to the path of renunciation. They had no love or attachment for worldly things. When Bhairavacharya went to the king Puspabhūti the latter placed himself, his treasury, his harem, and his court at the disposal of the former.86 The ascetic replied with a smile, "What have we children of the woods to do with power and wealth."99 This indicates the worldly detachment of the sages and saints. Asceticism was not limited to men alone. We find several ladies also who are said to have followed this path. In the Kamasutra female ascetics find prominent place as Parivrājikā, Śramanā, Ksapanikā, Tāpsī, Bhiksukī (ni) and Munda etc.100

At various places Bāṇa mentions some of these female ascetics.

Many aged female ascetics surrounded the queen of king Tārāpiḍa. They
read and recited the legends (from the religious texts) to the queen. 101

Among the several companions of Bāṇa during his wanderings, there was

^{95.} HOCTH., Pp. 233-243; HCK., Can. 8, Pp., 71-77.

^{96.} HCCTH., P. 162; 'समद:सस्थारच समाधिताः'

⁻⁻ह० प०, पं० उ०, प० ३५ ।

^{97.} HOCTH., P. 162; 'अधिनतात्मतत्त्वाः' —ह० च०, पं० उ०, प्० ३५ ।

^{98.} HCCTH., P. 89; तस्मै च राजासान्तःपुरं सपरिजनं सकोपमात्मानं निवेदितज्ञाम्। —ह० च०, त० उ०, पु० ४८।

^{99.} HCCTH., P. 89: लाल. क्य विभव:, क्य च वयं वनविक्ता:।

⁻⁻ह० ४०, वृ० उ०, पू० ४९।

^{100.} Chakalder, Studies in Vâtasāyan's Kāmasūtra, P. 111.

^{101.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 70.

an ascetic widow (Kātyāyanikā) named Chakravākikā.** Kādambalr is also said to have been surrounded by wandering ascetics (Parivrāji-kābhih) "with marks of white ashes on their foreheads."\footnote{\text{Test}}

We must, however, remember that it was neither compulsory nor obligatory to follow this phased programme of fourfold disivion of life popularly known as the äśramavyavasathā in the world. It was purely voluntary and only a minority appears to have been inclined to practise it.

THE INSTITUTION OF MARRIAGE

According to Hindu view of life, marriage has been looked upon as a great and sacred social institution that unites man and woman in the social and religious bonds. In the Vedic age marriage was performed ceremoniously. At that occasion wedding hymns were chanted and the bridegroom grasped the hand of the bride and led her round the fire; los people used to pray for perfect harmony and happiness in conjugal life and the couple was blessed with sons and grand-ons. 108 This ha definite proof of the sacred nature of this institution and shows how escential it was regarded for the individual as well as for the entire community. With the growth of religious consciousness and octal obligations marriage became a "religious duty incumbent upon every individual and the centre of all domestic sacrifices." 18 It was regarded as a sacrifice and an unmarried person (apatnikab) was looked upon as "one without sacrifice" (apajhah), 17 and a man without wife was a half man. 118

Thus marriage as a social institution gained greater importance and sanctity in Hindu social organization. With the origin and development of the Asramas marriage came to be regarded as an inviolable and

^{102.} HCCTH., P. 33.

^{103.} Kādambari, P. 162; "वन्त्रमस्मनलाटिकाभिः"

^{104.} VA., P. 389.

^{105.} Ibid., P. 389.

^{106.} HS , P. 261.

^{107.} अयजो व एव योऽपरनीकः

^{108.} अयो अर्दो व स्व बारमनः परपरनी, Quoted in HS., P. 261.

indispensable institution for the fulfilment of ones social aims and obligation in the grihasthäfarma which was regarded as the most important of all other åframas. During the age of the Sütras and Smritis the institution of marriage gained further importance. The Dharmasūtras and the Smritis endorsed the Åframa theory and laid down the social code of conduct that one should marry soon after he completes his Brahmancharyåframa. According to Daksa, the first three åframas are socially obligatory and unalterable and he declared that any breach would mean a serious violation and an irreligious action. 109 Yājfiaval-kya opines that "a man, be he a Brāhmaṇa, Kṣatriya, Vaisya or Śūdra, who is without a wife is unfit for religious duties." Thus we see that marriage was a sacred social institution necessitated by religious and sacrificial purposes and motives. According to Hindu view of life marriage was also necessitated in order to have a son who is socially and religiously recognised to be worthy of offerring oblations. 111

INSTITUTION OF MARRIAGE DURING THE AGE OF HARSA

According to the Hindu view of married life, eight types of marriages are commonly recognized by the ancient authors of Srutis and Smritis, ¹¹⁸ We do not find any systematic and categorical description of these eight types ¹²¹ of marriages in our sources on the period under review, but the available accounts enable us to come to some definite conclusions that almost all of these types were found in some way or other during the age of Harsavardhana.

The Brahma type of marriage is generally regarded as the first

^{109.} Daksa śmriti; 1.12.

अवल्लीको नरो (नृप) कर्मयोग्यो न जायते।
 श्राह्मणः क्षत्रियो व ऽपि वैक्यः सुद्रोऽपि वा (नृपः)। बाञ्च० स्मृ० १. ५१।

^{111.} पुत्राचें कियते भावी पुत्रः पिण्डप्रयोजनः

^{112.} MS., III. 21; YS., I. 58-61; Kauţilya's Arthafdstra., tr. R. Samasastry, P. 172.

These eight types are : Brāhma, Deva, Ārṣa, Prājāpatya, Asura, Gāādharva, Rākṣasa and Paišācha.

and the purest form of marriage.¹¹⁴ In this type of marriage the girl was given by the father along with the ornaments and wealth to a worthy groom, whom he invited and received respectfully,¹¹⁵

In the Harşacharita we have an elaborate description of Rājyaśri's marriage who was given to Grahavarman along with many articles of dowry and several presents. This marriage is the best example of Brāhma Vivāha and it reflects on the social decency and religious considerations pertaining to the marriage ceremony which were fully observed during Harsa's times.

The next type of marriage was Deva in which the girl was given by a father to the Priest as a daksinā. We have no evidences of such marriages during the period under review.

The third and the fourth types are Arsa and Ptājāpatya respectively. These types of marriages are not found during this period.

The next and the widely popular form of marriage was that of Gandharva. It was mutually arranged by the bride and the bride-groom "for sensualistic and passional gratification." This type of marriage is found to have been in vogue during all times. We have some examples of its existence even in the Rigvedic age, "17 and it has continued upon the present times.

Our sources offer a number of examples of the Gandharva type of marriage during the age of Harsa. It was, generally speaking, more prevalent among the Ksattiyas than among any other Varnas of the Hindu Society. Jimūtavāhana's marriage with Malayavatis rightly characterised as Gāndharva vivāha.¹¹⁸ This type of marriage is also mentioned in the Ratnāvali and the Priyadaršikā.¹¹⁹ A vety good

^{114.} Manusmptti, III. 27; Yaj. Sm., I. 58,

^{115.} आच्छाच चार्यायित्वा च श्रुति शीलवते स्वयम्। आहुयदानं कन्याया बाह्मो चर्नः प्रकीति तः॥ बनुस्मृति, ३. २७।

^{116.} MS., III. 32.

^{117.} R. V., X. 27. 17. Quoted in HS., P. 278.

^{118.} Năgănanda., Act. II, Pp. 68-69.

^{119.} Priyadaršikā, Pp. 64, 91.

example of the Gåådharva type of marriage is found in the Kådambarl where Båņa's character says, "Though marriage resting only on mutual love is lawful yet let us follow the custom of the world." ¹⁸⁶ This indicates that Gåndharva marriage was treated as lawful marriage but it also gives us an impression that it was not looked upon as an appreciable type of marriage in the society, and, therefore, it was followed by the customary religious ceremonies, rites and rituals.

But marriages by mutual consent have nothing to do with the Svayariwaras. It appears that the institution of the Svayariwaras had become obsolete. In the entire literature of Bāṇa and Harṣa and Yuan Chuang's accounts we do not find a single example of the Svayariwara being performed. Had there been the Svayariwaras in voque the pilgrim must have mentioned them or we might have got some information pertaining to the Svayariwaras in literary works or in other contemporary records.

NEGOTIATIONS FOR MARRIAGE

From Bāṇa's description it seems almost certain that in the negotiations for the marriage the initiative was first taken by the party of the groom. We are told in the Harsacharita that several kings and princes had sent their envoys to seek Rājyash's hand. 111 Grahavarman had also prayed for her. We have further indications that though a bride might have other merits, the wise people specially inclined towards good family while concluding matrimonial alliances. 121 Though the father appears to have enjoyed the final voice, he usually consulted his wife and sons to finalise the matrimonial negotiations. 123

^{120.} Kadambari, tr. Ridding, P. 208. 'अपि च यक्ष्यस्माकमयमेव पर-स्पर्यामिकविनिष्यमे अर्थ्यो विवाहस्तवापि क्षेकसंब्यवहारोजु-जुवर्तनीय" कादस्वरी, संपा०, परव, प० ७०८ ।

^{121.} HCCTH., P. 121-122.

^{122.} Ibid., P. 121.

^{123.} Ibid., P. 122.

RETROTHAL CEREMONY

As soon as the negotiations were finalized the betrothal ceremony was to follow. We learn from the Harşacharita that on an auspicious day the betrothal ceremony was performed by king Prabhākarothana, the father of the bride, in the presence of the whole royal household. He is said to have performed the betrothal ceremony by pouring the betrothal water upon the hand of an envoy extraordinary who had arrived at Prabhākaravardhana's court previously with instructions from Grahavarman. ¹³¹ This must have been the common custom of betrothal ceremony prevalent in those days.

THE MARRIAGE CEREMONY

In his description of Rājyaári's marriage Bāna has drawn a vivid picture of the marriage ceremony as it was performed. In this description we find glimpses of marriage ceremonies and several tituals during the period under review. Bāṇa's colourful, vivid and poetic account seems to be "true to fact and nature," Bāṇa's nad, perhajs, without any adequate parallel in the whole of our ancient literature 155

PREPARATIONS FOR THE CEREMONY

We are told in the Harsacharita that the grand preparations were made for the occasion and it appears that people used to celebrate the marriages of their children with great pomp and show. This was definitely correct about the higher clawes at least. Yuan Chuang also tells u about the richer classes and their spendthrift proclivities. He says about the people of Sthāṇviśwara that "the rich families vied with each other in extravagance." 122

At such occasions many relatives, friends and favourites were

^{124.} Ibid., P. 123. शोभने च दिवसे दुहितृदानजलमपासयत्।

[─]ह० च०, च० उ०, पृ० १३।

^{125.} HMHI., 1., P. 94.

^{126.} HCESA., P. 70.

^{127.} Watters, I. 314.

ge

invited to attend these ceremonies.¹³⁰ The festal drums were struck sharply. Troubandours crowded the courts; artists, carpenters, gold-workers, leather-workers, painters and others sepert in decoration works were engaged to beautify the palace ¹³⁰

The palace walls were white-washed. Reverberations of auspicious music filled the whole atmosphere. 120 Bāṇa tells us in a magni-loquent manner much about the grandeur and richness of the claborate preparations. The palace was full of beauty. We can briefly summarise that elaborate and grand preparations were made for the marriage ceremony of the princess Rājyaśrī. The people, in general, must have been celebrating the marriages of their children according to their means; but they appear to be quite generous and extravagant.

MARRIAGE RITUALS

Marriage was performed according to the code and customs as laid down in the Dharmasastras. The marriage aliai (Vuodhaudd) was prepared and a band of astronomers and panditas was invited to find out the auspicious moment with great accuracy. After the arrival of the marriage party the betel-bearer was sent to the bride's house to communicate their arrival formally.¹³¹ This man was also entrusted to see that no mishap would take place owing to any negligence on the part of any body. Very great importance was attached to the accuracy of the time of marriage as decided by elaborate previous calculations. One man was especially appointed to look into the matter that every thing was performed according to appointed time and routine.

THE RECEPTION OF THE MARRIAGE PARTY

Due to some inadvertent mistake four pages (353 to 356) have been numbered wrongly. It's a printer's devil. But the matter is in order and its continuity is unbroken.

—Author

party (Varayātrā). We are told that innumerable decorated elephants and horses were brought with the marriage party. When the groom and his party arrived at the gate, the king and his sons "accompanied by their royal retinue went forth on foot to meet them."133 After dismounting the groom was given "a hearty embrace with outstretched arms" by Prabhākaravardhana. Next in order, he was embraced by Rājyavardhana and Harsavardhana.138 After this reception was over the bridegroom was taken inside the palace by the king where the latter honoured the groom with a seat "equal to his own and with other honours."1"4 From there the bridegroom proceeded to the bridal house (Kautukagrika). The bride was "arrayed in the special costume suited for the ceremony." There the groom accompanied the bride proceeded to the marriage altar. Flames were kindled in the altar. Close to the fire "unsoiled green Kusa grass was set and bundles of pounding stones, antelope skins, ghee, garlands and fuel (for sacrifice) were brought." The bridegroom first ascended the altar and after the bridal rites had been fully completed, the "husl and bowed with his newlywedded wife to the latter's parents" and thus the matriage was completed.

This description of Rājyaśri's marriage, as stated above, makes it certain that the marriage ceremony was treated as one of the mov important samskāras of an individual in the Hindu society and as such it was conducted in accordance with the codified rules as laid down in the Smritis and Dharmasistras.

Strangely enough, Bāṇa also refers to one peculiar custom which appears to have been prevailing in those times. After the marriage ceremony was over the newly-wedded couple went to their decorated chamber (the

^{132.} HCCTH., P. 128. The custom is note-worthy and we find a significant parallel of it in our times.

^{133.} HCCTH., P. 128. It is strange to note that Baya does not mention any of the groom's parents and guardens and this confirms our earlier analysis that Auentivarman was no more when the marriage of his son Grahavarman with princess Rājyafri was solemnised.

^{134.} HCCTH., P. 128.

instagrish) which was especially constructed for enjoying the honeymoon. We are told that the bridegroom "spent his ten blissful days" with his bride and then "set out with his bride to his native country." 1284

MARRIAGEARLE AGE

On the basis of this elaborate and detailed description of Rājyaśri's marriage we can safely conclude that early marriage did not take place at that time. We are not sure about Rājyaśri's exact age; but she had reached the marriageable age definitely as we are told by her father Prabhākaravardhana. The latter says to his wife that their daughter was a grown-up girl¹⁹⁸ and that she was a swollen-breasted lady (Payodharonnamond).¹⁸⁷

INTER-CASTE MARRIAGES

Generally speaking, the marriages were permitted within a varqa and people did not recognize the inter-caste marriages, but we find several examples where inter-caste marriages are found to have taken place in the society. But there is no ground to generalize that inter-caste marriages were socially recognized. Yuan Chuang says, "The members of a caste marry within the caste, the great and the obscure keeping apart." But here the pilgrim's account, as we have already referred to, does not appear as fully correct as we have many other examples of inter-caste marriage. Both Anuloma and Pratiloma marriages were also solemnised during the age of Harsa. Bāṇa mentions that he had two cousins whom he terms as "Pārsavau," i.e. sons of a Brāhmaṇa father through a Sūdra wife. Epigraphic evidences offer many such examples of this nature in the sixth and the seventh centuries. Harichandra, the progenitor of the Pratihāras, who was himself a Brāhmaṇa, married Bhadrā, a lady of the Kṣatriya community. 189 A Brāhmaṇa named Ravikhti married Bhānguptā, a

^{135.} Ibid., P. 132.

^{136.} Ibid., P. 122; तक्कीमृता वस्ता राज्यकी -- ह० च०, च० त०, प० १३।

^{137.} HCCTH., P. 122.

^{138.} Watters., I., P. 168.

^{139.} Ep. Ind., Vol. XVIII, P. 95.

Vaisya lady, 146 An ancestor of Hastibhoja, a Brāhmaṇa, married a Kṣatriya wife. 141 Later in the last quarter of the tenth century A.D., the great poet Rājaškhara, a Brāhmaṇa, married a Chahamāna princess.

Thus many other similar examples can be cited. But it should not be understood, as already told in the beginning, that such marriages were socially recognized as valid marriages. They were, after all exceptions. And, therefore, Yuan Chuang is right when he says that "the members of a caste marry within the caste." He rightly understood that inter-caste marriages were not socially common and religiously recognized forms of marriages.

The pilgrim further relates that "relations whether by father's or by mother's side do not intermarry." Yuan Chuang is completely right and accurate in his statement so far as the conditions in the Northern India were conceined; but in southern India such marriages were performed as early as the period of VătaJyana¹⁴² at least Such restrictions are still found in notthern India till today, but an south there are no restrictions of this kind.

REMARRIAGE OF WIDOWS

Yuan Chuang is not clear in his description pertaining to the remarriage of widows. He says "A woman never contracts a second marriage." It can be interpreted in different ways and we cannot conclude any thing positively and conclusively. But one thing seems to be certain that remarriage of the widows of higher Varnas was not allowed in the society. 144 Yet enforced widowhood did not fully exist in those days. 146

^{140.} CII., Vol. III, Pp. 182-84.

Arch. Sur. Rep. West. India, Vol. IV, P. 140. cited in HMHI,
 I, P. 62.

^{142.} Chakaladar, Studies in the Kämasütra, P. 133.

^{143.} Watters, I, P. 168.

¹⁴⁴ PO., Vol. XVI, 1951, P. 104,

^{145.} HMHI., I, Pp. 95-96.

MARRIED LIFE

The mutual relations between husband and wife were harmonious and cordial. This was mainly based on our religious outlook towards married life. Manu declares that mutual fidelity till death is the essence of the highest Dharma for husband and wife; once they are united by the marriage ceremony, they must always exert themselves to see that they are never at variance with each other.146 The true aim of marriage in the Hindu Society is the realisation of the "permanent and unbreakable partnership."147 The infallible and inviolable sacredness and the major emphasis on the importance of character in married life was well noticed during the period under review; peace and harmony, happiness and partnership worked as the basis of married life. Both men and women obeyed the laws as laid down in the Srutis and Smritis. True love existed between them. There was no quarrel for rights and privileges. But when husbands indulged in love-affairs, we find wives objecting to such affairs vehemently. This is but natural for all the women of all ages and all classes and of all the countries. It is based on human instincts. Ratnävali and Privadarsika offer best examples of this type. There is nothing abnormal in it. It is but natural.

All the women characters in the contemporary literature represent noble ideals of a married life. King Prabhātaravardhana loved his wife sincerely and devotedly and sought her advice on almost all the major family issues. To Yasomati her husband was "the fount of life and joy on the earth" and when his end approached near she ended her own life in order to die unwidowed. This was supposed to be one of the highest and noble aims of a wife.

THE SYSTEM OF DOWRY

From the very dawn of our civilisation, marriages were generally associated with the dowry system in some way or other. There might have been changes in the forms and modes of dowry, but in essence the

^{146.} MS., IX. 101-102.

^{147.} Urwick, The Social Good, P. 137.

system has remained almost unchanged. During the Vedic period dowry was given along with the bride, 148 and "when pre-puberty marriages began to be regarded as sacred, dowry became an essential addition." 149 It was this religious conception of marriage as a sarrifice which strengthened the system of dowry. Later on, it began to enjoy social recognition and was looked upon as a desirable institution. 149

Our sources of information record that during the age of Harşa dowry was definitely given at the time of mariage ceremony and the parents were required to offer downy in order to find out the grooms. Though Yuan Chuang is completely silent about dowry system, Bāṇa has thrown some light on it. He says in connection with Rājyaśri's marriage that "the courtyards were seas of elephants and horses which were to be presented as bridal gifts," ¹⁸¹ Later he clearly refers to several articles grouped as "provisions named in dowry (Taulaka)." ¹⁸² Kādambarī's father Chitrārtha offered Chandrapida his whole kingdom with the hand of his daughter. ¹⁸³ These are some evidences which clearly indicate the vogueness of the dowry system among the higher classes at least.

It will not be irrelevent to assert that this system worked well and it was really desirable when any other proper legal provision for inheritance did not exist in our society. Such an arrangement gained adequate and acceptable recognition in the absence of such provisions. It was quite natural for the kind and affectionate parents to ensure an adequate economic security to a daughter when she left her home to embark upon her new phase of life with an unknown partner. This was also done with a purpose of enhancing the status of a daughter in the house of her in-laws. With this voluntary spirit the gift of dowry must have been regarded as a

^{148.} VA., P. 453.; RV., X. 23.11. cited in HS., Pp. 284-85.

^{149.} Ibid., P. 285.

^{150.} Ibid., Pp. 28ff.

^{151.} HOCTH., P. 124.

^{152.} Ibid., P. 131; Monier Williams, P. 859.

^{153.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 208.

moral duty of the parents to secure their daughter's future against probable vicissitudes, and destitution.

STATUS OF WOMEN

The attitude of the people of a particular community towards women has an important and great social significance in any society and any period. The attitude of the Hindus of the seventh century A.D. towards women is clear from their importance in social life which I have tried to estimate during the course of my discussion on Grihasthäśrama and Marriage. We must now consider the status of the womankind as a whole and in general in order to give a picture of woman's place in the Hindu society during Harsa's times.

WOMAN AS DAUGHTER

The birth of a girl was, generally speaking, a source of much anxiety and sorrow to the Hindu parents of this period. When she reached the marriageable age this anxiety and pain increased. This pain and anxiety was mainly there because of the daughter's inevitable departure to her husband's home. The most pathetic sentiments are expressed by Bana who puts the following words in the mouth of Prabhalaravardhana. The latter says to his wife, "The thought of her (Rājyairi's) never for a moment leaves my heart. As soon as ever girls near maturity, their fathers become fuel to the flame of pain... Children born of our body, dandled at our breasts, are taken from us by someone unknown to us."184 He further gives a general expression to the fatherly feelings, "Good men grieve at a daughter's birth and noble men offer water to their daughters even at birth."186 And therein we find an explanation for Bana's silence about any sort of celebration at the birth of Rajyasri whereas we find a beautiful description of merry-making at the birth day celebrations of her two brothers. In Bana's Harsacharita we find three pertinent points pertaining to the life of a girl.

^{154.} HOCTH., P. 122.

^{155.} HCCTH., P. 122. बातायां बुहितरि दूयनो सन्तः।...फण्यकाल एव कण्यकाल्यः प्रयक्तित स्रतिकामध्याः साववः। —-इ० ४०, ४० उ०, ९० ११।

Firstly, the marriage of a girl was the parent's serious concern and it put them to the greatest auxiety and sorrow as she grew mature and reached the marriageable age.

Secondly, the bridegroom was generally an outsider, not related by blood to the girl's parents. 188

Thirdly, the departure of a beloved daughter has been the most painful occasion and it caused an overwhelming grief in parent's life. She was born with the inevitable future of separation from her near and dear ones.

WOMAN AS WIFE

Woman as a wifewas the most obedient and faithful partner to her husband. She shared his happiness as well as sorrow and led a harmonious life. But it appears from the writings of Bāṇa, and on the basis of other evidences of that period, that polygamy might have caused some nuseries and sorrows. We know it for certain that polygamy existed in those days. Prabhākaravardhana had many queens and the chief queen alone enjoyed the privileged status and she alone was mittled to social and religious benefits. Other queens were subservient to her Widows of conqueted and slain kings were forcibly put into the harem of the victor, where they seem to have been accepted as concubines. The wives of ordinary people must have been in a better social and domestic position.

On major issues the advice of the wife was taken; but the final decision was taken by the husband. It is clear from the reply of Yasomatishe gave to her husband when she was asked to consider the appropriateness of Grihavarınan as a match for Rājyaśri. She says, "Mothers are nothing more to their daughters than nurses. In bestowing them, the father is the authority." This shows the supremacy of the will of the husband. We find intense love between the wife and the husband. Wife

^{156.} The situation in Southern India was different. I have discussed this point while writing on marriage.

^{157.} HCCTH., P. 123. संवर्षनवात्रीवयीनिन्योवानीलिक्विया वयन्ति वल् मातरः कत्यकानाम्। प्रदाने दु जनानमाखां पितरः।—इ० व०, व० द०, व० १३ ।

did not come forward to challenge the supremacy of her husband who had the final word in all matters. Girls were brought up in an environment where a man had a higher and better position and a woman was taught and trained to obey her husband. Constant service and unqualified obedience to a husband were the supreme duties of a dutiful wife. This was not only noticed in those times but during all times and in all parts of our country.

WOMAN AS MOTHER

Motherhood is the culmination of the noble ideals, sincere love, sacrifice, sufferings and devotion for which womankind has due claim to reverence and worship. Even the impact of science and technology could bring no change in this instinctive uniqueness of woman as mother. During the times of Harsa, too, women seem to have been extremely desirous to be mothers. Bana gives a detailed account of the sufferings and sacrifices that Vilasavati had undergone to be blewed with a son. Yasomati, too, was extremely happy when her dream was analysed as the prognastication of the birth of two sons and a daughter. After the husband the son was regarded as the responsible guardian and supporter of his mother. The mothers also believed in this ideal and followed it sincerely. Mothers seem to have been strict in discipline and proper training of their children but the strictness of discipline was always associated with tender love.

Thus it can be safely concluded that general attitude of the society towards the women was full of respect and they were given their due place in the domestic, social and religious spheres of life.

THE PURDAH SYSTEM

We cannot positively determine the existence of the purdāh system during the period under review. It seems that purdāh was not in vogue in those days. It was neither common nor strict as we find today. Bāṇa informs that "village wives hastened to the neighbouring villages with baskets filled with various forest flowers." 128 Even the ladies of higher

^{158.} HOCTH., Pp. 227-228.

families did not observe strict purdāh. Yuan Chuang records that Rājyaśrī was present at both the religious assemblies at Kanauj and Prayāg. Her mother accompanied her husband on almost all important occasions. We find her sitting by the side of sick-bed where Prabhākara-vardhana was lying ill and the ministers and high officials of the State were allowed to go in. 100 We often find minister Sukanāsa in the inner apartments of the palace and talking with the queen. 100 Bāṇa informs us that Yasomatl gave certain important instructions to the ministers before she plunged into the fire to die unwidowed. 101 With these evidences and several others in view I do not find any reason to believe in what some historians say about the strict observance of purdāh. 102

There are, no doubt, some examples of the observance of purdah, but we should remember that it was of a very mild type. Bana's words that "some (ladies) took the yow that they would see the face of none but of their husband,"169 have no relation with the system of purdah. By 'parpurusadarsana' Bāṇa simply indicates the unquestionable fidelity and undivided loyalty of wives towards their husbands. We are told in the Ratnāvalī that when the magician was ready to display his magical performance, the king asked to clear the palace of the people.164 This was done to make the special arrangement for the ladies of the harem. Bana informs us about the screened balcony which was occupied by the women of the family.¹⁶⁶ These evidences lead us to the conclusion that family privacy was duly preserved, but it cannot be maintained that strict purdah was enforced. If there was any such system it was of a very mild and ordinary type. We should not confuse ourselves between the family privacy and the Purdah system. The purdah, as we find today, is difinitely the impact of the Muslim civilization.

^{159.} Ibid., Pp. 141-142.

^{160.} Kādambari, tr. Ridding, P. 57.

^{161.} HCCTH., P. 151.

^{162.} HMHI., I.. P. 97; PO., Vol. XVI, 1951, P. 106.

^{163.} अधन्ये हसासि परपुरुवादर्शन बतेन --काद० संपा०, परव, प० १६६।

^{164.} Ratnāvali, P. 305.

^{165.} HOCTH., P. 138.

FEMALE EDUCATION

THE CUSTOM OF SATI

The custom of Sati goes back to the age of Rigreda. We have enough evidence on this point and we fail to understand as to why some scholars have tried to maintain that "the earliest notice of the self-immolation or the Sati occur in the Greek account of Alexander's invasion." Self occur in the Greek account of Alexander's invasion. Self occur in the Greek account of Alexander's invasion of the Sati occur in the Greek account of Alexander's invasion. The self-invasion is the self-invasion of the self-invasion

During the post-vedic period this custom of Satt was reduced to a formality. We find it only in symbolic form. After the death of her husband a widow was expected to sit on the funeral pyre along with dead body of her husband. Then she was asked to come down by the younger

^{166.} Väisyäyana's Kam., 1.3.

^{167.} HCCTH., P. 121; 'राज्यक्षी'रिप नृत्यगीताबिषु विवस्त्वासु सबीचु सकलासु ककासु क प्रतिदिवसमृपचीयमानपरिचवा सर्नैः सनै: —ह० च०, च० द०, प० १२।

^{168.} VA., P. 390.

^{169.} Dr. A. L. Basham, The Wonder That Was India, P. 187.

brother of the dead or his disciple or a servant. Thus it seems that the widow-burning was gradually reduced to a formality and people were satisfied with it. The wife of a deceased was to mount the funeral pyre and was asked to come down. 170 Thus it can be safely concluded that it had merely a ritualistic importance. This formality worked as a substitute for actual burning of a widow. But this custom seems to have continued among certain tribes and during certain periods.

We find its revival and prevalence during the age of Imperial Guptas and it also continued afterwards. The Eran stone pillar inscription offers the first epigraphic evidence of the Sati custom in the beginning of the sixth century A.D..¹⁷¹ Sati custom continues down to the present times and we hear of voluntary widow-burning now and then.

During the age of Harşa we find many examples of Sati. As the husband was the supreme lord of the wife, she did not see any usefulness in continuing her mortal existence after her husband was no more. When king Prabhākaravardhana was on the death-bed, queen Yasomati was very much grieved. Overcome with excessive and unbearable sorrow, she plunged into fire to avoid widowhood.\(^{12}\) Even Harsa's affectionate requests, persuasions and pitious eries failed to dissuade her from the firm determination of ending her life. After the treacherous assassination of Grahavarman, Rājyaśri, his devoted wife, prepared to enter the funeral pyre. She told Harşa, ''A husband or a son is a woman's true support; but to those who are deprived of both, it is immodesty even to continue to live as mere fuel to the fire of misery.\(^{11712}\) Her decision was changed only after the persuasions of the great Buddhist sage Divākaramitra. After Jimūtavāhana's death Malayavati asked for the crown of her deceased lord so that ''clasping it to her heart she might enter into the funeral pyre.\(^{118}\)

^{170.} HS., Pp. 440-41.

^{171.} K. D. Bajpai, Sagar Through The Ages, P. 14.

^{172.} HCCTH., Pp. 154-55; HCK., Canto 5, Pp. 30-31.

^{173.} НССТН., Р. 254; अबलानां हि पतिरपत्यं वावलस्वनम्।...केवलम्।

Victorious Vijayasena gives an account of his invasion against Vindhyaketu and informs the king Udayana of the scene at the latter's capital. He tells that his (Vindhyaketu's) faithful wives (stahadharmachāriṇṭu) had followed him in death. It is quite certain that they committed Sati. When Mahāśvetā found that Puṇḍarīka was dead, she asked her friend Tārālikā to rise and collect the wood to make a funeral pyre so that she might follow her lord. Via Likewise, we find Kādambarī who "decided to embrace death, honouring the feet of Chandrapīda with bent head" and "placing them in her lap." Via It seems that several wives of king Prabhākaravardhana also committed Sati. Bāṇa informs us that after Prabhākaravardhana's death only a few sorrow-stricken chamberlains were left in the women's apartment. Via We know definitely that the king had many other queens. They might have burnt themselves in order to commit Sati.

To commit Sati, a lady used to burn herself with all ornaments on her body and other marks and symbols of unwidowhood. She used to die either on the same funeral pyre on which her deceased husband lay or on another one prepared for her. In the absence of the bier of the husband, she carried with her the picture of her husband, representing the latter. She is said to have paid her reverence to all other belongings of the husband.¹⁷⁹

^{175.} K'ddambari, tr. Ridding, P. 133. उत्तिष्ठ काष्ठात्याहृत्य विरच्य चिताम् । ... अमुखरामि जीवितेस्वरम्। —काद०, संपा० परव, प० ३५७ ।

^{176.} Ibid., P. 195. Kadambari later changed her decision when she was asked by a voice from the sky.

^{177.} HCCTH., P. 160.

^{178.} HOCTH., Pp. 150-151.

CHAPTER X

SOCIETY (CONTINUED)

FOOD AND DRINK

Yuan Chuang writes that "milk, ghee, granulated sugar, sugarcandy, cakes and parched grain with mustard seed oil were the common as food of the people." They occasionally ate fish, mutton, venison as dainties. Thus people were both vegetarian and non-vegetarian. Brāhmaṇas observed purity in their food. They did not attend the common dinner (Vioeijidajanepakkydi) Some of them had stopped to take food even with three higher "Varṇas" (Varṣatrṣatryatrittiviudhāmānsah). But this restriction was not observed strictly in certain extra-ordinary conditions.

People observed complete cleanliness in their food habits. Among higher Varqua a certain code of conduct was in practice. Yuan Chuang says, "Before every meal they must have a wash....; the food utensils are not passed on; those utensils which are of pottery or wood must be thrown away after use." Here the pilgrim appears as partly correct. So far as clay-pots are concerned they might have been thrown off, but wooden pots might have been washed after use. Other utensils were of gold, copper, silver, and iron. After meal "people chew tooth-stick and make themselves clean." We have already seen that people were both vegetarian and non-vegetarian. Yet it is difficult for us to draw a line of demacration between vegetarians and non-vegetarians and ruan Chuang agree in this respect. The pilgrim records that "Fish, mutton, venison were in this respect.

^{1.} Watters, I. P. 178.

^{2.} Ibid., I, P. 178.

^{3.} Ibid., P. 152.

^{4.} Ibid., P. 152.

occasionally taken." He adds, "The flesh of oxen, asses, elephants, horses, dogs, wolves, foxes, lions, monkeys and apes was forbidden and those who used such food became pariahs."

The people were "unostentatious and simple in their way of taking food; they took their food with their fingers; spoons and chop-sticks were not in vogue, except in the cases of sickness."

In the mountainous regions and hermitages sages and risis ate edible roots, fruits, herbs and other jungle fruits. In the Kādambari and Harsacharita we find a number of such instances and it is not possible to quote them all.

WINE-DRINKING

Intoxicating drinks are common to all ages. During Haraa's times, we are on definite grounds that wine-drinking was in vogue. It appears to have been a very common drink. Yuan Chuang tries to make certain distinctions in the use of wines and other beverages. According to him, "the Buddhist monks and Brāhmaṇas did not take wine; they took syrup of grapes and of sugarcane." The Vaisyas drank "a strong distilled spirit"; but the Sūdras and mixed and low castes were "without any distinguishing drink." Bāṇa informs us that there were public drinking-saloons (đɨðinamaṇḍaṇah) where people took wine freely. At the birth celebrations of Harṣa wine is said to have been flown in streams where "in overwhelming joy the wise forgot themselves as they were intoxicated." Among several things which were sent as presents by the king Bhāskaravarman of Kāmarūpa there were pitchers of very sweet wine.

^{5.} Watters., I, P. 178.

Ibid., Life P. 43. It records that when Yuan Chwang refused to take wine he was offered the juice of grapes. Life. P. 43.

^{7.} HOCTH., P. 55.

Ibid., P. 115. also P. 111. Bêşa says that it was not possible to distinguish between drunk and sober (DurjReyamattamattapravibhēgaḥ).

^{9.} Ibid., P. 214. अतिमधुरमधुरसामीदनिष्ठीचीवचोल्लककलवीः

festivals people drank to their satisfaction and enjoyed the company of their mistresses,10 Women also shared such drinks. Bana, while speaking about the women of Sthäniśvara, says that "their faces were brilliant with white teeth, yet their breath was perfumed with fragrance of wine."11 The government seems to have encouraged wine-drinking. At the marriage ceremony of Rājyaśrī leather-workers (charmakārāh) were treated with wine and they are described to have been "wild with intoxication."18

BETEL-CHEWING (TÄMBÜLASEVANA)

The habit of betel-chewing has been quite common among the Indians for about more than three thousand years. Even Charvaka mentions the use of betel. In our ancient literature we find various customs associated with the use of betel such as exchange of Tāmbūla as a sign of betrothal, as a token of honour, as a token of yow or pledge or as a token of love etc.. Brihatsamhitā says about betel that "it stimulates love, gives physical charm, creates popularity, gives good smell to the mouth, strengthens the body and despels diseases arising from the phlegin "18 There are thirteen recognized qualities of Tämbūla.14 Vātsyāyana, too, discusses the technique of offering a betel.18 'I here are two separate works on Tambūla16 which reflect on the social and cultural significance of the habit of betel-chewing.

During the times of Harsa betel-chewing was a very common habit. Guests were often entertained with betels,17 It was a token of

^{10.} Ratnāvalī, Act. II., P. 38; Nāgānandam, P. 83.

^{11.} HCCTH., Pp. 82-83. घनलद्विजश्चिवदना मदिरामोदिश्वसनाश्च, -- ह० च०, त० उच्छ०, प० ४४।

^{12.} Ibid., P. 123. लब्बमध्मदप्रचण्डचमंकाराः। — ह० व०, व० उ०, प० १३।

^{13.} Brahatsamhitā., 77.35 quoted in PO., Vol. XIV, 1949. P. 84.

^{14.} ABORIP., Vol. XXXI, 1951, Pp. 138-142.

Kāmasūtra of Vātvyāyana, N. S. Press. Ed., P. 266.

They are: Tāmbūlamañjarī and Tāmbula-kalpa-samgraha, The first is published by J. A. Pade in JOIB.

^{17.} Nagānandam, P. 75. Kādambari, tr. Ridding, P. 150.

love, honour and favour. ¹⁸ There was no prescribed time for betel-chewing. It seems that from morning to evening people used to eat betel, whenever they felt the necessity of it. ¹⁸ But people did not like to chew the betels in times of sorrow and misery. Bana says that after Prabhākaravardhana's death. Harşa did not take betel. His lower lip is said to have remained unstained by betel. ¹⁸ After Chandrapida's death, Kādambari is said to have rubbed off the deep stain of betel from her lips. ²¹

Both males and females were employed as betel-bearers. The male was called Tāmbūladāyaka while the female betel-bearer was called Tāmbūladāyaka while the female betel-bearer was called Tāmbūladāyaka of Grahavarman position at couris and palaces. The tāmbūladāyaka of Grahavarman, named Pārijātaka, was deputed to Prabhākaravardhana to inform about the arrival of the marriage party and convey his master's greeting. There was one Tāmbūladāyaka, named Chandaka in the company of Bāṇa. It seems that Tāmbūladāyakāh formed a particular class. At king's palaces, we generally find ladies entrusted with this work. Each member of the royal families had one betel-bearer (Tāmbūladāramhkavāhini), who accompanied her master or mistress like a shadow. Rājyavardhana was followed by his betel-bearer even during his expedition against the Hūṇas; and Bhandl is said to have used betel, though carelessly, even amidst the horrors of war. A

Tāmbūlakarainkavāhinī was the most trusted and honoured maidservant of the palaces. We find that Tārālikā, Mahāsvetā's betel-bearer, helped Mahāsvetā in her secret communication with Pundarika.²⁶ Vaisa-

^{18.} Kādambari, tr. Ridding, P. 172; THK., P. 331.

^{19.} HCCTH., P. 79.; Kādambari, Pp. 14, 102; HCCTH., P. 72.

^{20.} HCCTH., P. 161. उदिगरस्रताम्बूलस्य, —ह० व०, पं॰ उच्छ०, पृ० ३४।

Kādambari, P. 198; Kādambari, Ed. Parab, P. 637; HCCTH.,
 Pp. 138., 143; HCK., Can. 5, Pp. 22, 25.

^{22.} HOCTH., P. 126.

^{23.} HOCTH., Pp. 165-166.

^{24.} HCCTH., P. 223.

^{25.} Kadambari, tr. Ridding., Pp. 149-50.

mpāyana was brought to the inner apartment of the palace by Sūdraka's betel-bearer. Sometimes high-born princesses of conquered kings were also appointed as betel-bearers. They also waved the Chowries. Betel-bearers of the princesses sometimes accompanied them to their husband's homes and acted as their sincere and trusted friends. Rājyaśri's betel-bearer, Patralatā accompanied her to the Vindhya forest. She is represented by Bāṇa as the most affectionate friend of Rājyaśri. What Rājyaśri desired to say to Harṇa she whispered it in the ear of her Tāmbū-lakaranhkavāhini. It is surprising that Yuan Chuang does not particularly mention the habit of betel-chewing among the Indians. He only says, "They stain their teeth red or black." They stain their teeth red or black." They stain their teeth red or black."

DRESS AND ORNAMENTS

Yuan Chuang and Bāṇa give contradictory accounts about the clothing and dress of the people. It is difficult to understand the former when he says, "The inner clothing and the outward attire of the people have no tailoring." In an surprised to note that some scholars also agree to what the pilgrim says and conclude that upto the days of Harṣa tailoring had not yet been introduced into India. We have definite proofs that tailoring was known to the people of India prior to the age of Harṣa. Kāildāsa refers to several dressess which are unthinkable without tailoring. Vārabāṇa (a coat-like waring cloth) and Kañchukas were familiar to him. He mentions them very often. In the time of Kuṣaṇas we have several examples of tailored clothes in sculpture. We find mention of 'payodha-

^{26.} Ibid., P. 75.

^{27.} HCCTH., P. 202.

^{28.} HCCTH., P. 254.

^{29.} Watters, I, P. 151.

^{30.} Watters, I, P. 148.

^{31.} HMHI., I, P. 89.

^{32.} Raghuvamsa., 4. 55.

ravasana's (cholt-like cloth for women) in various other works which can be traced back even to many centuries before the Christian Era.

We are told in the Mahābhārata that the Pāṇḍavas were refused to be granted the land equal to the point of a needle (sinchyāgra). What was this needle for? Most probably, it might have been used in sewing. So far as Bāṇa is concerned, he definitely mentions the clothes which required tailoring.³⁴ While describing some clothes of the kings and nobles, Bāṇa mentions the following clothes.

- Trouscrs²⁶ are generally of three kinds viz., Svasthāna, Pingā and Satulā.
- (2) Coats are of four types viz., Kañchuka, Chinacholaka, Vārabāna and Kūrpāśaka.**

These clothes cannot be imagined without expert tailoring. It is also obvious from the Ajantā paintings that tailoring was a fine art. The pilgrim himself mentions that "in North India, where the climate is very cold, closely fitting jackets are worn." I do not understand how these "closely fitting jackets" could have been made without tailoring. They must have required tailoring.

IMPORTANCE OF WHITE COLOUR

Both Yuan Chuang and Bāṇa give somewhat similar accounts of the importance that was attached to the use of white colour. The pilgrim says, "As to colour a fresh white is esteemed." Bāṇa supports the pilgrim and we gather from his writings that white colour was regarded as an auspicious colour and almost all the people preferred it to other colours. Several examples of the use of white colour can be given from the writings of Bāṇa.

^{33.} Ibid, 4. 55.

^{34.} Women of Sthanoisvara wore Kanchukt or Cholt. HCCTH., P. 83; HCK., Canto, 3. P. 44.

^{35.} HCCTH., P. 202. Trousers came into vogue during the times of Sakas. HCESA., Pp. 148-49.

^{36.} Ibid., Po. 150-153.

^{37.} Watters, I, P. 148.

At the consecration ceremony Chandrapida was adorned with white silken robes, and even the flowers that were gathered for the purpose were white. His body was consecrated with wreaths of white flowers.28 When he was going on an expedition he was accompanied by Vaisampāvana who was also clothed in white, anointed with an ointment of white flowers and even the colour of his umbrella was white.89 Pundarika's face was adorned with white tilaka,40 The wandering ascetic women (parivrājikāh) bore the marks of white ashes on their forcheads. They wore both white and red clothes and had with them fans of white cloth (śvetapataryajanāni).41 Bāna himself put on white clothes before he started to see his monarch Harsats and he decked himself with white unquents and wore white garlands and white garments.48 Even the royal umbrellas (insignia of royalty) were of white colour.44 The turban of Pārivātra, the chief door-keeper of Harsa, who received Bana first, was white.48 Brahmanas often wore white clothes. Bana informs us that the white-clad Brāhmaņas came to the palace of king Prabhākaravardhana at the time of the birth of Harsa.48 But white colour was also liked by widows. Bana mentions that after Prabhākaravardhana's death "earth looked as if it had arrayed herself in white robes."47 After Chandrapida's death, Kādambari put on two white robes.48

^{38.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, Pp. 84-85.

^{39.} Ibid., P. 87.

^{40.} Ibid., P. 105.

^{41.} Ibid., P. 162.

^{42.} HCCTH., P. 44. 'धृतधौतम्बलदुकूलवामाः' —ह० व०, हि० उच्छ०,

^{43.} HCCTH., P. 44. 'शुक्लांगराम:, शुक्लमास्य:, शुक्लमासा:,'

^{44.} HCCTH.. P. 47

^{45.} Ibid., P. 50.

^{45.} Ibid., P. 50.

^{46.} HCCTH., P. 111.

^{47.} HCCTH., P. 159. परिवक्तां ववले काससी वसुमती।

^{48.} Kādambari. P. 198. also P. 47

But Yuan Chuang is again somewhat misled when he says that "motley is of no account." Me know it definitely that people were also fond of different colours. Bapa mentions five chief colours, at least, when he describes that the threads of wool of five colours (Patharāgāḥ) were hanging near the ears of the camel. He frequently mentions a number of different varieties of colour-tints and some mixed tints, prepared by mixing primary colours. He also gives us a vivid account of the dyeing and colouring of different clothes on the occasion of Rājyafar's marriage. We are informed that some clothes were being dyed by the washermen and some were dyed by the old and expert ladies who were employed at the palace. After the dying was over the dyed clothes were shaken by servants holding them at either end, and were dried up in the shade. We are also informed about variegated and multi-coloured cotton and silken clothes.

The pilgrim is correct to a great extent when he gives further description about dress. "The men wind a strip of cloth round the waist and upto the armpits and leave the right shoulder bare. The women wear a long robe which covers both shoulders and falls down loose. The hair on the crown of the head is made into a coil, all the rest of the hair hanging down. Some clip their mustaches or have other fantastic fashions. Garlands are worn on the head and necklaces on the body."

CLASSIFICATION OF CLOTHES

Both Yuan Chuang and Bāṇa give the sixfold classification of clothing materials. 45

^{49.} Watters, Vol. I, P. 148.

^{50.} HCCTH., P. 47.

^{51.} JORM., Vol. VII. 1933.

^{52.} HCCTH., P. 125. बहुविवशनित ... मुक्तस्य -- ह०व०, व०उ०, पृ० १४।

^{53.} HOCTH., P. 125.

^{54.} Watters, I. P. 148.

^{55.} Ibid., I, P. 148; HCESA., P. 76.

(1) The first is Kausheya (Kiao-she-ye). It was also called Lājāntaka and Patrorņa. It was costly silk which was very common among the rich families and was prepared from a wild silk-worm.

(2) Kshauma (Ch'u-mo). It was a kind of linen. The word 'Ch' u-mo' is used for "cloth made from the Chinese Bochmeria nivea." This material, in the form of "finished articles, resembles linen but is softer and looks fluffer." ¹⁹⁸

(3) Muslin (teh). Băṇa's anśuka is the same for which Yuan Chuang gives the term 'tieh.' This was very common in Indua among the rich people and princely classes at least. Bāṇa mentions Chīnāńśuka i.e. ańśuka (Muslin) brought from Chma.

The next three kinds of clothing material are '—Calico (Ps), Kambala (Ilan-po-lo) and Ho-la-li (Ral'), a texture made from wool. According to Watter's remark, it denotes Rallaka, a wild animal and a stuff made from its hair. Rallaka-Kambala is a fine woollen cloth. The rest of Bäŋa's three kinds are dukūla, netta and stavaraka. Dukūla is also used as dugūla which was manufactured in Puńdravardhana Bhukti (North Bengal). This cloth was used for different ordinary and common purposes by all the classes of people. The second was netta to which there are many references. Stavaraka was used for the marriage Puṇdāla of Rājyassi. 160

DRESS AND ORNAMENTS OF KINGS

Here, too, the poet and the pilgrim give us somewhat identical accounts. "The dress and ornaments of the kings and granders are very extraordinary." Garlands and "tiaras with precious stones are their

Vivi Sylwan, Investigation of Silk from Edsen-Col and Lop-nor, Stolkholm, 1949, P. 71, quoted in HCESA., P. 76.

^{57.} Watters, I. P. 149.

^{58.} HCESA., P. 77.

Ibid., P. 78; Dr. Motichandra, Prāchiņa Bhāratiya Vešabhūṣā,
 P. 157.

^{60.} HCESA., Pp. 80-1.

head-adornments;" and their bodies "are adorned with rings, bracelets and necklaces." ***

SOME VARIED DESCRIPTION OF COSTUMES GIVEN BY THE CHINESE PILGRIM

Acording to Yuan Chuang, "the garbs of the non-Buddhist are varied and extraordinary." Some people "wear peacock's tails." This is probably about the Jains. Some 'adorn themselves with a necklace of skulls." Some or some are "quite naked," some cover the body with "grass or boards"; some "pull out their hair and clip their moustaches; "some "mat their side-hair and make a top-knot coil." This description of the Chinese traveller seems to be true about the people of different sects and schools of India. What Yuan Chuang writes about the seventh century India can also be seen today. India is a land of divertified sects and cults and the colourful costume is there because of them.

ORNAMENTS

There is traditional fondness for ornaments in India. Indian ladies are very fond of ornaments and in that period, too, we find them with numerous ornaments. They adorned their limbs with several ornaments is found in certain passages

Watters, I, P. 151. Similar account is given by Bāṇa when he describes the costume and robes of Prabhākaravardhana, Harṣa, Tārāpīḍa and Chandrapīḍa and other high-born male characters.

^{62.} We find similar description of the Jain monks in Bana's accounts.

^{63.} This must have reference to Pasupatas.

^{64.} It may, most probably, refer to the Jain sadhus.

^{65.} This must have reference to the "Kesalufichakas" of the Jain sect.

^{66.} Watters, I, P. 148; Some of these peculiar ornamentations and dresses were also prevalent among Sabaras and the people of mountainous regions. Several Indian saddhus must have also lived in this manner.

Nāgānand, II.13.; Ratnāvali, I. 17., also Pp. 67-70,77; Kādambari,
 Ridding, P. 87.

and stanzas of Harşa's dramas and Bāṇa's works which we propose to

In the Mimic Play in the Priyadaršikā we are told of the members of the retinue of the queen. Their legs (pddaih) are adorned with anklets (nūpuribhih); their broad-hips with tinkling girdle bands (nitambaphalakaiḥ siājānakāūkiguṇaih); their swelling bosoms with the loveliness of necklaces (hārādi); their ears with rings (hūpdaibhih); their arms with armlets (Sānalasah) and their hair with Svastikas.**

There is another stanza in the Naganandam which is worth quoting. To Malayavatt, who was well adorned with many ornaments, her maid tells, "The weight of thy swelling breast was enough for thy slender waist to bear, then why this superfluous necklace? The thighs are already sagging under thy massive hips, why need this girdle? Thy feet can hardly carry thy plump thighs, how could they, these additional anklets? Thy limbs are themselves more than ornaments for thee, then why bear an extra burden of trinkets to irk thyself." 280

Necklace was one of the the most famous and commonly used ornaments. It was of pearls¹⁰ (muktāhāra or rainamālā), of lotus-shoots,¹¹ of gold (warnahāra).²⁰ The females of all classes were the necklaces which their husbands could afford.

Some auspicious ornaments are also mentioned which were used by Hindu ladies who had their hubband's alive; ** and after their husband's death they gave up all those adornments. ** There are certain other ornaments which are separately mentioned by Bāṇa. Trikanţakas were made

^{68.} Priya., P. 50

^{69.} Naga., 3. 6.

^{70.} Nāgā, II, 12; Ratuāvali, Pp. 25, 276, 318.

^{71.} Ratne., P. 164.

^{72.} Ratná., P. 140, 77, 214.

Yasomati put on all these ornaments before she plunged into funeral pyre.

^{74.} Priya., P. 20.

^{75.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 199.

of conical jewels with three stones set therein. Dantapatras were coloured blue. Lalāţikā, Pulakabandha and Tilakabindu are also mentioned in Bāṇa's works. These ornaments were put on by the ladies of his times,

There were other various fashions among the ladies. They used to redden their lips with lip-stick made of lac. This is very clear when Bana says that the dreadful forest conflagration sometimes looked like · women's lower lips reddened with melted lac (lāksā).76 Similarly young ladies also coloured their feet. Bana takes us to the scene of celebrations at the time of Harsa's birth-day. He tells us of the "tripping feet" of the dancing women "a dew of lac-reddened sweat that besprinkled the palace harbsas, resembled moonlight night when the twilight casts a glow upon the moon's disks." He further tells us that the earth was crimsoned by the trickling lac of the feet of the women who were dancing at the birth celebrations.77 He pathetically describes the wanderings of Rajyasri whose "feet were red, as with the customary lac, through the blood pouring from the wounds made by the hard spikes of Darbha grass,"78 The feet of the ladies "looked roscate with fresh lac."79 This fashion of colouring the feet was particularly significant among the mistresses of the Siddhas and Vidyādharas.80

People also adorned their pet and domestic animals. The elephants, horses, and camels were adorned; their mouths were ornamented with the lines of cowries, ears with red cowries and with the strings of ever-shining golden ornaments. Coloured threads of wool were also

HCCTH., P. 39; क्वाबिहिकीनलाकारसकोहितच्छवयो बरा: I HCK., Can
 P. 23.

^{77.} HCCTH., P. 115; कृष्टिकवनलक्वरणच्युतालक्तकारणस्वेदशीकरीस-च्यमानभवनहंसाः संध्यारावरज्यमानेन्द्रविस्वा इव कीमदीरकन्यः।

[—]ह० व, व० उ०,पृ० ९। 78. HCCTH., P. 242; कठोरदर्भोकुरखतकारिया खतजेनानसरणालनतकेनेव

^{76.} HCCTH., P. 242; कठारदशाकुरस्तत्रभारमा स्नतजनानुसरणाञ्चतकनव रस्तवस्याम्। ——हुः चः, अः उः, पुः ७६।

^{79.} Kådambari, tr. Ridding, Pp. 66-77.

^{80.} HCCTH., P. 10; In the Naganandam we find the red footprints of the Siddha women walking on the bearly rocks of Malaya Mountain.

fastened on their foreheads. Bells were hung round the neck of the cows and the huffaloes.*1

BATH, TOILET AND INDIVIDUAL CLEANLINESS

People in those times maintained a high standard of cleanliness and observed a very refined code of neatness. Both Bana and Yuan Chuang give many details about their bodily cleanliness. We have already referred to Bāṇa's description and the informations of the pilgrim supplement to his evidence. He tells us that the Brāhmaṇas and Kṣatriyas were "clean-handed, unostentatious, pure and simple in life." The pilgrim further records that they (all people) are "pure of themselves and not from compulsion." "Before every meal they must have wash (bath)." We are told, "People cleaned their mouth as soon as their meal was over." The pilgrim records, "They chew tooth-stick and make themselves clean," is and it is certain that each individual was very careful about his personal hygiene. They came into contact with each other only after they finished ablutions. Bath was necessarily taken after urination. People extensively used "scented unguents such as sandal and saffron." The was mainly done in order to maintain physical purity and to add substance to bodily charm.

LIFE OF THE TRIBAL PEOPLE

Both Kādambarisa and Harşacharitas provide us with a picturesque

^{81.} HCCTH., Pp. 46-47, 79. Today also we find these fashions in vogue among Rājās and Mahārējās who adorn their horses and elaphants. Cows and bullocks are commonly adorned by all classes of people on festivals.

^{82.} Watters, Vol. I, P. 151.

^{83.} Ibid., Vol. I, P. 152.

^{84.} Ibid., P. 152. About the use of tooth-sticks we find some verses in Brihat Sanhtitä. LXXXV. 1-7.

^{85.} Watters, Vol. I, P. 152; HCCTH., P. 17; Nag., III. 9; Kādambari, tr. Ridding., P. 84.

^{86.} Kadambarl, tr. Ridding, Pp. 26-32; KP., Pp. 51-72.

^{87.} HOCTH., Pa. 230-233.

description of the Sabaras. It brings before us the complete picture of the way of life that was led by the jungle tribes and backward classes of the people of those areas. They were wandering tribes and their main occupation was hunting. They used bows and swords in hunting. Their arrows were most powerful and the points of them were poisonous. The end of the sword was anointed with quicksilver and its handle was made of polished horn. The swords were wrapped in a short black antelope akin and seaths were adorned with the spotted akin of a Chitraka snake. Thus it is clear that even serpents were not excluded in hunting. These people used to seize the tusks of elephants and long-haired yaks. They kept vessels of water and honey with them. They ate both raw (Unroasted) and roasted meat. Skins of lions were collected by them and were used for decorative purposes. These people took with them peacock's tails and crow's feathers. They were dirty in their daily living habit.

They possessed thatched cottages and were loyal to their chief. They were extremely supersitious and their gods and goddesses received the offering of flesh. Sometimes human flesh was also offered. Yuan Chuang was once caught by some jungle tribes and was to be offered to the goddess but was luckily saved just before he was to be offered to the goddess of these tribes. Durgã or Chandt was their supreme deity.

MEANS OF AMUSEMENT AND FESTIVITIES

It is quite interesting to describe the various means of amusement and delight which existed in India where the whole genius of a people from the simple-minded peasant to the philosophical-minded metaphysician devoted his entire energy of both body and mind to the attainment of salvation. Yet this above-mentioned generalization must be accepted only with some reservation. Man also requires ease and comforts and wants to amuse himself when he frees himself from the hard tasks of life. Various means of getting pleasure and happiness do exist for man in all times. We try to get enjoyment from the things around us. During all the ages and at all the places we find various means of recreation and amusement. Many such means were also common during the age of Harsavardhana.

RECREATIONS FOR CHILDREN

Children used to play and make merry with domestic birds. In the Kādambari we find an interesting story of a marriage of a Sārikā, named Kālini, with a parrot named Parihāsa. They were affectionately loved by Kādambari who gave Kālini in marriage to Parihāsa. There were various means of recreations and amusements provided at the royal guesthouse where Chandrapida was staying. The celebration of marriages of birds, plants and creepers was a very common type of recreation among the children. Kādambarī, who was meditating to embrace death, asks Mahāšvetā to wed the young mango to the creeper named Mādhāva. Birds were caught and were put in beautiful cages and the children played with them even as they advanced in age. Kādambarī asks her friend Mahāšvetā to set free the Mainā and the parrot and the ape and to give Tāšlikā, a fawn to a hermitage. Then she asks about the Hainsa and other domestue belonging.

For the grown-up people of all classes there were many means of recreation which we shall discuss below.

MAGIC

Magic seems to have been very common and it was one of the most popular means of amusement among the people of Northern India. Yuan Chuang describes about the people of 'tham tivara that they were "greatly devoted to magical arts and hightly prized outlandish accomplishment." Magical magical arts and hightly prized outlandish accomplishment. Magical magical arts and hightly prized outlandish accomplishment. Magical mag

^{88.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, Pp. 150-151.

^{89.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 152.

^{90.} Ibid., P. 194.

^{91.} Ibid., P. 94-95.

^{92.} Watters, I, P. 314.

^{93.} HCCTH., P. 33; HCK., P. 19.

^{94.} Aindrajālikonāmaka Ankah, Rat., P. 358.

In the Ratnāvalī we meet a magician who is said to have come from Ujjayinī. He is introduced to the king by Kāñchanamālā. The king is said to have been extremely interested in magic and the magician was ordered to arrive quickly. The magician had with him the peacock feathers.

After saluting the king the magician requested the king to order him to exhibit his feats of magic. The verse is important for it indicates the various illusory appearances which the magician could display. The magician requested the king to be ordered to produce the moon (Mrigāńka) on the earth or hills (Mahédharāh) in the sky (Akāśe) or fire (Tvalanah) in water or dusk (Pradusak) at noon (Madhyāhne).95 The former promised the king that through the power of his preceptor's incantation (Mantra) he would show whatever the latter wished at heart to see. ** Thus it seems that the art of magic was taught by noted magicians to their disciples and the latter were very proud of the power of their preceptor's incantations. Before the imperial presence the magician displayed his multifarious feats at which the king expressed his wonder and asked the queen also to see them. We are told that the magician showed Brahma in the sky on his lotus (Saroje), Sankara with a digit of the moon (rajanikara) on his crest, Visnu or the slaver of the demons (daityāntakah), indicated by the four hands, distinguished by bow, sword, lotus and discus (Chakra).97 The king and the queen saw nearby the lord of the gods (Tridasabati i.e. Indra), seated on Airavata and other gods. They also witnessed celestial nymphs (divyanārvāh) dancing with anklets lingling on their restless feet.

This display was interrupted by the sudden appearance of Vasundhara who brought the news of the arrival of Vasubhūti, the Prime Minister of Vikramabāhu, the king of Ceylon. The magician was not very happy for one of his tricks remained unseen which the king promised to witness

^{95.} Raināvali. P. 141 कि घरणयां मृगांकमाकाधे महीधरो जले ज्वलत: ।
मध्यान्ने प्रदेशों दर्श्यतां वैद्यान्नतिम।।८॥

Ibid., P. 141 सम प्रतिज्ञैचा बद्धबृ इृद्धवेनेहसे संद्रष्ट्रम्।
 तत्तदृश्यान्यहं गरोमेन्त्र प्रभावेण॥९॥

^{97.} Ratnāvali, 4, 10-11.

later. The fire, that broke out in the palace at the close of the fourth act of Ratnävall, was also conjured by the magician. It was one of his magical feats and not the actual fire.

GAMBLING

The princely people of the ancient times were much addicted to gambling. Even now semi-sacramental gambling is practised in many parts of India during religious festivals such as Diväll. In the Rigvedic hymns Indra is compared to a gambler, who, by superior play, wins advantages and piles his gains in seasons. Thus we see that gambling existed even in the early Vedic age and continued even to this day. But it was not regarded as one of the noble means of enjoyment. Kautilya, who laid down rules to regularise gambling, says that, "of the addictions to gambling and women, gambling is more serious evil." It causes violation of duty and incapacity to deal with politics. Kamandaka also condemns gambling bitterly. According to him, "it causes loss of money, neglect of righteous ecremonies, separation from the company of the good, endless hostility, disregard of necessary duties and loss of prestige." 100

This was also the view of the learned people of the age of Harşa. In the Kādambarī, Sukanāsa, the prime minister of Tärāpīda, condemns gambling and advises Chandrapīda not to indulge in it.¹⁰¹ But gambling was practised by the people of that period and we find a number of evidences to prove its existence.

Chandrapida was taught in all the arts of gambling along with other branches of learning. 102 It seems that the sons of rich and wealthy people were given proper training at dice so that they might not be cheated in the gambling. Among the persons in the company of Bāṇa there was a gambler (Kitavaḥ), named Bhīmaka. 103 Bāṇa informs us that Śthāṇvi-

^{98.} Age of Mantras, P. 56.

^{99.} Arthaśāstra, tr. by Śāmaśāstry, P. 356.

^{100.} Kāmandakīya Nītisāra, P. 212.

^{101.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 81.

^{102.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding; P. 60; सर्वांसु झूत कलासु । काद०, संपा० परस, पु० १६८

^{103.} HCCTH., P. 33.

śwara was looked upon as a gambling-house by the bards.¹⁰¹ But, as it is natural that honesty had hardly any place in the gambling, the people of that period employed some unfair means also while playing at dice. They are also said to be hardly grateful.¹⁰⁶

CHESS

Perhaps the game of chess was introduced for the first time in the seventh century A.D. Chess as a game is first mentioned by Bāṇa in his Harṣacharita.¹⁶⁴ Mr. H. G. Rawlinson believes that the game found its way from India to Europe through the Arabs. The Sanskrit name of chess is Chaturaiga and in Persian this became Shatarañja. Many of its terms, such as 'Checkmate (Shāh māt; the king is dead), and 'rook' (rukh) are of Persian origin.¹⁶⁷

HUNTING

Kings and princes took much delight in hunting. It was one of the means of royal recreation. We are informed by Bāṇa that Harsa followed Rājyavardhana when the latter was deputed on an expedition against the Hūṇas towards the north and that "being at youth's adventureloving age, he (Harsa) enjoyed several days away from the camp in hunting on the skirts of the Hīmāiayas where lions, sharabhas and tigers were in plenty." Bāṇa describes Harṣa as a fawn-eyed hunter and with his bow drawn to the ear, he emitted rain of shining shafts which "in a comparatively few days left the forests empty of wild creatures." Bāṇa provided us with another example of hunting. Prince Chandrapida was extremely eager to go for hunting (mriggyd). One day he is described to have got up before sunrise and with his father's permission he went to the woods with a great

^{104.} Ibid., P. 82. खूतस्यानमिति बन्दिमिः HCK., Can. 3, P. 44. 105. HCCTH., Pp. 171-172. कितवः कृतकः जगित दुर्लभः,

⁻⁻ह० च०, व० उ०, q० ४० I

^{106.} HCCTH., P. 6. অব্যক্ষাবিধানতা হৈছে। ঘানা ৷— স্কৃত বৃত্ স্বত বৃত্ বৃত্ হু।
P. 3. Please also see note in the appendix B., P. 266; Legacy of India, P. 23.
107. The Legacy of India, "India in European Literature and Thought,"
P. 23: HCESA., P. 14.

^{108.} HCCTH., P. 132.

retinue of runners, horses and elephants. He slew wild bears, lions, yaks and many kinds of deer in thousands. He used arrows with shafts in hunting.

From the above accounts we can safely conclude that hunting was a great pastime for kings and princes. At the hunting encampment almost all palatial luxuries and comforts were provided. Chandrapida was accompanied by a large number of attendants who looked after every thing. Arrangements were made for bathing, exercise, worship and all other matters of daily routine. At the hunting camp we find the court maidens appointed by the grand-chamberlain. Chandrapida's father took special care in sending maid servants bearing different ornaments, wreaths, unguents and robes, which they presented to him. Betel-bearer (tāmbūlakarathkarāhini) was also there in the camp. 100 Sometimes kings used their particular and favourite elephants or horses in hunting. Harṣa's elephant Daršapāta is said to be a friend of Harṣa in battle and sport (Krīdā). Herein 'Krīdā' stands for hunting. 101 Indrāyudha enjoyed the same status with Chandrapida as Daršapāta received from Harṣa.

In spite of the fact that kings and princes enjoyed hunting, it seems that hunting was not looked upon as a good type of recreation. During the pre-Kauţilyan days hunting was regarded as one of the fourfold vice, it but Kauţilya recommends hunting as one of the royal pastimes. He says that 'in hunting exercise, the disappearance of phlegm, bile, fat, and sweat, the acquisition of skill in aiming at stationary and moving bodies, the ascertainment of the appearance of beasts when provoked and occasional march are its good characteristics." ¹¹³ During the days of Harşa hunting came to be regarded as an evil by the wise Brāhmaṇas, atleast. In the Kādambarī we find Sukanāsa advising prince Chandrapiḍa not to take delight in hunting when the latter's anointation was to be eelebrated. ¹¹⁴

^{109.} Kādambari, tr. Ridding, Pp. 73-75.

^{110.} HCCTH., P. 51.

^{111.} Kautilya's Arthasastra, P. 355-56.

^{112.} Ibid., P. 356.

^{113.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 81.

But Harşa's participation in hunting and his selection of a particular elephant for it and the example of Chandrapida's delightful indulgence in hunting lead us to conclude that kings, and members of the higher classes must have taken full delight in hunting.

Besides, Bana informs us that Sabaras and other jungle tribes obtained their food through hunting. They ate the flesh of the animals by killing them and made some ornaments and decorations with their teeth. bones, skin, horns and bristles. Bana's description of Vyaghraketu, the son of Sarabhaketu, a tributary chief of one of the regions of Vindhya forest, is extremely picturesque and significant. He had a bow and his chest was "broadened and hardened by incessantly bending it:" his "tin armlet was decorated with white godanta114 beads (mani)"; "the back of his forearm was thickly covered by a mass of the roots of the Nagadamana plant which was fastened together by the bristles of boars;"116 he had "a sword and the end of it was anointed with quicksilver and its handle was made of polished horn;" it was "covered in a short black antelope skin and its sheath was adorned with the spotted skin of a Chitraka snake, placed between two strips of the skin of an Ahirani snake;" the youth had "a leather quiver on his back, made of a bear's skin, wrapped round with a spotted tiger skin:" he carried with him "a hunter's extemporised box of colours in a partridge;" his bow was "adorned with a profuse pigments of peacock's gall" and he had "a vikarna arrow, having its point dipped in a potent poison."116

Thus the picture of a typical hunter is beautifully drawn by Bāṇs, but we must note that hunting was one of the means of obtaining the livelihood for the forest people rather than a pastime. They regarded it as an occupation rather than as an enjoyment.

SOME ANNUAL AND SEASONAL FESTIVALS

Throughout the year the Hindus celebrate various festivals of which

According to commentators it means "the head-gem of godanta serpent. HCK., Notes, Can. 8, P. 216; HCCTH., P. 231.

^{115.} Ibid., P. 231.

^{116.} HCK., Pp. 70-71; HCCTH., Pp. 231-32.

some can be traced back to the Vedic age. These festivals existed throughout the ages and with some modifications they are celebrated even today. They are culturally very important and their socio-religious significance is easily understandable when we look back to their uninterrupted continuation. During the age of Harsa people enjoyed some annual and seasonal festivities which throw light on the culture of that age.

MADANOTSAVA117

Of all the festivals Madanotsava was the most joyful and widely observed. It was celebrated once a year. The festivities of coming of the Spring (Vasanta) were celebrated on the full-moon day (Pūrņimā) of the month of Chaitra but now it takes place on the full-moon day of Phālguna and it may be identified with the Hindu 'Holi' festival.¹¹⁸ In Harşa's Ratnāvall we find a picturesque description of this festival of Cupid (Madana). It was celebrated at the royal palace. The king and the Jester are described to have come to attend the Vasantotsava in dresses suited to the spring festival.¹¹⁸ This joyful fest-val was the gayest merriment. Sweet music and the sound of drums were heard on this occasion and heaps of scented powder were scattered about over the people. The yard is described to have been flooded all overwith ceaseless flow of water poured by fountains.

On this occasion the play of love (Madanalilā) was enacted by young ladies named Madanikā and Chaturikā. This enactment was highly praised by the king. It seems that men and women mixed in dancing. The Jester danced and sang with the maids. At this festival the queen worshipped Kāmadeva or Madana (the god of love) at the Makaranda garden (Udyāna). 120 The first act of the Ratnāvalī is named as

^{117.} It was also called Vasaniotsava or Madanamahotsava,

^{118.} Apte, Sauskrit English Dictionary, Poona, 1890, P. 953; Priya., Notes, P. 98.

^{119.} Harza does not mention the particular form or colour of "the dresses befitting the spring festival," but most probably they might have been dyed in a saffron colour as the people do now-a-days.

^{120.} Ratnā., Pp. 31-38, 59-84.

"Madanamahotsava nāma prathamo-ankaḥ." and a drama was also enacted on the day of Vasantotsava. "I Likewise, after the opening stanzas of the invocation of the Priyadarašikā we are informed by the stage-manager (Sātradhāra) that it was the day of the spring festival (Vasantotsava) when the drama Priyadaršikā was staged.

KAUMUDĪMAHOTSAVA

The second important festival was Kaumudimahotsava. It is perhaps synonimous with the grand autumnal celebration of the full-moon day of Āśvina (September or October). Now-a-days we call it the Śarata-Pūrpimā festival. In the very beginning of the third act of the Priya-daršikā we find the reference of Kaumudimahotsava, wherein Manorama, the maid of the queen Vāsavadattā, informs us that she and others of the queen's retinue were to perform the play which was composed by Sāṅkṛiyāyani about the adventure of the king and the queen¹²². In the fourth act the author also mentions about the previous performance of the mimic play on the day of Kaumudimahotsava. ¹³³

UDAYANOTSAVA

The Udayana-festival appears to have been instituted to celebrate the capture of Udayana as it was associated with the winning of Våsavadattā. This festival is not of much importance as it was associated with a particular incident of the life of King Udayana, the hero of both Ratnāvalī and Priyadaršikā. Such celebrations which follow some incidents are only of temporary importance and they cease to exist as the social festivals with the lapse of time. It also depends upon the importance of the person

^{121.} Ibid., Pp. 14-15. Bāṇa also informs us of a Festival of Kāma for the sportire gambols of intexication. HCCTH, P. 35; Madanotswa Madanatitis syānam. HCK., P. 31. It was also on the day of Madana Mahotsava that Kādambari clashed Chandrahida's neck, as though he was not alive, and it was at her embrace the prince's tife returned to him. Kādambari, tr. Ridding, P. 206.

^{122.} Priya., Act. 3, P. 38.

^{123.} Ibid., P. 72.

with whom it is related. We celebrate Daśaharā even today after many centuries as it is associated with Rāma's conquest over Rāvaṇa.

INDROTSAVA

It was a festival celebrated in the honour of Indra. On this occasion a great flag was hoisted and it was worshipped along with Indra, the god of gods. It is also mentioned in the Raghuvarisha of Kälidiāsa¹⁸⁴, wherein we find that it was celebrated during the first half of the month of Bhādrapada. On this occasion plays were generally enacted. In the first chapter of Nāṭya Śāstra a reference is made to Indradhvaja and it appears that the first drama was enacted on that occasion.¹⁸⁵

INSTITUTION OF PROSTITUTION

Reference about the prostitutes are few and it is difficult to delineate an exact nature of this institution as it existed during the period under review. But still, we have some positive proofs to conclude that the prostitutes were there in the society and their number was also not small. Bāṇa tells us that the Janapada of Śthāṇviśvara was looked upon as an abode of Cupid (Kāmāṇatanam) by the pro-titutes (Vaityābhiḥ).¹³⁸ This statement of Bāṇa contains two meanings, at least; firstly, it means that there were many prostitutes and secondly, that they were inclined to sexual proclivities.

Prostitutes were also employed at the royal palaces. Kautilya maintains that prostitutes (rāpajivā) would attend the harem with personal cleanliness "effected by a fresh bath and with fresh garments and ornaments." ¹³⁴ During the days of Harşa we also find several prostitutes working in the harem of Prabhākaravardhana. Bāṇa informs us that it was very "difficult to distinguish between noble maidens and harlots" who were

^{124.} Raghuvamśa., IV. 3,

^{125.} Priya., P. 131.

^{126.} HCK., Can. 3., P. 43 and Notes on P. 192. Cowell and Thomas translate it as "lovers" retreat by the courtesaus." HCCTH., P. 82.

^{127.} Kautilya's Arthasastra, P. 40.

participating "equally" at the birth of prince Harsa. 158 This, very clearly indicates that they were treated well at the royal palaces. But a pious and religious-minded king like Harsa did not indulge in prostitution. Băṇa informs us that Harsa was characterised as a deceiver (dhārta) by the harlots (Vaiṣrābhiḥ). 158 But from Bāṇa's own experience, we can gather that common people enjoyed the company of prostitutes. He unhesitatingly mentions two women who were associated with him for a long time as his friends. One of them was a dancing girl (Nartaki) named Hariṇikā and the other was Kurangikā.

We are left with no accounts to infer anything positively whether the institution of prostitution existed during the days of Harşa as it was in Kauţilya's days³⁸⁰ or not; but from the various duties at the court that were assigned to maidens only, it seems that the Kauţilyan instructions were observed during this period. In the Arthasästra it is maintained that the prostitutes should hold the royal umbrella, golden pitcher and fan, and attend to king seated on his royal litter, throne or chariot.³⁸¹ From the life of king Tārāpīḍa these duties seem to have been assigned to the maidens only and, to a very great extent, we find the same arrangement being worked out at the court of Prabhākaravardhana.

SLAVERY

In Băṇa's works and Harşa's dramas and other contemporary literary works we are often told of the dâsyāḥputrāḥ or dâsyāḥ or antaḥpuradāsyāḥ and dāsis which are invariably and indiscriminately translated as the slaves. But it is difficult to agree with the appropriateness of this rendering. As a matter of fact India never believed in an idea of inhuman ownership of man by man. We are told, by Megasthenes that all Indians were free and not one of them was slave; ¹⁸² and the renowned ambassador

^{128.} HCCTH., P. 111; HCK., Can. IV, P. 7.

^{129.} HCCTH., P. 63; HCK., Can. II, P. 35.

^{130.} Kautilya's Arthasastra, Pp. 136-139.

^{131.} Ibid., P. 137.

^{132.} Mookerji, Chandragupta Maurya and His Times, P. 195.

to the Mauryan court made this observation keeping in mind the picture of slavery that existed in ancient Greece or Rome. Even the Western scholars have come to agree that "Indian slavery was milder" and that slaves were "much less numerous than in the civilizations of the Occident." India was never economically dependent on slavery and all people, especially of the working classes, were free citizens. The däsas or dåsis do not seem to have been a "regular article of commerce." India was never except the term for däsas and dåsis during the age of Harsa, were well-treated as domestic servants. They were entrusted with all important affairs of the daily family life and enjoyed the affection and confidence of their masters. We are told in the Kådambari that many "personal dåsyåh were sent with Chandrapida by his mother while the latter had gone for a hunt." It is really a matter of satisfaction that the protection and care of the only son were entrusted to these "personal dåsyåh."

So far as Yuan Chuang is concerned he does not make any direct reference to slavery. He indirectly points out that "individuals are not subject to forced labour contribution" and that even in the government services they were "paid according to their work." From these remarks one can presume that the forced labour and slavery in its barbarous form was not practised in India during the age of Harsa.

GENERAL CHARACTER OF THE PEOPLE

Generally speaking, the character of the people is determined by time and place. We always find variations in the habits, manners, attitudes, behaviour and temperament in the life of the individual in all parts of the country. Both Yuan Chuang and Bāṇa had travelled widely and they provide us with an elaborate account of the general character of the people.

We are told that the people were kind and gentle in behaviour

^{133.} Dr. A.L. Basham, The Wonder that was India, P. 151.

^{134.} Ibid., P. 153.

^{135.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 74.

^{136.} Watters, I, P. 176.

and refined in their habits and manners. But this was not the case throughout the whole of Northern India. The people of some parts were deceiful, mean-minded, ill-natured, ill-mannered and rude in their behaviour. To sum up the description of the pilgrim the people of Nagar, Takṣaśliā, Matipur, Aliichchatra, Kānyakubja, Prayāg, Kauśāinbi, Vārāṇasī, Vaiśālī, Magadha, Puŋdravardhana, Kāmarūpa etc. "were of good character, courageous, hospitable, fond of art and literature." They are said to have "esteemed learning" and possessed almost all good qualities of men. From this description we can safely conclude that the people of Āryāvarta or Madhyadeša were noted for their good and noble qualities. But the pilgrim was unhappy to meet the people of Laupā, Gardhāra, Sinhapura, Jālandhara. Nepāl and several other places. They were, as the pilgrim remarks, mean-minded, ill-mannered, deceitful etc.

In his usual survey the pilgrim sums, up the character of the people of India in general. He says, "They are of hasty and irresolute temperaments, but of pure moral principles. They will not take anything wrongfully, and they yield more than fairness requires. They fear the retribution for sins in other lives, and make light of what conduct produces in this life. They do not practise deceit and they keep their sworn obligations."137 Thus the general survey of the pilgrim reveals that the picture was satisfactory. He was also happy to observe that the government was honestly administered and the people lived together on good terms. The number of criminals was small.138 The government seems to have been strict in dealing with social offences. The pilgrim observes, "For offences against social morality, disloyal and unfilial conduct, the punishment is to cut off the nose, or an ear, or a hand, or a foot, or to banish the offender to another country or into the wildness." This is very important in a society where great emphasis was laid on good conduct and noble principles. We find severe punishment for violation of moral code whereas the people did not suffer any corporal punishment even for the plots made against the sovereign. 138

^{137.} Watters, I. P. 171.

^{138.} Ibid., I. P. 171.

^{139.} Ibid., I, P. 171-72.

From this description of the pilgrim it is very clear that the general moral character and good social conduct were attached greater importance than anything else.

Bāṇa also gives due credit to sober manners and cultured way of behaviour. He designated his cousins as "the men of good manners and culture," ''40 In all of his works and other literary creations we find the proper observance and cultivation of refined manners and etiquette.

ACTS OF SALUTATION AND REVERENCE

Yuan Chuang witnessed all acts of salutation and reverence with his own eyes. Moreover, he lived among cultured circles of princes, kings, priests, monks, philosophers and teachers and what he says about the etiquette and manners is worthy of our attention. He is also supported by other literary sources.

The pilgrim writes, 141 "There are nine degrees in the etiquette of showing respect." Firstly, "Greeting with a kind inquiry" was the first way of expression when people exchanged greetings. Secondly, people used to bow the head reverently. This was the most common way of salutation. Thirdly, the reverence was paid with "raising the hands to the head with an inclination of the body." This act of salutation was needed before the persons of higher ranks in the society. Fourthly, some people showed their respect bending their knees. Fifthly, kneeling long with both knees. Sixthly, kneeling on the knees with folded hands. Seventhly, some people saluted going down on the ground on hands and knees. Eightly, bowing down with knees, elbows and forehead to the ground. And finally, prostrating oneself on the earth was an act of showing honour.

These ways of showing reverence and honour from the fourth to ninth, as given above, seem to have been common in royal palaces. We have some instances of such salutation. Kumāragupta and Mādhavagupta bowed before Prabhākaravardhana "from afar till their four limbs and

^{140.} НССТН., Р. 73. प्रसम्बन्तयो बृहीतवाक्याः । НСК., Сап. 3, Р. 39.

^{141.} Watters, I, P. 173.

head touched the ground."¹⁴⁵ Skandagupta, the commandant of the elephants, saluted Harsa from a distance leaning his hands upon the earth and touching it with his head.¹¹⁵ When Harbaavega, a confidential (antarahgab), envoy (dilab), arrived, the chamberlain went forth in person to express his courtesy and respect,¹⁴⁶ while the envoy saluted the emperor by embracing the courtyard with his five limbs in homage.¹⁴⁶ Nirghāta laid his head on the ground and made his obcisance.¹⁴⁸

Yuan Chuang informs us that "the performance of all these nine, from the lowest to the highest, is only one act of reverence," 147

According to the pilgrim, the perfection of reverence was "to kneel and praise the excellences" of the person whom one saluted. In the dramas of Harsa we find one more act of salutation in which it was associated with utterances of words. Yet Per example, in the Naganandam Jimūtavāhana saluted the hermit with the words, "I, Jimūtavāhana, salute you, sir," 150 and the person is blessed with words such as "May you live long" (Apuşmān bhava). Kings are saluted with words "Prosper, your excellency or devah or Rājan etc. (Jayatu Jayatu Bhavān). Queens are often depicted as saluting their husbands with the expression like Ārya or Āryaputra or Bhartā.

Salutation was regarded as a necessary act of etiquette in the society. In the Nāgānandam Malayavati expresses such feelings to her

^{142.} HCCTH., P. 121. प्रविश्व च तौ दूरादेव चतुर्भिरमैरूतमांगेन च गां स्पृशन्तौ नमस्वकतुः।

HCK., Can. 4, P. 12.

^{143.} Ibid., P. 191; HCK., Can. 6, P. 50.

^{144.} HCCTH., P. 211.

^{145.} Ibid., P. 211. आरादेव पंचांगालिंगितांगनः प्रणाममकरोत् । HCK., Can. 7, P. 60.

^{146.} Ibid., P. 232.

^{147.} Watters, I, P. 173.

^{148.} Ibid., I. P. 173.

^{149.} Naga., Act. II, P. 56ff.; Ratna., Act. 3, Pp. 318ff.

^{150.} Bhagavana, Jimütavahana ahamabhivadaya.

friend that her torment was all the more severe for the reason that the gentleman whom she did not greet even with a word, might have considered her uncouth and mannerless. In the Harsacharita also we find that Bana did not pay tribute to Harsa except by uttering Svastivachana. Harsa was annoyed over this breach of courtly etiquette and says that he would not see him (Bāna) as the latter had not offered him tribute of respect.151 and characterises him as a great serpent (Bhujanga) for his unmannerly conduct. Men used to address each other with such words as Bhadra or Deva. A lady was addressed by a man as Devi whereas a lady addressed a man as Ārya. Proper etiquette was also observed in offering and accepting the seats. Bana informs that Grahavaraman alone was offered by Prabhākaravardhana a seat equal to his own.152 But sages and saints were given the highest honour so far the scating arrangement was concerned.154 Bana puts the following words in the mouth of Harsa who says to Divakaramitra, when the latter asked the former to share his own seat, "The very ground in your presence is too high a station," and we know from Bana's pen that the emperor sat on the ground.154 In the Kadambari we are informed that Chandrapida could not accept the seat offered by Sukanāsa as the latter was regarded as a second father. He sat on the ground.155 Even at the hunting camp all princes who accompanied Chandrapida for hunting, sat in their order of seniority to take their morning meal. 156

^{151.} HCCTH., P. 66; HCK., Can. 2, P. 36.

^{152.} HCCTH., P. 128.

^{153.} HCCTH., P. 87-88,

^{154.} Ibid., P. 238.

^{155.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 71.

^{156.} Ibid., P. 74.

CHAPTER XI

RELIGION AND PHILOSOPHY

SECTION 4

RELIGION

Religion is an integral and inseparable part of Indian culture. It has been an outstanding characteristic of India's glorious heritage. It is through religion that our great ancestors have made their major and everlasting contributions to the world, and profoundly influenced all the civilized world. It is through religion and philosophy rather than physical might or even art or literature that India's soul stamped its deep and the most notable imprint upon the civilizations of the world. This is one of the most significant achievements of this sacred land of India of which her sons are genuinely and legitimately proud.

One of the most important and significant features of the post-Maurya period was the complete revival of Brāhmaņism, or what we call today Hinduism, marked its beginning in the Suñga period, but its predominance was firmly established on solid foundations during the age of Imperial Guptas. These foundations were further strengthened in the sixth and seventh centuries of the Christian Era and this gradual ascendancy brought about the decline of Buddhism. Although Yuan Chuang speaks with full magniloquence of its doctrinal developments and strict observance of a rigid code of conduct among the brethren, one fact becomes quite clear from his own records, as we shall see later, that Buddhism was declining in India. But Jainism did not undergo any major change; it neither marked any progress nor decay.

HINDUISM

During the course of our treatment of the social conditions we have referred to the main characteristics of the Hinduism. Varnäśrama-

dharma, which is a significant synonym for Hinduism, gained complete ascendancy during the period under review. All the Vedic ceremonies and rituals came to be regarded as inseparable and integral constituents of Hinduism and the people practised them with sincere devotion and earnestness. In this chapter Hinduism will be discussed with a purely sectarian and religious viewpoint.

HINDU SCRIPTURES

The Vedas were the main sacred books of the Hindus and they were studied with remarkable reverence and carnestness. From the works of Būṇa and other literary sources we know that they continued to be regarded as an essential and integral part of the Hindu Scriptures. The Brāhmaṇas of that period are especially depicted to have been well-versed in the Vedas.

Like the Vedas, the Purāṇas, the Śruti and Smṛtits, the Ramāyaṇa, and the Mahābbārata were also read with respectful attention and care. Hindus lived their lives according to the p inciples laid down in these works and it was meritorious to observe a strict and austere moral code as promulgated in these sacred texts. It is in these works that we find a true representation of a Hindu view of life.

THE SUPREME DEITIES

Brahmā, Visuu and Maheia formed the trinity of main gods of the Hindus. Brahmā is invariably represented in almost all the literary works of the period under review as the creator of the Universe; but he could not enjoy the acknowledged predominance that was attached to Lord Siva and Visuu. Their permanent omnipotence and omnipresence are quite clear from the fact that they were the supreme gods of the two main sects of that age, viz. Saivism and Vaispavism which owed their name to Siva and Visuu respectively.

WORSHIP OF SIVA

Between Siva and Viṣṇu, the former seems to have enjoyed the first place. Saivism was the main theistic system of this period. Bāṇa

informs us that the people of Sthänyıšıvara worshipped Siva (Khandapar-śuḥ). To me it seems that the very name of the town of Sthänjišıvara owed its name to a Ling of Siva (Paisapātin) of the same name.² Bāṇa speaks of Prabhākaravardhana's inborn devotion to Siva. The latter is depicted as an upholder of the Universe, creator of the creatures and annihilator of existence. His (Prabhākaravardhana's) devotion to Siva was so great that he did not believe in the existence of any other god except Siva all over the three Lokas.³ His devotion to Siva is further confirmed by his attentions to Bhairavāchārya, a great Saivite (Mahāāsiavān) of Southern India; ¹ and because of his superlative devotion to the Holy Lord Siva he was granted a boon to be "a founder of a mighty line of kings." ²

Bāŋa informs us that "from childhood onwards it was the firm resolution of Bhāskaravarınan never to do homage to any one except the totus feet of Siva." Bāṇa begins to write his Harşa barita with adoration to Saribbu and Umā. Likewise, Kādambarī was started with the expression of his reverence to Tryambaka wherein the Lord Siva is said to be cause of creation, preservation and destruction. We find therein that Viṣṇu got the second place. Before Bāṇa started for the journey he first went to worship Siva. The references to Siva worship are so many that it is not necessary to refer to them all. Lord Siva is known to have been worshipped in many forms. He bore many names such as Mahā-kāṇa, Trymbaka, Kāṇeśwara, Bhadreśwara, Āmrātakeśwara, Maheśwara, Kāṇalikeśwara etc..

^{1.} HCCTH., P. 85; HCK., Can. 3, P. 45.

Sthāṇvīśwara is also a name of Linga of Śwa. Monierwilliams's Dictionary, P. 1212.

^{3.} HCCTH., P. 84.

^{4.} IICCTH., P. 85; HCK., Can. 3, P. 45.

^{5.} HCCTH., P. 97; HCK., Can. 3, Pp. 53-54.

^{6.} HCCTH., P. 217; HCh., Can. 7, P. 63.

^{7.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 1.

The Mahākāla temple of Ujjayini was noted for its grandeur in those days. Kādambari, Ed. Parab, P. 84.

Yuan Chuang also gives many instances of the Siva-worship. He informs us that near one mountain in Gandhāra, there was a temple of Maheswara-Deva. He also mentions some Pāsupata Tirthaka at various places. ³⁰

WORSHIP OF VISNU

Vaispavism was very much popular during the age of the Imperial Guptas. Almost all Gupta emperors were the devout worshippers of Vispu and they are characteristically designated as the Parama-Bhāga-ratas. The imperial patronage extended to the Vaispava cult strengthened its foundations; it won the nation-wide popularity. But as soon as the Gupta glory was over the Vaispavism, too, was considerably weakened and lost its popularity. During the first half of the seventh century A.D. we mark the gradual decline of this sect and it is quite clear from rare references to Vaispavism. At the hermitage of Divákaramitra some Bhāgavatas are said to have been present along with the followers of various other sects. Although Bhāskaravarman is represented as the worshipper of Siva, his family is said to have belonged to the Vaispava line (Vaispav Vaihab).

WORSHIP OF THE SUN

Besides Vişnu and Siva, the Sun was the most important deity during the period under review. From the epigraphic records it becomes quite clear that almost all the ancestors of Harşa were "the most devout worthippers of the Sun." In the Harşacharita and Kādanbari we find that after bath it was customary to offer worship to the Sun. According to Bāṇa, the people of Ujjayini were devoted to the Sun.14

- 9. Watters, I. P. 221.
- 10. Ibid., I, Pp. 296f.
- 11. HCCTH., P. 236.
- 12. Ibid., P. 219.; HCK., Can. 7, P. 64.
- 13. CII., Vol. III, P. 232; Ep. Ind., Vol. I, Pp. 69-70; Ibid., I, Pp. 67-75.
 - 14. Kādambarī, Ed. Parab, P. 88.

Besides these chief deities there were many other gods and goddesses who were worshipped by the people of that period. Indra, Kubera, Agni, etc. were worshipped occasionally. Sarasvatt, Siri or Lakşmi, Umā or Gauri, Chandi or Durgā were the chief godesses to whom due reverence was paid by the people of that period.

SECTION B

OTHER MINOR SECTS AND PHILOSOPHICAL SYSTEMS

Bāṇa gives us an excellent description of the various sects and philosophical systems which flourished in the seventh century A.D.. At the hermitage of the great sage Divākaramitra there were the followers of these different religious sects and systems.¹⁸ Besides the Bhāgavatas, the following must be mentioned here.

- (1) There were the Śvetāmbaras. Bāṇa calls them 'Śvetapaṭāḥ.'
- (2) There were Keśaluñchanāḥ i.e. the people who pulled out their hair. They probably belonged to the Digambara school.
- (3) The followers of Kapila who are called the Kapilāḥ. But their school in the philosophical sense is famous as the Sānkhya System.²⁶
- (4) The Lokayatikas were the followers of Charvaka, the first system of atheistic materialism. According to this school of philosophy, there is no creator of the Universe; there is no soul, and there is no rebirth.
- (5) There were Kaṇādaḥ or the Vaiseṭikas. The school was founded by Kaṇāda.
- (6) The Aupanişadās : some of them are called the Aupanişadās. They were the followers of the doctrine of the Upanisadas.
- (7) The Aiśvarakāraṇikās: They believed in one Supreme Being (Iśwara) as the cause or creator of the Universe. According to Dr. P. V. Kāṇe, they probably belonged to 'Māheśvaramata' according

HCCTH., P. 236; HCK., Can. 8, P. 73. For the English terms
 I have consulted the Dictionary of Monier-Williams and Notes on HC. by Mr. P. V.
 Käne.

Sāňkhya School is also mentioned in the Kādambarī. Kādambarī,
 tr. Ridding, P. 212.

to which Isvara is the only cause (nimittakāraṇa) of the world or to the Nyāva system founded by Gautama.

- (8) Dhātuvādins or Kārandhamins: They probably belonged to the school of Alchemists. Their school was also known as Raseśwaradaršana, which believed that mercury, if properly treated, would make men immortal.
- (9) Dharmaśāstrins: They were the persons who studied the various smritis.
- (10) Paurāṇikas: They were the persons who mastered the Purānas. The latter must have been their sacred texts.
- (11) Săptatantavas: They studied the sacrifices. According to Dr. Kâņe, they were the students of Pūrvamimānisā system founded by Jaimini in which the most prominent place is assigned to sacrifice.
- (12) Vaiyākaraṇas stood for those who believed that the thorough study of the grammar would lead to the Moksa.

(13) Pañcharātras were the followers of the Pañcharātra doctrine. In the Mahābhārata we are told that Nārada, promulgated the Pañcharātra doctrine after receiving it from Nārāyana.¹⁷

After Prabhākaravardhana's death Harşa is said to have been accompanied by the Brahmavādins. These Brahmavādins seem to be the Vedānnis in the Upaniṣadic sense. They are said to have been well-versed in the knowledge of the transitoriness of this world. These Brahmavādins were the Vedāntins of the Advaita school, "for the dualists could have nothing to say by the way of conclusion on the death of person." The adjective "samṣārāṣāratvakathanakuśalāḥ" leaves no doubt as to the exact nature of the 'Brahmavādins', and the words "Samṣārāṣāratva" "is not a mere unsubstantiated postulate;" but it is well-meditated doctrine and "well-thought-out theory" of the Brahmavādins of the seventh century A.D.

Shānti Parra. 340-112. quoted by Mr. Kane: HCK., Can. 7, P.
 Notes, P. 223.

Ind. Ant., 1933, Prof. Dasaratha Sharma's Article: "The Advaita Vedānta in the Seventh Century A.D." P. 78.

BUDDHISM

Yuan Chuang gives us sufficient information about Buddhism. From his records one fact becomes quite clear that Buddhism was declining day by day. Besides its main divisions into Mahāyāna and Hīnayāna, Buddhism was subdivided into as many as eighteen different schools. In addition to these divisions and subdivisions, Buddhism marked its "transformation from the prinstine simplicity of an austere moral code to the most complex system." 19

The great credulity, pompous adoration of the relics and fantastic miracles crept into Buddhism. During this period an immense literature was piled up by the Buddhist monks. They began to pretend to possess superhuman powers. During this period greater importance was attached to the erection and consecration of huge monasteries and sanighārāmas which were elaborately decorated. The Chaityas, the Dhātugarbhas, triumphal pillars, the stūpas and the convents abounded in the country.

Yuan Chuang, who visited India as a religious missionary, throws much light on the religious conditions of India during the period under review. He not only refers to state of Buddhism, but also to other cults as well. His description, therefore, deserves brief reference in order to understand the religious conditions of India during the age of Harşavardhana.

KAPIŚA (KA-PI-SHIH)

We are told that the king of Kapiśa was "a benevolent ruler and an adherent of Buddhism. He made every year a silver image of Buddha 18 feet hight, and at the Moksha-parishad he gave liberally to the needy and to widows. There were above 100 Monasteries with more than 500 Brethren who were chiefly Mahāyānists; the topes and monasteries were lofty and spacious and were kept in good order. Of the Deva-Temples there were some tens; and above 1000 professed Sectarians, Diganibaras, and Parisupatas (Pāiṣpatas), and those who wear wreaths of skulls as head-ornaments; "36

^{19.} CA., P. 367.

^{20.} Watters, I, Pp. 123-3.

LAMPA (LAN-P'O)

We are told that "there were above ten Buddhist monasteries and a few brethren the most of whom were Mahāyānists. The Non-Buddhists had a soore or two of temples and they were very numerous." This description of the pilgrim shows that Hinduism was stronger and more popular than Buddhism in the north-west border area.

NAGAR (NA-KIE (KA)-LO-HO)

Here the people were "reverencing Buddha and having little faith in other religious systems. But although there were many Buddhist establishments the brethren were very few. There were five Deva-Temples and above 100 professed non-Buddhists." Like Lampa, here too, the Buddhism appears to have declined.

GÄNDHÄRA (KAN-T'O-LO)

Here, too, Buddhism was on rapid decline. The pilgrim records, "the majority adhered to other systems of religion, a few being Buddhists," 22 This was probably the result of constant tramph of Hinduism since the times of Pusyamitra Śuńga who championed the cause of Brāhmaṇaism after the fall of the Mauryas. After the Śuńgas the revivalist movement continued and Prabhākaravardhana, who was a great militant śaivite, must have added further support to that revival after his conquest of Gāńdhāra. Pilgrim's further remark confirms our conclusion. He says,

^{21.} Watters, I, P. 181.

^{22.} Ibid., I, P. 181.

^{23.} Ibid., I, P. 199.

^{24.} This is why Puyramitra Sunga is described as "cruel persecutor of Buddhism," in Buddhist traditions. Disydouddna records that "he destroyed monasteries and killed monks." He is said to have announced a reward of a hundred gold coins for a head of a monk. Disydouddna, Pp. 429-34; also IHQ., Vol. XXII, Pp. 81ff; HCIP., Vol. II, P. 97. The traditions as recorded in the Disydouddna appear to be exaggerated but Puyramitra must have championed the cause of Varyaframdharma.

"There were above 1000 Buddhist monasteries in the country but they were utterly dilapidated and untenanted. Many of the topes were in ruin. There were above 100 Deva-Temples and the various sects lived pell-mell."

THE CITY OF PUŞKARĀVATĪ (PU-SE-KA-LO-FA-TI)

Yuan Chuang tells us, "Outside the west gate of the city was a Deva-Temple with a marvel-working image of the Deva." But there was no Buddhist monastery here. He tells us that about "four or five li north of the city was an old monastery", but it was "in ruins and with only a few brethren who were all Hinayānist." "27

THE CITY OF PALUSA (PO-LU-SHA)

Here was a "monastery with above fifty brethren all adherents of the "Small Vehicle" (the Hinayānists).28

Near this city there were two more monasteries, one "out side the east gate of the city with above fifty brethren" and another "on the south" which had "a few brethren." They were Mahāyānists.¹⁹

In the vicinity of this town, above fifty li to the north-east, there "was a temple of Maheśvaradeva in which the ash-smearing "Tirthikas' performed much worship."²⁰

UDYĀNA (MU-CHANG-NA)31

The people of Udyana were held "in high esteem" and "were reverential believers in Mahayana." But here, too, Buddhism was

- 25. Watters, I, P. 202.
- 26. Ibid., I, P. 214.
- 27. Ibid., I, P. 214.
- 28. Ibid., I, P. 217.
- 29. Ibid., I, P. 218.
- 30. Ibid., I. 221.
- According to Cunningham it corresponds to present districts of Pangkora, Bijāwar, swāt, and Runir. Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 81; JARS., 1896, P. 655.

declining rapidly. The pilgrim tells us that "there had formerly been 1400 monasteries but many of these were then in ruins and once there had been 18000 Brethren but these had gradually decreased until only a few remained; these were all Mahāyānists... Of Deva-Temples there were above ten and the various sectarians lived pell-mell." 28

BOLOR (PO-LU-LO)

In this country "there were some hundreds of Buddhist Monasteries; and some thousands of Brethren who were without definite learning, and were very defective in their observance of the rules of their Order." The pilgrim does not record the number of Deva-Temples and the followers of other sects.

TAKṢAŚILĀ (TA-CHA-SHI-LO)

The people of Takṣaśilā are said to be the "atiherents of Bud-dhism." But the Order was declining rapidly. The pilgrim observes, "Although the monasteries were numerous. many of them were desolate and the brethren who were very few, were all Mahāyānists." Buddhism must have spread in Takṣaśilā after Asoka extended patronage to it. But it must have declined in the times of Pusyamitra Sunga.

SINHAPURA (SENG-HA-PU-LO)

The pilgrim does not refer to the total number of the monasteries, but it is certain from his description that the Buddhism was rapidly declining. He tells of several monasteries of which many are said to be deserted and a few were with some brethren. The country appears to have been inhabited by Jains and Hindus. 18

URASA (WU-LA-SHIP)

The people "were not Buddhists and it appears that Buddhism

^{32.} Watters, I, P. 226.

^{33.} Ibid., I, P. 240.

^{34.} Ibid., I, P. 240.

^{35.} Ibid., I, Pp. 248ff.

was almost wiped off from this country." The pilgrim tells us of only one monastery which contained a few brethren all Mahāyānists."36

KASHMIR (KIA-SHI-MI-LO)

The people of Kashmir "had a faith which embraced orthodoxy and heterodoxy (that is, Buddhism and other religions)." The pilgrim tells us that "the Buddhist monasteries were above 100 in number, and there were above 5000 buddhist Brethren." That was the however, drawn our attention to the accounts of Wu-k'ung who visited Kashmir after about a century. He gives the number of monasteries as 300. We cannot explain this marked difference in the number of Buddhist establishments.

PUNACH OR PUNATS (PAN-NU-TS'O)

The pilgrim records that the people of this country "were sincere believers in Buddhism." Referring to the Buddhist establishments he tells us that "the Buddhist monasteries of which there were five, were in ruinous condition," and "in a monastery to the north of the capital were a few brethren." 19

RĀJAPURA (HO-LO-SHE-PU-LO)

In this country "there were ten Buddhist monasteries and "the Brethren were few in number; there was one Deva-Temple but the non-Buddhist were very numerous." it

On the basis of this description it appears almost certain that in Kashmir and its dependencies Buddhism was declining rapidly. Many

^{36.} Ibid., P. 256.

^{37.} Ibid., P. 261.

^{38.} Journal Asiatique, 1895, Pp. 341ff; Watters, I, P. 264.

^{39.} He records a little later that Aiska had built 500 monasteries. They might have been deserted in Yuan Chuang's time and Wu-k'ung might have found only three hundred of them.

^{40.} Watters, I, P. 283.

^{41.} Ibid., I, P. 284.

of the monasteries were either in ruined condition or were deserted and only a few of them had a small number of Brethren.

TAKKA (CHEH-KA)

From Rājapura the pilgrim reached the Takka country. Like Kashmir here, too, Buddhism was declining rapidly. We are told that only "few" of the inhabitants believed in Buddhism, and the most of the people served the Devas; there were ten Buddhist monasteries and some hundreds of Deva-Temples."

CHINĂBHUKTI OR CHINĂPATI (CHI-NA-P'UH-TI)

People of this country appear to have followed all faiths equally. The pilgrim tells us that "the people embraced Buddhism and secular knowledge, and orthodoxy and heterodoxy had each its adherents. There were ten Buddhist monasteries and nine Deva-Temple»."49

TAMASAVANA MONASTERY

About 500 li⁴⁴ to the south-east of the capital of Chinābhukti was the Tamasavana monastery. This monastery "had above 300 Brethren of the Sarvāstivādin School" who are said to be "thorough students of the Hinayāna."

JĀLANDHARA (SHE-LAN-TA-LO)

In this country "there were above fifty monasteries with more than 2000 Brethren who made special studies in the Great and Little Vehicles." "Referring to the Deva-Temples he tells us that they "were three with more than 500 professed non-Buddhist of the Päsupata sect." This description does not appear correct as we know that after the fall of Mauryas there

^{42.} Watters., I, P. 286.

^{43.} Ibid., I, P. 291.

^{44.} In Life this distance is only 50 li. Watters, I, P. 294.

^{45.} Ibid., I, P. 294.

^{46.} Ibid., I, P. 296.

was enough persecution of Buddhism and we cannot accept this high number of Buddhist monasteries in Jalandhara.

KULLU OR KULUTA (KU-LU-TO)

This country had "twenty Buddhist Monasteries with above 1000 Brethren of whom the most were Mahāyānists" and a few adhering to other schools (that is, belonging to the Hinayāna system)." Talking about the Deva-Temples he tells us that they "were fifteen and the professed non-Buddhists lived pell-mell."

SATADRU (SHE-TO-T'-ULU)

The people of this country are said to have been devoted to Buddhism. The pilgrim tells us that "in and about the capital were ten monasteries, but they were desolate, and the Brethren were very few." ¹⁰ He, however, does not refer to the Deva-Temples, but it appears almost certain that the Hinduism must have been strengthened as Buddhism was declining.

PÄRYÄTRA (P'O-LI-YE-TA-LO)

The pilgrim records that there were only eight Buddhist Monasteries but all of them were "in a bad state of ruin" and "the Brethren were very few in number." The Hinduism, on the other hand, was quite popular. We are told that there were ten Deva-Temples and the professed non-Buddhists were above 1000 in number."

MATHURĂ (MO (OR MEI)T'U-LO)50

The People of Mathurā are said to have "believed in the working

^{47.} Watters., I, P. 296.

^{48.} Ibid., I, P. 299.

^{49.} Ibid., I, P. 300.

^{50.} There are several Chinese traditions regarding Mathurā. According to Grouse this name is said to have been derived from the Sanskrit root 'Math', to churn, "the churn forming a prominent feature in all poetical descriptions of the local scenery." Mathura, Sec. ed., P. 73.

of Karma, and paid respect to moral and intellectual eminence." Referring to the number of the monasteries and temples the pilgrim tells us that "there were in the district above twenty Buddhist monasteries, and above 2000 Brethren who were diligent students of both Vehicles. There are also five Deva-Temples and the professed adherants of different non-Buddhist sects lived pell-mell." In pilgrim also refers to "the relies of the holy disciples of Sākya Ju-lai." These disciples are Sāriputra, Mudgalaputra, Pūrņamaitriyāniputra, Upāti, Ānańda, and Rāhula.

Yuan Chuang also throws some light on other practices and ceremonies of the Buddhists. According to him, "on the six Fast-days of every month, the Brethren, with mutual rivalry, make up parties, and taking materials of worship with many valuables, repair to the images of their special patrons. The Abhidharma Brethren offer worship to Săriputra, the Samādhists to Mudgalaputra, the Sūtraists to Purpamaitri-yaniputra, the Vinayists to Uppāli, the Bliksunis to Ananda, the Srāmaneras to Rāhula; and the Mahāyānists to the various Pusas," Referring to the modes of worship and other paraphernalia the pilgrim records that on the days of fasting, the tope-vie with each other in worship; banners and sunshades are displayed, the incense makes clouds and the flowers are scattered in showers, the sun and the moon are obscured and the mountain-ravines convulsed." ²⁰

ŠTHĀNEŚVARA (SA-T'A-NI-SSU-FA-LO)

At the capital of this country there were "three Buddhist monasteries with above 700 professed Buddhists, all Hinayānists." But as compared to these monasteries and the number of the Buddhists Brethren the Hinduism was very strong. We have glimpes of Hinduism in general and Saivism in particular in the works of Bāṇa. The pilgrim records that "there were above 100 Deva-Temples and the non-Buddhists were very

^{51.} Watters, I, P. 301.

^{52.} Ibid., I, P. 302.

^{53.} Ibid., I, P. 302.

numerous."54 This supports our conclusion that the cause of Hinduism was championed by the Puspabhütis in Sthänesvara.

ŚRUGHNA (SU-LU-K' IN-NA)

The pilgrim tells us that "the inhabitants" of this country "were not Buddhists: they held useful learning in respect and esteemed religious wisdom." Like Sthäneśvara, Śrughna was also a great stronghold of Hinduism. This is clear from Uan Chuang's statement. Referring to the Buddhist and Hindu Establishments he tells us, "there were five Buddhist monasteries and above 1000 Buddhist ecclesiastics, the majority of whom were Hinayānists, a few adhering to other schools. The Brethren were expert and lucid expounders of abstract doctrines, and distinguished Brethren from other lands came to them to reason out their doubts. There were 100 Deva-Temples, and the non-Buddhists were very numerous."

MATIPURA (MO-TI-PU-LO)

This country is generally identified with Madāwar or Mandāwar,
"a large town in western Rohikhangh, near Bijnor,"
"a large town in western Rohikhangh, near Bijnor,"
"a The people of this
country are said to have been "equally divided between Buddhism and
other religions."
"B But this statement of the pilgrim does not sound well
if we take into consideration what he tells us later. We are told that "there
were above ten Buddhist monasteries with above 800 Brethren mostly
adherents of the Sarvā-tivādin school of the Hinayāna." But the temples
are said to be "above fifty" and, therefore, we cannot believe the pilgrim
that the people "were equally divided between Buddhism and other religions." The king of this country was also a believer in Hinduism. Thus
it is guite certain that Hinduism was strong in this country.

MAYÜRA (MO-YU-LO)

The pilgrim records that "near the city and close to the Ganges

^{54.} Ibid., P. 314.

^{55.} Watters, I. P. 318.

^{56.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 348.

^{57.} Watters, I. P. 322.

was a large Deva-Temple of many miracles, and in its enclosure was a tank the banks of which were faced with stone slabs, the tank being fed by an artificial passage from the Ganges. This was called the Ganges-Gate and it was a place for making religious merit and extinguishing guilt; there were constantly many thousands of people from distant regions assembled here for bathing. Pious kings erected Punyaśaläs in the district for the free distribution of dainty food and medical requisites to the kinless and friendless." This Ganges-Gate "is identified with Gangadvāra of the Indian writers, the modern Hardvāra (Haridvāra)." According to Cunningham, the city of Mayūra must be the present ruined site of Māyāpura, at the head of the Ganges canal."

BRAHMAPURA (PO-LO-HIH-MO-PU-LO)

This was a stronghold of the Hindus, and, it appears that the pilgrim was not happy there. He tells us that "the people had rough ways" and "they cared little for learning and pursued gain." Referring to the religious establishments he tells us that "there-vere five Buddhist monasteries, but there were very few Brethren; there were above ten Deva-Temples and the sectarians lived pell-mell."

GOVIŜANA (KU-P'I-SANG-NA)

We are told that "the people had honest sincere ways; they applied themselves to learning and were fond of religious merit; most of them were non-Buddhists, and sought the joys of this life." Referring to the religious establishments he tells us that "there were two Buddhist monasteries with above 100 Brethren all Hinayānists. Of Deva-Temples there were above 30, and the sectarians lived pell-mell." 4

^{58.} Ibid., I, P. 328.

^{59.} Ibid., I. P. 329.

^{60.} Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 351.

^{61.} Watters., I. P. 329.

^{62.} Ibid., I, P. 329.

^{63.} Ibid., I, P. 329.

^{64.} Ibid., I, P. 330-31.

AHICHHATRĀ (NGO(OR O)-HI-CH'I-TA-LO)

The people here are said to be "honest in their ways; they studied abstract truth and were diligent in learning, with much ability and extensive knowledge." Referring to the religious establishments the pilgrim tells us that "there were above ten Buddhist monasteries, and more than 1000 Brethren students of the Sammatlya school of the Hinayāna. Deva-Temples were nine in number and there were above 300 professed adherents of the other systems Pāšupatas who worshipped Išvara (Siva)."

VILAŠĀNA OR BHILASANA (P'I-LO-SHAN-NA)

This territory in the vicinity of Ahichhaträ as a stronghold of the Hindus. The pilgrim records that "the people were mainly non-Buddhists, a few reverencing Buddhism." Referring to the Buddhist establishments he tells us that "there were two Buddhist monasteries with 300 Brethren all Mahāyāna students. There were five Deva-Temples and the sectarians lived pell-mell."

KAPITHA OR SÄNKAŠYA (KAH-PI-T'A)

Like many important centres in the kingdom of Harşa this was also a great centre of Hindus. The pilgrim tells us that "there were four Buddhist monasteries and above 1000 Brethren, all of the Sammatiya School." Referring to the Deva-Temples he tells us that the number of the temples was the and "the non-Buddhists, who lived pell-mell, were the Saivites." That this place was a very important religious centre through the ages, is proved by various works.

^{65.} Ibid., I, P. 331.

^{66.} Anc. Geog. of India, P. 365.

^{67.} Watters, I, P. 332.

^{68.} Ibid., I, P. 332.

^{69.} Ibid., I, P. 333.

Ind. Ant., Vol. XXII., P. 180; Alberuni., I, P. 300; Divyāvadāna,
 P. 150; W. W. Rockhill, Life of the Buddha, P. 81; R. L. Mitra, The Sanskrit Buddhist Lit. of Nepal, P. 296.

KÄNYAKUBIA (KA-NO-KU-SHE) OR MODERN KANAUI

The people of Kanauj are said to be 'clear and suggestive in discourse; they were equally divided between orthodoxy and heterodoxy,"71
This statement of the pilgrim pertaining to the equal division between the orthodoxy and heterodoxy does not appear well-founded as we know that Kanauj was a great centre of Hinduism. This is also confirmed by the pilgrim himself who gives figures of the religious establishments and their followers. He tells us that "there were above 100 Buddhist monasteries with more than 10000 Brethren who were students of both the Vehicles." Referring to the temples the pilgrim tells us, "there were more than 200 Deva-Temples and the non-Buddhists were several thousands in number." ¹⁷²
We must admit that the number of the monasteries is admittedly large. When Fa-hien visited the place he found two monasteries there. ²³ After the visit of Yuan Chuang, too, we find Buddhism in decline. During the visit of the Sung pilgrim the District had "topes and temples" with "no monks or num." ¹⁸⁴

The pilgrim also refers to other religious establishments in the suburbs of Kanauj. He tells us that "there were other sacred Buddhist buildings near the city, and there were also splendid temples to the Sun god and to Maheśwara respectively." 178

NAVADEVAKULA (NA-FO-T'O-P'O-KU-LO)76

We are told that "to the north-west of this city and on the east bank of the Ganges, was magnificient Deva-Temple." There were three Buddhist monasteries with "above 500 Brethren all Sarvästivådins." He.

^{71.} Watters, I, P. 340.

^{72.} Ibid., I, P. 340.

^{73.} Fa-hien's Record of Buddhist Kingdoms, tr. and annoted by James Legge, M. A., LL.D., Oxford, 1886, P. 54.

^{74.} Cited by Watters, Vol. I, P. 343.

^{75.} Ibid., I, P. 352.

^{76.} This city "is supposed to be represented by the present Nohbalganj." Ibid., I, P. 352; Anc. Geog. of Ind., P. 382,

however, does not refer to the adherents of Hindu sects who lived in the temple. This is natural in the description of one whose mission was "primarily Buddhistic." Watters appears to be correct when he comments that "it is not unlikely that it (the city) was from the splendid Deva-Temple which Yuan Chuang here describes very briefly that the city obtained its name."

The temple "may have been devoted to the worship of Visnu."

AYODHYÄ (A-YU-T'E)

The pilgrim appears to have been very much impressed by the people of Ayodhyā who are said to be "fond of good works." and who were "devoted to practical learning." Referring to the religious establishments the pilgrim tells us that "there were above 100 Buddhist monasteries, and more than 3000 Brethren who were students of both Vehicles." Of the temples hetells us that "they were ten in number and the non-Buddhists were few in number." As usual the pilgrim again exaggerates his accounts of Buddhist strength. We know that Ayodhyā has remained through the age as one of the most important seats of Hindu religion and culture.

HAYAMUKHA OR ÄYAMUKHA (A-YE-MU-K'A)

The people of this principality also impressed the pilgrim who tells us that "the character of the people was good; they were studious and given to good works." Referring to the religious establishments the pilgrim tells us that "there were five Buddhist monasteries with above 1000 Brethren who were adherents of the Sammatiya School, and there were more than ten Deva-Temples." The pilgrim does not refer to the strength of non-Buddhists but as their temples are more than double as compared with the Buddhist monasteries, the number of non-Buddhists must have been pretty large.

^{77.} Watters, I, P. 352.

^{78.} Ibid., I, P. 353.

^{79.} Ibid., I, P. 355.

^{80.} Ibid., I, P. 359.

PRAYĀGA (PO-LO-YA-KA)

The pilgrim was very much impressed by the people of Prayaga. The place was one of the most important strongholds of Hinduism, and Buddhism could never find many followers here. The pilgrim tells us that "there were only two Buddhist establishments and very few Brethren all Hinayānists." But the number of the Deva-Temples was very large. We are told that "there were some hundreds of Deva-Temples and the majority of the inhabitants were non-Buddhists." The pilgrim also tells us of a Deva-Temple with "a great wide spreading umbrageous tree" where visitors "had continuously from old times all lightly committed suicide. But later this practice was abandoned with an effort of "a very wise and learned Brahman."

The pilgrim tells us that "at the junction of the rivers....every day numbers of people arrived to die in the sacred water, hoping to be thereby reborn in Heaven."**s

KOŚĀMBĪ (KIAO-SHANC-MĪ)

The pilgrim praises the people of Kośambi as "enterprising, fond of arts, and cultivators of religious merit." Referring to religious establishments he tells us that "there were more than ten Buddhist monasterics, but all in utter ruin; and the Brethren, who were above 300 in number, were adherents of the Hinayāna system." This description makes it certain that the Buddhism was declining in this district and the Hinduism, on the other hand, was making rapid progress as we learn that "there were more than fifty Deva-Temples and the non-Buddhists were very numerous."

The people of this country are said to have "had good ways, were

- 81. Ibid., I, P. 361.
- 82. Ibid., I, P. 362.
- 83. Ibid., I, P. 364.
- 84. Ibid., I, P. 366.
- 85. Ibid., I, P. 366.

studious and given to good works." Referring to the religious establishments the pilgrim tells us that there were "above twenty Buddhist monasteries and 3000 Brethren who were all adherents of the Sammatiya School. There were above 50 Deva-Temples and the non-Buddhists were very numerous." This was also a stronghold of Hinduism.

ŚRĀVASTĪ (SHIH-LO-FA-SI-T'I)

The pilgrim tells us that "the people had honest ways and were given to learning and fond of good works." Referring to the condition of Buddhism he tells us that "there were some hundreds of Buddhist monasteries of which the most were in ruin, the Brethren who were very few, were Sammatiyas." This shows that in Śrāvasti Buddhism had declined quite rapidly and Hinduism became quite popular. Referring to the religious establishments of the Hindus he tells us that "there were 100 Deva-Temples and the non-Buddhists were very numerous." 100 Deva-Temples and the non-Buddhists were very numerous."

KAPILAVASTU (KIE-PI-LO-FA-SU-TU)

Here, too, Buddhism had declined. The pilgrim refers to the "remains of above 1000 Buddhist menasteries." He tells us of only one "existing monastery with above 30 inmates, adherents of Sammatiya School." The pilgrim refers to "two Deva-Temples, and the sectarians lived pell-mell."

RĀMA OR RĀMAGRĀMA (LAN-MO)

The place was deeply connected with Buddhism but when the pilgrim visited the site, it "had been waste and wild for a long time....its towers were heaps of ruins and there was a scanty population."91

^{86.} Ibid., I, P. 373.

^{87.} Ibid., I, P. 373.

^{88.} Ibid., I, P. 377.

^{89.} Ibid., I, P. 377.

^{90.} Ibid., II, P. 1.

^{91.} Ibid., II, 20; Beal, II, P. 26.

KUŚINAGARA (KOU-SHIH-NA-KA-LO)

This was once a flourishing centre of Buddhism but when the pilgrim visited it he found it deserted.

VĂRĀŅASI (PO-LO-NA-SE)

The pilgrim was very much impressed by the people of Vārānasī who "were gentle and courteous and esteemed devotion to learning; the majority of them believed in the other systems and only a few of them were Buddhists."92 In fact the city has been one of the main strongholds of Hinduism in general and Saivism in particular. The pilgrim's description also supports this view. Referring to the religious establishments and followers he tells us that "there were above thirty Buddhist monasteries with more than 3000 Brethren all adherents of the Sanunativa School. Of Deva-Temples there were above 100, and there were more than 10000 professed adherents of the sects, the majority being devotees of Siva; Within the Capital were 20 Deva-Temples..., and the temple-caves were of carved stone and ornamented wood; thickets of trees gave continuous shade and there were streams of pure water; there was a t'u-shi (bell-metal?) image of Deva (probably Siva) nearly 100 feet high which was life-like in its awe-inspiring majesty,"83 After his description of the city the pilgrim refers to various Buddhist establishments at Samath including the Deer-Park Monastery.

GHĀZĪPUR ? (CHAN-CHU)

The inhabitants of this country won the admiration of the pilgrim who tells us that they "were honest and high-spirited and they had a mixed religious creed." Referring to the religious establishments and the followers of various sects he tells us that "there were above ten Buddhist establishments with nearly a thousand Brethren all attached to the system

^{92.} Walters, II, P. 47.

^{93.} Ibid., II, P. 47.

^{94.} Ibid., II, P. 59.

of the 'Little Vehicle." There were twenty Deva-Temples and the followers of the different non-Buddhist systems dwelt pell-mell."**

VAIŚĀLĪ (FEI-SHE-LI)

The people of this country are praised by the pilgrim who tells us that they "were honest, fond of good works, esteemers of learning, and orthodox and heterodox in faith." Referring to the religious establishments he tells us that "the Buddhist establishments, of which there were some hundreds, were with the exception of three or four, dilapidated and deserted, and the Brethren were very few." This shows that the Buddhism had declined in this region. On the other hand Hinduism made tremendous progress. This is clear from the description of the pilgrim who tells us that "there were some tens of Deva-Temples, the various sects lived pellmell." He also tells us that "the Digambaras flourished" in this country, it

VRIJI (FU-LI-CHIH)

In this district "there were few Buddhists, and the monasteries were above ten in number, the Brethren of which, less than 1000 in number, were students and adherents of both the 'Great and Little Vehicles."

The number of Deva-Temples was much higher as compared to the Buddhist monasteries. The pilgrim records that "there were some tens of Deva-Temples and the non-Buddhists were very numerous."

NEPÄL (NI-P'O-LO)

The pilgrim did not visit the kingdom of Nepāl and he has written about the valley on the basis of what he heard about Nepāl in India. He records that "the people were rude and deceitful, good faith and rectitude were slighted by them; they had no learning but were skilful mechanics; they were ugly and coarse in appearance, and they believed both in false

^{95.} Ibid., II, P. 59.

^{96.} Ibid., II, P. 63.

^{97.} Ibid., II, P. 63. 98. Ibid., II, P. 81.

⁵⁸

and true religion, the Buddhist monasteries and the Deva-Temples touching each other."** Referring to the number of Buddhists he tells us that "there were above 2000 Buddhists ecclesiastics who were attached to both 'Vehicles' and the number of the Non-Buddhists was not ascertained."

MAGADHA (MO-KIE-TO)

The pilgrim appears to have been impressed with the people of Magadha who "were honest in character;...esteemed learning and reverenced Buddhism." Referring to the religious establishments he tells us that "there were above fifty Buddhist monasteries, and more than 10000 ecclesiastics, for the most part adherents of Mahāyāna system. There were some tens of Deva-Temples, and the adherents of the various sects were very numerous."

Saśānka is said to have caused hardships to Buddhists. He is said to have championed the cause of Śaivism. The pilgrim records that "by Śaśānka's extermination of Buddhism the groups of Brethren were all broken up." He is said to have "tried in vain to efface the foot-prints; caused the Stone to be thrown into the Ganges, but it returned to its original place." Later the pilgrim records, "in recent times, Śaśānka, the enemy and oppressor of Buddhism, cut down the Bodhi tree, destroyed its roots down to the water and burned what remained." Vuan Chuang also refers to his (Śaśānka's) "abortive attempt to have the image (of Buddha) removed and replaced by one of Śiva." 1000

MONGHYR (1-LAN-NA-PO-FA-TO)

The people of this country are said to be "of honest ways." The

- 99. Ibid., II, P. 83.
 - 100. Ibid., II, P. 84.
- 101. Ibid., II, P. 86.
- 102. Ibid., II, P. 87.
- 103. Ibid., II, P. 43.
- 104. Ibid., II, P. 92.
- 105. Ibid., II, P. 115.
- 106. Ibid., II, P. 116.

pilgrim tells us that "there were above ten Buddhist monasteries and more than 4000 Brethren the most of whom were Hinayānists of the Sammatiya School." The progress of Hinduism was better as compared to that of Buddhism. The pilgrim informs that "there were above twenty Deva-Temples and the adherents of various religions lived pell-mell."

CHAMPĂ (CHAN-P'0)

Here Buddhism had declined rapidly. The pilgrim tells us that "there were some tens of monasteries mostly in ruins, and there were above 200 Brethren all Hinayānists." The pilgrim forgot to refer to the number of the Deva-Temples. He makes only a casual reference to one Deva-Temple. This omission is just an error and we have every reason to believe that Hinduism and other sects, other than Buddhism, must have flourished in this region.

KAJANGALA (KA-CHU-WEN (2)-K'I-LO)

The people of this region "were straight forward; they esteemed superior abilities and held learning in respect." Referring to the religious establishments the pilgrim tells us that "there were six or seven Buddhist monasteries and above 300 Brethren; the Deva-Temples were ten in number and the various systems lived pell-mell."

PUNDRAVARDHANA (PUN-NA-FA-TAN-NA)

In this country, "there were twenty Buddhist monasteries and above 3000 Brethren by whom the Great and Little Vehicles were followed." But as compared to these monasteries the number of the Deva-Temples was much higher. We are told that they were "100 in number and the followers of the various sects lived pell-mell." Among others "the Digambara Nirgranthas were very numerous."

^{107.} Ibid., II, P. 178.

^{108.} Ibid., II, P. 181.

^{109.} Ibid., II, P. 183.

^{110.} Ibid., II, P. 184.

KĀMARŪPA (KA-MO-LU-P'O)

This part of the country was one of those territories where Buddhism was not introduced. The pilgrim tells us that the people of this province "were persevering students; they worshipped the Devas, and did not believe in Buddhism. So there had never been a Buddhist monastery in the land, and whatever Buddhists there were in it performed their acts of devotion secretly; the Deva-Temples were some hundreds in number, and the various systems had some myriads of professed adherents."

SAMATATA (SAN-MO-TA-T'O)

The country "had more than 30 Buddhist monasteries and above 2000 Brethren all adherents of Sthavira School." Here, too, the strength of non-Buddhists was great as we are told by the pilgrim that "there were 100 Deva-Temples, the various sects lived pell-mell, and the Digambara Nirgranthas were very numerous."

TÄMRALIPTI (TAN-MO-LIH-TI)

The people of Tämralipti are said to be "believers in Buddhism and other systems," Referring to the religious establishments the pilgrim tells us, "Of Deva-temples there were more than 50, and the non-Buddhists lived together pell-mell. There were above ten Buddhists monasteries and more than one thousand Brethren." 113 This description shows that Buddhism was weaker than other sects.

KARNASUVARNA (KIE(KA)-LO-NA-SU-FA-LA-NA)

The inhabitants of this region "were of good character and were patrons of learning." Referring to the monasteries and temples the pilgrim tells us, "there were more than ten Buddhist monasteries, and above 2000 Brethren who were all adherents of the Sammatya School; there were 50 Deva-Temples and the followers of the various religious were very numerous." "14

^{111.} Ibid., II, P. 186.

^{112.} Ibid., II, P. 187.

^{113.} Ibid., II, P. 190.

^{114.} Ibid., II, P. 191.

ODRA (WU-T'U)

The pilgrim informs that the people "were indefatigable students and many of them were Buddhists. There were above 100 Buddhist monasteries, and a myriad Brethren all Mahāyānists. Of Deva-Temples there were 50, and the various sects lived pell-mell."

KONGODHA (KUNG-YU-(GU OR YA)-T'O)

The people of this territory "were not Buddhists. Deva-Temples were above 100 in number, and of Tirthikas there were more than 10000." 116

KALINGA (KA-LENG-KA)

"The people" of this country "were rude and headstrong in disposition, observant of good faith and fairness, fast and clear in speech. There were few Buddhists, the majority of the people being of other religions. There were above ten Buddhist monasteries, and 500 Brethren students of the Mahāyānist Sthavira School system." 117

SOUTHERN KOŚALA

The pilgrim tells us that "the king was a Kṣatriya by birth, a Buddhist in religion, and of noted benevolence. There were above 100 Buddhist monasteries, and above 10000 Brethren, all Mahāyānists."¹¹⁸

ANDHRA (AN-TO-LO)

The pilgrim informs us that "there were twenty old Buddhist monasteries with more than 3000 Brethren." He does not refer to the number of the Deva-Temples.

DHANAKATAKA? (T'E-NA-KA-CHE-KA)

"There was a crowd of Buddhist monasteries but most of them

^{115.} Ibid., II, P. 193.

^{116.} Ibid., II, P. 196.

^{117.} Ibid., II, P. 198.

^{118.} Ibid., II, P. 200.

^{119.} Ibid., II, P. 209.

were deserted, about twenty being in use, with 1000 Brethren mostly adherents of the Mahāsanghika system. There were above 100 Deva-Temples and the followers of the various sects were very numerous." ¹²⁰

CHULYA? (CHOLA) (CHU-LI-YA)

The people are said to be "of fierce and profligate character and were believers in the Tirthikas. The Buddhist monasteries were in ruits, and only some of them had Brethren; there were several tens of Deva-Temples, and the Digambaras were numerous." 121

DRAVID (TA-LO-P'I-T'U)

The people "were courageous, thoroughly trustworthy, and public-spirited, and they esteemed great learning....There were more than 100 Buddhist monasteries with above 10000 Brethren all of the Sthavira School. The Deva-Temples were 80, and the majority belonged to the Digambaras."

MALAKŪTA (MO-LO-KU-T'A)

The people "were harsh and impetuous. of mixed religions, indifferent to culture and only good at trade. There were many remains of old monasteries, very few monasteries were in preservation and there are only a small number of Brethren. There were hundreds of Deva-Temples, and the professed adherents of the various sects, especially, the Digambaras were very numerous."¹¹⁸

KONKANAPUR (KUNG-KIN OR (KAN)-N.\-PU-LO)

We are told that "it had more than 100 Buddhist monasteries and above 10000 Brethren who were students of both Vehicles." The pilgrim does not refer to the number of temples.

^{120.} Ibid., II, P. 214.

^{121.} Ibid., II, P. 224.

^{122.} Ibid., II, P. 226.

^{123.} Ibid., II, P. 228.

^{124.} Ibid., II, P. 237.

MAHĀRĀŞŢRA (MO-HA-LA-CH'A)

The pilgrim informs us that the people were "fond of learning, and they combined orthodoxy and heterodoxy. Of Buddhist monasteries there were above 100 and the Brethren, who were adherents of both Vehicles, were more than 5000 in number." 135

BHAROCH (PO-LU-KA-CHE-P'O)

The pilgrim does not appear to be happy with the people of Bharoch who, according to his records, "were mean and deceifful, ignorant and believers in both orthodoxy and heterodoxy." Referring to the religious establishments the pilgrim tells us that "there were above ten Buddhist monasteries with 300 Brethren all students of the Mahāyānist Sthavira School." The pilgrim does not refer to the number of the Deva-Temples.

MÄLVÄ (MO-LA-P'O)

The pilgrim was very happy with the people of Mālvā. He tells us that they "were of a gently disposition, and for the most part very intelligent, of refined speech and with a liberal education. Mo-la-p'o in the south-west and Magadha in the north-east, were the two countries of India in which learning was prized. In this country virtue was esteemed and humanity respected, and the intellectually clear were zealous students; there was miscellaneous belief in orthodoxy and heterodoxy." The Weferring to the religious establishments the pilgrim tells us that "there were some hundreds of monasteries, and more than 20,000 Brethern belonging to the Sammatiya School of the Hinayāna; of the Deva-Temples there were some hundreds, and the adherents of the various sects were very numerous, the majority being Pāsupatas." 128

^{125.} Ibid., II, P. 239.

^{126.} Ibid., II, P. 241.

^{127.} Ibid., II, P. 242.

^{128.} Ibid., II, P. 242.

ATLI? A-T'A (OR CH'A)-LI

"The people", tells the pilgrim, "were mean-spirited bring wealth...; the majority did not believe in happiness (i.e. religious merit) but there were some who did; they worshipped Devas and of Deva-Temples there were some more than ten; 129 the followers of the various systems lived pell-mell." 1180 This shows that the Buddhists did not enjoy any following in this country.

KACHCHHA (K'I-T'A)

We are told that "there were above ten Buddhist monasteries with more than 1000 Brethren who were adherents of both Vehicles;" and "there were numerous members of other religions, with several tens of Deva-Temples." Thus the district appears to have a majority of non-Buddhists.

VALABHI (FA-LA-P'I)

The country "had above 100 Buddhist monasteries with 6000 Brethren adherents of the Hinayāna Sammatiya School; of Deva-Temples there were some hundreds, and the adherents of the various systems were very numerous." ¹³⁸

ANANDPURA (A-NAN-T'O-PU-LO)

The country had "more than ten monasteries with nearly 1000 Brethren belonging to the Hinayānist Sammatiya School." The pilgrim does not refer to the number of Deva-Temples.

SÜRAT (SU-LA-CH'A)

The people "were of a rude violent nature.....and their belief embraced orthodoxy and heterodoxy." Referring to the religious estab-

^{129.} According to D. B. text, more than 1000. Watters, Ibid., P. 244.

^{130.} Ibid., II, P. 244.

^{131.} Ibid., II, P. 245.

^{132.} Ibid., II, P. 246.

^{133.} Ibid., II, P. 247.

lishments the pilgrim tells us that "there were more than 50 monasteries with above 3000 Brethren, the majority being students of the Mahāyānist Sthavira system; the Deva-Temples were above hundred in number, and the sectarians lived pell-mell." ¹³¹

GURJARA KINGDOM (KU-CHE-LO)

This country "had a flourishing population in good circumstances mostly non-Buddhists, only a few believing in Buddhism." This is further confirmed from the number of religious establishments. According to the pilgrim, "there was only one Buddhist monastery with above 100 Brethren who were adherents of the Hinayānist Sarvāstivādin School. There were some tens of Deva-Temples, and the adherents of various religions lived pell-mell." 1948

UJJAIN (WU-SHE-YEN-NA)

The pilgrim tells us that "there were some tens of Buddhist monasteries, of which the majority were in ruins, and only three or four were in a state of preservation; the Brethren, who were students of both 'Vchicles,' were above 300 in number; there were some tens of Deva-Temples, and the non-Buddhists lived pell-mell."158

JAJHOTI (CHIH-CHI-T'O)

The pilgrim informs us that "the majority of the people were not Buddhists, but there were some tens of monasteries with a few Brethren; there were above ten Deva-Temples and 1000 professed adherents of the other systems." 1937

MAHEŚVARAPURA (MO-HI-SSU-FA-LO-PU-LO)

The pilgrim tells us that "the people were not Buddhists;

^{134.} Ibid., II, P. 248.

^{135.} Ibid., II, P. 249.

^{136.} Ibid., II, P. 251.

^{137.} Ibid., II, P. 251.

there were a few Deva-Temples, and the majority belonged to the Pāsupatas."128

SINDHA (SIN-TU)

We are told that "the inhabitants were quick-tempered but upright, quarrelsome and vituperative and of superficial learning; they were thorough believers in Buddhism." Referring to the religious establishments he tells us that "there were several hundreds of monasteries and above 10000 Brethren all of the Hinayānist Sammatiya School. Most of these were in dolent worthless persons; of the superior Brethren who, leading lives of lonely seclusion, never relaxed in perseverence, many attained arhatahip. There were above thirty Deva-Temples and the various sectarians lived pell-mell."

CONVOCATION OF A BUDDHIST ASSEMBLY AT KANAUJ

This Buddhist assembly was convened at Kānyakubja^{1,6} "to give the utmost publicity to the doctrines of the Mahāyāna Buddhism." Invitations were sent to all the disciples of the various Schools throughout India. It was attended by four thousand priests and three thousand Brahmaṇas and Nirgranthas. The conference was inaugurated by Harsa who requested Yuan Chuang to preside over the discussion. First of all Yuan Chuang dwelt on the merits of the "Great Vehicle" and "fixed a subject for discussion." He challenged the assembled members to come forward even with "a single word in the propostion contrary to reason"; but none could accept the challenge and the pilgrim "remained in an

^{138.} Ibid., II, P. 251.

^{139.} Ibid., II, P. 252.

^{140.} The proceedings of the assembly are picturesquely described by the learned author of the History of Kanauj. Pp. 151-157. What I intend to do here is to give a brief summary in order to avoid unnecessary repititions. Dr. Tripathi has based his description on Si-yū-ki and Life.

^{141.} THK., P. 151; also Life, P. 17.

undisputed possession of the field." For five days the business remained at a stand still and then the Hinayānists conspired to assassinate Yuan Chuang. The plot, however, leaked out and after Harsa's threatenining proclamation, they being humiliated disappeared. Thus after eighteen days the proceedings came to an end. And the pilgrim emerged as a great and unrivalled champion of the 'Great Vehicle' with enhanced prestige.

QUINQUENNIAL DISTRIBUTIONS AT PRAYAGA

Yuan Chuang's biographer informs us that the pilgrim attended another grand ceremony which Harsa held at Prayaga. The pilgrim was told by Harsa that the latter had held five great quinquennial distribution during the last thirty years. This distribution ceremony was called the Mahā-Moksa Pariṣada at which the sovereign distributed alms to all those persons who assembled to receive the gifts. At the sixth Pariṣada about half a million people were summoned. They consisted of Sramaṇas, heretics, Nirgranthas, the poor and the orphans. Proper arrangements were made for their boarding and lodging. At this occasion all the feudatories and the allies were also invited.

The ceremony was inaugurated with the worship of the image of Buddha; on the second day the image of Sun was worshipped and on the third day Siva "received the adoration." On the fourth day the distribution ceremony was begun. The gifts consisted of gold, silver, pearls, precious stones and clothes of silk and cotton. At this sixth occasion Harşa is said to have distributed all his precious ornaments and valuables. When nothing was left with him, Harşa begged from Rājyaśri "an ordinary second-hand garment", and having put it on, he paid worship to the Buddhas of the ten regions. He also distributed the costly presents which he received from the assembled kings. Thus Harşa appears to have "established a record of individual charity and liberality bardly equalled in history."

^{142.} THK., P. 161.

KAMRMAKĀNDA (SAMSAKĀRAS)

Broadly speaking the Samskāras are observed in almost all the countries and by all the cultured races with some variations and adjustments according to particular conditions; but among the Hindus they are universally recognized and occupy a significant position in our social and cultural environment. In a life of a Hindu the Samskāras are pre-eminently important from the craddle to grave. The literature at our disposal throws enough light on the earnest observance of these Samskāras and on that basis we are in a position to conclude that the Karmakānḍa enjoyed great blace in our society.

SAMSKĀRAS BEGINNING FROM BIRTH TO INITIATION

Bana informs us that "before he reached the age of fourteen the had passed through initiation (Upanarana) and other associated ceremonies including the Saniskara of Saniavartana" (end of student life).143 His father is also said to have died after having performed in full the sacred duties usually found among the Brahmanas as enjoined in the Scutis and Smritis. 111 The Brāhmaṇas appear to have been especially interested in the observance of the ceremonial sacraments and they felt due pride for them. When Harsa addressed Bana as Bhujanga, the latter was annoyed and informed the former that "every ceremony was duly performed, as its time came, beginning with the investiture with the sacred thread."145 These Samskāras were not only observed by the pious Brāhmaņas; but they were also honoured by the people of other Varnas. In the Kadambari we are told that Chandrapīça "had undergone in due course all the circle of ceremonies beginning with the tying of the top-knot (Chudākaraṇa)."146 The purpose of this Samskåra was the achievement of long life for the child.147 Bana is silent about the main constituents of this circle but some of them are

^{143.} HCCTH., P. 23; HCK., Can. I, P. 19.

^{144.} HCCTH., P. 33.

^{145.} HCCTH., P. 66; HCK., Can. 2, P. 36.

^{146.} Kādambari, tr. Ridding, P. 59; KP., P. 167.

^{147.} HS., Pp. 158-171.

mentioned elsewhere. He informs us that on the tenth day of the birth of Maháśvetā her father with the customary rites gave her the name of Maháśvetā. 14 In the same way Vaiśampāyana's father performed all the rites at son's birth and named him Vaiśampāyana. The marriage was also looked upon as a sacramental duty. Prabhākaravardhana is said to have performed the customary ceremonies after seeing the dream. 140 Most probably Bāṇa indicates towards the garbhādhāna ceremony.

Other Samskäras were also performed and we have casual references to them all and we can safely say that all the Samskäras were observed with great care and attention.

THE FUNERAL CEREMONY (ANTYESTI)

It is the last ceremony in the life of a Hindu. Bāṇa gives us full details how this last Samskāra was performed during the age of Harşauzrdhana. When Prabhākaravardhana died his funeral took place in a ceremonial way. The feudatories and the townsmen "headed by the family priests, taking upon proffered shoulders, the bier of the king took it to the bank of Sarasvatt." Then the bier was placed upon a pyre and the fire was lit which "consumed the corpse." From a passage in the Kādambarī it seems probable that there were three popular practices for the disposal of the corpses; they were either by burning or by throwing it into the water or allow it to be deserted. The But the popular way was the burning it, and the sacrificial fire by which the corpse was disposed, was taken to the crematorium. Other instances of inhumation or burial are not found in that period.

After the funeral was over the members who joined the funeral procession took "funeral bath." The people of the family of the deceased

^{148.} Kādambari, tr. Ridding, P. 103.

^{149.} HCCTH., P. 106.

^{150.} HCCTH., P. 158.

Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 195; Kādambarī, ed. Parab,
 P. 628.

^{152.} HCCTH., P. 160.

did not sleep on beds. Bāṇa informs us that Harsa passed the sleepless night with the princes on the uncushioned ground.188

The next ceremony that was associated with funeral was the Udakath or Udakakarma¹²⁴ or the offering of water to the dead. From the Harsacharita we know that prince Harsa went to the Sarasvatl's bank on the second day after the cremation and after having bathed in the river he offered water to his deceased father. ¹³⁶ Then a Brāhmana, who took the first oblation offering, was fed first of all. ¹³⁶ The impurity due to the death lasted for ten days. Manu also maintains that the first ten days are impure and on the eleventh day impurity passes away. ¹³⁷ After the impurity passed away the personal belongings of the deceased were given to the Brāhmana. ¹³⁸ We know'that the beds, chairs, chowries, umbrellas, vessels, carriages and swords of Prabbākaravardhana were distributed to the Brāhmanas.

The bones and relics of the deceased were taken to the sacred places (Tirthasthānāni) and a monument of bricks was raised up on the spot where the funeral had taken place.

Another noteworthy development of this period was the remarkable growth of popular beliefs in the heaven and hell and we find the significance of rewards and punishments respectively according to the acts of omission and commission. Another popular belief was in the progressive application of the doctrines of rebirth. Bāṇa gives a vivid description of these popular beliefs while describing the sickness and death of king Prabhākaravardhana in the fifth chapter of the Harascharita. 196

^{153.} Ibid., P. 158.

^{154.} HS., P. 446.

^{155.} HCCTH., P. 160; HCK., Can. 5, P. 34; Rid: Kād., 194; KP., P. 624.

^{156.} HCCTH., P. 164; HCK., Can. 6, P. 36.

^{157.} MS., 5. 59.

^{158.} HCCTH., 164; Rid: Kād., P; 195. The learned translators of the Hargacharita maintain that "Nrjastikalopakarna" stands for "the various appurtenances of the royal bies." The term actually denotes the personal belongings of the decessed. HCK., Can. VI, Notes, Pp. 114-5.

^{159.} HCCTH., Pp. 135-160; HCK., P. 19-35.

Religion and Philosophy

The penances were common according to specific breaches of the sacred rules which are laid down in the Smritis. This faith in the performances of ceremonial rites and penances naturally enhanced the social importance and status of the Brāhmanas, who alone were worthy of conducting various types of these rites and penances and performed worship for the people.

On the eleventh day after the death of Prabhākaravardhana the royal elephent was also let loose in the woods, ¹⁸⁰ Mr. Kāņe informs that even now on the eleventh or the twelfth day after the death, a bull is let loose for the dead in some parts of India. ¹⁸¹

LIFE AT THE HERMITAGE (TAPOVANA OR ĀŚRAMA)

At a number of places in Bāṇa's works and Harṣa's own dramas we find complete picture of an individual's life as it was led at the hermitage. It was built at beautiful natural sites in the forests. These penance-groves were not only the centres of meditation and penance; but they were renowned places of learning and study. The aged and contented sages were devoted to study and teaching. They discussed the doubtful passages of the Vedic texts and other Sāstras. Students learnt their lessons and recited the Vedas, ¹⁴⁹ At the hermitages sacrifices were performed, and they are described as full of sacrificial smoke. ¹⁴⁰ The young students brought the fire-wood (Samidhāh) and the hermit-grits (Tāpasakumāriāh) watered the young plants and looked after the āfarama animals.

The eating places of the sages were protected from pollution by ashes which were cast round them. The Pitris were honoured and almost all gods were worshipped; the performance of Sräddha rite was taught to young disciples; the science of sacrifice was explained; the Sāstras of right

^{160.} HCCTH., P. 164.

^{161.} HCK., Can. VI., Notes, P. 116.

Kādambari, tr. Ridding, Pp. 38, 18-24; Nāg., P. 14; HCCTH.,
 Pp. 236-37.

^{163.} Nāgānandan., P. 14; Priyā., P. 4; Rid: Kād., P. 24.

conduct were examined; ground courts were besmeared with cow-dung; meditation was firmly grasped; mantras were duly learned; yoga was practised and offereings were made to delites. Wandering ascetics were received hospitably. There were impartial discussions on various topics relating to the philosophical problems.

Deer-skins and Kuśā-grass were used for mats and the strips of barks were put on by the hermitage-girls and students. They possessed begging-bowls and gathered the forest fruits. Their diet consisted of roots, fruits and the juice of fruits.¹⁶¹

At these åframas a strict code of conduct was observed. What Kapiñjala spoke to Pundarika, throws light on the strict code of conduct and morality that was observed by young students. He says to his friend who was losing his self-control due to passion, "....Where is thy firmness, thy conquest of senses, thy self-control, thy calmness of mind, thy inherited holiness and thy carelessness of worldly things? Where is the teaching of thy Guru, thy learning of the Vedas, thy resolve of asceticism, thy hatred of pleasure, thy passion for penance, thy distaste for enjoyment and thy control over the impulses of youth?" The trusted friend further warns, "If you do not observe self-control, all knowledge is fruitless; study of the holy books is worthless; initiations (Sańnkāras) are meaningless and the solemn teaching of the Gurus is useless..." Munis, too, saw to it that all duties were carefully performed.

SOME POPULAR SUPERSTITIONS AND BELIEFS

In almost all the countries and during all times people believe in some thing or other which prognosticates the future happenings and coming incidents. With the development of science and technology people have developed a scientific outlook and they have begun to disapprove the genuineness of the various beliefs and superstitions; but these beliefs, though inexplicable, are not entirely baseless. Some of the omens do bring the expected results and some branches of modern psychology, too, approve

^{164.} Rid: Kād., Pp. 35-44. ff.

^{165.} Ibid., Pp. 111-12; KP., Pp. 313-14.



of them. In the scientific world superstitions are coming in a new and more complicated way. Dr. Aldous Huxley appears to be right when he observes that the form is changed but substance has remained unchanged. With this view in mind we shall now refer to various popular superstitions and beliefs as we find during the period under review.

THE THROBBING OF AN EYE

In the seventh century people believed in omens, and other indications. Throbbing of an eye was commonly regarded as an important indication in those days and we find the same belief today also. Throbbing of the right eye of a man was supposed to be a good omen for him, In the Nāgāṇandaṁ we are told that when the right eye of the hero throbbed, he expressed that he had no desire for any boon; but the words of the wise could not be untrue. 187 But the throbbing of the left eye was considered as an indication of some comig unfortunate event. 188 In the cases of women it was vice verns. 189

People also believed in the prophecies of fortune-tellers and soothsayers. Queen Vilāsavatī welcomed fortune-tellers and sooth-sayers and showed respect to the people who understood the omens of birds. 170

COMMENCEMENT OF A JOURNEY AT AN AUSPICIOUS MOMENT

People were used to embark upon a journey at an auspicious moment. In the Harsacharita we find a vivid descriptions of Bāṇa's preparation for his journey to Harṣa's camp. On that occasion of his departure he repeatedly recited the hymns from the sacred texts which were usually recited at such occasions. 112 Bāṇa worshipped the Lord Śiva with

^{166.} Dr. Huxley's Essay on 'Superstitions'.

^{167.} Nāgānandam, 1. 10.

^{168.} Ibid., 5. 4.; HCCTH., Pp. 133, 177.

^{169.} Rid: Kåd., P. 125; KP., P. 343; HCCTH., P. 248.

^{170.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 56.

^{171.} HCCTH., P. 44; HCK., Canto 2, P. 25.

complete rituals and, then after having worshipped Agni, he distributed wealth according to his means to the Brihmanas. ¹⁷³ Then he walked solemnly round a sacred cow which faced the east; ²⁷³ he was decked with white unguents and was wearing white garlands and white garments. Then he adorned his ears with girikarnikå flowers, fastened with the ends dürvä-grass, and covered with rochana paint and having white mustard put on his topknot. ¹⁷¹ Bāṇa was a true believer in the omens and we are informed that his eagerness for starting increased with the good omens. ¹⁷² In a favourable moment, "looking upon a full water-jar" that was placed in the court-yard, which was "besmeard with cow-dung" and "with a fhango-spray placed on its mouth", Bāṇa managed to start. There is a detailed description of the water-jar in the Harşacharita. It was "white with five finger-breadths of flour" and its "neck was encircled by a garland of white flowers."

Bāṇa paid homage to the family-deities. Then, followed by Brāhmaṇas and kinsnen with their hands holding flowers and fruits and muttering the "apratirath hymn" he went out from the village of Pritikūṭa setting his right foot first.¹⁷⁸

Expeditionary missions were also inaugarated with auspcious signs and celebrations. After his consecration, when prince Chandrapida went on an expedition for digvijaya, his elephent was adorned with all auspicious signs for the journey.¹⁷⁷ Vaisanhayana also performed some auspicious rites before coming to accompany Chandrapida.¹⁷⁸

BELIEF IN AUSPICIOUS MARKS AND SIGNS

People believed in auspicious marks and signs. Bana says that

^{172.} HCCTH., P. 44.; HCK., P. 25.

^{173.} HCCTH., P. 44; HCK., P. 25.

^{174.} HCCTH., P. 44,

^{175.} Ibid., P. 45; HCK., P. 26.

^{176.} HCCTH., P. 45; HCK., Can. 2, P. 26.

^{177.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 86.

^{178.} Ibid., P. 87.

Harsa bore signs which told of his high sovereignty over the four oceans. These auspicious marks consisted of the lotus, the shell, the fish and the makara.¹⁷⁸ The right hand of Divikkaramitra is said to have been "graced with all the lines and marks of a great man."¹⁹⁹

BELIEF IN STRENGTH OF OMENS

The Harşacharita and the Kādambari throw enough light on the general beliefs and superstitions which prevailed among the people during the period under review. Therein we are told that by an omen the approaching of luck is clearly revealed in the world.³³ In the Harşacharita we are told that "as the dawn announces the arrival of the sun and the very rapid wind that of the rain, so the appearance of a previous shadow or vision forecells the arrival of the good or evil happenings.

DAYS OF GOOD OMEN

Some days in a week were also looked upon as the days of good omen. We learn that the betrothal ceremony of princess Rājyaśrī was performed on the days of a good omen. 182

SOME GENERAL BELIEFS

The neighing of a horse was regarded as a symbol of joy and good onen. Bāṇa informs us that when Harşa was born the horses neighed in the stable. ¹⁸⁸ In the temples of the sacrificers, the unfed Vaitāna fire blazed up with flames to the right to foretell the coming of good luck. ²⁸⁴

A deer passing from the right to the left was an evil omen. On his way back home, Harsa is said to have seen a deer crossing the road from the right to the left. 188 This foreshadowed the approaching end of the

^{179.} HCCTH., P. 91; HCK., Canto 3, P. 50.

^{180.} HCCTH., P. 238; HCK., Can. 8, P. 74.

^{181.} HCCTH., P. 106.

^{182. ;}bid., P. 103; HCK., Can. 4, P. 13.

^{183.} HCCTH., P. 110: HCK., Can. 4, P. 6.

^{184.} HCCTH., P. 110. HCK., Can. 4, P. 6.

^{185.} HCCTH., P. 134.

lion king (his father). But a deer passing from the left to the right indicated victory or joy.

In the Harşacharita we are told that a crow was seen sitting on a burnt tree facing the Sun's flaming circle and uttering its dreadful cries, 246 When Harşa saw it his heart began to sink because it foreshadowed his father's death.

A naked ascetic bedecked with peacock's tail-feathers was also regarded as an evil omen. 187

Bāṇa informs us that those inauspicious signs at the time of departure "deepened the prince's apprehensions. The flocks of the crows roaming over Harşa's head and their crowing harshly in the day time announced the approach of the calamity." 188

SUPERSTITIOUS MEASURES TO REMOVE PHYSICAL PAINS

We are told in the Harşacharita that at the time of Prabhākaravardhana's sickness we find many superstitious measures being done in order to remove pains and suffering of the king. Young nobles were "burning themselves with the lamps to propitiate the circle of mothers" (mātṛimaṇḍalaṁ) in order to get relief for the ziling monarch. ** His kinsmen and relatives "went on a fast to appease Ahirbudhana" (Siva) and lay before his image. ** People from different parts of India were called upon to perform various rites. Bāṇa informs of a Draviḍian who was "ready to solicit Amardakath with the offering of a skull." ** Another person was from Āndhra who was "holding up his arms like a rampart to conciliate Chanḍi'; "distressed servants were pacifying Mahākāla by holding melting gum on their head; some of the relatives were busy in offering an oblation

^{186.} Ibid., P. 134; HCK., Can. 5, P. 20.

^{187.} HCCTH., P. 134.

^{188.} Ibid., P. 135; HCK., Can. 5, P. 21.

^{189.} HCCTH., P. 135; HCK., Can. 5, P. 21.

^{190.} HCCTH., P. 135; HCK., P. 21.

^{191.} HCCTH., P. 135; HCK., P. 21.

of their own flesh which they servered with sharp knives;198 young courtiers were encouraging the sale of human flesh."198

Attempts were also made for the preservation and prolongation of the life of a diseased person. Some people were engaged in cooking the ambrosial posset (amritacharu). 194 The latter was the preparation of milk, ghee and rice or barley to be offered to the gods as a life-preservative presentation. 195 Some people were performing the six oblation sacrifice (sadāhūtihomanh). This sacrifice is offered to the six devas. They are Prajāpati, Soma, Agni, Indra, Dyāvāprithvi and Dhanvantari. 194 Some people were "busy chanting the Māṭri-Māyūrī hymn to purify the house-hold; some were offering tremuolus Dūrvā-leaves besmeared with clotted butter; some were completing the rites for keeping out the evil spirits by offerings; Brāhmanas were engaged in reciting the Vedic texts; the temple of Siva was resounded with the repetition of the Rudraikādasi hymns; 187 the image of Siva was being bathed with thousands of milk jars by great and holy devotees of Siva." 198

Some measures were also taken up to satisfy the hunger and thirst of the diseased. When Prabhäkaravardhana was sick the attendents were drinking water from cups "in order to distract the pain of the king's dry mouth"; 1999 and "gourmands were being fed to relieve his hunger."

^{192.} HCCTH., P. 135; HCK., Can. 5, P. 21.

^{193.} HCCTH., P. 136.

^{194.} Ibid., P. 137.

^{195.} HCK., Notes on Can. V, P. 73; HCCTH., P. 137.

^{196.} HCK. P. 73.

^{197.} The Rudraikādaši is a prayer in eleson anunākas addressed to Rudra. Great merit is supposed to result from repeating that prayer for 11 or 121 times. HCK., Can. V, Notes, P. 73.

^{198.} HCCTH., P. 137; HCK., Can. V. P. 21,

^{199.} HCCTH., P. 138; HCK., Can. V. P. 22.

^{200.} HCCTH., P. 138; HCK., Can. V, P. 22,

BELIEFS IN EVIL SPIRITS AND GHOSTS

People had full belief in the might of evil spirits and their disastrous designs. They did all to keep them out of their houses. Various rites were performed and offerings were made to them in order to appease them. It was also attempted to please the demons by narrating their power and courage. Bapa informs us that one of the servants of Prabhākaravardhana was telling the stories of demons, while others were completing various rites and were making offerings to please them. ⁵⁸

SOME EVIL OMENS WHICH PROGNOSTICATED DISASTER

Bāṇa informs us that the headless trunk is said to have been seen in the disc of the sun and thus Rāhū was as if provided with a complete body when it seized the sun." **se* These dreadful indications were noticed before the news of Rājyavaradhana's treacherous assassination reached Sthāṇviávara. In the Bṛihata Sarishitā we are informed that if such stuff is seen in the sun the sovereign is sure to die. **se* Harṣa is said to have seen the Seven Sages emitting eruptions of smoke. **se* Every day he saw calamitious flames in the heaven. **se* The star groups fell from the firmament like pieces of ark from the burning substance. **se* These are some of the evil signs which prophesied the murder of Rājyavardhana. In the midst of these horrors Harṣa says, "I see no fair auspice in this hour....In any case, be it well with my lord." **se**

BELIEF IN VARIOUS RITES WHICH WERE ESPECIALLY PERFORMED TO BE BLESSED WITH A SON

A woman feels her life to be fruitful when she gives birth to a child.

- 201. HCCTH., 137-38; HCK., V, P. 22.
- 202. HCCTH., P. 177.
- 203. HCK., Can. V, Notes, Pp. 135-36.
- 204. HCCTH., P. 177; HCK., Can. V., P. 43.
- 205. HCCTH., P. 177; HCK., Can. V., P. 43.
- 206. HCCTH., P. 177; HCK., Can. V., P. 43.
- 207. HCCTH., P. 177; HCK., Can. V;, P. 43.

Without a child in her lap she is utterly miserable and to her the whole life is meaningless. Among all the women we find the predominance of this natural instinct throughtout the ages. The women of any age are no exception to this generally accepted instinct.

During the times of Harsayardhana they practised many such things in order to be blessed with sons. Queen Vilâsavatī is depicted in the Kadambari as childless. She was ready to sacrifice any thing in order to bear a child. She was devoted in propitiating the gods, honouring the Brāhmanas and paving reverence to gurus; whatever recommendation she heard from any source, she practised it in her longing for a child, nor did she count any trouble; she slept in the temple of Durga on a bed of wood covered with green grass; she bathed under cows with auspicious marks; every day she rose early in the morning and offered gifts to the Brahmana; she stood in the midst of a circle drawn by the king himself in a place where four roads met; on the fourteenth night of the dark fortnight she performed an auspicious rite of bathing and all gods of the quarters (digdevatāni) were gladdened by the offerings and oblations; she honoured the shrines of Siddhas and took bath in all the snakeponds; she gave offerings to birds and offered daily to the goddess Durgā a sacrifice consisting of various things; she showed reverence to naked and wandering ascetics; listened to the Vedas and she did all that was possible for her and which prevailed in that age. 306 She is said to have thrown out "lumps of flesh in the evening for iackals;" she "honoured the directions of fortune-tellers and frequently welcomed the sooth-savers."309

SOME TOTEMIC BELIEFS AMONG WOMEN

Women put on a necklace-cord in their neck during the days of advanced pregnancy. We know that Yasomati wore a necklace-cord (Gripāstātra) in the bust of which many precious jewels and other things were fixed.³¹⁶

^{208.} Kādambari, tr. Ridding, Pp. 55-56; KP., Pp. 144-46.

^{209.} Kādambari, tr. Ridding, Pp. 144-6.

^{210.} HCCTH., P. 109; HCK., Ban. IV, P. 6.

In the Harşacharita we find one peculiar custom which was associated with the pregnant women of those days. In the birth-month a pregnant woman was brought from her husband's home to that of her father. In the third was a rigid and strict rule for we know Harsa, Rājyavardhana and Rājyaşri were born at their father's home. Chandrapida was also born at his father's palace. When a child was born it was adorned with many auspicious ornaments which protected it against evil looks (dtjhi). Bāṇa informs us that Harşa's neck was ornamented by a row of great tiger's claws linked with gold. 212

BELIEF IN DREAMS

During the age of Harsavardhana we find the complete application of the theory of the dreams of prognostication. As the coming clouds cast their shadow before the dreams prognosticated the future occurrences. In Bāṇa's works, Harsa's dramas and other contemporary works including the records of the Chinese pilgrim we find a number of examples of such dreams. Such prognosticating dreams were seen at the end of the night.

One night when the dawn was orawing near the king Tārāpiḍa saw in a dream the full moon entering the mouth of Vilāsavaii.¹²³ Thereupon, filled wāth joy, he told his dream to his minister Sukanisa and the latter analysed it in the following words, "Sir, our wishes and those of the subjects are at length fulfilled." ²²¹⁴ Then he told his own dream in which he saw a white-robed Brāhmaṇa of god-like appearance and calm aspect who placed a lotus in Manoramā's (Sukanāsa's wife) lap. ²¹⁶ These two dreams foretold the births of Chandrapiḍa and Vaiśampāyana respectively. Sukanāsa informed the king in generalized way that the dreams seen at the close of the night are sure to bear fruits in future. ²³ Queen Yasomatt also

^{211.} HCCTH., P. 25; HCK., Can. I, P. 11.

^{212.} HCK., Can. IV, P. 9.

^{213.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, Pp. 56-57; KP., P. 146.

^{214.} Kādanībarī, tr. Ridding, P. 57; KP., P. 147.

^{215.} Kadambari, tr. Ridding, P. 57; KP., P. 147.

^{216.} Kādambari, tr. Ridding, P. 57; KP., P. 147.

saw in a dream two shining youths coming from the Sun's disc and they were associated by one maid like a moon incarnate. They cut the womb of the queen and entered into it.²¹⁷ It was thus that the birth of Rājyavardhana, Harsayardhana and Rājyaśri was foretold.

Sometimes the people were blessed by gods and goddesses, in dreams. In the Nāgānandari, we are informed, the goddess Gauri blessed Malayavatī that a paramount sovereign of the Vidyādharas should take her hand in marriage. ²¹⁸ We know in the later part of the drama that this dream came true. Jimūtavāhana also saw a dream in which he saw his beloved. ²¹⁹

Future disasters also cast their symbolic shadows through dreams. While Harşa was enjoying hunting in the Himâlayas, he saw in a dream a lion being burnt in the overpowering forest fire. He also saw lioness jumping into that flame leaving her cubs. 220 It is very easy to analyse this dream wherein Prabhâkaravardhana is represented as a lion, Yaśomati as a lioness and their children as cubs.

At the time of Prabhākaravardhana's sickness one man was recounting bad dreams, ²²¹ We are also informed by Bāṇa that Harşa was foretold of Rājyavardhana's death in a vision, ²²²

Yuan Chuang also records some dreams which were seen by the people, 223. While the pilgrim was on his way to India, a priest in the temple of Maitreya had a dream about the pilgrim 224. The priests of the Hushkara (U-ssc-kia-lo) had also seen a vision in the dream which foretold them about Yuan Chuang and his mission. 228 But as far as the pilgrim himself was concerned he regarded dreams as vain and deceptive. 228

```
217. HCCTIL., P. 105.
```

^{218.} Nāgā., Act. I, P. 22.

^{219.} Ibid., P. 47.

^{220.} HCCTIL, P. 133.

^{221.} Ibid., P. 137.

^{222.} Ibid., P. 177.

^{223.} Life., Pp. 14-15 ff.

^{224.} Ibid., Pp. 14ff.

^{225.} Life., Pp. 68-69.

^{226.} Ibid., P. 15.

BRLIEF IN THE MIGHT OF FORTINE AND FATE

Belief in the might of fate has been noticed in all ages and among all races of the world, but in the case of an Indian it is characteristically significant. People in the seventh century A.D. are represented as believing in the fate. In the Kādambari king Tārāpīḍa is represented as a fatalist. To his wife, who was extremely unhappy without a son, he says, "what can be done in a matter decreed by fate?" He further observes that even the wisest man cannot change the destiny.227 In the Harsacharita we are told by Rajyasri's maid-servant that her "heart was bewildered by the continually fresh calamities inflicted on her by accursed fortune."228 The two opening verses of the fifth canto speak of the predominant role of fate and its cruelties in human life.289 Yuan Chuang also informs us that "near the capital of Nagarahāra (Na-kie-lo-ho) there was a double storeyed building and in the upper storey there was a small stupa which contained the bone of the head of the Tathagata... If any one desired to know the indication of his guilt or religious merits, he mixed some powdered incense into a paste, which he spread upon a piece of a silken stuff and then pressed it on the top of the bone. According to the resulting indications the good or ill fortune was determined."230 But it would be far from the truth to conclude that the pople were merely fatalists. They were also true believers in the philosophy of the Bhagvadgitä wherein we find the immortal message of Karmayoga. When the royal seal fell from Harsa's hand on the eve of his departure for world conquest, he did not lose his heart, though it was regarded as an ill omen. Harsa gave his own interpretation of the incident.

BELIEF IN OATH AND SOME WAYS OF SWEARING

Belief in the effect of oath is very old and it has drawn the attention of certain scholars who have made a thorough study of oath, its effect and

^{227.} Kādambari, tr. Ridding, P. 53; KP., Pp. 139-140

^{228.} HCCTH., P. 245,

^{229.} HCK., Can. 5, Verse I and II, P. 19.

^{230.} Life., P. 59.

its various ways of swearing. *** In the Hindu literature we find a number of examples of swearing by gods and goddesses, by truth, merit, learning, fire, the sun, the moon and by other things that one cherishes dearly. During the age of Harşavardhana we find some of these ways of swearing. In the Ratnāvalī the Jester swears by his Brāhmanical thread. *** In the same drama we are informed that by the oaths (Sapathaii) the anger of the queen was considerably softened. *** In some cases people used to swear by the feet of some one near and dear. Harşa swore by the dust of his honoured lord's feet. *** Swearing by the feet was to swear by the person and this person is, generally speaking, near and dear to one who swears by the former's feet.

^{231.} JAOS., Vol. Lii, 1932, Pop. 316-337. 'The oath on Hindu Literature' by E. W. Hopkins of the Yale University.

^{232.} Rat., Act. II, P. 188.

^{233.} Ibid., 4-65.

^{234.} HCCTH., P. 167: HCK., Can. 6, P. 47.

CHAPTER XII

LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE

ASCENDANCY OF SANSKRIT THROUGHOUT THE AGES

It is almost universally accepted that Sanskrit is the oldest language of the Aryans and that it has undergone a gradual process of linguistic development and modifications. The gifted grammarians endeavoured to remove its irregularities and enabled it to be preserved in its "purity and well-ordered nature which would otherwise have been impossible." The very word "Sanskrit" denotes its "purified" and "well-ordered" nature. Due to the joint efforts of distinguished Sanskrit grammarians like Pānini, Kātyāyana and Patañiali the Sanskrit language is said to have "attained a stereotyped form" which remained the same throughout the centuries.1 Except the Pali of the Buddhists and the Pragrita of the Jains, it was the only medium through which all the brilliant brains of this holy land expressed their best thoughts and deepend experiences for the last two to three thousand years; it alone has brought about the cultural and emotional unification and an universal integration of Indian culture. It has given out culture a "synchronous form" inspite of general differences of popular speech, and racial geographical, economical and other differences.2 Inspite of various religious sects and philosophical systems it has preserved a national unity among the Hindus for it has been the unifying link of all the religous and secular cultures *

SANSKRIT AS WRITTEN AND SPOKEN LANGUAGE

From the inscriptions, popular literature, contemporary literary

^{1.} HSL, I, Pre., Pp. V-VI.

^{2.} HSL., I, Pref., P. VII.

^{3,} Ibid., P. VII.

creations and the accounts of the Chinese pilerim we can unhesitatingly conclude that the Sanskrit was the main language of the period. According to Bühler the language of the Banskhera, plate is 'very good' and 'correct' Sanskrit.4 Though the language of the Madhuban plate is not better than that of Banskhera, it is also 'good Sanskrit,' The study of popular literature and that of various religious texts reveal that the Sanskrit was the common language used among the higher classes and the educated people; but it would be wrong to suppose that the Paninian Sanskrit was a current tongue of the time. Even in the time of Patañiali many mispronounced and misaccented or core upt words had crent into the Paninian language. Thus, the people, who were highly qualified in the study of Sanskrit grammar and lived in cultured and refined atmosphere, spoke good Sanskrit; but the common folk used the mixed and Prakritized language and their dialects had undergone much phonetical transformation. In the dramas of Harsa we find that his characters speak the different dialects. The Sütradhära and the male character used the refined and correct Sanskrit. Only the Vidusaka uses the Präkrit language. The women of all ranks, except Sāmkritvāvani, used Prākrit. She is depicted as a lady of distinction and as an authoress and who speaks Sanskrit in the Privadaršikā. From the accounts of the pilgrim it seems quite probable that the Paninian Sanskrit had an exalted status but his survey of current language is to be taken with caution and reservation. He writes, "In language, they (Indians) had not varied from the original source; but the people of "Mid India" are pre-eminently explicit and correct in speech; their expression being harmonious and elegant, like those of the devas, and their intonation clear and distinct, serving as rule and pattern for others."7 This statement of Yuan Chuang is only right when we keep it in mind one major point that he came into contact with the highly educated and learned people of that time. Some of them were the men of great eminence and distinction. His

^{4.} Ep. Ind., IV, P. 209.

^{5.} Ibid., I, P. 68.

^{6.} HSL., I. P. carii.

^{7.} Watters, I. Pp. 152-154.

constant association with them led him to reach to the above-mentioned conclusions. His statement is correct so far as the highly literate people, who were conversant with grammar, are concerned; but as we try to mix up with the lower strata of the people, conditions were entirely different. The pligrim, too, notes that "the people of the neighbouring territories and foreign countries "committed erros and vulgarities." To sum up the above account, we may conclude that among the educated and learned classes Sanskrit was in use both for writing and speaking; but as we take up the study of the common masses the language of a corrupt nature was in prevalence.

POPULAR LITERARY WORKS

Both Bana and Yuan Chuang enable us to conclude that the Vedas were regarded as the most sacred and very highly respected works of the Hindus. They were thoroughly studied and their hymns were recited by the Brähmanas.* Other famous works were the Rämäyana and the Mahābhārata. Whereas the Vedas were popular among the highly literate classes and especially among the Brahmanas, the Ramayana and the Mahabharata were popular and they were read by the masses of the Hindu community with earnestness and devotion. The Puranas were equally respected and people attached much value to their study. Among the general works the Brihatakathā was the most popular. Bāṇa gives a beautiful account of the popularity of these works at more than one occasion. While giving the picturesque description of Ujjayini Bana informs us that the people of that great and glorious city were well versed in the stories of all kinds, accomplished in letters, had a keen delight in the Mahābhārata. in the Puranas and the Ramayana. They were quite familiar with the Brihatakathā.* People studied these works with great devotion and earnestness and regarded it as their duty in order to gain eternal peace.

Detailed accounts of the importance of the Vedas during the period are given while discussing the status and conduct of the Brālomaņas in the chapter dealing with the composition of the society.

^{9.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 212; KP., P. 87.

They seem to have been interested in the stories and Kathās. Bāṇa's special mention of Bṛiḥatakathā indicates the taste of the people. It must have been widely read ordinarily by the educated people and its popularity can be compared with the present popularity of such works as Qisaš Totāmainā, Dholāmārū, Sinhhāsana Battīst etc. But the Rāmāyaṇa has enjoyed tremendous popularity and reverence throughout the ages. The Mahābhārata has been regarded as an encyclopaedia of learning. These great epics seems to have been included in the curricula. Chandrapida was taught the study of the Rāmāyaṇa, Itihāsa, and the Mahābhārata in addition to other branches of learning including stories, dramas, romances and poems. 10 Both men and women read the Mahābhārata and the Rāmāyaṇa for the improvement of knowledge, purification of thoughts and cultivation of high ideals. Bāṇa's cousins are characteristically designated by him as the "Mahābhārata Bhavitātmanāḥ" i.e. "deeply conversant in the Mahābhārata."

In the Kädambari Bāṇa writes that Chandrapida was listening to the sweet recitations of the verses from the Mahābhārata in the vicinity of Kādambari's habitation. Vilāsavatī is said to have been listening to the epics daily before she was blessed with son. This recitation was regarded as a pious deed capable of bearing fruits. In the hermitage of Jābāli, we are told, the Rāmāyaṇa and the Mahābhārata were commonly recited. Bāṇa's several references of recitation and study of these two great epics reveal the wide popularity and reverence enjoyed by the Rāmāyaṇa and the Mahābhārata.

Many of the Purāṇas were equally important and widely read, both among the householders and the sages and saints. Most of the Pustakavāchakas and Kathāvāchakas are said to have been used to recite the passages from the Purāṇas. Bāṇa refers to one of his friends named Sudṛṣṣṭi who used to read the Vāyupurāṇa daily before Bāṇa.¹¹

^{10.} Rid: Kad., P. 60.

HCCTH., P. 72. Pavanaprokiam Purānam.—HCK., P. 39.
 iliterally means the Purana promulgated by Vāyu. HCK., Notes on Can. III,
 P. 170; HCESA.. P. 52: Harsanardhana. P. 392.

Other Puranas were also widely read by the people.12

Of the other works pertaining to the Kathā literature we know that the Bṛihatakathā and several other books and collections were quite popular. Ākhyāyikās were widely common and the people took much delight in reading and listening to these works. The people of the most learned and cultured city of Ujjayini were conversant with these works on stories and the Ākhyāyikās. The Jātaka stories were equally popular among the Buddhists and we come across several examples from them when we go through the description of Divākaramitra's hermitage.

Another popular work was Subandhu's Väsavadattä. Bäṇa speaks of it with full magniloquence. He writes in the eleventh verse of the introduction to the Harşacharita that "the pride of the poets verify melted away through Väsavadattä when it came to their cars." Dr. Castellieri is of the opinion that Bāṇa wrote his Kādaunbarī especially to surpass Subandhus Väsavadattā. Mr. G. S. Chatterjee regards Subandhu as Bāṇa's contemporary. We have no reason to oppose the view. The opinion expressed by Drs. Das Gupta and De that although such a possibility, as Mr. Chatterjee mentions, is not impossible, it is safer to vouch "meither for his priority nor posteriority" and that "it is scarcely possible to express a final opinion without being dogmatic."

In addition to these works this period is remarkably important for the prevalent popularity of the dramas and the Kävyas. The dramatic and poetic works of Kālildāsa were very popular. He is venerably depicted by Bāṇa who writes that the beautiful expressions uttered by Kālidāsa arc like "the sprays of flowers wet with honey-sweetness." It seems that at

^{12.} Kādambari, tr. Ridding, Pp. 10ff.

^{13.} HCCTH., P. 2; HCK., P. 1, Verse, 11.

^{14.} Opinion quoted by Cowell and Thomas in HCCTH., P. 2, Note 8; Appendix, notes two, pp. 67, 74, 233.

^{15.} G. S. Chaiserjee, Harsavardhana, P. 285.

^{16.} HSL., P. 219.

^{17.} HCCTH., P. 3; HCK., P. 2, Verse 16.

this time Kālidāsa, in whom, "we are introduced at once to something new which no one hit upon before, something perfect which no one achieved and something incomparably great and enduring for all time,"¹⁸ enjoyed universal recognition and appreciation.

Bāṇa also praises Bhāsa, who is said to have "gained as much splendour by his plays with introductions spoken by the Stage-manager (Sūradhāra), full of various characters, and furnished with startling episodes, as he would have done by the erection of temples, created by architects, adorned by several stories and decorated with banners." Opinions are at sharp variance with one another about the date of Bhāsa and the plays assigned to him are placed between the 5th century B.C. and 11th century A.D., the period covering about sixteen hundred years. We But he is referred to by Kālidāsa and Bāṇa as their distinguished predecessor and also as a dramatist of great eminence. This is, however, sufficient to conclude that Bhāsa's works received an enthusiastic appreciation in the days of Harsa.

Among the immediate successors of Kālidāsa, Bhāravi is "the earliest and the foremost" of the composers of the Māhākāvyas. We are puzzled at Bāṇa's silnece about Bhāravi, but the latter must have attained eminence much earlier than the former would have attained. His name is associated with that of Kālidāsa in the Aihole inscription of the Chālukya king Pulakeśin II.²² This inscription is dated 634 A.D.. He must have, therefore, gained much fame by this time to be mentioned along with Kālidāsa.²³ With this epigraphic evidence at our disposal, the view that Bhāravi flourished about the close of the seventh Century A.D., seems to be of not much historical correctness.²⁴ His associated mention with

^{18.} HSL., P. 118.

^{19.} HCCTH., P. 3; HCK., P. 2, V. 15.

^{20.} HSL., P. 109.

^{21.} Ibid., P. 101.

^{22.} Ep. Ind., Vol. VI, Pp. 3ff.

^{23.} HSL., P. 178.

^{24.} IHQ., III, 1927, P. 169.

Kālidāsa in the year 634 A.D. is enough to prove that he flourished much before Bāṇa. It is an unimpeachable fact that he lived earlier to Bāṇa and even his "contemporaneity or nearness of time" to Bāṇa is entirely wrong, 25 He definitely flourished before Bāṇa and must have gained distinction in literary circles in the age of Harṇa. Bāṇa's silence about Bhāravi's achievements, as the learned authors of the History of Sarskrit Literature term it, is "somewhat extra-ordinary." 25 Dr. Keith, however, presumes that Bāṇa's silence about Bhāravi indicates the fact that Bhāravi did not flourish much earlier and that his fame was not so great as to have compelled Bāṇa to mention his name. 27

We cannot determine with genuine certainty the historicity of some of the writers about whom Bāṇa writes in the introductory verses of the Harşacharita. In the twelfth verse we are informed of the prose-composition of the revered Harichandra who "stood pre-eminent as a sovereign, luminous with employment of words, and preserving rigidly the traditional rules of letters." Today Harichandra is not very famous in the Sanskrit world; but he might have been one of the favourite authors during Bāṇa's times. In the next verse Sātavāhana* is praised for his "immortal and refined treasure of songs "which were adorned with fine expression of purest character like jewels."

The fame of Pravarasena is described as bright as lotus and which had "gone to the other shore of the ocean." His "Setubandha", which is not available now, was composed in Prākrita and he must have attained distinction and popularity during that period. Most probably, these

^{25.} HSL., P. 178.

^{26.} HSL., P. 178.

^{27.} Kieth, Classical Sanskrit Literature, P. 169.

^{28.} HCCTH., P. 2. Some words in the verse are used punningly. HCK., P. 2, Verse 12.

^{29.} It is also read as Sălivăhana. Both Sătavăhana and Sălioāhana are identified with King Hals of the Sătavăhana dynasty. He is said to have composed saptafataka.

^{30.} HCCTH., P. 3, Verse. 14.

persons are among the writers who must have been widely read and appreciated during the age of Harşavardhana. To sum up the discussion, one might conclude that this period was remarkable for its literary taste and appreciation.

LITERATURE ON PHILOSOPHY AND RELIGION

Both Yuan Chuang and Bāṇa inform us of many works which were reveredly studied by religious-minded people. Many schools of Nyāya, Sānkhya, Lokāyata, Vaiśegika and various other systems were popular during that age and there was plentiful literature on these various systems. Yuan Chuang presents a very long list of Buddhist authors and their works. Aśvaghosa, Nāgārjuna, Vasubandhu, Sanghabhadra, Guṇamati, Sthiramati and Silabhadra and others were widely read and their works were many. Limited space and the scope of the subject do not permit us to discuss them here in details.

LITERATURE ON VARIOUS BRANCHES OF LEARNING

There were many works on various branches of learning such as Grammar, Astronomy, Äyurveda, Music, Painting, Sculpture etc... of the other works the Nitisara of Kāmandaka was also popular. This was composed some two hundred years before the rise of Harşavardhana, by 'śikharaswāmi, a minister of Vikramāditya II.ai' Vātsyāyana's Kāmasdītra was also known to the people of the period under review. Subandhu was familiar with this work.²² This is in brief the study of literature known to the people of the period as we know on the basis of our sources. We can also hold that there must have been other works which we do not come across in our sources.

^{31.} JBROS., 1932, Pp. 37-39.

Chatterjee, Harşavardhana, P. 393. For the date of Kāmasūtra Please see "Studies in Vātasyāyana's Kāmasūtra" by Chaklader., Pp. 1-40.

CHAPTER XIII

EDUCATION AND LEARNING

The age of Harsa enjoys the pre-eminent position for its educational system. We possess a great deal of informations about the progress and system of education. Bāṇa and Yuan Chuang provide us with the detailed picture of education. Both of them agree that the Brāhmaṇas were the teachers of the society. They studied the Vedas very thoroughly. The pilgrim tells us that "the Brāhmaṇas learn the four Veda treatises." The teacher was required to possess "a wide, thorough and minute knowledge of these with and exhaustive comprehension of all that is abstruse in them." These Brāhmaṇa teachers are highly praised for their encyclopaedic learning and zealous mission of educating the people.

RELATION BETWEEN THE TEACHER AND THE TAUGHT

From Bāṇa's desciption one point becomes quite clear that the young disciples were sent to the gurukulas where they resided with their teachers and learnt much from them. In the Harşacharita, we are told, that the houses of Bāṇa's kinsmen were filled with hundreds of such disciples who were making the houses "resonant with the noise of continual recitation." Bāṇa, too, was sent to the gurukula and by the fourteenth year he had returned from his teacher's house after having performed "all the sacred duties proper to a Brāhmaṇa as enjoined in Sruti and Smṛṭti."

^{1.} A detailed description has been made while dealing with the social status of the Brahmanas.

Yuan Chuang's description of the four Vedas is wrong and it is clear that he knew very little about them. Watters, I, P. 159.

^{3.} HCCTH., P. 35; HCK., Can. 2, P. 21.

^{4.} HCCTH., P. 32; HCK., Can. 1, P. 19.

The life of a student was brought to a close after the ceremony of Samāvartana was performed. We learn from the Harşacharita that Bāṇa also underwent this rite of Samāvartana.⁵

The learned teachers were very sincere and earnest in their duties of teaching. Yuan Chuang informs us that the teachers "explained the general meaning (to their disciples) and taught them the minutiae; they roused them to activity and skilfully won them to progress; they instructed the inert and sharpened the dull; they doggedly persevered repeating instructions until their training was completed." Although Bāṇa finished his education at the age of fourteen, the studentship generally continued up to the age of twentyfive; but Yuan Chuang tells us the studentship continued up to the age of thirty. It is the studentship continued up to the age of thirty.

TEACHERS AS THE HIGHLY HONOURED CLASS IN THE SOCIETY

The teaching profession was highly honoured and the gurus were widely respected. The teachers were fond of the refinements of learning and they are said to be "content in seclusion." The pilgrim observes they were not moved by honour or reproach. The rulers "treated them honourably." They paid due honour to the men of learning and the people also respected them. We are told that "the honours and praises of such men were conspicuously abundant, and the attentions private and officials paid to them were very considerable." It is further told that "their way of living was simple and unostentatious. They had no fixed income. Their income consisted of offereings and gifts by students and disciples." Yuan Chuang informs us that they "got their food by begging."

^{5.} Ibid., P. 32; HCK., Can. 1, P. 19.

^{6.} Watters, I, P. 160.

Chandrapida is said to have entered the school when he was six and studied for ten years. Thus he left the school when he was sixteen.

^{8.} Watters , rs. I. P. 160.

^{9.} Ibid., I, P. 161.

^{10.} Ibid., I, .P. 161.

THE DUTIES OF THE STUDENTS

The students regarded their teachers in deep reverence and honoured them like their parents and gods. In the Kādambarī we find that Śukanāsa advises prince Chandrapida to pay respect to his gurus. 11 At the gurukulas the students had to undergo a great fatigue and the parents never interfered with the programme of the teachers. Queen Vilāsavatī says to his son that the latter's father was hard-hearted to send him to undergo and endure the tedious restraint of his gurus.13 Their words were of a great importance to their students. They are said to have possessed very great power and the students obeyed them without any hesitation.18 This was spontaneous and was for their deep knowledge and devotion to learning. It was the pious duty of a student to pay respect to their gurukulas. Bina is said to have paid respect to the gurukulas where he found "wise and brilliant teachers with pure knowledge."11 Students were always obedient to their gurus. Their honour and respect did not lessen even after the end of the studentship. Bana writes about himself that he worshipped the feet of his gurus and received their good wishes before he commenced his journey.15 Yuan Chuang, too, informs us that the first duty of the students was "to reward the kindness of their teachers"16. Thus it is clear that complete cordial relationship existed between the teacher and the taught during the studies and after. After the students returned home after completing their course of studies they often used to go to their gurus and paid respect to them.

DAILY ROUTINE OF THE STUDENTS

We possess a detailed account of the daily life of a student. He used to get up early in the morning and, then, he had to perform his morning

^{11.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 77.

^{12.} Ibid., P. 71.

^{13.} Ibid., P. 77; KP., P. 224.

^{14.} HCCTH., P. 33, HCK., Can. 1, P. 19.

^{13.} HCCTH., P. 45; HCK., Can. 2, P. 26.

^{16.} Watters, I, P. 160.

duties such as bath and offering the prayers. He performed various rituals and collected flowers, Kuśa-grass, Samidhā fuel and other materials for sacrifices. He was also entrursted with similar other duties of the gurukulas,

CURRICULA AND VARIOUS BRANCHES OF LEARNING

Yuan Chuang throws enough light upon the course of studies that was pursued in India during the seventh century A.D. Before a boy reached the age of seven he was to follow the "Twelve Chapters." When this course was completed the childern were gradually taught the great treatises of the "Five Sciences."

Firstly, they were taught Grammar which consisted of the explanations of words and their classification.

Secondly, they were required to be well-versed in the "skilled professions and the principles of mechanical arts" including "the dual processes and astrology."

The third branch of study included "the science of medicine" and "the use of the stones and the needle."

The fourth branch of study was "the science of reasoning."

And finally the students were taught theology, "the five degrees of religious attainments" and "the doctrine of Karma." 18

This description of the pilgrim indicates the course of studies which was carried on in the Buddhist monasteries. In the Brahmanical circles the Vedas were highly esteemed and formed the backbone of curricula. We have enough proof to conclude that the highest importance was attached to the study of the Vedas. Yuan Chuang, though inaccurate in his details about the Vedas, informs us that "the Brāhmaṇas learnt the four Vedas." What Bāṇa says about the curricula and the main branches of learning,

^{17.} It is difficult to understand what the pilgrim exactly desires to express by the "Twelor Chapters." Most probably it refers to "the first book, a Sanskrit primer containing alphabets, which the children of India learned." Watters, I, Pp. 134-55.

^{18.} Watters, I, Pp. 154-155.

^{19.} Watters, I, P. 159.

is more correct and accurate than that we find in the records of Yuan Chuang. In the Kadambari we find the complete account of education and learning that might have been imparted to the students of the seventh century A.D., Almost all branches of learning including all sciences80 were taught to Chandrapida who is said to have gained the highest skill in words (pade), sentences (väkye), in reasoning or logic (pramane), in theology (Dharmasāstra), in polity (Rājanīti), in gymnastics (Vyāyāmavidyāsu), in all kinds of weapons21 uch as the bow (Chāpa), chakra, shield (charma), scimitar (kripāna), dart (śakti), mace (tomara), battle-axe (paraśu) and club (gadā); in driving and elephant-riding (paiabristhesu) and in chariot-driving (rathacharvāsu); in musical instruments, such as the lute (Vīṇā), the lire (venu) etc.: in the laws of dancing (nritvaśāstresu) and the science of music (gandharpavedavisesu). He was trained in the management of elephants, the knowledge of horses and the marks of men²² (burusalak ana); he was trained in the arts of painting (chitrakarmāni), leaf-cutting (patrachchhedyo), the use of books (pustakavvāpāra); he was also taught all the arts of gambling and acquired the knowledge of the cries of birds and learned astronomy (grahaganite). He gained the knowledge of testing the jewels (ratnapariksā) and learned carpentry (dārukarmāmi), the art of ivory (dantavvābāre), architecture (vāstuvidyāsu), the science of medicine (Avarueda), machanics (vantraprayoga), antidotes (visapahārana), the science of tunnels (Surangopabheda), swimming, magic (indrajāla), stories (kathāsu), dramas, romances (ākhvāvikā). poetry (kāvyesu); he thoroughly studies the Mahābhārata, the Rāmāyaņa,

These sciences include the "Twele Chapters" and all the five sciences of Yuan Chuang.

In the Harşacharita we learn that Rājyavardhana and Harşavardhana were trained to fight with bow and sword. HCTH. P. 118; HCK. Can. 4, P. 11.

^{22.} Bāṇa informs us that the right hand of Divākaramitra was graced with all the lines and marks of a great man (huruşalakşapa). HCCTH., P. 238. Harja is also said to have possessed the auspicious marks which told of his sovereignty. Ibid., P. 91; Intro., P. 139. These two examples prove that a particular branch of science of the study of such marks was in the existence.

Purāṇas and history; he learnt all kinds of writing (lipiṣu), and all languages of all the countries, all technicalities (sarvasāḥṇāsu), all mechanical arts (sarvasāḥṣṣu), metre (chhandeṣu) and other various branches of arts. *2

This long list is admittedly exaggerated and one man cannot attain "the highest skill" in all these branches even in the whole of his life; but this exaggerated account decidedly reveals the existence of these various branches of learning. In the Haryacharita we are informed that Sukanāsa's son was trained in all the branches of science and arts.²¹ Thus it appears that science (uidya) was something different from art (kala). Besides the above-mentioned branches of learning various systems of philosophy such as Nyāya, Mimārinsā, Vaiseṣika etc. were also taught.

It is, however, necessary to remember that the educational institutions were not many in ancient India and there was no nationwide uniformity in the system of education. The students generally used to go to distinguished teachers who attracted them by their learning and scholarship. Thus it is quite probable that a nationwide curricula of studies could not have been introduced. The various branches of learning were taught by different teachers who specialised themselves in their respective fields. King Tärāpīda is said to have taken infinite pains in gathering the teachers for all branches of sciences and arts.²⁵

POPULARITY OF EDUCATION

Education was widely popular during the age of Hara. The latter took personal interest in the spread and advancement of learning and education. Yuan Chuang informs us that "one fourth of the income from the royal land was reserved to reward high intellectual eminence." Men of learning were highly esteemed by the rulers. This patronage to learning must have surely succeeded in the spread of education. From

^{23.} Rid; Kād., KP. 60; P., P. 168-169.

HCCTH., P. 21; Sarvāvidyāḥ sakalāścha kalāḥ. HCK., Can. 1.
 P. 12.

^{25.} Kādambar, tr. Ridding, Pp. 60-61,

^{26.} Watters, I, P. 176.

Bāṇa's Harsacharita we learn that even the boys of the villages were given education and their parents took proper care to educate their children. When Bana returned from the court of Harsa, he inquired about the pursuance of the studies of the boys at the proper time.27 This inquiry made by Bana clearly shows that enough importance was attached to education even in the villages. We are further told of inquiries made by Bana about the study of the Vedas "in an unbroken status" (avichchhinno Vedābhyāsah). "the earnestness in the art of sacrifice" (Tajñavidvākarmanyabhiyogah). "the classes in grammar exposition" (Vvākarane Vyākhvākhvānamandalāni). "the logic society" (pramāņa goṣṭhī), "the excessive delight in the Mīmārisā" (Mimāmsāvāmātirasāh) and "the interest in the study of Kāvvas and poetic address" (abhinavasubhāsita...kāvyālāpāh). We are informed therein that the people were devoted to these "intellectual pastimes" with due earnestness and devotion, and they were satisfied with the progress.28 From this conversation between Bana and his kinsmen and several other references in the literature it becomes quite clear that the age of Harsa was remarkably important for the spread and popularization of education and the high standard of learning.

GOSTHI AND MANDALA

We come accross several references in Bāṇa's works pertaining to various types of goṭhis and vyākhyānamaṇḍalas. Some of the inscriptions also throw light on these social institutions. They were common in ancient India and we can compare them with the study circles and public institutions of modern times which arrange expository lectures on the subject of cultural and intellectual importance and work for an advancement of civilisation and culture. In ancient India these goṣṭhis and vyākhyānamaṇḍalas worked as the centres of intellectual supplementation and an amusement of a very high standard. Sankara, the commentator of Harṣacharita defines the goṣṭhi as "a meeting place of people equal in knowledge, wealth, etiquette, intellect and age." ²⁸⁰ According to Vāt-

^{27.} HCCTH., P. 71; HCK., Can. 3, P. 38.

^{28.} HCCTH., Pp. 71-72; HCK., Can. 3, Pp. 38-39.

^{29.} HCK., Can. 1, Notes, P. 8; HCESA., P. 12.

syāyana also the goṣṭhī was "a social gathering where the nāgarika found an opportunity to have a pleasant talk with the persons of the same status and position as himself by their education, intelligence, character, wealth and age." has he seem to be sufficient to the same status and age. The same status are gatherings people engaged themselves "in competitions in making verses or in various other sports of skill and arts." They afforded full and equal opportunities for the exhibition of "intellectual accomplishments and mastery of the arts." has a sufficient and accomplishments and mastery of the arts."

During the age of Harsavardhana we find many kinds of the gosthis mentioned by Bana. First of all, he informs us that there were Vidyagosthis where "discussions about the knowledge of the Blameless One (i.e. Brahma) were held."32 Thus it appears that there were gosthis where discussions were held on the subjects pertaining to mataphysical and spiritual discourses. Another type of gosthi was the Kavvagosthi.38 where discussions were held on literary topics. The next kind of gosthi was the Pramāna gosthī³⁴ which is supposed to be a society for discussing the art of reasoning and logic. When Bana returned home from Harsa's court, he inquired from his kinsmen and friends about the existence of an old logic society, and he was informed that it was working satisfactorily.35 Harsa is said to have attended many viragosthis which were held for the recitation of the past conflicts of the heroes.26 Bana informs us that he gained much knowledge by attending many gosthis of learned men who were deep in intellectual discussions. 57 Such gosthis assembled very often at the royal courts. This is clear from Bana's humble expression that he did not possess

Chaklader, Stidies in the Kāmasūtra, Pp.164-5.

^{31.} Ibid., Pp. 163-4.

Cowell and Thomas wrongly translate "Niravadyavidya" as 'the blameless discussions.' HCCTH., P. 4. But Mr. Kāṇe's translation is correct. HCK., Can. 1, Notes, P. 18.

^{33.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 4.

^{34.} HCCTH., P. 71; HCK., Can. 3, P. 38.

^{35.} HCCTH., P. 72.

^{36.} Ibid., P. 58; HCK., Can. 1, P. 32.

^{37.} HCCTH., P. 33; HCK., Can. 1, P. 19.

the cleverness needed in the gosthis of the learned which were held at Harsa's court.

Like these gosthis there were many other Vyākhyānamandalas and Vidagdhamandalas which are mentioned very often in Bāŋa's Harṣacharita and Kādambarī. These study circles and intellectual discourses provided people with enough food for intellectual and aesthetic nourishment; they provide us with definite and unimpeachable proofs which enable us to conclude that the intellectual standard of the people of the period under review was remarkably high and learning and education had their due place in the society.

SOME RENOWNED CENTRES OF LEARNING

We learn from the records of Yuan Chuang and I-Tsing that almost all the Buddhist monasteries were the magnificient and renowned centres of learning. We are informed by the pilgrim that in the country of Foho-lo there was a great Samghārām where the pilgrim met Praiñākara. a man of singular wisdom and great learning. The letter taught the former the Vibhāsā Sāstra. There were two other priests in that monastery who were well versed in the Tripitaka.28 In the capital city of Kashmir the pilgrim met many learned priests. The chief priest was a man of high moral character and was "possessed of the highest intelligence." He taught the pilgrim the "Kosa Śāstra, the Nyāya Śāstra. Hetuvidyā Śāstra and many other Sastras. The pilgrim informs us that all the learned men of the kingdom "flocked together to listen to the lectures of that eminent priest." He further mentions the names of six other priests of the same monastery who were noted for their great talent, and "power of clear expostion of doctrine."40 At another monastery he studied the Sata-Sāstra from a teacher whose reasoning power was super-abundant. Here he met another teacher who was a disciple of Nagarjuna. He expounded the doctrines of his master with clarity.41 In the kingdom of Srughana

^{38.} HCCTH., P. 44.

^{39.} Life, Pp. 50-51.

^{40.} Ibid., Pp. 70-71.

^{41.} Ibid., Pp. 74-76.

Yuan Chuang stayed for six months and studied Vibhāṣā according to the School of Sautrāntikas.⁴³ A great Saṃghārāma was at Matīpura where the pilgrim studied the Tattwosatya Śāstra, the Abhidharma-jnāna-prasthāna-āstra and other Śāstras.⁴³ At the Bhadra-Vihāra of Kānya-kubja he stayed for three months and studied Varmavibhāṣā-vyākarnapa.⁴⁴ At Banaras (po-lo-ni-sis) alone there were thirty Saṃghārāmas where two thousand priests studied the teachings of Sarvāstivādin School.⁴⁸ At Hiranya there were two great Buddhist teachers named Tathāgatagupta and Kshāntisinha. With them Yuan Chuang studied the Vibhāṣā and the Nyāya-anusāra, and the other Śāstras.⁴⁸

THE UNIVERSITY OF NÄLANDÄ

Of all the Buddhist monasteries none was so great and renowned as that of Nālandā, the greatest centre of Buddhist learning. It was "the most celebrated seat" of moral and intellectual endeavour and a radiating nucleus of Buddhist culture and thought. It was a university in the real sense of the term and it welcomed all who flocked to it from all parts of the country and the world. It provided all its inmates with full facilities in their earnest perseverance for the attainment of intellectual, moral, religious and spiritual and cosmopolitan enlightenment when Yuan Chuang visited Nālandā it was in the hey-day of its glory. He furnished us with a detailed description of this establishment and gives account of its evolution.

EARLY HISTORY OF NĀLANDĀ

We find many early references to Nålandå which prove that its historicity can be traced back to the days of Mahāvīra and Buddha;47

^{42.} Ibid., Pp. 78-79.

^{43.} Ibid., P. 81.

^{44.} Ibid., P. 84.

^{45.} Ibid., P. 98.

^{46.} Life., P. 127.

^{47.} A. Ghosh, A Guide to Nalanda, Delhi, 1939, P. 39.

but it rose to eminence during the times of the Later Guptas. We are informed by the Chinese pilgrim that the place was originally covered with mango trees and owed its name to a dragon named Nālandā. 46

ESTABLISHMENT OF THE MONASTERIES

Yuan Chuang informs us that the remarkable beginning was made by king Śakrāditva who built the first monastery; his son and successor Budhagupta built another Samghārāma towards the south; his son Tathagatagupta built a third Samagharama towards the east; the fourth monastery was added to these establishments by king Baladitya, son and successor of Tathagatagupta: latter's son Vaira built the fifth Samgharama: and the sixth large monastery was built by a king of "Mid India."49 All these establishments were surrounded by a lofty enclosing wall and there was one main gate which opened into the great university. The monasteries were full of grand sculptures and architecture with remarkable magnificience. The towers were richly adorned and the stages had dragonprojections and coloured caves; the massive structures and pearl-red pillars and richly adorned balustrades were finely covered and ornamented. The mango parks, the gardens with beautiful plants and flowers, and the ponds with their deep and translucent water added much to the beauty of the establishments.50

NÅLANDÅ UNDER HARSA

Yuan Chuang visited the University of Nālandā when it was at the height of its glory. There he lived for five years and provides us with an elaborate picture of the great glory that was Nālandā. Such a great university was financed by many endowments and regular grants. Yuan Chuang informs us that king Harşa had granted the revenues of about a hundred villages, and two hundred hous-holders made the daily contributions of maunds of rice, butter and milk. All the "four requisities"

^{48.} Watters, II, P. 164; Life., P. 110.

^{49.} Watters, II, Pp. 164-165; Life., Pp. 110-111.

^{50.} Life., P. 112.

were so abundantly supplied that the students found it easy to devote themselves completely to their studies without any worry for their requirements.¹⁴

Yuan Chuang informs us that only those "who were deeply versed in old and modern learning" succeeded in getting admission. Out of ten applicants two or three were admisted. In spite of such servere test the number of students was ten thousand which speaks of the importance of the University as a great seat of learning during the period under review. To quote Dr. Tripathi, "the figure is no doubt striking" when we consider the fact that "the University was meant for advanced studies," 38

The number of learned priests and scholars was also very great; there were one thousand teachers who could explain "twenty collections of Sūtras and Sāstras"; five hundred could explain thirty collections, and the learned priests had mastered fifty collections. To crown all the chief priest, Silabhadra, had studied all the Sāstras and could explain everything. The teachers were the "men of great ability and learning" and "they were looked upon as the models" all over India. 11 They followed a very strict code of conduct and engaged themselves in learning and discussion. They were "very strict in observing the precepts and regulations of the order." 18

Almost all Sāstras were taught there. Of them, Hetuvidyā Sabdavidyā, Chikitsāvidyā, Yogasāstra, Nyāya-anusāra-Sāstra, Prānya-mūla-sāstra-tkā, Sata-Sāstra-Kosa, Vibhāṣā, Ṣatpadābhidharma-Sāstra etc. and other works. The Vedas are specifically mentioned by pilgrim⁵⁶ as important works taught at Nālandā.

Yuan Chuang informs us that some of the distinguished scholars were associated with the University of Nālandā, who "had kept up the lustre of the establishment and continued its guiding work." Of them

^{51.} Ibid., Pp. 112-113.

^{52.} Watters, II, P. 165; Beal, II, P. 170.

^{53.} THK., P. 177.

^{54.} Life., P. 112; Watters, II, P. 165.

^{55.} Ibid., P. 165.

^{56.} Life, Pp. 112, 121.

Dharmapāla, Chandrapāla, Guṇamati, Sthirmati, Prabhāmitra, Jinamitra, and Jāanachandra were noted for their "merit and learning" and they were the "authors of several treatises widely known and highly valued by contemporaries." Their reputation seems beyond estimation if we take into consideration the fact that even "those who stole the name (gf Nālandā Brother) were all treated with respect wherever they went." The pilgrim was highly impressed when he met Silabhadra, a man of encyclopaedic learning and "whose perfect excellence was buried in obscurity." He was born in the Brahminical royal family of Samataţa. He had travelled far and wide in quest of knowledge. During his itinerancy he came to Nālandā where he met Dharmapāla who ordained him as a bhikşu. It was here at Nālandā Sīlabhadra rose to prominence for "this profound comprehension of the principles and subtleties of Buddhism."

What Yuan Chuang speaks of Nālandā is confirmed by another Chinese pilgrim I-Taing⁴¹ who studied at Nālandā for a considerably long period. Furthermore, the excavations at Nālandā bear true witness to the magnificence and glory that was Nālandā as a great seal of learning in the seventh century A.D.,

^{57.} Watters, II, P. 165.

^{58.} Ibid., II, P. 165.

^{59.} Ibid., II, P. 165.

^{60.} Ibid., II. P. 109.

^{61.} ABRI., Pp. 65, 154 and 167.

CHAPTER XIV

ART

1. ART AND ARCHITECTURE

The age of Harşa can hardly be treated as a separate phase in the domain of art and architecture. The period under review is so closely associated with that of the Imperial Guptas that one finds it difficult to draw a line between the Gupta art and that of the age of Harşa. Like Gupta Sovereigns Harşa also extended his patronage to all creeds and faidhs—Vaispavism and Saivism and Jainism and Buddhism and, therefore, images and temples and monasteries related to these creeds and deities shaped the artistic activities of the period under review.

HOUSES AND HABITATIONS

Yuan Chuang, who visited the whole of India, has left a detailed acount of the houses and buildings. People lived both in cities and villages. The cities were surrounded by walls built of bricks.\(^1\) The buildings were constructed of bricks. In some houses bamboo and wood were used to make walls. The walls were white-washed and tiles were commonly used.\(^1\) Houses generally consisted of halls, terraces and pavilions, open for the view or to allow the breeze to pass in. The roof was made of wood. The pilgrim found similarity of styles between the houses and buildings of India and those of China. According to the pilgrim Indian houses are said to be extraordinarily high. He noticed some houses which were thatched with coarse or common grass, but bricks or boards were also added there. These houses, perhaps, belonged to the lower-middle and poorer

^{1.} Watters, I, P. 147.

Watters, I, P. 147. It is difficult to differentiate between burnt (baked) and unburnt tiles. Unbaked or unburnt tiles are totally unthinkable.

classes. The floor of the houses was besmeared with cowdung for purification and flowers were also scattered. We are further informed by the pilgrim that the people of lower castes such as "butchers, fishermen, public performers, executioners and scavengers were not allowed to live among the people of the higher castes. They were forced to live outside the cities" and towns and "their habitations were marked by a distinguishing sign." Roads were narrow and shops were on the highways. Booths (inat) lined the creat's

After describing the houses and dwellings the pilgrim refers to their furniture. We are told that the people used "corded benches." Perhaps the pilgrim means cots and beds by the "corded benches." This becomes clear on the basis of what he says further. We are told that "the royal families, the grandees, officials and the gentry adorned their benches in different ways but all had the same style (or form) of seat." According to him, the frames of the seats were carved in different ways and adorned with precious substances.*

BUDDHIST MONASTERIES

The pilgrim speaks very magniloquently when he begins his description of the Buddhist monasteries. According to him, "the monasteries had the most remarkable architecture. They had a tower at each of the four corners of the quadrangle and three high halls in a tier. The rafters and roofbeams were carved with strange figures and the doors, windows, and walls were painted in various colours." "The inner rooms and the central hall varied in their dimensions" and there was no particularly prescribed way for the construction of the tiers of the terraces of the rooms.

Ibid., Vol. I, P. 147. Bāņa also refers to the use of counding at several blaces.

^{4.} Watters, I, P. 147.

^{5.} Ibid., I, P. 147.

Ibid., Pp. 147-148. Bana gives a beautiful description of the bed appeally decorated for the honey-moon chamber (Vasagrika). HCCTH., Pp. 131-132.

Their "doors opened to the east," and the throne also faced the east. It is strange to note that the pilgrim is silent about the temples whose number was perhaps larger than that of the monasteries. We find some account of the temples here and there. They were multi-storeyed and their terraces and caves were of carved and designed stone and ornamented wood. **

2. PAINTING

The various references to painting in the literature of the period under review make it clear that the age of Harşa was remarkably characterised by a great enthusiasm for this branch of art. The mention of picture-galleries (Chitrstalis or Chitratalika) 11 and the palaces and buildings with beautiful paintings reveal the refined characteristics of the people. From Bāṇa's description of the city of Ujiayini is is evident that the people of all classes possessed a really very high sense of art. We are told that the city was full of painted halls filled with the pictures of gods, demons, siddhas, Gandharvas, Vidyādharas, snakes etc.. These picture-halls appeared like a row of heavenly chariots came down from the sky to behold fair ladies of Ujiayini. 12 At another occasion Bāṇa mentions that picutre-houses were adorned by paintings of leaves and birds of various kinds. 13

At auspicious occasions painters were engaged to work on the walls. Bāṇa informs us that the pregnant queen of king Tārāpīḍa spent

^{7.} Even to this day the houses opening to the east are preferred to those facing the west.

^{8.} Watters, Vol. I, P. 147.

^{9.} In the course of his accounts the pilgrim mentions the number of temples along with that of the monasteries. The total number of the temples must be higher to that of the Buddhist monasteries. But nothing can be said definitely and conclusively as the pilgrim has definitely some favour for Buddhist monasteries and other Buddhist establishments.

^{10.} Watters, Vol. I, P. 147.

^{11.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 210; Ratnā., Pp. 199-229.

^{12.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 210.

^{13.} KP., P. 241.

her time in a palace plantered with white colour and attracted the heat by the wealth of auspicious pictures painted fresh on the walls.³⁴ The m arriage of Rajyaśri was another occasion when the artists and painters decorated the walls of the royal palace.

The most popular picture among the men and women of the refined society was of Kāmadeva. In the beautifully decorated Vāsagriha the pictures of Kāmadeva and his two wives named Priti and Ruti, were fixed. ¹⁸ Kādambari's bed-room also possessed the picture of Kāmadeva. She gave her last instructions to Mahāšvetā to destroy that picture. ¹⁸

In the Harsacharita we are informed that the pictures of the Chāmaragrāhiṇis and defeated princesses were also portrayed. The articles such as Vartikā, picture-board and a brush are also mentioned at various places. Some primary and mixed colours are also mentioned which are said to have been used by the painters of the period under review.

Among Bāṇa's friend there was one skilled painter named Viravarman.¹⁷ From these references it becomes quite clear that professional painting was a very popular branch of art and that it was especially liked by the women of that age.

3. MUSIC

It can be safely said that whatever one's views on life and whatever one's beliefs and faith, no one can deny the great influence that music had on the cultural development of human society for its highest graces from the feelings of the heart. Even today, with a pragmatic view of life, it is totally impossible to ignore the importance of several achievements and accomplishments in the sphere of music that man has attained since the very dawn of human civilization.

So far as the people of India are concerned, music is deep-rooted in life itself. Our traditions, myths and legends and almost all aspects of

^{14.} KP., P. 126.

^{15.} HCCTH., Pp. 124, 130.

^{16.} Kādambarī, tr. Ridding, P. 194.

^{17.} HCCTH., P. 33; HCK., Can. 1, P.19.

our life are finally reflected in the music and the people of this land have enjoyed throughout the ages the great charm of music. It gives us aesthetical, emotional and spiritual satisfaction.

During the age of Harsa music was regarded as one of the major branches of the fine arts. Almost all women of the higher ranks are represented to have been trained in music, and the people took much delight in it. At the royal palaces it was the chief source of entertainment and amusement. We are informed in the Kādambarī that a band of distinguished female musicians was sent to entertain Chandrapida at the royal guest house. Among them a lute-player (Vinduddini), players on flute (Venunddyanipunāh), singers (Giakalākusalāh), skilful Kṛlḍarāgini (?), experts in aṣṭapada (?), and reciters of the verses (Subhāṇṭapāṇhikāḥ) are specifically mentioned.

Among all musical instruments lute was very common among ladies. ¹⁰ Mahāśvetā was found by Chandrapida at the temple of Siva playing on the lute. In her last message Kādambarī asks Mahāśvetā that the latter must lovingly keep her lute in her own lap. ²¹ Likewise, Yaśomatī asks the chamberlain to fetch her favourite lute so that she may embrace it before plunging into fire. ²⁸ In the Priyadaršikā Kāñchanamālā is represented with a lute in her hand. ²⁹ Malayavatī is said to have been well-versed in the art of lute-playing.

At the palaces music was commonly patronized and the daily routine of the kings and princes was inaugurated with the sound of musical instruments. Various celebrations were unthinkable without music.²⁴ In the Priyadardikā we find that there was a grand music-hall (Gasthar-

^{18.} We have already referred to it while dealing with female education,

^{19.} Rid. : Kad., P. 152: KP., P. 406.

^{20.} HCCTH., P. 83.

^{21.} Rid.: Kad., P. 195; KP., P. 625.

^{22.} HCCTH., P. 150; HCK., Can. 5, P. 28.

^{23.} Priya., Act. III, P. 50.

^{24.} Raind., Act. I, P. 31; HOCTH., P. 123; Priya., P. 50.

satélé) at the palace of Udayana.²⁵ In the Harracharita Sangitagriha is mentioned at Sthānviévara.²⁶

Men, too, are represented to have been interested in music. Among the companions of Bāṇa, there were two singers (¿@smau) named Somila and Grahāditya, two pipers (Vāhāhām) named Madhukara and Pārāvata, a drummer (Mārdarākāh) named Jīmūta and a music teacher named Dārduraka. 17 Kādambarī's special representative Keyūraka is depicted as a noted lute-player (Vīŋāvādaka). 38 The people in general, too, are represented to have taken interest in music. Bāṇa informs us that the city of Sthāpviśvara was a music-hall for aspirant musicians and a city of Garādharva for singers. 38

4. DANCE

Like music, dance, too, mirrors the cultural heritage of a nation. This fact is axiomatically true with India's culture and civilization. The performances of dancing women with embordered garments and open bosoms are described even in the Rigveda. Men dancers are also depicted therein who are said to have performed the various kinds of dances with their limbs adorned with golden ornaments. We find the same importance of dance even today.

During the age of Harşa we find a number of references to dancers. Both men and women are depicted as dancers in the works of Bāṇa and Harṣa's dramas. Among the companions of Bāṇa there was one dancing gir (nortafsti) named Hariṇikā. We are also told about one male dancer (lāsakspuso) named Tāṇdavika. When Bāṇa met Harṣa at his royal camp near Maṇitārā he found a number of dancing women (Vāranilānitā).

^{25.} Priya., P. 50.

^{26.} HCCTH., P. 55.

^{27.} Ibid., P. 33; HCK., Can. 1, P. 19.

^{28.} Rid : Kad., P. 142.

^{29.} HCCTH., P. 82; HCK., Can. 3, Pp. 43-44.

^{30.} Age of Mantras, P. 58.

^{31.} HCCTH., P. 33; HCK., Can. 1, P. 19.

Their "foreheads were blackened by the darkness produced through the ornamental tilaka of black agallochum being melted by the drops of perspiration produced by their repeated prostrations" in dance: they were adorned with many ornaments and fine dresses; the movement of their evebrows, the 'motion' of their jar-like bosoms and their outstretched arms attracted Bana who gives a picturesque description of their performances. Therein we find a vivid picture of "the side-long glances of their eyes, the 'rapid bendings of their limbs and their expression of emotions."32 Bana informs us that there were many dancing girls among prisoners-of-war who were made captives by Bhandl after Rajyavardhana's conquest over the king of Mālvā.34 From these two references it becomes quite clear that the dancing girls were generally employed at palaces and courts and that they were perfectly trained in the art of dance. Girls of higher classes were also trained in the art of dance. It was an essential part of education that was given to girls of that age. Rājyaśrī, Kādambarī and Priyadarsikā are said to have been trained in the art of dancing.

5. DRAMA AND STAGE

The stage formed one of the main entertainments during the age of Harşa. All the three dramas of Harşa were staged before the imperial audience and many distinguished visitors, guests and feudatories were invited on that occasion. Many citizens and people eager to witness the grand performance had flocked to the capital. They are all addressed by the stage-manager in the very beginning. The Janapada of Sthānyiśwara was looked upon as a concert-hall by the actors (Lisakaih). These references to actors are definite proofs of dramatic performance. One of the companions of Bāṇa was a young actor (Saildiyaud) named Sikhandaka. **

^{32.} HCCTH., Pp. 61-62; HCK., Can. 2, Pp. 34-35.

Cowell and Thomas term 'Văravilăsiniți' as 'beauteous women' but I
have adapted the term "dancing girls" as Dr. Kene has done. HCCTH., P. 225;
 HCK., Can. 7, Notes. P. 209.

^{34.} HCCTH., P. 225; HCK., Can. 7, P. 67.

^{35,} HCCTH., P. 82; HCK., P. 43.

^{36.} HCCTH., P. 33; HCK., P. 19.

Women were also interested in the art of drama. At the courts a stagemanager was employed. This job was also entrusted to a lady. We meet Muktikā, the manager of the drama, who was crying aloud near Rājyaśri in the Vindhya forest. T it appears that the lady was intimately associated with the princess.

^{37.} HCCTH., P. 248.; HCK., Can. 8, P. 80.

CHAPTER XV

ECONOMIC LIFE

The most valuable description of India's prosperity and wealth by Yuan Chuang combined with that of Bāṇa and the epigraphic and unmismatic evidences give us a detailed account of economic life of the people during the seventh century A.D.. With the dawn of this epochmaking century a new era of peace and order was inaugurated by Harṣa after the political anarchy that existed for more than one hundred years. By the political reunification of almost the whole of Northern India after disintegration following the downfall of the Imperial Guptas, Harṣa inferred an inestimable boon upon the people. Under his strong and well-organized administration we find a remarkable growth and development of agriculture, industry, trade and commerce. In the pages to follow an attempt is made to survey the progress made in these fields.

AGRICULTURE

Agriculture is rightly said to have been one of the chief means of livelihood of the bulk of the people throughout the ages. It has contributed considerably to the economic prosperity and richness of India. Yuan Chuang gives us the minutest details of agricultural and natural products of various parts of India. He says, "As the districts vary in their natural qualities they differ also in their natural products." At Lampa the country produced "upland rice" and sugar-cane and it had much wood and little fruit. At Nagar, grain and fruits were produced in abundance and the country of Gāndhāra was famous for its "luxuriant crops of cereals and a profusion of fruits and flowers." There, we are told, much sugar-cane and sugar-candy was produced. Kashmir was famous for its saffron and

^{1.} Watters., I, P. 177.

^{2.} Ibid., I, P. 181.

^{3.} Ibid., I, Pp. 183, 199.

abundant fruits and flowers. The soil of Takshasilā and Simhapura was fertile and produced good crops, with flowing streams and luxuriant vegetation.

After visiting the north-west region the pilgrim comes to the Indo-Gangetic plain and describes the agricultural products of the region.

The people of Jālandhara produced rice and other grain and fruits and flowers were in abundance. Around Mathurā the soil was very fertile and the agriculture was the chief business. This region also produced a fine stripped cotton. The soil of Sthāṇārsara and Śrughna was rich and fertile and the crops were abundant; but "the majority pursued trade and few were given the farming."

The soil of Matipur and Govisana yielded grain, fruits and flowers. The country of Ahichchatrā was mainly an agricultural one. The pilgrim, while describing about Kānyakubja, tells us that "the inhabitants were well off and there were families with great wealth; fruit and flowers were abundant and sowing and reaping had their seasons." The country of Ayodhyā "yielded good crops" and fruits and flowers were in abundance. The land about Prayāga and Kausāmbī was also very fertile and the main occupation of the people was cultivation. We do not find detailed description of crops that were produced at Prayāga; but the land about Kausāmbī "yielded much upland rice and sugar-cane." As the pilgrim marched further "the grain crops of the country were very plentiful" and "fruits and flowers were abounded." The regions between Srāvastī and Kusfinārā were also very fertile and had good crops. The soil of Kāpilavastu was "fertile and farming operations were regular." From the des-

^{4.} Ibid., I, Pp. 240, 248.

^{5.} Ibid., I, P. 196.

^{6.} Ibid., I, P. 301.

^{7.} Ibid., I, Pp. 314, 318.

^{8.} Watters, I, Pp. 322, 330.

^{9.} Ibid., I, P. 340.

^{10.} Ibid., I, P. 355.

^{11.} Ibid., I, Pp. 366, 373.

eription of the pilgrim it seems that the country of Kapilavastu was not very prosperous. We are informed that in such a small country more that the net cities were utterly deserted and ruined. The pilgrim records that the people of Vărāṇasi had boundless wealth. There "the harvests were abundant; fruits and other trees grew densely and there was a luxuriant vegetation." The country of Magadha was also rich in soil and yielded "luxuriant crops." The pilgrim informs us that "a kind of rice with large grain of extraordinary savour and fragrace called by the people 'the rice for grandees,' was produced at Magadha." In Bengāl (Punghavardhanta, Karagauwarga etc.) the land was moist and "crops were abundant; the Jackfruit was plentiful"; the population was dense and the "farming operations were regular." The country of Kāmarūpa was also "low and moist" and the "crops were regular." The famous products were the Jake-fruit and Cocoa. These products were abundant and people took much interest in their farming. 18

In his general survey the pilgrim recollects that the fruits of the amra (mange), the amla (tamarind), the Madhūk (Bassia latifolia), the badara (jujube), the Kapittha (uvood-apple), the amala (myrobalan), the tinduka (diospyros), the udumbara (Ficus glomerata), the mocha (plantain), the narikela (cocoanut) and the panasa (Jack-fruit) were produced in various parts of India. He summarises his description of fruits with the following words: "It is impossible to enumerate all the kinds of fruits and one can only mention in a summary way those which are held in esteem among he inhaibitants." Some of the common fruits were pears, plums, peaches, apricots and grapes which were planted "here and there"; but pomegranates and sweet oranges were grown in all the parts of the country. 17

So far as the farming was concerned the people prepared the soil

^{12.} Watters, II, P. 47.

^{13.} Ibid., II, P. 86.

^{14.} Ibid., II, Pp. 184, 191.

^{15.} Ibid., II. P. 185.

^{16.} Watters, I, P. 177.

^{17.} Ibid., I, Pp. 177-8.

well. Sowing, planting and reaping were carried on their seasons according to the industry. From the survey of the Chinese visitor it seems that all the cultivators were not hard-working and alert. Some were lazy and legged behind in their profession.¹⁸ The main agricultural products were rice, wheat, ginger, mustard, melons, pumpkins and kunda.

This is "a long but admittedly incomplete list of principal foodgrains and fruits." But from this description of the pilgrim it is almost definite that the whole of Northern India was fully cultivated and was very prosperous with its agricultural and natural products. The entire tract of the Gangā Valley and the Gangā-Brahmaputrā delta were great fertile areas.

Like Yuan Chuang, Bāṇa also gives a detailed description of "the excellences of the good soil," of the Śrikanjtha Janapada of which Sthānji-śvara was a part. There were "the unbroken lines of Puŋdra sugar-cane" and its "marches were packed with corn heaps." The entire land is said to have been adorned with rice crops "extending beyond their fields" and the wheat crops were abounded. Bāṇa's accounts also include the graphic description of pot-herbs, plantains, vine-arbours, pomegranate, orchards, arbours, Pilu sprays, citron leaves and saffron filaments. Strangely enough, Bāṇa also mentions that "the woodrangers tasted the cocoa-nut juice" and that the travelling folk plundered the date-trees. These days the products of the north, generally speaking, do not include the cocoanuts and the date-trees; but it is quite likely that the people of Sthaṇaiśvara might have grown cocoanuts and date-trees during the period under review.

From Bāṇa's description it appears that the people were also familiar with the advanced technique of irrigation system. He informs us that the farms were "watered by the pots of the Persian Wheel."22

^{18.} Ibid., I, P. 178.

^{19.} CA., P. 858.

^{20.} HCCTH., Pp. 79-80; HCK., Can. 3, P.42.

^{21.} HCCTH., P. 80; HCK., Can. 3, P. 42.

^{22.} HCCTH., P. 79; CA., P. 586.

OWNERSHIP OF LAND AND PROPERTY

From the grants of Harsa it appears almost certain that the ultimate owner of the land was the sovereign who could grant the land or the whole village to any one he liked. The farmers were his tenants and paid one sixth of the produce as rent. 23 The complete ownership of the land or the village was enjoyed on the strength of a royal grant (Sasana) by any individual. Sometimes people also tried to enjoy such ownership of the strength of some forged Sasanas. Dr. Bühler points out that such forgeries existed during the age of Harsa.34 The village of Soma Kundika had formely been enjoyed on the strength of such a forged document by one Vāmarathva from whom it was taken after destroving the old plate.36 But there is nothing unusual or uncommon if we find such an example during the period under review. Today there is hardly any village in India where we do not find quarrels for land. That the forged ownership was detected by the Government and the guilty was brought to books and the land was alloted to the real owner speaks of Harsa's efficient system of administration. When a tract of land or a village was donated to some one the entire revenue was given to the donce.

Thus we find that the ultimate ownership of the land was vested with the sovereign, the latter also owned the royal land. It was divided into four divisions; the income from one part was used for the "expenses of Government"; one for the "endowment of great public servants", the third part was reserved for rewarding "high intellectual eminence" and the rest was distributed to the various sects for gaining "religious merit." 28

REVENUE AND TAXATION

The tenants, we are told, were required to pay the one sixth of the produce to the king or to the person to whom the land was donated. As the taxation was not heavy, the people kept to their hereditary occupation

^{23.} Watters, I, P. 176.

^{24.} Ep. Ind., Vol. I, P. 71.

^{25.} Ibid., P. 71.

^{26.} Watters, I, P. 176.

and attended to their patrimony. Light duties were imposed upon the tradesmen which were exacted at ferries and barrier stations.**

DEVELOPMENT OF VARIOUS INDUSTRIES

Although India was primarily an agricultural country, the industry was not legging behind. Both Yuan Chuang and Bāṇa supply us with full information regarding the industrial development during the age of Harsa. From the references to the different varieties of clothes, it is evident the textile industry was exceedingly advanced in the seventh century A.D..

The evidence at our disposal proves that the Metal Industry was also highly advanced during the period under review. Some of the household utensils were made of brass and copper.* All the implements of war such as spear, shield, sword, sabre, arrow, coat-of-mail etc. were made of iron.* Bana also informs us that the swords were so finely polished that they could also be utilised as mirrors. Queen Yasomati is said to have seen her face in the sword's blade. At Yaranasi the pilgrim saw "a t'a-shi (bell-metal ?)" image of the Deva* "nearly hundred feet high" and which was life-like in its awe-inspiring majesty." At Nalanda he noticed another copper image of the Buddha more than 80 feet high. Several fine and artistic works of metals, especially of gold and silver were

^{27.} Watters, I, P. 176.

^{28.} A detailed description of various clothes and dresses has already been made while dealing with the dress and ornaments in chapter on society in the present theris.

^{29.} Watters, I, P. 178.

^{30.} Ibid., I, P. 171.

^{31.} HCCTH., P. 109.

Yuan Chuang does not mention clearly the name of the Deen whose image he saw at Varanass. According to Watters remark, it was the Lingam of Sion. Watters. II. P. 47.

^{33.} Ibid., II, P. 47.

^{34.} Ibid., II, P. 171.

also made for decoration and presentation. We are informed by Bāṇa that at the time of marriage ceremony of Rājyaārt, a number of gold-workers had been engaged in hammering golds which was used for making works of art. Other industries also appear to have been flourished during the period under review. At the time of Rājyaārt's marriage several artists were invited who included among themselves the carpenters, leather-workers, designers, modellers, ivory-workers, painters, dyers etc.. They are separately described to have been busy in their respective jobs. ⁵⁰

The long list of different articles which were sent by Bhāskaravaman, the king of Assam, throws enough light on various industries. Among these articles were the famous ornaments, bright gold-leaves, pillows of Samūruka leather, cane stools, thick bamboo tubes containing mango sap and oil, bundles of woven silk, Goffra sandal, camphor, carved boxes of panels for painting, gold-painted bamboo cages, and rings of hippopotamous viory.³⁷

The art of gem-cutting and jewellery was also highly developed. Among the companions of Bāṇa, there was one hairikaḥ who was expert in gemmology. Different kinds of jeweb are mentioned in Bāṇa's works and the records of the pilgrim. They were used in making ornaments, and in decorating the thrones, beds, mirrors, and even the elephants were decked with pearls. 30 Bāṇa informs us in the Kādambarī that Chandrapida was taught the science of examining gems, pearls and other precious stones. 30

COMMERCE AND TRADE

From the development of various industries it can be easily inferred that trade and commerce must have been in the flourishing conditions. Moreover, we have the definite proofs that the trade in the seventh century

^{35.} HCCTH., P. 124.

^{36.} Ibid., Pp. 123-125.

^{37.} HCCTH., Pp. 213-15.

^{38.} Ibid., P. 215.

Rid: Kād., P. 60, Ratnaparikṣā is also mentioned in Vātsyāyana's Kāmasūtra and Brihat-Sanhitā. quoted in CA., P. 588.

A.D. was in highly advanced condition. Yuan Chuang throws sufficient light upon the progress of India's trade and commerce. He informs us that the "tradesmen went to and fro bartering their merchandize." The government also encouraged trade by levying "light duties" which were paid by the tradesmen at different "ferries and barrier stations." While giving a few particulars about the division of the people of India, he describes that the third order was "class of traders" (Vaifyss) who "bartered commodities and pursued gain far and near."

From some of his indirect references, too, we find further support to our conclusion. He writes that rare and precious substances of various kinds were bartered for merchandize from the sea-ports. The majority of the people of Sthāqviśvara "pursued trade" and "varieties from other lands were collected in this country." He is corroborated by Bāṇa who also informs us that Sthāqviśvara was "the land of the philosopher's stone for the seekers of wealth" and it was "the land of profit for the merchants." The capital city of Kāṇyakubja was also famous for its rarities which were collected from strange lands. The people of Ayodhyā are said to have been devoted to "parctical learning" which indicates their craftsmanship. At Vārāṇasi the people "had boundless wealth" and their houses were full of rare valuables." All these evidences throw light upon the progress of trade and commerce.

COMMERCIAL RELATIONS WITH FOREIGN COUNTRIES

The testimony of Yuan Chuang and Bāṇa proves that international trade was carried on with China, Ceylon, Persia and other countries. Yuan

^{40.} Watters, I, P. 176.

^{41.} Ibid., I, P. 168.

^{42.} Ibid., I, P. 178.

^{43.} Ibid., I, P. 315.

^{44.} HCCTH., P. 82. HCK., Can. 3, Pp. 43-4.

^{45.} Watters, I, P. 314.

^{46.} Ibid., I, P. 365.

^{47.} Ibid., II, P. 47.

Chuang informs us that the city of Charitapura (Che-li-ta-lo) was "a thoroughfare and resting place for sea-going traders and strangers from distant lands." The post of Tāmralipti was also a noted centre of sea-trade⁶⁸ and the inhabitants of Sūrat utilized the sea and they were traders by profession.

Bāṇa informs us that the land of Śrikantha Janapada was irrigated by the pots of the Persian wheel.

In the Kādambari we are informed that the famous horse Indrāyudha was sent by the monarch of Persia.

In the cavalry of Harsa Bāṇa saw the horses from Persia along with those of Vanāyu, Kamboja, Āraṭṭa, Bhāradwāja and Sindha. In the Harṣacharita and Kādambari he tells us at more than one occasion that China silk (Chināninka) was very famous during the age of Harsa.

From these evidences it can be safely concluded that the international trade was in flourshing conditions and that it was conducted mainly through the sea. India's maritime activities extended in the eastern waters as far as China and Japan. §1 Mr. Kakasu Okakura informs us that "down to the days of the Mohammedan conquest the intrepid mariners of Bengäl coast" founded their colonies in Ceylon, Jāvā and Sumātrā and Cathay (China), and there was "mutual intercourse." Me our maritime activity was also "equally manifest towards the West." We are told that the ships of India and China "could be seen constantly" at Hira on the Euphrates as early as the fifth (?) century A.D. Me There seems to be a constant traffic accross the sea between India and China in the seventh century A.D. Mr Various representations of ships and boats in the Ajantā paintings

^{48.} Ibid., II, P. 194.

^{49.} Ibid., II, P. 190.

^{50.} Ibid., II, P. 248.

^{51.} HCCTH., P. 79.

^{52.} Rid. : Kād., P. 62.

^{53.} HCCTH., Pp. 28. ff.

^{54.} Mukherji, Indian Shipping, Calcutta, 1912, P. 163.

^{55.} Ideals of the East, Pp. 1, 2. quoted in Ind. Ship., P. 163.

^{56.} Tule's Cathay, I. Lxxiii. quoted in Ind. Ship., P. 168.

Ind. Ship., Pp. 170-72; also quoted therein, Beal, II, P. 169; JRAS., 1896, P. 490.

are "rightly interpreted" by Griffiths as only a vivid testimony to the ancient foreign trade of India. 88

MEDIA OF EXCHANGE

Although the rare and precious commodities of various kinds were "bartered for merchandize, the trade and commerces of the country was conducted through definite media of exchange," The pilgrim informs us that gold and silver coins, cowries and small pearls were the media of exchange. Media of exchange. Media of exchange.

COINAGE UNDER HARSA

Dr. John Allan, writing in 1914, opined that "the right of coinage has never been the jealously exercised symbol of sovereignty among Hindus that it was amongst Muhammadaus." Be He continues further, "some of the greatest of Hindu sovereigns, e.g. Harşavardhana, do not appear to have struck coins at all." At the very outset we must say that Allan was wrong. It is unthinkable that Harşa, the emperor ruling over almost whole of Northern India, could have ruled for more than four decades without issuing coins.

The Chinese pilgrim who visited India for nearly fifteen years, and who writes his accounts on the basis of his personal and on-the-spot study, says "in the commerce of the country gold and silver coins, cowries, and small pearls are the media of exchange."41 This description makes it abundantly clear that Harşa's regime was not without coins.

It can be argued that Harsa did not issue his own coins and allowed

^{58.} Quoted in Ind. Ship., P. 41.

^{59.} Watters, I, P. 178.

^{60.} Ibid., I, P. 178.

^{61.} Ibid., II, P. 83.

Catalogue of the Coins of the Gupta Dynasties and of Saśāńka, king of Gauda, London, 1914, Intro., P. LXVIII; Mukeherji, Harşa, Pp. 115ff.

^{63.} Ibid., P. LXVIII.

^{64.} Watters, I, P. 178.

the use of the coins of his predecessors and those of his brother-in-law, Grahavarman and his predecessors. But this can only be accepted in case of a ruler ruling for a short period and over a small kingdom. Harşa, as we know, ruled for more than forty years and his frontiers covered the land from Kashmira to Narmadā and from Gujrāt-Kāthiawār to Kāmarūpa. His times also saw the tremendous growth of trade and commerce and no one can believe that it could be possible for Harşa to rule over it without the use of coins, a definite and standard medium of exchange.

It also appears that an article on "Some Coins of the Maukharis and the Thäneśvara Line" by R. Burn** did not receive Allan's attention. This is clear from the fact that Burn's article appeared in 1906 whereas Allan published his book in 1914. Had he seen Burn's article he must have referred to it and, would not have made such an outright remark that Harsa did not issue the coins.

These coins were found in 1904 by a labour at Bhitaura in Amsin Paragana of Faizābād District. They include one gold, 522 silver and cight copper coins. According to Burn one of these coins may be attributed to Harsia (not Harsa), 9 to Pratāpasīla (king Probhākaravardhana), and 284 to Sīlāditya (Harsa). Of the remaining coins 9 are attributed to Išānavarman, 6 to Sarvavarman, and 19 to Avantivarman. The scholars did not agree with Burn and the view of the latter was challenged by some of them. Hoernle tells us that "the correct attribution of these coins is not so simple as it may appear at first sight." Referring to Burn's readings he says, "Mr. Burn is disposed to attribute them to Harsavardhana. To myself that attribution is very doubtful. The title Sīlāditya was not uncommonly assumed by, or given to rulers of that period. Hiuen Tsang gives the title principally to Harsavardhana, but he mentions other rulers also, who also hore is '1921'.

In view of these conflicting views I discussed this problem with Dr. J. N. Banerjee, formerly Carmichael Professor of Ancient Indian

^{65.} JRAS., 1906, Pp. 843ff.

^{66.} Ibid., 1909, P. 446.

^{67.} Ibid., 1909, P. 446.

History and Culture, Calcutta University. The learned scholar supported the theory put forward by Mr. Burn. Dr. Banerjee told me that in support of Mr. Burn's contention it may be said that the coins are attributed to the Maukharis and the Pupabhūtis and that the Maukharis were closely related to the Thāneśvara king Harşa, being brother-in-law to Grahavarman. It is well-known that after the assassination of Grahavarman the sovereignty passed from the Maukharis to the Thāneśvara king. It is, therefore, possible that "Pratāpašīla" and "Sīlāditya" coins were struck by Harşa. But it seems better to adopt Mr. Burn's attribution to Prabhā-karvardhana and Harşa. The cresent which appears on all these coins, accordingly to Dr. Banerjee, seems to have been derived from the coins of the white Hūnşas who copied it from the Sassanian series. Prof. Bajpai opines the Silver coins of Harşa are of "Madhyadeśa type of the Gupta silver coinage." The learned professor has also drawn our attention to one gold coin of Harşa acquired by him from Farukhabad in Uttar Pradesh.

Prof. Bajpai read the Brāhmī legend on the obverse of the coin as follows:

Parama bha ttāraka Mahārā Jādhirāja Parameśvara Śrī Mahārā (ja Ha) rsdeva

Prof. Bajpai rightly "attributes this gold coin to Harsa", the son and successor of King Prābhakaravardhana of the Puspabhūti dynasty of Thānsavara and Kanauj. ²⁰ The learned scholar has maintained that the titles assumed by Harsa in his coin are "compared with those assumed by him and known to us from his records and his seals." ²¹ He also affirms

Hoernle, however, suggests "a possible connection of the still unexplained 'Aulikara crest of Tasodharman with curious cresent-like object on these coins." JRAS., 1909, P. 448.

^{69.} JNSI., Vol. XXVI, Pt. ii.

^{70.} Ibid.

^{71.} Ibid.

that "the characters of the Brāhmi legend on the coin leaves no doubt as to its date. They are similar to the Brāhmi characters of the Madhuban and Banskhera records of Harşa."

Thus we can, quite safely, conclude that Harsa issued his own coins and they must have formed a standardised medium of exchange.

GROWTH OF CITY-LIFE

Thus enormous growth of industries and trade naturally led to a tremendous growth of city-life.72 There were many cities which "were the centres of prosperity."78 The "prosperity and importance of Kanaui grew tremendously under Harsa and it became "the premier city of Northern India supplanting Pataliputra."74 It was "very strongly defended" and had "lofty structures everywhere". The city was full of many beautiful parks and gardens and tanks of clear water.75 People of Kanaui were very fond of rarities which were collected from different countries. They were well-to-do and there were "families with great wealth."76 Bana also gives the glimpses of the immense wealth and grandeur of city-life while giving the description of Ujjayini.77 Though the picture of this great city, as drawn by Bana is fantastically exaggreated, it indicates the wealth and prosperity that was accumulated in the big cities. Taksasiiā, Jālandhara, Mathura, Sthanyisvara, Matipura, Mayura, Ahichchhatra, Kapitha, Ayodhyā and Kauśāmbi were some of the famous and prosperous cities of Northern India. Pravaga and Varanasi are highly praised by the pilgrim for their wealth and prosperity. They were densely populated and "had boundless wealth" and possessed "rare valuables,"78

But on the other hand some of the famous and great Buddhist centres

^{72.} S. K. Das, Economic History of Ancient India, Calcutta, 1925, P. 273.

^{73.} Mukherii, Harsa, P. 165.

^{74.} THK., P. 147.

^{75.} Watters, I. P. 340.

^{76.} Ibid., I. 340.

^{77.} Rid. : Kad., Pp. 47-48.

^{78.} Watters, II, Pp. 46-47.

were losting their importance. Srawast, Kapilvastu and Vatsalt, which conce had been very fathous centres of Buddhian were in ruined condition. In the province of Kapilvastu there were more than ten deserted cities "Mil in titte ruin." But Yalfanda was at the height of its glory and progress. Champa and Rajamahal were famous towns of Bhar. Stirat and Valabhi were important trade centres in Western India. Pundravardhana, Tämralpit, Samatata and Karpasuvarna were the renowned cities of Bengal. The capital of Karnarolpa was also in flourishing condition and there were continuous streams and tanks in the towns. There were no big cities in Kamarolpa. The piligrim informs us that "the country was a series of hills and hillocks" and it was "without any principal city." **

THE ORGANIZATION OF COMMERCIAL GUILDS

We have no direct evidence of any commercial organization but in the literature of the period under review some sidelight is thrown on the ancture and organization of the industrial and commercial guilds." Dharmadastras like those of Nārada and Brihaspati represent that the progressive advancement of the guild organizations continued during this period.³⁸ These works indicate, in a general way, that very great importance was attached to these organizations as an important factor in the society.⁵⁹

STANDARD OF LIVING

Soth Yuan Chuang and Bāṇa give us an identical account of the economic prosperity and a high standard of living among the rich classes at least. The people of Sthātyvisvara and Ujiayimi are picturesquely decribed by Bāṇa for their wealth and luxury. Yuan Chuang, too, informs

^{79.} Watters, II, P. 1.

^{80.} Ibid., II, Pp. 185-86.

^{81.} CA., Pp. 592-93.

Economic Hist. of Anc. India, The Chapter on the Age of Harse, Pp. 281-88. Corporate Life in Anc. India., Cal. 1918, p. 14; Mukherji, Harsa, P. 171.

^{83.} Corporate Life in Ancient India, P. 14.

us that the greater part of the country enjoyed a good standard of living and high prosperity. His description of various places such as Shāṇyiśwat, Kānyakubja, Vārāṇasī, Puṇḍravardhana, Tāmralipti, Valabhī, Sūrat etc., undoubtedly reveals that the people were wealthy, luxurious, prosperous and happy. The people esteemed learning and observed a high standard of morality. They attached due importance to religious, spritual, aesthetical and cultural aspects of life.

INDEX

Abhidhāna-Vastu Koša, 326-7.

Abhimanyu, 306.

Abhoga (Umbrella), 145, 255, Abu. 58.

Acine Corneille, 318.

Ādhyarāja, 278. Aditva, 285-6.

Adityasena, 22-3, 24n, 138, 188, 273. Adityavardhana, 30, 43, 45, 91n,

93, 97-8, 329.

Adityavarman, 36, 43, 87, 88.

Advaita, 404.

Agni, 403, 438, 441.

Ahichchhatra, 206, 217, 395, 415,

478, 489.

Ahinara, 307. Ahamadahad, 230.

Aihole, 243.

Airāvata, 385.

Aiśvarakāraņikās, 403.

Ajanta, 375, 485.

Aistasatru, 307.

Aiirāvatī (River), 248.

Alankarasarvasva, 326.

Alberuni, 75n, 210.

Allahabad, 6, 221, 260, 365n. Ambala, 75.

Amrakardava, 264.

Āmrātakeivara, 401.

Amsin, 487.

Arhéuvarman, 273.

Ananda, 307, 412,

Anandpura, 230, 428. Anantadevi. 4.

Anantavarman, 35.

Andhakas, 82.

Āndhra, 37-8, 51n, 53, 228, 425.

Anga, 62, 243, 292-3.

Angrāvatī, 310, 312,

Anguttaranikāya, 77n.

Apranta, 38.

Aphsad, 22, Apsară Devi. 97.

Arabian Sca, 235-6.

Aratta, 249, 274, 485,

Archimedes, 205.

Āranyakā, 292-4, 299, 303, 311n,

313, 315-6.

Aranabhita, 67, 69.

Arbatas, 248.

Ariuna, 80-81, 239-40, 306.

Ariunavarmadeva, 321. Arthapati, 319.

Aruna, 118n.

Arundhati, 111.

Ārvamanju-śri-mūlakalpa, 41n. 92.

156-58.

Ārvamuktāmālā, 327. Äshädhabhūti, 89n. Asia, 9. Aloka, 244, 319, 409, Assam, 68, 70, 145, 166, 202, 206, 227, 483. Astädhvävī, 77n, 82. Asvaghosa, 455. Aśvapati, 33. Atli. 229. Atranji-khera, 218. Attahäsa, 94, 337. Atvanabakela, 107. Audumbatīra, 233. Aulikara, 13-4, 488. Avanti (Place), 307-8, 310, 312, Avanti (Minister), 144, 261. Avantivarman, 31n, 40-3, 64n, 93, 154, 161n, 358, 487. Avalokiteśvara (Bodhisattva), 157. Ayamukha, 220, 417.

Ayodhya, 219-21, 417, 478, 484, Bandhuvarman, 13n. 489. Bādāmī, 198, 247. Baghelakhanda, 221. Bairat, 214. Bais Rajputs, 91, 188. Balachandra, 333. Bālāditya, 18-9, 466. Ball, 166. Balkh, 9, Bamra, 64. Bana, 13n, 22n, 24, 31-2, 34, 40-4,

52, 56, 69, 71-2, 78-80, 82, 85-6. 89, 91, 93, 95-6, 98n, 100, 102-6, 108-9, 111 113-4, 116-23, 129-34, 137-8, 140-41, 144-5, 148, 154, 159, 161, 164, 166, 168, 179-81, 183, 185, 187, 204, 234-6, 239, 241, 243, 245, 247, 249, 251-54, 256-57, 261, 265-6, 270, 273, 277-80, 287, 318-22, 325, 327, 330-31, 334, 336-40, 344, 346, 351-2, 357, 359, 362-3, 365-6, 369-70, 372-78, 380-82, 384, 386-7, 389, 391-4, 396, 398, 400, 402-3, 412, 432-5, 437-40, 442, 444-5, 450-51, 453-8, 459, 462-3, 470-72, 474-5, 477, 480, 482-5, 489-90. Banaras, 465,

Banās (River), 230. Bandhüka, 149. Bandhumatī, 311,

Bāni (Vāni), 160, 262.

Banskhera, 96, 137, 141, 217, 489, Barawani, 6n.

Bareli (Bareilly), 217.

Baroch, 178. Batan-Kaisara, 76.

Bauka, 58.

Bay of Bengal, 235, 236.

Bedara Räya, 184. Bengal, 5, 7, 17, 20, 38, 61-4,

157, 159, 203, 233, 236, 479, 485, 490,

Bernier, 75n. Bhadra, 59-60, 359. Bhadrasvämi, 29, 333, Bhadreśvara, 401. Bhadrāvati, 312. Bhāgadatta, 69, 71. Bhāgalpur, 225. Bhagiratha, 104. Bhagirathi, 73. Bhagyadgitä, 446. Bhairavacharva, 44, 93-4, 347, 401. Bhaktamārastotra, 327. Bhallata, 280. Bhandi, 109, 117, 131, 133, 137, 146-7, 149, 152-3, 155, 160, 262n, 324, 373, 425. Bhānugupta, 8n, 17-19, 359, Bharadvāja, 274, 485. Bhārata, 104. Bharatabala, 6. Bhärata war, 306. Bhāravi, 179, 280, 453, 455. Bharoach, 59, 99, 108, 173, 228, 236-7, 427. Bhāsa, 279, 291, 308, 312-14, 316, 318, 453. Bhāskaradvūti, 69. Bhāskaravarman, 69-70, 73, 145-6, 152, 155, 166-7, 235, 255, 336, 371, 402, 483. Bhatarka, 45, 49, 51, 55, 169, Bhatttiśūra, 54. Bhavabhūti, 280. Bhāvabodhinī, 280.

Bhāvanagara, 170n. Bhima, 239. Bhimaka, 386. Bhimasena II. 66n. Bhiladana, 217, 415, Bhilamala, 231. Bhilasana, 217, 415, Bhisma, 73, 81, 239. Bhitaura, 487. Bhogabhata, 59. Bhogavarman, 188. Bhogikas, 265. Bhoja, 276, 279, 313, 317, Bhojarāja, 280. Bhrigukachchha, 228. Bhūkampa, 147, 184. Bhūsana, 159. Bhūsanabhatta, 320, 324. Bhūtivarman, 69-72. Bihar, 4n, 203, Bijāwar, 209n, 407. Bijnor, 413. Birabhum, 227. Bolor, 210. Bodhisattva Avalokitesvara, 160. Brahmä, 240, 385, 400. Brahmacharyāśrama, 349. Brahmaloka, 77. Brahmanabad, 107, 233n. Brähmana-country, 332.

Brahmapura, 414.

193, 236,

Brahmarishis, 79.

Brahmaputră (Valley), 31, 70, 72,

Brahmavādins, 404. Brihaspati, 50, 258, 490. Brihat-Samhitä, 13n, 14, 38n, 442. Buddha, 80, 189, 239, 307, 465, Budhagunta, 4-5, 7-8, 17, 61, 466, Budharājā, 137n. Buddhasvāmī, 311n. Budhavarman, 174. Buddhism, 111, 200, 205, 209, 405, 469, Buguda (Place), 76. Bundelakhanda, 5,9, 183n, 231, 336. Burdwan, 227. Byas (River), 213. C Cambay, 230. Cathay, 485. Central India, 139-141, 203. Central Punjab, 33n. Ceylon, 10, 252, 285, 295, 299, 484. Chakorāksa, 384. Chakravākikā, 348. Chalukyas, 38, 58, 174, 178, 197-8, 200, 444, 453. Champã, 225, 423, 490. Chandaka, 373. Chandamahäsena, 310-11, 313. Chandi, 321, 383, 403, 440. Chandisataka, 326. Chandombudhi, 326. Chandra, 60n, 252. Chandradevi, 4. Chandragupta I, 60n.

Chandragupta II, 60, 89, 314. Chandramă, 111. Chandramukhavarman, 69, 71, Chandranāla, 468. Chandrapida, 118-9, 252-254, 261, 322. 335, 362, 369, 373, 376, 379, 384, 386-7, 438, 451, 457-8, 460, 473, 483, Chandrapuri, 70. Chandrasena, 339. Chandravarman, 60. Chārvāka, 372, 403. Chaturikä, 296-7, 390, Chauhānas, 58. Cheka, 232. Chilkā (Lake), 228. China, 484-5. Chinabhukti, 212, 410. Chinapati, 212, 410. Chitore, 231, 336. Chitrabhanu, 319. Chitrartha, 362. Chhattisagarh, 228. Chola, 38n, 185. Chora, 279-80. Chulya, 426. Cutch, 230. D

Dacca, 68n, 226.

Dadda I, 59, 60.

178, 199, 228.

Dadda, 52, 59-60, 170, 172,

Dadda II, 59-60, 170, 173,

175.

Dakşa, 81, 239, 343, 349.	Dharasena III, 171.
Daksināpatha, 64.	Dharasena IV, 171, 199, 251-2.
Dămodaragupta, 19, 28-30n, 39-40,	Dhātuvādins, 404.
43, 87-8, 276n.	Dhāvaka, 230, 287.
Dämodarpur, 252.	Dherabhatta, 55.
Dapdin, 280.	Digambara, 403.
Darabhata, 49.	Dîrghādhvaga, 266.
Dārduraka, 474.	Dhrisdavati (River), 77.
Darsapāta, 181n, 249, 388.	Dhruvabhatta, 170, 172, 206, 221.
Daśaratha, 33n.	Dhruvasena I, 47, 169.
Dattas, 11.	Dhruvasena II, 93, 199-201.
Dattadevi, 69.	Divākaramitra, 119n, 147-8, 151,
Daundia-khera, 220.	161, 324, 347, 368, 378, 398,
Daussādhasādhanikas, 265.	402-3, 439, 452, 460.
Davāka, 68.	Doab, 77.
Deccan, 177.	Dravid, 426.
Devabhața, 56.	Dravyavardhana, 14n.
Devagupta, 32, 43, 106, 133-4,	Dropa, 239.
136-141.	Dronasimha, 5, 46, 169.
Devaki, 113.	Durdaman, 307n.
Dhanakataka, 228, 425.	Durgā, 321, 326, 383, 403, 443.
Dhanapāla, 58.	Dvarakā, 54.
Dhanañjaya, 280, 292, 318.	Dyavāprithvī, 441.
Dhanesa (God), 50.	
Dhanvantari, 441.	E
Dharma, 95, 241.	East Bengal, 30.
Dharmapāla, 468.	Eastern India, 194.
Dharmāditya, 61.	Eastern Mälvä, 22-4, 30, 106.
Dharmasāstrins, 404.	Elizabeth, 318.
Dhāra, 276, 313.	England, 318.
Dharapatta, 48-9, 52n, 166.	Eran, 7, 9, 18.
Dharasena I, 46, 169, 174.	Erāvata, 118n.
Dharasena II, 48, 50, 52, 54-6,	Euphrates, 485.
59, 139, 170-1, 175, 178, 251n.	Eyţā, 218.

Faizabad, 487.

France, 318. Faridpur, 226.

Farukhabad, 488.

G

Gandaka (River), 223.

Gambhīra, 42-3.

Gändhära, 38n, 99-100, 107, 110, 208-9, 315, 402, 405, 477.

Gandharva, 79.

Gangā (River), 77, 148, 159, 201, 413-4, 416.

Ganga (Valley), 480.

Gangā-Brahmaputrā (Valley), 480.

Gangadhara (Poet), 67.

Gangādvāra, 414.

Ganjam, 16n, 67, 158, 188-9, 203-4. Garhwäl, 168, 216,

Garuda, 118n, 297,

Gārulakas, 54.

Gautama, 404.

Gauda, 13n, 21, 26-7, 37-8, 43, Gunamati, 455, 468.

53, 57, 60, 62-4, 73, 133n, 135-7, 140-3, 150-3, 167, 170, 194, Gupta, 15-17, 19, 22-3, 30, 39, 245, 247, 274, 324,

Gaudarājamālā, 134n.

Gauri, 13-4, 285, 288, 296-7, 455, 474. Gaya, 20-3, 34-5, 319.

Ghatotkacha, 22n.

Ghäzipur, 228, 420.

Ghosavati, 309-10, 312-3.

Ghumsur (Tälikā), 67.

Girijā, 285.

Girinagar, 46.

Godāvarī (River), 64.

Gopachandra, 61. Gopālaka, 311.

Gonarăia, 18.

Gorakhpur, 222.

Govindagupta, 22.

Govindarāja, 48.

Govisana, 217, 414.

Grahāditya, 474.

Grahavarman, 41-3, 64n, 93, 103,

131, 135-7, 139-41, 144, 147, 153-4, 161, 262n, 322, 324, 345-6,

351-2, 358-9, 368-9, 373, 398, 487-8.

Grāmaksapatalika, 265.

Greeks, 82.

Guhasena, 48-52, 54-5.

Gujarānawālā, 107.

Gujrat, 12, 86, 107, 172, 174, 186,

202, 230, 329, 487.

Gunădhya, 311n, 313, 316.

Gunavinavagani, 322.

47n, 61, 63-65, 68, 70-71, 83,

93, 97n, 106, 108, 137, 147,

170-1, 259, 268, 289, 368, 398, 402, 477, 488.

Gurjaras, 17, 21, 56-8, 60, 99, 107-8, 110, 170-5, 200, 221, 429.

Guru, 80, 239.

Gwillior, 7n, 10,

Ħ Hala, 454n. Harbsavega, 145-6, 397. Hansi, 77. Hari, 118. Haridvāra, 414. Harinika, 393, 474. Harisena, 12, 260. Harivāhan, 118n. Harichandra, 95, 107, 454. Harischandra, 44, 359. Hārīta, 343. Harivamsapurāna, 76n. Hariyarman, 25n, 35, 43. Harsa, 7, 21, 33, 42-5, 59-60, 69, 74-5, 84, 89-91, 93, 95-6, 98-102, 104, 108-22, 125-35, 137, 139, 145-170, 172-8, 180-5, 187-96, 200, 202, 204-7, 210, 212-15, 217-22, 224-30, 232-3, 235-6, 238-9, 241-5, 247-52, 255-7, 259, 261, 263, 268, 270-71, 274-5, 277-8, Hira, 485. 280-83, 285-6, 288, 290-91, 304, 308, 311-8, 320, 324, 330-31, 334, 336, 341, 343, 345-8, 351, 359, 362, 365, 371-3, 376, 379-81, 386-90, 392-4, 398-9, 402, 404, 415, 430, 432, 434-5, 437, 439-40, 442, 444-6, 449, 453-4, 456, 462-4, 466, 469, 471, 473-5, 477, 481-2, 485-9. Harsacharita, 22n, 57-60, 63, 73, 76, 84-5, 103-4, 109, 117, 121, 123, 133-4, 136, 138, 141, 149,

159, 161, 164, 179-80, 236,

Harsadeva, 173. Harsa Era. 187-9. Harsavardhana, 56, 88, 90-1, 160, 162, 169, 177, 184, 197, 254, 262, 275, 277, 323, 358, 383, 399, 405, 433, 444-5, 447, 455, 460, 463, 488, Harşa Vikramâditya, 276-7. Harsagupta, 25-6, 36, 43, 87, Harsaguptā, 36. Hastibhoja, 360. Hastin, 260, 265, Hastinapur, 77, 118, 202, 306, 360, 387, 445. Hayamukha, 220, 417. Hazārā, 211. Hemakundya, 228. Hemaprabha, 308n. Himālayas, 15-6, 26, 50, 168, 186, 188. Hindukush, 9. Hindustan, 104. Hiranya, 465. Hiranyakula, 10n. Hiuen Tsiang, 91, 204. Hūņas, 1n, 8-9, 11-2, 15, 18, 21, 28-9, 57, 83, 86-7, 97, 100-103, 110, 122-3, 126, 129, 131, 133-4, 247, 324, 373, 488, Hyderabad, 107, 195, 197, I Imperial Guptas, 23, 50, 329, 469.

Indivārikā, 293, 300.

Indra. 80, 118, 165, 240-41, 258, 285-6, 297, 392, 403, 441. Indrabhūti, 89n. Indraprastha, 77n.

Indravudha, 388, 485.

Iśāna, 339.

100, 487.

Iśvaravarman, 36-8. Iśvarasena, 6n. 50-52. I-Tsing, 464, 468,

J

Tābāli, 451. Taiminī, 404. Jainism, 209, 469.

Jaihoti, 231-2, 429.

Jälandhar, 204, 207, 213, 395, 410, Kakka, 59. 478, 489.

Jamadagni, 309. Janaka, 80, 239.

Tängulika, 325, 339,

Japan, 485. Jāvā, 485.

Jayabhatta I, 59, 60, 200.

Jayadeva, 188, 279. Jayanaga, 63n.

Javanātha, 5, 6n.

Jayapīda, 276n.

Jayapura, 6, 64, 181, 221.

Javasvāminī, 36.

Jeläläbäd, 208.

Jessore, 226-7.

Jimūtavāhana, 238, 242, 251, 296-7, Kapādab, 403.

Tinamitra, 468.

238, 242, 251, 296-7, 316, 350,

Iina. 240. Jinendra, 178.

livitagupta I, 26, 43.

368, 397, 445,

Itanavarman, 12, 27-9, 37-9, 51-3, Iivitagupta II, 22, 23n, 26, Jyestha, 113-4.

ĸ

Kachchha, 229-30, 233, 428, Kādambarī, 22n, 117, 119, 154,

159, 336, 348, 367, 369, 373, 376, 384, 391, 443, 472-5.

Kaira (place), 174. Kaithal, 77.

Kajangala, 225, 423.

Kakkuka, 58-9.

Kalachuris, 6-7, 31-2, 108, 137-8.

Käleśvara, 401. Kalhana, 132n.

Kālidāsa, 179, 279-80, 286, 308, 314, 316, 318-9, 321-2, 374,

452-4.

Kalinga, 33n, 38, 64-5, 158, 169, 189, 272, 294, 299, 425,

Kâmadeva, 472.

Kāmandaka, 386, 455.

Kāmarūna, 22, 30, 68-9, 71-2, 113, 145, 166, 193-4, 202-3, 207, 237, 251, 255, 329, 395, 424, 471, 487.

Kamboja, 274, 485.

Kanara, 38n. Kâshîpur, 217. Kanaui, 13, 32-3, 35, 42n, 44, 64, Kashmir, 9, 22, 168, 189-93, 203, 106, 138n, 140-41, 152-5, 158-62 209-11, 235-6, 247, 276, 281, 287, 172-3, 188, 190-93, 218, 220, 329, 409-10, 464, 487. 224, 250, 262, 320, 266, Kasia, 222. Käfichanamälä, 259, 298-9, 385, Kāśikā, 77. 473. Kathāsaritsāgara, 276. Käñchi, 185. Kathiawar, 5, 51n. Kandahāra, 107. Kātvāvana, 448. Kanishka, 212. Kauśāmbī, 221, 295, 299, 305. Kankjol, 225. 307n, 311, 478, 489. Kānyakubja, 21, 32, 131, 147, 159, Kauśaki, 315. 170, 193, 194n, 206-7, 218-9, Kausiki (Kosi), 70. Kautilya, 79n, 258-9, 308, 336, 388. 275, 277, 323-4, 395, 416, 430, 465, 478, 484, 491. Kāvyapradīpa, 276. Kanvākumārī, 329. Keśaluńchanah, 403. Kāpālikeśvara, 401. Kevūraka, 474. Kapila, 403. Khairpur, 107. Kapilavastu, 222, 419, 479, 490, Khajūrā, 221. Kapili (Valley), 71. Khallikote, 65. Kapiñjala, 436. Khandaprasati, 326. Kapisā, 209-10, 405, 415. Khandanakhandakhadya, 276. Kapisthala, 218n. Kharagraha I, 49n, 50, 55, 139, 170, Kapithā, 218n, 484. Khariūravāhaka, 231. Kapatthikā, 250. Khedă, 229. Karāla, 384. Khetaka, 174. Kārandhamins, 404. Khoten, 216, Khurdâ, 158. Karasona, 218. Kirātārjunīva, 179. Karmayoga, 446. Karna. 239. Kirtivarman, 31n, 38, 62, 64, 177. Kishnaghur, 227. Karnal, 77. Karnasuvarna, 64, 134, 141, 150, Kolong (River), 68n.

Kongoda, 196,

Kongodha, 188, 203,

227, 425,

Karond, 64.

155, 157, 160, 203, 227, 479.

Konkan (North), 38n, 228, Konkanapur, 228, 426, Kopili (River), 68n. Kośala, 7, 169, 228, 301-2. Kosam, 220-21, 305. Kośāmbi, 169, 220-21, 418. Kripura, 17. Krisna, 22n, 64, 113, 163, 322. Krisnagupta, 22-5. Krittikā, 114. Kshantīsimha, 465. Ksemaka, 306-7. Ksemendra, 309, 322. Kubera, 79, 240-1, 319, 403. Kulastambha, 38n. Kuluta, 213, 411. Kulu, 313, 411. Kumaon, 216. Kumāra, 19n, 138n, 145, 166-7, Lauhitya, 15, 31. 193, 247, 336. Kumāragupta, 19n, 24n, 26-32, 87, 120, 137-8, 139n, 166n. Kumāragupta I, 1-5, 89, 252. Kumāragupta II, 4-5. Kumäragupta III, 8, 17, 19, 20. Kumārāmātvas, 265 Kumārarājā, 166, 206. Kundya, 228. Kuntala, 94, 134, 140, 185. Kurangaka, 123. Kurangika, 393. Kurmapurāņa, 77n. Kuruksetra, 77-8, 79n.

Kurus, 77, 82, 306.

Kutasthala, 138n. Kushānas, 57n, 374. Kusinagar, 222, 420. Kusinara, 478.

L

Laghman (or Lughman), 208. Lakhana Narendrāditva, 100. Lakhana Udavādiva, 100. Laksmana 6. Laksmi, 80-81, 99, 111, 130, Lambatia, 208. Lampa, 207-9, 295, 406, 477. Lampāka, 207-8. Lāta, 58, 86, 99, 108, 110, 174-5, 183n, 229, Later Gupta, 21-3, 27, 29, 31-3, 36, 39, 43-4, 87, 89, 138, 466. Lavakhāna, 100. Lekhahāraka, 266. Lichchhavis, 187, 224. Lokāyatikas, 403. Lunar race, 34.

M Madanikā, 390. Madawar, 215, 41. Mādhavagupta, 24n, 32, 120, 137-9, 396. Mādhavarāja II, 158. Mādhavavarman, 67. Mādhavaranaman II, 37-38. Madhubana, 96, 137, 141, 489. Madhukara, 474. Madhusûdana, 280. Madhvadesa, 185, 395, 488, Madhya Pradesh. 9. Magadha, 4n, 20, 22-4, 29-32, 35, 38-9, 43, 62-64n, 67, 137, 154, 158, 188, 204, 224, 235, 307, 345, 427, 478. Māgha, 276n, 280. Mäghadhi, 317. Mahābhārata, 72, 76n, 77n, 82, 120, Māhī (River), 108. 240, 345, 450. Mahābhūtivarman, 70. Mahādeva, 76. Mahākāla, 401, 440, Mahākālahridaya, 44, 94. Mahākośala, 6. Mahāpratihāras, 266. Mahārāstra, 175, 206, 228, 270, 347, 426-7. Mahärästri, 317. Maharattas, 178. Maharauli, 252. Mahāsāmantas, 265. Mahasena, 313. Mahäsenagupta, 23-4, 29-32, 40, 43, 45, 63-4, 72-3, 87-8, 93, 97-8, 106, 137-9, 166. Mahāsenaguptā, 30. Mahāsivagupta, 39n. Mahäśvetä, 269, 344, 346, 373, 384, 433, 472. Mahattaras, 265. Mahävír, 465.

Mahendra, 185. Mahendrāchala, 16n. Mahendragiri, 15-6, 184. Mehendravarman I. 184. Mahendravarman II, 185. Mahesa, 400. Maheśvara, 232, 401, 416. Maheśvaradeva, 405, 402, Maheśvarmata. 403. Maheśvarapura, 231-2, 429. Mähismatī 2n, 7, 232. Māhisyas, 2n. Maitrakas, 1, 5, 32, 43, 45, 47, 50, 53, 174, 197, 199, 200. Makaranda, 303. Malakūta, 228, 426. Malsa, 216. Mālavikā, 314. Mālavikāgnimitra, 314. Malaya, 29-7, 381. Malayavatī, 296-7, 350, 368, 397, 445, 473. Mālvā, 4, 5, 7, 12, 16, 18, 24, 30-3, 40, 43, 56, 58, 86, 91, 99, 106, 108-10, 120, 131-41, 136-41, 146-7, 153, 170, 172, 174-5, 183 186, 202, 229-30, 235, 247, 276, 324, 427, 475. Mälva Era, 13n. Mammata, 286-7. Mānasa (Lake), 113. Mānatunga, 327.

Mānatungāchārya, 327.

Manayayamia, 65-7. Mändhätrī, 44, 95, 115, 164, Mandara, 27, 81, 239 Mändavyapura (Mandor), 59. Mandla, 231. Mandsaur, 11-3, 253. Mangkil, 209. Manglesa, 31n. Manitara, 248, 474. Manoramă, 294, 391, 444. Manu, 33n, 77, 82, 257, 330, 361, 434. Marikala, 210. Mārkaņdeya Purāna, 38n. Mātanga, 297-8, 318, 297, 327. Mathura, 75n, 82-3, 214, 410, 478, 489, Matipura, 215, 335, 395, 405, 413, 478, 489. Mătrașena, 339. Mātrigupta, 276. Matsya (Country), 79n, 82. Matsyapurāna, 77n. Maukharis, 12, 15, 21, 24, 27-9, 31-9, 42-3, 50, 53, 63, 89-90, 97, 106, 138, 141, 154, 188, 262, 487-8. Mauryas, 34n. Māyāpura, 414. Mayura, 185-6, 237, 279-80, 318, 325-7, 406, 410, 413-4, 489. Mayüraka, 325, 339.

Mayūrasarman, 55n.

Meeruth, 77n.

Mekala, 6n.

Megasthenes, 393.

Mekhalaka, 248. Meru. 80, 239, Mihirakula, 10-12, 15, 19, 99, 100. Mimāmsā, 461. Mīmārhsakas, 267. Mirzapur, 6n, Mithilä, 194. Mitra. 239. Mitravasu, 196, 297. Mlechchhas 2, 82, Mo-la-po, 5, 56. Monghyr, 224, 422, Mriganka (Susthitavarman). Mrigavati, 309. Mudgalaputra, 412-3. Mukhera, 33. Mukri. 38n. Muktikā, 476. Mülasthänipura, 232. Mülikas, 38n. Murshidabad, 227. Muñia, 276, 279, 280. Muzafarbad, 211. N Näbhäga, 104.

Nabhas (Naksatra), 112. Nadägiri, 311-2. Nãga, 294. Nāgabala, 6. Năgabhata I, 59. Nāgānanda, 259. Nagpur, 208-9, 395, 406, 477.

Nagarahāra, 208.

505

Nāgārjuna, 455, 464. Nisádha, 81, 239. Nāgāvaloka, 313. Nobhatgani, 416n. Någavarman, 326. Northern Bengal, 31. Nägendra (Elephant), 48n. Northern India, 103-4, 203-4, 486, Naisadhīvacharita. 276. 489. Nalanda, 17n, 19n, 96, 465-8, 484, Nungnehar, 208. 490. Nvāva, 404, 455, 461. Nandan, Kumārāmātva Mahārāja, 20n. 21, 26n. o Narabhatta, 59-60. Odra (Orissa), 188, 227, 425. Nărada, 404, 490. Orissa, 20, 65, 155, 159, 188, 194-6, Naraka, 69. 203-4, 235-6, 247. Naravardhana, 44-5, 83, 88, 96-7. Ostobalasara, 76n. Naravarman, 13n, 14. P Nājāvana, 336, 404. Näräyanavarman, 70. Padmapurāna, 77n. Narendragupta, 134. 141, 150n. Padmāvatī, 311-4. Narendrasena, 7. Pālaka, 311. Narmadă, 1, 177, 181-3, 186, 203, Pallavas, 184-5. 232, 236-7, 487, Pănchāla, 79n, 82, 217. Narsimhagupta, 4, 10-1, 17-9. Pañcha Gaudas, 194. Narsimhagupta Bâlāditya, 8, 100-1. Pañcharātra, 82, 404. Navad. 22-3. Pändava, 6n, 306. Navadevakula, 219, 416 Păndu, 6, 75n. Neemuch, 13n. Pānduvamsa, 6. Nefa, 15. Pangkora, 209n, 407n. Pānini, 23n, 32n, 34n, 77, 82, 91, Nepăl. 22, 168, 186-8, 202-3, 209, 44R 221, 224, 235-6, 247, 249, 393, 421, 486. Paramāras, 58, 276. Păraśavau, 339. Nerbudda-Son Valley, 2n. Nichaksu, 306-7. Parihāsa, 384. Pariksita, 306. Nirankot, 107. Nirghāta, 147, 184. Pariyatra, 214, 149, 376, 410. Nirgranthas, 430. Parmānanda, 290.

Pramātri, 267.

Pratāpašīla, 99, 219, 487-8.

Parvata, 232. Pratihāras, 58, 265-6, 359. Pratipa, 263. Părvati. 111. Paśupati, 248, 319, 402, 410, 429. Pravarasena, 454. Pātāla, 311. Pātālasvāmī, 94. Pāţaliputra, 33, 489. Patafijali, 34, 308, 448. Prithu, 239. Pātāśila, 233. Patnā. 64. 299, 475. Patralată, 147, 374. Persia, 9, 249, 263n, 274, 484-5. Ptolemy, 76. Persian Wheel, 480. Pubna, 226. Peshawar, 100. Philip Sidney 318. 200. Pilibhit, 217. Pleiads, 113. Prabhäkaravardhana, 31-2, 43-4, 270, 453, 57-9, 63n, 84-8, 91, 93, 95-104, Pulindasena, 67. 106-111, 120-21, 123, 126-7, Pulumāyī, 16n. 129, 137, 139-40, 165-6, 169, Punach, 211-2, 409. 172, 175, 208, 210, 219, 229, 232, 234, 239, 251, 256, 271, Pundarika, 343, 346, 373, 376, 404, 318, 323-4, 334, 337-358, 436. 361, 363-4, 366, 368-9, 373, Pundra, 480. 376, 379, 392-3, 396, 398, 401, 404-5, 433-35, 440-41, 445, 447-8. Prabhāmitra, 468. Prabhāvatīgupta, 12. 203, 236, Pradhānāmātya, 259. Pradyota, 301, 307, 310, 313. Pragaivotisa, 68n, 145-6. Puru, 130, 263n. Prajňākara, 464.

Pravaga, 27-8, 193, 206, 220, 250, 268, 366, 395, 431, 478, 489. Prītikūta, 319-20, 422, 432. Prithvivigraha, 65-6, 80. Privadaráikā, 169, 241-2, 292, 294-5, Pulakeśin, 176, 178-9, 181-4, 196, Pulakeśin II, 108, 172, 173n, 175, 177-85, 195-201, 228, 243, 252, Punats, 211-2, 409, Pundravardhana, 61, 226-7, 378, 395, 423, 479, 490-91. Puniab, 9, 35, 75, 100, 107, 140, Punyayarman, 157n. Purnamaitriyaniputra, 412, Purugupta, 3, 4, 17. Purusottam, 165, 240. Pürvamimämsä, 404.

Puspabhūtis, 13n, 21, 30, 34, 42-5, 154, 159, 161-2, 183, 234, 323, 67n, 77, 80-83, 88-91-3, 95-6, 98, 349. 351-2. 357-9, 362-4, 368, 108, 110, 131, 133, 138n, 140-41, 372, 374, 377-8, 431, 439, 444-6, 163, 214-5, 235, 237, 239, 247, 488 472, 475-6, 483 Puspadatta, 69-71 Rājyavardhana, 84-7, 89-91, 442, Pushvabhūtı, 89n 101-3, 109, 112, 116-18, 120-3 Pusyamitras, In. 83, 89 126-37, 139-42, 146-7, 151, 153, Puşyamıtra Sunga, 406, 408 155, 157, 159-61, 172, 179, 205, Pushyavarman, In 252, 262, 323-4, 346, 358, 373, Pustakakritas, 265 387, 442, 444-5, 460, 475 Raivavardhana I, 43, 45, 88, 91, 97 R Raivavardhana II. 42n. 88 Rafigani, 319 Rama, 263, 392, 419n Raghu, 165 Ramagrama, 222, 419 Raghuvamsa, 179 Ramanagara, 181, 183, 217 Rähu, 442 Rāmāyana, 120, 400, 450 Raipur, 64 Rampur, 217, 226 Rairakhol, 64 Ranabhita, 67, 68 Raivataka, 50n, 51n Rapti (River) 221 Rasadevi, 319 Raseśvaradarśana, 404 Rajagriha, 307n Rash. 211 Rājamahal, 225, 490 Ratnāvalī, 169, 241, 252, 259, 295-6 Răjamasa (Rice), 78 Ravskirti, 177-9, 182-3, 359 Rājapura, 212, 409-10 Reva. 182 3 Rājaputānā, 6n, 9, 107, 203, 206 Rewä. 6 Rājašekhara, 290, 327, 360 Rigveda, 367 Rājasthān, 34 Rohilakhand, 215, 217, 413 Rājasthārīyas, 265 Rohm, 111 Raipur, 64, 20n Rohtak, 77 Rājataranginī, 10, 100, 132n, 192, Rudra, 76n 276 Rudradatta, 17 Ramla, 59-60 Rumanvanta, 261, 272, 298, 310-2 Rājyaśrī, 34, 42-3, 91, 117, 120-21, Runir, 209n 131, 135-6, 138n, 147-9, 151, Ruyyaka, 326

g

Sabaras, 383.

Sagarika, 295, 299, 300, 303, 311n. Sankaragana, 31, 138.

Sagguyayyana, 66.

Sahasrānīka, 309-10. Sahet-Mahet, 221.

Sahya, 5.

Saint Martin, 78,

Sailodbhava, 67, 155.

Sainvabhīta I, 68.

Sainvabhita II. 68, 155-6. Saivism, 209, 469.

Sākala, 10,100.

Sakalottarāpathanātha, 203n.

Sakas, 375.

Sāketa, 219, 221.

Sakrāditya, 466.

Sälivähana, 454. Salva, 76n.

Samāchāradeva, 61-3.

Sāmanta, 265.

Samatata, 60-1, 226, 468, 490. Sambhu, 401.

Sambhuyayya, Variant of Sagguyayyana, 66n.

Samghabhadra, 455.

Samkāsya, 218.

Samkhachūda, 297.

Sāmkhya, 403, 415, 455.

391, 449. Samudragupta, 60, 65, 68-9, 165, Shahdheri, 210.

230, 235, 260.

Samudravarman, 69.

Samvādaka, 191. 136.

Sankara, 111, 340, 385, 462.

Sankisā. 218.

Santanu, 73, 239, 263,

Sāptatantavas, 404.

Sarabhas, 184.

Sarabhaketu, 147, 389,

Sārasvata, 194n.

Sarasvati, 77, 95, 125, 206, 221, 240, 403, 419, 433, 434, 490.

Sārdūlavarman, 35. Särnäth, 420.

Sarvanātha, 260, 265.

Sarvayarman, 12, 29, 31, 36, 39-40,

100, 487. Saśāńka, 13n, 43, 63-4, 68, 134-58,

160, 163, 167, 188, 193-7, 204-5, 225, 227, 234, 245, 274, 422,

Sassanian, 488. Satadru, 214, 410.

Satanaisara, 76. Satānīka 11, 307, 309.

Satgaon, 226.

Samā, 305n. Satpatha Brahmana, 33n.

Satrughna, 81, 239.

Saurāstra, 5n, 46, 50-52, 186, 237.

Savitri, 33n. Sāmkrityāyinī, 294, 311, 313, 315, Seşa, 142.

Seşasāyī (Vişņu), 118n.

Shakespeare, 318,

Shimogā, 184.

Sialkot, 100. Somila, 474. Sicligully, 225. Sona (River), 319. Sikhandaka, 475. Sonabhadra, 319. Šikharaswāmī, 455. Sonapat, 96. Sīlabhadra, 455, 467-8. Sonargaon, 226. Stladitya, 55-6, 106, 108-9, 131n, Sonepur, 64. 139, 160, 168, 170, 172n, 175-6, South India, 203, 184-5, 190, 193, 198, 219, 224, Southern Kosala, 425. 226, 229, 251, 279, 282, 487-8. Southern Punjab, 206. Śīlāditya I. 31, 49, 54, 56, 139, 160, Spenser, 318, 325, 169-70. Śrāvana, 112n, 113. Simhāditya 54. Śrī (Goddess of Sovereignty), Simhanāda, 141, 262, 271, 273. 149-50. Simhapura, 211, 395, 408. Śrī Harsa, 163, 187, 327. Sindha, 107-8, 165, 203, 232-3, Sriharsacharita, 139. 236, 249, 274, 381, 410, 485, Srikantha, 44, 75-8, 83, 159, 263n, Sindhu, 57, 86, 99. 330, 337, 480, 484. Singrauli, 6n. Śrī Kumāra, 166n. Sirhind, 214. Sri Suchandra, 41n. Siva, 41, 76, 90, 93, 95n, 146, 149, Srughana, 215, 464, 478. 157n, 240, 285, 288-9, 401-2, Sryāśraya Sīlāditya, 185. 415, 422, 473, Sthänesvara, 13n, 21, 75-6, 78n, Sivarāja, 65. 83, 138, 159, 412-3, Skandagupta, 2-5, 8, 9, 12, 83, 87, Sthanu, 13n, 74, 76. 101, 138, 144-5, 397, Sthänutirtha, 76n. Smara (God) 50. Sthanuvata, 76n. Sthanvasrama Mahatmya, 76n. Sodhala, 279, 287. Solar race, 34. Sthāņviśvara, 44, 75-8, 83, 215, Soma, 334, 441. 263n, 320, 323, 352, 372, 375, Somabhūti, 89. 384, 386, 392, 401, 475, 478, 480, Somadeva, 279, 309, 484, 489-90. Somakupdikā, 481. Sthirmati, 455, 468, Somaprabhā, 308, Stobolasara, 76n. Somavamiis, 34n, 90. Subabdhu, 6, 7, 280. 64

Śūdraka, 239, 308, 374, Sudrsithi, 349.

Sugh, 215.

Šukanāsa, 252, 268, 286, 388, 398,

444, 458, 461,

Sukra, 258,

Suleva (Mtn), 144.

Sūlikas, 37-8, 53, 60. Sulki, 38n.

Sumandala, 65.

Sumantra, 80, 239, 485.

Sumera, 216. Sunga, 398.

Supratisthitavarman, 73.

Sūra, 81, 239. Sürasena, 79n, 81-3, 214.

Süraseni, 317.

Sourasenoi, 82.

Sūrat, 230, 327, 428, 485, 490-91. Surmā (River valley), 70.

Sūrva, 118n. Süryasataka, 326.

Süryavarman, 39n.

Susariigatā, 300.

Susthitavarman, 40, 69, 71-3, 251.

Suva. 41n.

Suvarnabhü, 216. Suvarnagotra, 216. Suvătră, 80, 113, 239,

Svayamvaras, 351.

Svetāmbaras, 403. Svetapatāh, 403. Swät, 209n, 407n.

Syamadevi, 69, 73.

Tāraka, 115.

Täränätha. 38n.

379, 444-5, 461, 471.

Tavernier, 75n.

Tekka, 212, 232-3, 410. Tennyson, 318.

Thaneshvara, 3n, 30, 64, 75-7, 88, 92, 106, 131, 136, 140-1, 160-2, 410, 487-8.

Tibet, 216.

Tilakamañjarî, 58. Tilawaraghata, 181, 183.

Tīţibha, 94. Togara, 38n.

Tons (River), 221. Toramana, 9-10. Trailokyamalla, 251n.

Travancore Ghäts, 16. Trivikramabhatta, 322.

Tryambaka, 401.

т

Śvāmala, 164, 320,

Sylhet, 70, 71.

Tagaung, 68n. Takshaśilä, 209, 211, 395, 408, 478,

489. Tämralipti, 226-7, 386, 424, 485,

490-1 Tandavika, 474.

Tăpti (River), 108.

Tārālikā, 373.

Tārāpīda, 239, 243, 254, 261, 347,

Tathägatagupta, 465-6.

Tumluk, 226	Varsenka, 403, 455, 461
Twastri, 149	Vaisnavism, 52, 469
	Vaivasvata (Manu), 53
Ü	Vasyākaranas, 404
Uchchakalpa, 5	Vajji, 224
Udaya Parvata, 309	Vajra, 466
Udayana, 6, 169, 209, 293-4, 300,	Vajradatta, 69, 71
302, 304, 307-10, 312-3, 315-6,	Vajrinidevi, 97
369, 391, 407, 474	Vakātakas, 5, 7, 12, 47n
Udayamāna, 67	Valabhi, 5, 20, 31 2, 4), 47 9,
Udito, 213	51-2, 55-6, 59, 60, 139, 169-74,
Ufain, 217	178, 193, 195, 197, 199-204,
Ujjayını, 14, 276 77, 310, 312-3,	206, 229, 235, 247, 428, 490-91
327, 385, 402, 450, 452 471, 489,	Vamanapurāna, 76n
490	Vamarathya, 481
Ujjain, 23, 232, 429	Vanaparva, 77n
Umä, 401	Vanavāsı, 38n
Upaguptā Devi, 37	Vanga, 21, 60, 61, 62
Upāli, 412	Vaidhadasa I, 54
Uparıka, 265	Varāhadasa II, 51
Urasa, 211, 408	Vārānası, 223, 330, 395, 420, 479,
Utkala, 194	482, 484, 489, 491
Uttarā, 306	Vardhamānakoti, 250
Uttara Pradesh, 9, 203	Vardhanas, 13n, 106
Uttarādhyāvan Sūtra, 77n	Vaimans, 68-72
Uttarāpatha, 101, 203	Varsa Regio, 211
	Varuna 145n, 240-1, 255, 403
v	Varunikā, 22
Vahīnata, 307n	Vasantaka, 260, 310, 312
Vāhınī, 239	Vāsavadattā, 293-4,298,301,
Vainyagupta, 17-9	308-13
Vaisāli, 223-4, 395, 421, 490	Vasiştha, 111
Varsampāyana, 324, 373, 376, 433,	Vasubhūtı, 259, 295n, 388.
444	Vāsuki, 166n, 297, 309

Vasukula, 10n. Vasundhara, 385. Vasunemi, 309. Vatsa, 169, 292, 299, 304-7, 319.

Vatsadevi, 187. Vatsarāja, 241, 242, 292, 295,

298-9, 312, Vatsyāyana, 108, 293-4, 300, 304,

313, 319, 345, 348, 360, 367, 372, 462-3.

Venībhārata, 339.

Vetravati, 30n. Vibhāṣā, 465.

Vichala, 233.

Victoria, 318. Vidarbha, 38n, 228.

Vidiśä, 30n, 108.

Vidyādharas, 251n, 298, 381, 445.

Vidyādhara Jātaka, 316. Vijayarāja, 174.

Vikrama, 314. Vikramabāhu, 259, 295, 385n.

Vikrama Era, 13n, 51n. Vikramāditya, 187, 271, 313. Vikramāditya I, 177.

Vikramāditya II, 177, 455.

Vilaśāna, 217, 415.

Vilāśavatī, 85n, 258, 365, 437, Yājñavalkya, 258. 443-4, 451. Vinayavasu, 293, 299,

Vinayāditya, 177n.

Vindhya, 5, 147, 169, 177, 182-3, 310, 324, 374, 389, 476,

Vindhya Forest, 299.

Vindhya Mountain, 51n, 302, Vindhyaketu, 293, 299, 301-2, 309, 367.

Virachită, 311.

Viravarman, 472.

Visāla (name of Bodhisattva), 80, Visnu, 69, 111, 118, 130, 239, 365,

400, 402,

Visnugupta, 4n, 17, 20-1, 27,

Vişnukundin, 38. Visnupurāņa, 2n.

Visnuvardhana Yasodharman, 92.

Viśoka, 221, 418. Viśvakarman, 79. Viśvavarman, 13n.

Vivaksu, 306.

Vriji, 224, 421, Vrisnis, 82. Vyaghraketu, 147, 322, 389.

W

Waterloo, 11. Western Coast, 169. Western India, 170, 203. Western Mālvā, 30n, 203.

Y

Yajñavarman, 35, Yama, 33n, 240-41.

Yamuna, 7, 68n. Yasodharman, 10, 12-6, 25n, 74, 100, 102, 106, 108, 139, 146n.

252, 276, 450, 488, 490.

Yasondharman Vişnuvardhan, 13. Yasomatt, 112, 117, 131-2, 135, 253, 323, 365-6, 368, 443-5, 473, 482. Yasomatidevi, 111. Yasovatt, 104, 106, 108-9, 111, 113. Yaugandharāyaņa, 252, 260, 308, 310-12.

Yasodharman Vikramāditva, 106.

Yayati, 263n.

Yuan Chwang, 8n, 10, 19n, 55-6, Yudhyamitra, 1n. 60, 75-6, 78n, 82, 90-91, 107,

118, 134, 149, 154, 157, 159-62, 168, 170, 174-6, 180, 183n, 186, 189, 193, 195-6, 198-200, 203, 205, 207,236, 247,320, 330, 335-8, 341, 351-2, 359-60, 262, 366, 370, 374-5, 378-9, 382-4, 394, 397, 399, 402, 405, 407, 409, 412, 417,430-31, 445-67, 469, 477, 480, 482. Yudhisthira, 306, 339.

CORRIGENDA

Reference	For	Read
p.31, n.41, 1.1	Vol.	Vol.II,
p.36, 1.9	Harsagupta	Harsaguptā
p.36, n.73, 1.2	nd.,	Ind.,
p.43,1.26	the Devagupta	the Mālvā ruler Devagupta
p.46, 1.17,	Dharatena I	Dharasena I
p.50, 1.14	precptors	preceptor
p.64, n.20, 1.9	musthave	must have
p.66, n.10, 1.1	konow	Konow
p.68, n.2, 1.1	AIC.,	AIG.,
p.68, n.3, 1.6	CR.,	CA.,
p.71, 1.2	sylhet	Sylhet
p.71,1.10	Susthiravarman	Susthitavarman
p.72, 1.13	Setback	set-back
p.77.1.6	district	distinct
p.77, n.7, 1.3	Sarasvatyā	Sarasvatyā)
p.78, 1.4	unintermitteut	unintermittent
p.80, 1.12	"mandarad-like	"mandara-like
p.80, 1.20,	dvst	dust
p.83, 1.18	Puspabhūti	Puşpabhütis
p.90, n.64, 1.5	wellknown	well-known
p.94, 1.21	by the	by thy
p.99, 1.9	occeans."	oceans."
p.99, 1.19	gāńdharas,	Gāndhāras,
p.105, 1.1	foes'	foes,
p.106, 1.13	campains	campaigns
p.115, 1.5	"It	"it
p.121, 1.8	prineces	princes.
p.123, last line	foregetting	forgetting

p 133, n 19, 1 14 p 134, 1 12 p 136, 1 2 p 136, 1 11 p 143, 1 14 p 149, 1 13 p 150, 1 3 p 154, 1 5 p 154, 1 17 p 157, 1 6 p 176, 1 7 p 181, 1 15 p 181, 1 24 p 184, 1 4 p 186, 1 7 p 204, last line p 207, 1 12 p 211, last line p 218, 1 20 p 121, 1 3	Mālā-gauda informaed whio reffered Leet afflicted pathetide ussofar It Buddhism'' countries bas is Purusued commads ascei taind Butas ins tructions vising refine Fast	Mālvā-Gauda mformed who referred feet afflicted pathetic us so far If Buddhism'' countries, basis Pursued commands ascertained But as instructions visiting refined East
p 233, 1 24 p 235, 1 21	deen porud	been proud
p 242, 1 3	councilors	councillors
p 244, 1 21	sucessful	successful
p 251, n 42, 1 9,	Flect	Fleet
n 44, 1 2 p 252, I 16	****	
p 260, 1 15	councellor	counsellor
p 261, 1 11	ettiquate	etiquette
p 265, n 100, 1 2	genearl	general
p 270, 1 24	herose	heroes
p 281, 1 3	also	we also
p 281, last line	autheniticity	authenticity
p 298, 1 20	were	mere

personally

n. 307. n. 43. 1.1

p.307, n.43, 1.1	peranany	personary
p.314, n.65, 1.1	tis	its
p. 340, n. 69, 1.2	occatsions.	occasions.
p.340, n.70, 1.2	earlierr	carlier
p.348, 1.1	Kādambair	Kadambari
p.377, 1.11	dying	dyeing
p.413, 1.7	Uan	Yuan
p.430, 1.9	in dolent	indolent
p.437, 1.14	comig	coming
p.438, 1.19	inaugarated	inaugurated
p. 438, 1.19	auspcious	auspicious
p.448, 1.12	deepend	deepened
p.464, 1.16	letter	latter
p.468, 1.17	scal	scat
p.469, 1.11	acount	account
p.479, 1.24	inhaibitants	inhabitants
p.481, 1.6	of the	on the
p.486, 1.5	commerece	commerce
p.489, 1.7	Thus	This

nerenally

Read the following names, wherever they occur, with discritical marks thus:

Ädityavardhana, Ahichchhatrā, Bhaṇḍi, Bhāskaravarman, Bhīsma, Grahavarman, Kādambari, Kaliṅga, Prabhākaravardhana, Puṇyavarman, Rājaśckhara, Rājataraṅgiṇi, Sanyāsa, Yaśodharman, Vātsyāyana.

RIRI.IOGRAPHY

ORIGINAL SOURCES ON HARSA

Bāna's Harşacharıta Ed P. V Kane, First Ed., Bombay, 1918.

Bāna's Harşacharıta Ed Jivananda Vidyasagar, Calcutta, 1892

Bāna's Harşacharıta Ed Fuhrer, Bombay, 1909.

Bāna's Harşacharıta Eka Sāmskrıtıka Adhyayan by V. S Agrawal, Patna, 1953

Bāna's Harşacharıta Tr by E B Cowell and F W Thomas, London, 1897

Bana's Kadambars Ed Peterson, Bombay, 1900

Bana's Kadambari Ed Parab, Bombay, 1896.

Bana's Kadambarı Tr by Ridding, London, 1896

Bana's Kadambari Eka Samskritika Adhyayana by V S Agrawal, Varanasi, 1958

Prıyadar'sıkā A Drama ın Four Acts by Śr. Harsa Ed. by Jıvananda Vıdyasagar, Calcutta, 1874

Prysdarsikā by Harshadeva with the Commentary of Nivasa Jagannath Svamin, Vizagapatam, 1880,

Priyadariskā of Šr. Harsadeva Ed by Visnu Daji Gadre, Bombay, 1884.

Priyadariskā unth a commentary and Introduction by R V Krishnamachariar,

Striangam, 1906

Priyadariska A Sanskrit Drama by Harsa (Columbia University Indo-Iranian Series, Vol. X) Tr and Ed by Nariman, Jackson, Ogden, New York, 1923

Ratnavali by Harsa Ed Parab and Joshs, Bombay, 1888.

Ratnāvali by Harşa Ed Godbole and Parab, Sec. Ed., Bombay, 1890.

Ratnāvals by Harşa Ed Joglekar, Bombay, 1913

Ratnāvalı by Harşa Ed Chakravartı , Sec Ed , Calcutta, 1919.

Ratnāvali by Harça Ed Joshi and Watve, Belgaum, 1953

Nagananda by Harsa Ed Brahme and Paranjape, Poona, 1893

Naganand by Harsa: Tr. Palmer Boyd, London, 1872.

Naganandam: Ed. and Tr. by Toraskar an Deshpande, Bombay.

CHINESE WORKS

Hsuan Chuang Si-Yu-ki, Buddhist Records of the Western World: Tr. S. Beal, 2 Vols, London, 1906.

Hsuan Chuang: Life of Hiem-Tsiang: by Sharman Hwui Li, Tr. S. Beal, New Ed., London, 1911.

On Yuan Chwang's Travels in India (A. D. 629-645): by Thomas Watters, 2 Vols., London, 1904-5, First Indian Ed. 1961, New Delhi.

INSCRIPTIONS

Banskhera Copper Plate: Ep. Ind., Vol. IV, Pp. 208-211.

Madhuban Copper Plate: Ep. Ind., Vol. I, Pp. 67-75.

Nalanda Seals, Ep. Ind.: Vol. XXI, Pp. 74-6.

Sonbat Seal: CII., Vol. III, No. 52, Pp. 231-232.

MODERN WORKS ON HARSA

Chatterii, Gaurishanker: Harsavardhana (Indi. Ed.), Allahabad, 1938.

Ettinghausen, Maurice L: Harsa-Vardhana, empereur et poete de l'Inde Septentrionale, Louvain, 1906.

Mookerii, Radha Kumud : Harsha, Oxford, 1926.

Pannikar, K. M.: Śrī Harsha of Kanaui, Bombay, 1992.

Sampurnanand: Samrāṭa Harshavardhana, (Hindi Ed.), Bombay, 1920,

Tripathi, R. S.; History of Kanaui, Banaras, 1937.

Vaidya, Chintamani Vinayaka: Harşa and His Times being Vol. I of his History of Medieval Hindu India, Poona, 1921.

SOME IMPORTANT CONTRIBUTIONS

Banarjee, A. C.: 'The Empire of Harsa; JAHRS, Vol. VI.

Basak, R. G.: 'Šašāńka,' IHQ., III.

Ganguly D. C.: Rajyavardhana and Śaśānka, IHQ., XXIII.

Majumdar, R. C.: 'Harşavardhana A Critical Study, JBORS., IX.

'The Harsa Era,' IHQ, XXVII.

'Harsha and His Times,' CA.

Ray, N R 'Harshavardhan Silādıtya A Revised Study,' IHQ, III

Sharma, B N 'On the Authenticity of Harşa's Authorship', Madhya Bharan, Vol I

'Dramas of Harsa A critical study' Madhya Bharati II 'The Early Puspabhutis, 'Madhya Bharati,' III

Stream, D C 'Evidence of the Nalanda Scals,' IHQ , XIX

'Harsa's Accession and Harsa Era IHQ, XXVII

Tripathi, R S 'Harsha as Author and Patron of Letter' JBHU I
'On the Extent of Harsa's Empire', JBHU, II

Vaidya C V: 'Harsa and His Times', JBBRAS, XXIV

ORIGINAL WORKS

Valmik Rāmāyana Ed Hemachandra Bhattacharya, Calcutta, 1869-84
North-Western India Ed by Pandit Rama Labhya and others,
Lahore, 1923, Citical Ed by Raghuvira, Lahore, 1938, English
Tr M N Dutta, Calcutta, 1892-94, English Tr into verses
by Griffith, Banaras, 1915

MAHĀRHĀRATA

Mahābhārata, Bom · Ed with Commentary of Nilakantha, Ed Kinjawadekar, Poona, 1929 33

Critical Ed by Sukthankar and Others, Poona, 1927ff, Ed and Tr P C Roy, Cal, 1883 96

PURĀNAS

Agns Purāna Ed R Mitra, Cal, 1873-79

Bhavisya Purāna Bom Ed., 1910

Brahma Purāna Poona Ed., 1885

Brahmanda Purana Bom, 1913

Harwamia Purāna Ed Kinjawadekar, Poons 1936

Markandeyo Purāna Ed Banarjee, Cal, 1862, English Trans, Pargiter, Cal, 1904

Matsya Purana Poona, 1907

Padma Purāna: Ed Mandlika, Poona, 1907

Văyu Purăņa: Ed. Apte, Poona, 1905.

Viștu Purăna: English Trans. H. H. Wilson, Lond., 1864-74.

LEXICONS

Amarsinha's Amarakofa, with Communitaries of Kstrasvāmin and Vandyaghattya Sarvānand: Ed. T. Ganapati Sastri, 4 Pts, Trivandrum, 1914-17.

Halāyudha's Abhidhānaratnamālā: Ed. Aufrecht, London, 1861.

Hemachandra's Abhidhāna-Chintā-maņi: Ed. by Bochtlingk.
Kejana's Kalbadrumkoja: Ed. Pandit Ramayatar Sharma, Baroda, 1928.

Sabdärtharatnasamanvayakofa: Gaikvad Orient. Series, Baroda, 1932. Sabdakalbadruma of Rējā Rādhākānladeva: Delhi, Reprint. 1961.

DICTIONARIES

Apts, Vāman Shioram: The Practical Sanskrit-English Dictionary. Poona, 1890.

Dowson: Hindu Classical Dictionary, 1914.

Monier-Williams: Sanskrit-English Dictionary, 1956 Reprint.

WORKS ON POLITY

Kautilya's Arthafastra: English Translation by Shamasastry, 3rd edition, Mysore, 1929.

Kämandakiya Nitisära : Ed. by Ganapati Sastri. Trivandrum, 1912. Šukra Nitisära : Ed. G. Oppert, Madras, 1882.

> Eng. trans. by M. N. Dutt, Calcutta, 1882. Eng. trans. by B. K. Sarkar, 2nd. Ed. Allahabad, 1923.

ANCIENT HISTORICAL WORKS

Dandin : Daśakumāra-charita

Ed. by G. Buhler and P. Peterson, Bombay, 1887, 1891.

Ed. with intr., notes, and Eng. trans. by M. R. Kale, 3rd Ed., Bombay, 1926.

Ed. by Narayan Acharya, Bombay, 1951.

Jonarāja: Dvitiya-Rajatarangini, Ed. P. Peterson, Bombay, 1896.

Kalhana Rajatarangmi

Ed Durga Prasad, Bombay, 1892

Eng trans by M A Stem, London, 1900

Eng trans by R S Pandit, Allhabad 1935

Vākpat: Gaudavaho

Ed S P Pandit, Bombay, 1887, 2nd Ed by N B Utgikar, Poona, 1927

WORKS ON GRAMMAR

Panını s Astadhyäyı

Patañiali s Mahabhasya Ed by Kielhorn

SMRITIS AND DHARMASĀSTRAS

Brihaspati Smritt Ed by A B Fuhrer, Leipzing 1879

Eng trans by J Jolly SBE, Oxford, 1889 Reconstructed by

K V Rangaswamı Aıyangar, GOS, Baroda, 1941 Dharmašasira samgraha Ed by J Vidyasagara, 2 Vols, Calcutta

1873

Gautama Dharmasastra Ed by A F Stenzler, London, 1876
Katyayana-smrtt: Ed by N C Bandyopadhyaya, Calcutta, 1927

k atyājana smrsts saroddhara (Katyājana smrsts on Vyavahāra, Law and Procedure

Ed with reconstituted text, trans notes and introduction by P V Kane. Bombay. 1933

Smritinam Samuchchaya Poona, 1905

Taiñavalkva-smriti Ed by A F Stenzler Berlin 1849

Ed with the comm Mitakshara, Bombay, 1909

Ed with the comm of Aparaditya, ASS, Poona, 1903-4

Ed with the comm of Visvarupa Trivandrum, 1922-4

Eng trans by J R Gharpure, Bombay, 1936 ff

WORKS ON ASTRONOMY

Āryabhaṭa Āryabhaṭṭya, unth the comm Bhaṭṭadıpikā Paramādiśvara Ed by H Kern Leyden, 1874

Eng trans by P C Sen Gupta, JDL, XVI

Sürya-siddhünta: Ed. by F. E. Hall and B. D. Sastrin, Calcutta, 1859; 2nd Ed., Ed. with the comm. Sudhavarshini by Sudhakara Dvivedi. Calcutta. 1925.

Eng. trans. with notes and appendix, by E. Burgess, New Haven, 1860: Reprint. Calcutta. 1936.

Varāhamihira: Brihaj jātaka: With an Eng. trans. and notes, by V. Subrahmanya Sastri, Mysore, 1929.

With Bhattotpāla's comm. Ed. by Sita Rama Jha, Benares, 1934.

Brihat-saḥhitā f Ed. by H. Kern. Calcutta. 1865.

Eng. trans. by H. Kern, JRAS, 1870-1875; Parts 1-5. :pmdpm. 1870-73.

Ed. with Eng. trans. and notes by V. Subrahmanya Sastri and M. Ramakrishna Bhat. 2 Vols., Bangalore, 1947.

Horāśāstra: Eng. trans. by C. Iyer, Madras, 1885.

Pafichasiddhāntikā: Ed. by G. Thibaut and S. Dvivedi, Benares, 1889.

OTHER WORKS

Sanskrit Poems of Mayūra: Ed. by Quackenbos, Columbia University Series, Vol. IX.

Rājafekhara's Karpūra-mañjari: Ed. by Konow and Lanman, Harvard Oriental Series. 1901.

Viddhasalabhañjikā: Ed. by Jivananda Vidyasagara, Calcutta, 1883. Viddhasālabhañjikā: Ed. By B. R. Āpte, Poona, 1886.

Kanyamimāmsā: Ed. by C. D. Dalal and R. A. K. Sastri, Gaekwad's Oriental Series, No. 1,1916.

Padmagupta's Navsāhasātkacharita: Ed. by V. S. Islampurkar, Bombay Sanskrit Series, Bombay, 1895,

Mankha's Śrīkanṭhacharita: Ed. by Durga Prasada and K. P. Parab, Bombay, 1900.

Kānyakubja Mahākānya: Vāsavadattā: Ed. by F. E. Hall, Calcutta, 1859. Kālidāsa's Mālavikāgnimitra: Ed. by S. P. Pandit, 1889.

Kălidāsa's Meghadūta: Ed. by Hultzsch, London, 1911.

Vallabhadeva's Subhāṣitāvalī : Ed. by Peterson, Bombay, 1886.

Santgadhara's Paddhati: Ed. by Peterson, Bombay Sanskrit Series, No. 37.

Subhāsitaratna-Bhāndāgāra: Ed. by Parab, Bombay, 1911.

Soddhala's Udavasundarikathā: Ed. by C. D. Dalal and Krisnamacarya, Gaekwad's Oriental Series, No. 11, Baroda, 1920.

Prasamarāshana: Ed. by Paranipe and Panse, Poona, 1894.

Mammata's Kāvyaprakāśa: Ed. by Jhalkikara, Bombay, 1921. English Translation by Ganganatha Jha, 1925.

Nagoji's Kapyabradibodyota: Ed. by D. Chandorkar, Poona, 1898.

Maheśvara's Prakāśādarśa:

Mahetnara's Visuatrakāša: Bombay, 1911.

Śriharsa's Naisadhacharita: Ed. by Sivadatta, Bombav. 1919.

Vidvāpati's Purušaparīksā: Edited and Translated by Nerurkar, Bombay, 1914

Nyavachandra's Rambhamaitiari.

Madanavinodanighants: Ed. by Jivananda Vidyasagara.

Hemachandra's Kāvvamīmāshsā: Gaekwad's Oriental Serics, 1924,

Mañjuśrimūlakalba: Ed. by Ganapati Śāstri, Trivandrum, 1925.

Vākpati's Gaudavāho: Ed. by S. P. Pandit, Bombay, 1887.

Rajašekhara's Prahandhakoša.

Merutunga's Prabandhachintāmani : Ed. by Jinavijava Muni. Santiniketan. 1933

GAZETTEERS

Amhala District Gazetteer Farrukhabad District Gazetteer.

Imperial Gazetteer of India.

Bombay Gazetteer.

WORKS ON ANCIENT INDIAN GEOGRAPHY

Majumdar Sastri, S. N.; Ancient India as described by Ptolemy, Calcutta, 1927.

Majundar, S. N.: Cunningham's Ancient Geography of India, Calcutta, 1924.

Dev. N. L.: Geographical Dictionary of Ancient India, Calcutta, 1927.

GENERAL HISTORICAL WORKS

Acharya, P. K.: The Glories of India, Sec. Ed., Allahabad, 1952.

Aiyangar, K. V. R.: Some Aspects of Ancient Indian Polity, Sec. Ed., Madras, 1935.

Aspect of Ancient Indian Economic Thought, Banaras, 1934.

Aiyangar, S. Krishnaswami: Ancient India, 2 Vols., Poona, 1941.
Studies in Gunta History. Madras. 1928.

Some Contributions of South India to Indian Culture, Calcutta,

Airer, C. P. Ramaswamy: Phases of Religion and Culture, Bombay, 1949.

Aiyer, K. V. Subramanya: South Indian Inscriptions, 2 Vols., Madras, 1928-33.

Allan, J.: Catalogue of coins of Ancient India (in the British Museum), London, 1936.

Alteker, A. S.: State and Government in Ancient India, Sec. Ed., Banaras, 1955.

Education in Ancient India, 4th Ed., Barnaras, 1951.

Position of Women in Hindu Civilisation, Banaras, 1938.

Aravamuthan, T. G.: The Kaveri, the Maukharis and the Sangam Age, Madras, 1926.

Bajpai, K. D.: Braja Kā Itihāsa (Hindi), 2 Vols., Mathura, 1955, 1958, Sagar Through the Ages, Sagar, 1964.

Barnett, L. D.: Hindu Gods and Heroes, London, 1923.

Barth, A.: The Religions of India, Lon., 1882.

Barua, K. L.: History of Kāmarūpa, Vol. I, Shillong, 1933.

Basak, R. G.: History of North-Eastern India, Calcutta, 1934.

Beal, S.: Si-yū-ki. Buddhist Records of the Western World, 2 Vols., London, 1906.

Benarjee, R. D.: The Age of Imperial Guptas, Banaras, 1933.
History of Orissa, Two Vols., Calcutta, 1930-31.

Beni Prasad: The State in Ancient India, Allahabad, 1928.

Bhandarkar, R. G.: A peep into the Early History of India, Bombay, 1920
Vaishnavism, Saivism and other Minor Religious Systems., Ind.
Ed., Poona. 1938.

Basham, A. L.: The Wonder that Was India, London, 1954.

Chakladar, H. C.: Social Life in Ancient India, Calcutta, 1929.

Dandskar, R. N. : A History of the Guptas, Poona, 1941.

Das, M. N.: Glimpses of Kalinga History, Calcutta, 1949.

Das, S. K.: Educational System of the Ancient Hindus, Calcutta, 1930.

Dasgupta S. N. and De S. K.: History of Sanskrit Literature, Vol. I, Calcutta, 1947.

Dikshitar, V. R. R.: Hindu Administrative Institutions, Madras, 1929.

Eliot, Sir Charles: Hinduism and Buddhism, 3 Vols., London, 1921.

Farquhar, J. N.: An Outline of the Religious Literature of India, Oxford, 1920.

Frazer, R. W.: Literary History of India, London, 1896.

Gait, E. A.: History of Assam, Sec. Ed. Calcutta, 1926.

Ghoshal, U. N.: History of Hindu Political Theories, London, 1923.

Giles, H. A.: The Travels of Fa-hsien or Record of Buddhistic Kingdoms, Cambridge, 1923.

Gopalachari, K.: Early History of the Andhra Country, Madras, 1941.

Gowen, H. H.: History of Indian Literature, New York, 1931.

Groussets, R.: Civilisation of the East, Sec. Ed., Vol. II (India), London, 1932.

Hass, George, C. O.: The Daśarūpaka, A Treatise on Hindu Dramaturgy by Dhanañjaya, New York.

Hazra, R. C.: Rites and customs, Dacca, 1940.

Heras, H.: Studies in Pallava History, Madras, 1933.

Hopkins, E. Washburn: Epic Mythology, Strassburg, 1915. Ethics of India, London, 1925.

Hutesch, E.: South Indian Inscriptions, 3 Vols., Madras, 1890-1929.

Jackson, A. V. W.: Certain Dramatic Elements in Sanskrit Plays with Parallel in the English Drama, 1889.

Time Analysis of Sanskrit Plays: Second Series: The Dramas of Harsa, JAOS., 1900.

Jain, J. C.: Life in Ancient India as depicted in the Jain Canous, Bombay. 1947.

Karamarkar, A. P.: The Religions of India, Lonavala, 1950.

Jayaswal, K. P.: History of India, Lahore, 1933.

Hindu Polity, Third Ed., Banglore, 1955.

Manu and Yāñjavalkya, Calcutta, 1930.

Tha, Ganganath: Hindu Law in its Sources, Allahabad, 1930.

Jolly, J.: Recht und Sittle, Strassburg, 1896, English Trans. B. K. Ghosh, Hindu Law and Customs, Calcutta, 1928.

Joureau-Dubreuil, G.: Ancient History of the Deccan tr. V. S. S. Dikshitar, Pondicherry, 1917.

Kane, P. V.: History of Dharmaśästras, 5 Vols., Poona, 1930-62.
History of Alankara Literature, Sec. Ed., Bombay, 1923.
History of Sanskrit Poetics. 3rd Ed., Bombay, 1951.

Keith, A. B.: Sanskrit Drama, Oxford, 1924,

History of Sanskrit Literature, Oxford, 1928.

Kirkpatrick, Col.: An Account of the Kingdom of Nepal, London, 1811.

Krishnamachari: History of Classical Sanskrit Literature, Madras, 1937.

Krishna, M. H.: Mysore Archaeological Survey Report, 1929.

Law, B. C.: History of Pali Literature, London, 1933.

Legge, J. H.: Records of the Buddhistic Kingdoms, being an Account of the Chinese Monk Fa-hien's Travels, Oxford, 1886.

Macdonell, A. A.: History of Sanskrit Literature, 2 Vols., London, 1900.

Majumdar, R.C.: Corporate Life in Ancient India, Sec. Ed., Calcutta, 1922.

History of Bengal, Vol. I, Dacca, 1943. The Väkätak-Gupta Age, Lahore, 1946.

Mishra, B.: Orrisa under the Bhauma Kings, Calcutta, 1934.

Mitra, R. L.: Antiquities of Orissa, 2 Vols., Calcutta, 1875-80,

Mookerjes, R. K.: Chandragupta Maurya and His Times, Sec. Ed., Bombay, 1943.

The Gupta Empire, Sec. Ed., Bombay, 1952.

Local Government in Ancient India, Oxford, 1919.

History of Indian Shipping.

1929.

Ancient Indian Education, London, 1947.

Morass, G. M.: The Kadamba Kula, Born., 1931.

Nath, P.: A Study in the Economic Condition of Ancient India, London,

Obermiller, R.: History of Buddhism, Heidelburg, 1931.

Pandey, R. B.: Historical and Literary Inscriptions, Varana, 1962.
Prachina Bharata, Varanasi, 1961.

- Parguler, F E Purāna Text of the Dynasties of the Kali Age, Oxford, 1913
- Patri, D R Cultural History from the Vāyu Purāna, Poona, 1946
- Philips, C A The Civilisation of the East India, London, 1932
- Pires, E The Maukharis, Madras, 1934
- Raychaudhan, H C Political History of Ancient India, 6th Ed., Calcutta, 1952
- Phys Dands, T W History of Indian Buddhism, London, 1897
- Rawlinson, H G Intercourse between India and the Western World, Cambridge, 1916
- Saletore, R N Life in the Gupta Age, Bom , 1943
- Sankalia, H D Archaeology of Gujrat, Bom . 1941
- Schuyler M Bibliography of Sanskrit Drama, New York, 1906
- Strear, D C Select Inscriptions, Calcutta, 1942
- Shah, C 7 Jamssm in Northern India, Bombay, 1920
- Swapadasundaram S The Saiva School of Hinduism, London, 1934
- Subba Rao N S Economic and Political Conditions in Ancient India, Mysore, 1911
- Takakusu, J. A. Record of the Buddhistic Religion as Practised in India and the Malaya Archipelago by I Tsing, Oxford, 1890
- Tod Co James Annals and Antiquities of Rajasthan, Ed by W Crooke, Oxford, 1920
- Upadhyaya, B S Gupta Samrājya ka Itahasa (Hindi), 2 Vols, Allahabad, 1939
 - A Study of Ancient Indian Inscriptions, Varanasi, 1960
- Vankateswara, S V Indian Culture through the Age, Vol II, Mysore, 1992
- Wales, H G Q The Making of Greater India, London, 1951
- Warmington, E H The Commerce between the Roman Empire and India, Cambridge, 1928.
- Yazdam, G Ajantā, 3 Vols, London, 1930-46



बीर सेवा मन्दिर पुस्तकालय 954 SHA

TAMES SIN ON A REACH PARTY

4627